

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 8
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் மே 190
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/10

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

(38)

P.W.D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	<u>343</u>	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	<u>6 - 2 - 1891</u>	<u>198</u>

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

GP

4 Sheets

P. W. D.

343/-

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
Chepauk, Madras,

2 February 1891.

No. 3268.

From

Henry Irwin, Esq., C.S.E.,

Consulting Architect

to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer

P. W. Department

Madras

Sir,

Enclosures.

I have the honor to submit a report received from the Sub-Divisional Officer in charge of the High Court Works which caused the death of a bricklayer.

An inquest was held at the Lunenburg Hospital on the evening of the 30th instant and the Jury returned a verdict that the deceased died from the effects of a fractured skull, the result of an accident.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Henry Irwin
Consulting Architect to Govt.

No. 374

343/2

Consulting Architect Division,
SUB-DIVISION, EAST OFFICE,
Madras, 30th January 1891.

From

J. H. STEPHENS, Esq.,

HONORARY ASSISTANT ENGINEER IN CHARGE,

EAST SUB-DIVISION,

To

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

SIR,

Enclosure.

I beg to report that two men fell from the scaffolding in the room over the West porch.

To allow of the plastering of the ceiling, the scaffolding here was spread out like a flat form of palmyrah, with a gap of about $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet where the ladder was fixed for the work people to go up and down. The ceiling work was finished and pointing of the walls was in progress. This day about 9-30. one

of the brick layers boys, about 19 years old, it appears complained of not feeling well and gave his trowel to another man, and went to get down the ladder, but missed his footing and fell. In falling he gripped hold of another boy near by, and both fell together. The height of fall from platform to floor was only ¹⁸/₁₅ feet. The sick boy fell under the other. Both appeared insensible, and were at once dispatched to the hospital. Since then at 3 P.M. I have heard that the sick boy had died.

From enquiry it appears he had not been well for

Some

^{3/3}
Some days, and did not want to come to work that day, but was forced to do so by his relatives. So small a fall would not have killed him if he had not the weight of the other boy on him. Even with this weight, I am surprised that the result was death. I am told that there was no fracture of any limb or joint.

There is no fault whatever with the scaffolding, which is both strong and quite safe. This man would not have missed his footing on the ladder had he been well. Even had he missed his footing on the ladder, he would have held on to the adjoining

flat form, as every one does when
going to step down a ladder. So
that illness must be considered the
principle cause of this accident and
his death. The other boy I am told
is doing well.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Yours most obedient servant

J. A. Stephen

343
4

Public Works Department.

From

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE

Chief Engineer
J. P. W. D.

No. 3268

Dated

2^d February 1891

Received

No. of ENCLOSURES. /

Subject.

*Reporting an accident
at the new High Court
works.*

(W)

*Ms. 4.11.91
25-*

With order

*192 10/22
25/2*

No. 343 W. 6th July 1891

*Recd
5 Feb*

Recorded

S 22