(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 34

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 25-9-90

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

46

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	351	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	7-2-1891	~~198.~~

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

G.P. 17 Sheets

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following papers :—

From H. W. FOSTER, Esq., Registrar of the High Court of Judicature, Appellate Side, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 9th January 1891, No. 58.

I am directed to submit, for the consideration and orders of Government, a memorial presented to the Honorable the Chief Justice by the employés in the several offices of this Court, bringing to notice the insufficiency of the accommodation furnished in the tiffin-room and in the latrine built as adjuncts to the new High Court and praying for their enlargement.

2. A statement is appended, showing the number distributed according to caste of officials, for whom accommodation is required. In the opinion of the Honorable the Judges, the space allowed appears quite inadequate to the needs of over 600 persons.

Transferred to the Public Works Department.

(Signed) A. BUTTERWORTH,
Acting Under-Secretary.

17th January 1891.

From H. IRWIN, Esq., C.I.E., Consulting Architect to the Government of Madras, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chepâk, 29th January 1891, No. 3237.

I have the honor to return the papers received with your endorsement No. 322 C., dated the 20th instant, *anent* the insufficiency of the tiffin-room and latrine accommodation provided for the new High Court.

2. No particular instructions appear to have been given originally for the planning of these out-buildings, but, before commencing to build them, the working plans and a general plan showing their position were submitted for approval in this office letter No. 1220, dated 21st November 1889, to the Registrar, Appellate Side, and were returned with his letter No. 3108, dated 21st December 1889 (copy attached), stating that the arrangements were approved by the Honorable the Judges.

3. The plans of the tiffin-rooms and latrines and the site plan thus approved are forwarded together with the plans of the tiffin-rooms and latrines of the old Court-house,

4. The following is a comparison of the accommodation provided in the buildings:—

	Compartments.	Area. SUPL. FT.
New tiffin-rooms	12	1,290
Old do.	5	468
Extra accommodation provided in the new building		822
		Seats.
New latrines	9	32
Old do.	6	20
Additional seats provided in new building		12

No provision was made for a separate tiffin-room for the few Eurasian employés, for they may use any little space available in the basement floor of the main building as they now do in the existing Court-house.

5. With reference to the positions of the out-buildings, I beg to add that, in my opinion, they are the best available and I do not anticipate the inconvenience expected by the petitioners, considering that the nearest point of the latrines from the tiffin-rooms is over 130 feet, and the latrines and the stables are so situated that the prevailing winds blow from the tiffin-rooms towards the latrines. The doors of the tiffin-rooms and latrines will be provided with arrangements for locking, the water-pandal Brahman keeping the keys, as at the tiffin-rooms at Chepâk, and the scavenger on duty, for whom a room is provided near the latrines, the keys of the latrines, so that the public cannot have access to them.

6. I deprecate the construction of any out-buildings on the southern side of the Court-house as suggested by the petitioners as it would affect the general appearance of the buildings and encroach on a portion of the maidan which may not be desirable. I only apprehend that the employés of the Court-house may be inconvenienced by the coachmen and horsekeepers at the High Court stables using the latrines, and I suggest that a separate latrine for them be constructed on the south-west side of the stables as shown in red on the site plan.

7. If additional tiffin-rooms are needed, the only available site for them will be on the south side and opposite to those already built, as indicated in red on the site plan, which will also be favorably situated; with relation to the prevailing winds, the distance from the latrines will, I consider, be ample; if necessary, a wall can be built, as shown in red, which will screen out the latrines from view.

ORDER—dated 7th February 1891, No. 351 W., Public Works.

The Government considers that the provision already made for tiffin-rooms and latrines for the employés of the High Court is sufficient, but that the erection of an additional latrine for the use of coachmen and horsekeepers, as suggested in para. 6 of the Consulting Architect's letter, is desirable.

2. The Consulting Architect will submit a plan and estimate accordingly.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Judicial Department. ✓
„ Consulting Architect to Government.

Exd. A. D. Xavier.
6 Spare Copies

351/2

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1891} Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated February, 7th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 7th Feb. 1891, No. 351 W.

FEBRUARY.

New High Court Buildings, Madras

Passing orders regarding the provision made for tiffin-rooms and latrines in the —.

To

THE HON'BLE SIR ARTHUR J. H. COLLINS, KT. Q.C.,
Chief Justice, High Court of Judicature,
Madras.

The humble Memorial of the employés of the offices of the High Court.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH :—

1. That the tiffin-rooms attached to the present High Court-house are about 32 ft. × 25 ft. and are hardly enough for the caste-Hindu employés of the Original and Appellate Sides of the Court; and the other employés have, in consequence, arranged for their tiffin &c., elsewhere.

2. That even the few employés that make use of the tiffin-rooms find them too inconveniently small to enable them to take their tiffin at about the same time.

3. That at present the Small Cause Court has a separate tiffin-room for its employés.

4. That the tiffin-rooms attached to the new High Court-house are 82 ft. × 19¾ ft. and are intended to be open to the employés of all castes and creeds of the Original and Appellate Sides of the High Court, the Small Cause Court and the offices of the Law Officers of the Court.

5. That your Lordship's Memorialists beg to submit that the dimensions of the tiffin-rooms attached to the new Court-house will be hardly enough even for the caste-Hindus of the offices of the High Court and Small Cause Court and that a separate provision will have to be made for caste-Hindus of other offices and for other Hindus as also for all Mahamodans, Christians and Eurasians of the High Court and other offices.

6. That the latrine of about 50 ft. × 16 ft. attached to the present Court-house, which is situate within the compound of the Court-house and open to the parties and the employés of the Original and Appellate Sides of the Court, is not found sufficient or convenient for all the employés of the Court.

7. That at present the Small Cause Court has a separate latrine for its employés.

8. That as the latrine attached to the new Court-house is 50 ft. 4 in. × 23 ft. 3 in. and is intended to be open to parties (a portion being set apart for females) and employés of the Original and Appellate Sides of the Court, the Small Cause Court and the offices of the Law Officers of the Court, it is not only quite insufficient, but will also, as your Lordship's Memorialists have reason to apprehend, prove injurious to their health; and this fear is increased by its probable accessibility to the general public, lying as it is in an open place near a busy and crowded part of the Town.

9. That by reason of the insufficiency and inconvenience of the tiffin-room and latrine accommodation in the present premises, some of the employés have, as stated above, been compelled to make private arrangements for tiffin and purposes of nature elsewhere near the Court-house as there are numerous buildings available near it; but such arrangements will be impossible near the new Court-house as no room will be available for such purposes in its neighbourhood; hence the necessity for a latrine of larger dimensions and better arrangements for the employés of the numerous offices to be located therein and parties, &c.

10. That the new-built latrine and stables are so close to the tiffin-rooms that your Lordship's Memorialists fear that the tiffin-rooms besides being insufficient would also be uncomfortable owing to the stench emanating from the stables and latrine.

11. That, now that the new building is still in the course of construction and sufficient tiffin and latrine accommodation is a matter of great necessity and importance, your Lordship's Memorialists humbly solicit that tiffin-rooms of sufficient dimensions may be ordered to be built for the exclusive use of the caste-Hindu employés of the Original and Appellate Sides of the Court on the southern side of the Court-house, and at a convenient distance from the main building, and that the latrine be ordered to be enlarged and a sufficient portion thereof set apart for the exclusive use of the employés of the High Court.

For which act of kindness, your Lordship's humble Memorialists, as in duty bound,

SHALL EVER PRAY.

MADRAS,
14th October 1890.

Appellate Side.

P. Apparao.
S. A. Gopalachary.
T. Gopalakrishna Pillay.
C. Chandrasekhara Iyer.
K. Vencata Rao
M. Krishna Rau.
T. Ramakrishna Pillai
T. Varadarajulu Naidu
Narasimhulu Naidu.
A. Ramaswami Iyengar
M. Gopala Iyer
Subramanya Sastri
Srinivasa Iyengar
Ramanujachary

Original Side.

P. Ramachandra Rau.
Mahratty Interpreter
C. Chakrapani Nayudu - Deputy.
T. Ramanath Josie
S. R. Subba Rau
P. B. Krishna Rau
T. Casavan Pillay
Kesavaperumal Naidu
C. Ramasawmy N.
Subbarayalu Naidu
K. Kumarasawmi Mudr
Manikavelu.
Srinivasa Nayakar
C. Colundavelu

Appellate Side

Ramaswami Pillai
P. Vaithialingum
M. Arumugham
A. Krishnasawmi Naidu
Rajagopaul Rao.
Ponnusawmy Pandit.
P. Ratnavelu Mudaliar
M. Ramanjulu Naidu
M. Srinivasachari
K. Sambayya.
Rajarathnam Pillai
V. Vijayaraghavaloo
T. R. Sreenivasa Naidu
K. Srinivasa Raghavachari
Narasimhulu Naidu
P. Velu Chetty
T. Moorooga Pillai
Krishnama Chary
Venkatasami Naidu
Gopala Iyer

Original Side. 35/5

T. Krishnasawmy Pillay
P. Aroolraj Moodeliar
C. Ramanujam
C. Krishnasawmy Naick
C. Balasundaram.
P. Sumarangam Naidu

Sheriff's Office

C. Ponnusawmy
G. Vencatasawmy Naidu
V. Vijayaragavalu Naidu.
T. Bashiyam Naick
M. Gopalachari app. side
Daiwasikhamony Mudaliar app. side

Appellate Side

S. Rajagopalachari

[illegible] Venkataragum Nayudu

M. [illegible]chari

R. Ratnasabapathy Pillai

P. Veeraraghavalu Naidu

[illegible] Pillai

V. Adikesavalu Naidu

P. Soobiah

Parthasarathy Nayudu

A. Manickam

A. Rajarattinam

A. [illegible] Sundaram [illegible]

Desikachari

P. Rama Pattar

N. Ganapathi [illegible]

V. Narasimhachari.

P. Ponnuranga Mudaliar

V. [illegible] Nayudu

E. Natesa Aiyar

C. [illegible]

P. [illegible] Reddy

M. Srinivasachary

S. [illegible]

A. Narasimha Rao

T. Ramasamy Pillai

R. Subramaniam

J. Rama Iyer.

M. Manickam

P. Lakshmi[illegible]

[illegible] Parthi[illegible]

C. Krishnamachary

[illegible]

Wm. T. Kolandavelu Pillai

C. [illegible]

M. Gopalsamy Chetty

D. Ireland

W. [illegible]

T. S. Jeevarathinalingam Pille

W. [illegible]

S. Varadaiyangar

V. Subbasami Iyer

35/6

Memorial

From

The employés of the
several offices of the
High Court,
Madras.

PW 8r/530/91

Submitting that the Tiffin and Latrine accommodations provided near the new High Court Building are inadequate for all the employés of the several offices &c: to be located therein and requesting that sufficient accommodation may be ordered to be provided.

35/6

Office of the Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

No. Chepâk, 189 .

Copy of a communication from the T. M. Horsfall Esqre Registrar of the High Court to the Consulting Architect to Government, No. 3108, dated 21st December 1889.

In returning the plans received with your letter No. 1220 of the 21st Ultimo, for the Latrines and Tiffin rooms for the new High Court and showing their position in the Light House Esplanade, I am directed to state that the arrangement proposed is approved by the Hon'ble the Judges.

(Sd) Horsfall.
Registrar.

(True copy)

Henry Irwin
Consulting Architect
to Government.

35/W

5/10/2/91

3/A

High Court of Judicature,
Madras, 9th January 1891

No. 58.

18 CwM4

From

H. W. Foster Esquire,
Registrar of the High Court of Judicature,
Appellate Side, Madras.

To

The Honorable J. F. Price,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I am directed to submit for the consideration and orders of Government, a Memorial presented to the Honorable the Chief Justice by the employés in the several offices of this Court bringing to notice the insufficiency of the accommodation furnished in the tiffin-room and in the latrine built as adjuncts to the new High Court and praying for their enlargement.

2. A statement is appended showing the number, distributed according to caste, of officials for whom accommodation is required. In the opinion of the Honorable the Judges, the space allowed appears quite inadequate to the needs of over 600 persons.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H.W. Foster

Registrar

EEoN

Statement of the full strength of the office establishments

1/2

35/8

Offices	Brahmins		Caste Hindus	Other Hindus	Native Christians	Mahomedans	Europeans and Eurasians	Total
	Vishnavites	Sivites and Madhwas						
Madras Small Cause Court	7	6	71	„	5	5	5	99
High Court, Original Side	2	7	39	10	„	14	4	76
Administrator General's Office	3	1	13	„	„	„	6	23
Government Solicitor's Office	„	„	13	2	1	„	6	22
Sheriff's Office	„	„	12	2	„	„	4	18
Advocate General's Office	„	1	3	„	„	1	1	6
Government Pleader's Office	„	1	3	„	1	„	-	5
Crown Office	„	„	5	„	„	„	-	5
Office of Law Reporter	„	1	4	-	„	-	-	5
High Court, Appellate Side (Permanent)	17	21	90	4	8	38	3	181 }
Do (Temporary)	2	8	15	„	1	1	6	33 } 379
Estt. of the Press Office attached to the High Court	„	2	145	„	16	„	2	165 }
	31	48	413	18	32	59	37	638

E.A.N.

Judl 11-12-90 : 1966 M.
– 28-10-90 : 1422 –
– 7-5-89 : 808 –
– 4-4-89 : 617 –
– 4-10-88 : 2122 –

~~H.Ms~~

~~For Orders~~

D/17-1-91

C.

Transferred to the Public Works Department.

C.Butterworth.
Ag. Under Secy.

17 Jan

No. 22 C d/20 January/91

Referred to the Consulting Architect for report.

Col. R.E.
Secy. to Govt. P.W.D.

14.1.91

P.W. C. No. 5
107

C No 114

Judicial {189/ } **Department,**

No.

From

THE REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT
OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS,
APPELLATE SIDE.

10 JAN 91

Dated 9th January } 1891.
Received 10

15/1/91

No. 58
Enc. – one.

Submitting a memorial presented by the employés of the several offices of the High Court and stating that the Hon'ble the Judges consider that the accommodation furnished in the tiffin-room and in the latrine near the new High Court are quite in-adequate.

(one)

37/10

Enclosure (1) to the
High Court's letter dated
9th January/91 No. 58

In Jud. Cir. 1144/91

P. W. D. 35/11

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
Chepauk, Madras,
29th January 1891.

No. 3237

From

Henry Irwin, Esq., C.I.E.,
Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department

Sir,

Enclosures.
4 Drawings
1 Copy of letter
& 1 File

I have the honour to return the papers received with your Endorsement No. 3220 dated the 20th Instant anent the insufficiency of the Tiffin room & Latrine accommodation provided for the new High Court.

2 No particular instructions appear to have been given originally for the planning of these out-buildings, but before commencing to build them the working plans and a general plan shewing their position were submitted for approval in this office letter No. 1220 dated 21st November 1889 to the Registrar Appellate side and were returned with his letter No. 3108 dated 21 December 1889 (copy attached) stating that the arrangements were approved by the Honourable The Judges

3. The plans of the Tiffin rooms and Latrines and the site plan thus approved are forwarded together with the plans of the Tiffin rooms and Latrines of the old Court-house.

4. The following is a comparison of the accommodation provided in the buildings.-

	compartments	area Supl. ft
New Tiffin rooms	12	1290
old — do —	5	468
extra accommodation provided in the new building Superficial feet		822

	compartments	Seats
New Latrines	9	32
old — do —	6	20
additional seats provided in new building		12

No provision was made for a separate tiffin room for the few Eurasian Employes, for they may use any little space available in the basement floor of the main building as they now do in the existing Court-house.

5. With reference to the positions of the out-buildings I beg to add that in my opinion they are the best available and I do not anticipate the inconvenience expected by the petitioners, considering that the nearest point of the Latrines from the tiffin rooms is over 130 feet and the Latrines & stables are so situated that the prevailing winds blow from the Tiffin rooms towards the Latrines. The doors of the tiffin rooms and latrines will be

provided

provided with arrangements for locking, the water pandal Brahmin keeping the keys, as at the tiffin rooms at Chepak, and the scavenger on duty for whom a room is provided near the Latrines, the keys of the Latrines, so that the public cannot have access to them.

6. I deprecate the construction of any out-buildings on the Southern side of the Court-house as suggested by the petitioners as it would affect the general appearance of the buildings and encroach on a portion of the Maidan which may not be desirable. I only apprehend that the employes of the Court-house may be inconvenienced by the coachmen and horsekeepers at the High Court stables, using the Latrines, and I suggest that a separate Latrine for them be constructed on the South West side of the stables as shewn in red on the site plan.

7. If additional tiffin rooms are needed the only available site for them, will be on the South side and opposite to those already built as indicated in red on the site plan, which will also be favourably situated, with relation to the prevailing winds, the distance from the Latrines will

consider be ample, if necessary a wall can be built as shewn in red which will screen out the latrines from view

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant
Henry Irwin
Consulting Architect to
Government

357/13

b

11. 9. 88 - 2806W
17. 4. 89 - 1058W
17. 9. 90. 2489W

P.W.C. No. 1872

Public Works Department.

From

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

No. 3237

Dated 29th January 1891.
Received

NO. OF ENCLOSURES. 2

([illegible])

Subject.

Submitting a Report on the Memorial presented by the Employes of the several offices of the High Court regarding the insufficiency of the latrine & Tiffin room accommodation

---

37/14

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

READ the following:—

From the Registrar of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, Appellate Side, No. 58 dated 9th Jany. '91.
Endorsement by the Judicial Dept. dated 17th Jany. '91
From the Consulting Archt. to Govt. No. 3237 d/29th Jany. 1891.

FOR ORDERS.

In the opinion of the undersigned the provision for tiffin rooms and latrines is sufficient for the employés of the Court but the erection of an additional latrine for the use of coachmen and horsekeepers, as suggested in para 6 of the Consulting Architect's letter, is desirable.—

I quite agree
UW
5 Feb.

W.

FOR ORDERS.

5. 11. 91

No. 351 W. d/ 7th Feby 1891.

B & R
civil works

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

35/16

— READ the following

From the Registrar of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, Appellate Side	Ltr. No. 58 d/ 9th Jany 1891
Endorsement in the Judicial Depts	" " d/ 17th Jany 1891
From the Consg. Architect to Govt.	" No. 3287 d/ 29th Jany 1891

The Government considers that the provision already made for tiffin rooms and latrines for the employes of the High Court is sufficient, but that the erection of an additional latrine for the use of coachmen and horsekeepers, as suggested in para 6 of the Consulting Architect's letter is desirable.

2. The Consulting Architect will submit a plan and estimate accordingly.

1.2

d/ 11/2

To
The Judicial Depts.
" Consg. Architect to Govt.

146
7-2-91

351/17

1072.

12/12/10

31-7-13

Govt. of 18 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated [illegible] 10/2/91

Recd. Encl.

G.O. 7th Feby 18 91. No. 351 W.

New High Court Buildings
Madras.

Passing orders regarding the provision made for tiffin rooms & latrines in the —.

5/2

ERC 9/2

S 9/2

⑰