

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள்

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

6

9/9/90

10/9

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D. துறை

62

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

980

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் :

7-4-1891

198

மடிக்கவேண்டிய கையாளலோ கூடாது

9/9/90

3. Sheets

ஆவணக் கா-1-18,006-15-6-87.

6

N^o 493

Salem Sub D^y Officer

10th March 1891

From

980/1

Mr. J. Cormac

Sub Divisional Officer

Salem

To

The Executive Engineer

Coimbatore

Sir,

With reference to your N^o 1266 dated 3rd March 1891, I have the honor to explain that I did not travel via Nannakal to Shathiangarpett, inspecting works en route, because I had not time to do so.

The distance from Sunka-geridroog (my halting place on the 28th January) is 66 miles; to travel that distance by road, and return by road, to Salem by the 1st February was simply impossible; and as your orders to inspect the work at Shathiangarpett were most peremptory

peremptory, I travelled by the most expeditious route, inspecting enroute on the 29th January the work at the Kunnathur Kalingulak and check measured the work done. There are no works between Sankageridroog and Namakal which required my inspection.

An inspection of my Journal of occupation for the month of January will convince you that I did not lose a single day, during the month, I spent two days at headquarters viz the 11th January (Sunday) and the 12th, and on one day in the month, I inspected no less than eight tanks, and travelled by road 28 miles: this necessitated exposure from 5 till 11.30 A.M. and from 2 till 6.30 P.M.

Under these circumstances I can confidently say that no man could have done more than I did.

To have travelled the 66 miles

Sankageridroog to Kothingurpett
and

and Namakal by road and returned in the same manner would have occupied quite one week, and such time I certainly could not spare.

980/2

I have &c &c

Sy J. Cormac

Sub Officer

"True copy"

No 1588

Forwarded to the Supt. Engineer & Circle with a recommendation that under the circumstances explained sanction may be accorded for the Sub Divisional officer and Overseer travelling beyond their jurisdiction viz via Kulitali and Mursuri in January 1891.

Sy L. B. Lambert

Executive Engineer

Coimbatore

19 March 1891

No 152
W

Copy. Communicated to the Chief
Engineer P.W. Department for
favor of sanction with reference
to para 447 of the P.W. Code.

2. The circumstances in the
Salem District are peculiar
owing to the large number of
mittahs in it in which no work
requiring the attention of this
Department are carried on, so
the quickest route by rail to get
to a work is sometimes a ~~cont-~~
circuitous
~~one~~ and is necessary when
time is our object.

Pondicherry - Kanchi

Sacramento

25. March 91

Lt Col. R.E.

Suptg: Engineer

AP

980
3

P. W. C. No. 3525
 From
 The Sup. Eng^r.
 4 Circle

No 980 W of 7th April
 1891
 W. S. S. S.

The journey of the
 sub divisional officer
 Salem & his overseer
 from Sunkageridroog to
 Thathienagarpett via
 Kulitalai & Musiri is
 sanctioned.

Dated 25 } March 1891
 Despd 25
 Rd 28/3/91
 No 152
 W.

S 111

Journey of Sd^r J. Carmac
 to Thathienagarpett
 Submitting copy of
 letter from the Ex-Eng^r.
 Coimbatore on submit-
 ting sub D^r officers ex-
 planation for the - for
 sanction.

E
 H (3)

Note - The question of giving a
 general permission for the
 journey is being submitted
 to the Govt for consideration.

S. E. IV Circle
 Ex. P. W. accts.
 15/4/91
 16/4/91

only 10/4/91
 15/4/91
 16/4/91