

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 22
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 1/9/19
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் கருக்கொப்பம் 10/9/19

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

(28)

PWD துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	1107	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	4. 4 1972	198 .

11 Sheets

மடிக்கலோ கண்டபடி கையாளலோ கூடாது

D.V

சுலாச, கர-1-18,008-15-8-87.

(3)

To
His Excellency the Right Hon.
Beilby Baron Wenlock G.C.B. J. & E.
Governor of Madras 11/17

The Humble Petition of Alfred
Joyce Esq. Engineer 2nd Grade
respectfully sheweth - That
your petitioner entered the
Royal Indian Civil Engineering
College in the year 1871 and pas-
sed out in the year 1874 -
That in passing out of the
before mentioned College your
petitioner was seventeenth on
the Total List and second in
Mathematics and Mathemat-
ical Engineering - That your
petitioner by his own choice
(choice being given according
to merit in passing out of
the College) elected to serve in
the Irrigation Department of
the North West Provinces -
That your petitioner very much
against his own wishes and
very much to his detriment
was transferred to the Bengal
Irrigation Department in
July 1880 - That your petitioner
then discovered that owing to
different fluctuations of pro-
-motion in the two different

1107
2

Provinces your petitioner had
lost very much in seniority -
That he petitioned the then
St. Governor of Bengal and
received no answer - That your
petitioner has since had
reason to believe that the
then Supg. Engineer Sout
Cirell refused to send his
petition on - That your petitioner
saw a copy of a Circular in
the year 1883 asking for young
Asst. Engineers for Madras well
acquainted with Irrigation
Work - That your petitioner at
once declared his readiness to
be transferred to Madras Public
Works Department according
to his passing out of the
College - That your petitioner
found on proceeding to Madras
that he had not been restored
to his position in the Depart
-ment according to that
seniority - That your petitioner
in 1886 petitioned that he might
be so restored - That your pe
-titioner was informed that
the question of restoring him
will be considered as appor
-tunity offers - That your

1107
3

petitioner petitioned again in the year 1889 and your petitioner received for reply to the effect - That your petitioner would be placed last on the list of officers passing out of the Royal Indian Civil Engineering College in the year 1894 - That your petitioner's position in order of such passing out is first - That your petitioner thus loses five places of seniority in a Department composed of a very small number - That your petitioner thus suffers very great and serious loss, loss not only affecting him in the present but very much more seriously in the near future by probably preventing him from ever attaining to the rank of a Supy. Engineer -

That your petitioner prays that this decision of your predecessor's be not considered as final, and that your Excellency will favourably consider this humble petition. Your petitioner would humbly desire to point out that there are very few prizes held out to officers in the Public

Works Department - That the
 Supp. Engineerings and a very
 few Chief Engineerings are the
 only prizes practically attain-
 -able - That it is desirable in
 Her Majesty's Interests to appoint
 to them the best men possible -
 That your petitioner's superior
 ability over the other Corps
 Hill men of the year 1874 now
 serving in Madras may be
 assumed to be proved by his
 position in the final Exam-
 -ination which was perfectly
 open to all six - That your
 petitioner hopes that any
 offences which he may in-
 -advertently have committed
 in youth may be forgiven
 after a service of over seventeen
 years and not be permitted to
 pursue him for ever - That
 your petitioner has had since
 his return from furlough in
 1884 no less than four transfers,
 that two of these transfers
 were made in order to oblige
 sickly officers of the Corps
 of Royal Engineers that to
 No 1 Party T.M.S in 1888 and
 that to the West Coast Division

1107
 18

1107
1/5

in 1890 - That your petitioner would humbly point out that you might graciously consider such transfers sufficient Punishment without adding what your petitioner considers the far more serious penalty, that of supercession by ^{five} ~~three~~ men - That three men of your petitioner's year have already attained to Exec. Engineer 1st Grade s. p. t. - That from that Grade to the rank of Supg. Engineer is but one step - That it is therefore most important for your petitioner to attain to seniority in that Grade as early as possible - Your petitioner finally hopes that all these facts set before your Excellency may graciously receive your favourable consideration - And your petitioner will ever pray

Alfred Joyce
Exec. Engineer

March 11th 1892



No. $\frac{3}{C}$

Executive Engineer's Office,

WEST COAST DIVISION,

Camp Boleas 12th March 1892

FROM

A. Joyce Esquire
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
WEST COAST DIVISION.

TO

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
FOURTH CIRCLE.

Sir,

1162
7
Enclosures.

I have the honour to forward
herewith a humble petition address-
ed to His Excellency the Governor about
my supersession. I shall take it as a
great favour if in forwarding it
through the necessary channels you will
kindly use your influence for me.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

A. Joyce
Executive Engineer.

No. 3090-10422-11-82 ✓
 " 34325-26-12-82 ✓
 " 34335-26-12-82 ✓
 " 15-2-1-83 ✓
 " 65675-28-2-83 ✓
 (including Congl. report)
 " 6975-3-3-83 ✓
 " 12528-31-5-83 ✓
 " 1742-22-6-83 ✓
 " 27715-20-11-85 ✓
 " 2365C-3-7-86 ✓
 " 38665-8-12-88 ✓
 " 11485-30-4-89 ✓

P. W. CURRENT No. 2940



FROM
 THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
 WEST COAST DIVISION.

To
 THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
 4TH CIRCLE.

Dated
 Despatched
 Received

188

No.

SUBJECT.

P. 124
 W

Encls: 1

Forwarded to the Chief
 Engineer P. W. Depart.
 ment.

Ruedrich. Buckman
 Lt Col R.E.
 Sup Engineer
 4th Circle

16 March 1892

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

1107
6

24 March 1892

My dear P.W. Secy

The enclosed papers
 are connected with Foyles machinery
 which was returned to your office
 on Friday last after being
 noted in by H.S. The for.

Your sincerely

Wm. Carter

+ Recd Sunday 29/3

No. 3090-10 of 22-11-82
" 3432

P. W. CURRENT

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

1107

Read the following:—

Endorsement from the Supl. Supr. IV under No. 124 of
of 16.3.92, submitting a memorial from Mr. A. Joyce
for. Supr., on the subject of his grading in the Dept.

FOR ORDERS, with reference

to the papers attached to

L.O. No. 3866 W 278.12.88

1148 W 2730.4.89

It was decided in 1888 that Mr.
Joyce should rank below the men
of his year in this Presidency because
they were considered the rank
of assistant engineer 1st grade before
him, his position before his transfer
having been stated apparently
owing to his senior having been
unsatisfactory. Having been held
on his grade there as he put it
his only fault should still remain
him, but on the other hand to put
him above men whose senior has
been thoroughly unsatisfactory.
Could he be justified by conspicuously
persistent service in his post?
But I am not aware that he has
rendered any such service.
Can he be the former?

Met
23rd

I agree with the above note.

W

25 March

Cu

S

W

Sup
19.5.92 293

1107
✓ 10

FOR ORDERS.

Handwritten:
H.W.
Disposal

No. 1107 W of 4 April 1892

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

1107/19
Read the following

From the Suptg. Engineer IV Circle No 124 W of 16 March 92

His Excellency the
Governor-in-Council &
observes that it was decided
in G.O. No 3866 W dated 8th Decr.
1888 that Mr. Joyce should
rank below the officers
of his year in this Presidency
because those officers had
attained the rank of Assoc.
Supt Engineer 1st grade
before him, his promotion
before his transfer to
Madras in 1883, having
been retarded for reasons
unknown to this Govt.
It may seem hard on
Mr. Joyce that, as he put it,
his early faults should
still

W.W.
Supt.

Am. 21-3-92 P 24/3
P 24/3

still pursue him, but on the
 other hand ^{place} To put him
 as low ^{as possible} men whose service
 has been throughout un-
 exceptionable could only
 be justified by conspicuous-
 ly meritorious service
 on his part. His Excl-
 -lency-in-Council is not
 aware that Mr. Jayce
 has rendered any such
 service, and is therefore
 unable to modify the
 decision contained in
 G.O. No 3866 W dated 8th
 December 1888.

S₂₄

Ours
 31.3.92

Cu

Am. 2-4-92 R4/4

7/10

Mr. Joyce's appeal
 against his position
 on the Departmental
 list.

No. 1107 Wd/4-4-92

28/

(11)

To
 The Supdtg. Engineer
 IV Circle
 Ic. 7/4 J-8/4