

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 8
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் செப்டம்பர்
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 102

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(49)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	<u>355</u>	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	<u>7-2-1891</u>	198 ~~X~~

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

4. sheets

ஆவண. கா-1-18,006-15-6-87.

AR



P. W. D.

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,

Chepauk, Madras,

16th January 1891

No. 3048

From

Henry Irwin, Esq., C.I.E.,

Consulting Architect

to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer

Public Works Department

Madras.

Sir,

4 Enclosures.

In forwarding herewith the Compensation Bill for Rs 172-8-0 for sittings in the Roman Catholic Cathedral, Madras, I have the honor to state that it is not known whether the original sanction of Govt of India was obtained or not and to what period for which compensation was last paid.

2 From the pencil remarks in Part A of the Bill it would appear that this sanction was communicated in G.O. 3428 W dt 7th December 1886 and that the period for which compensation was last paid, 1st July 1888 to 30 June 1889.

3 The exact date of the highest attendance is

is not given in the certificate at foot
of Part B.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your Most Obedt Servt

Henry James
Consulting Architect to Govt.

P.W. C. No. 532

Public Works Department.

From

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

No. 3048

Dated

16th January 1891

Received

17th Jan

No. of ENCLOSURES. 4

Subject.

Enquiring if the original
sanction of Government
of India for compensation
for sittings in the Roman
Catholic Cathedral,
Madras, was obtained

No. 301. C. of 19 January 91

To C

For favour of opinion
whether the bill is in order
& may be paid, - see
L.P. No 3333 W of 15.12.90.

The fence entries in
part A of the bill are correct
but they should have been
entered in full

19.1.91

No. 355 W of 2.1.91
No. 588 of 25.1.91.

To
W

Returned with the fol-
lowing remarks.

2. Part B of Form No M 42 E

In the certificate at foot the
day of the highest attendance
has not been given.

3. Part C of Form No M 42 E

The receipt for payment should
not have been granted until
the amount was passed and
disbursed

disbursed
4. The claim is in order,
in other respects.

2d
Drift
(each)

No 355 Wd 7 Feb 1891.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

From the Consq. Archt. to Govt. N.E. No. 3048 of 16 Jan. 1891.
office note from the Exr. P.W. Accts. N.E. No. 588 of 26 Jan. '91.

Read the following

Payment of the bill
amounting to Rs 172-8-0
is sanctioned.

2. The Examiner's note
will be communicated to
the Consulting Architect who
will ascertain for record
the day of the highest at-
tendance.

3. Copies of the undermentioned
Proceedings will be furnished
to the Consulting Architect.
No. 3228 W of 7 Decr. 1886
2908 W of 16 Oct. 1889.

The Consq. Archt. to Govt
with 3 enclos.
Examiner P.W. Accts.
Secy. Dept.

Secy. -
H. 2. 91

~~Wm~~

No. 355 W 47 Feb 1891

B. H.

M. ii. 9.
Rb/-

Dec 1891.

(W)

$\frac{13}{2}$