

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 20
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 8/20
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/1

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

(52)

p. w. D துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	968	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	7-4-1891	198

மடிக்கவேண்டிய கையாளவோ கூடாது

10 Sheets
ஆவண. கா-1-18,006-15-6-87.

3A

968/11

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,

V Circle, Madras,

Chepak, 29 July 1890.

No 2003.

From

Col. W. H. Burton, R.E.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To

THE Examinee of Waterworks
Chepak
Madras.


SIR,

Enclosures.

With reference to your letter
No 4133 dated 11 July 1890, I
have the honor to request you
to pass Sub Divisional
Allowances to Lieutenant
Milne, for the period 14 July
to 4th October 1889¹⁸⁹⁰, during
which period he was in
actual charge as Sub
Divisional Officer of
the major portion of the
works of our Sub Division
in Madras.

Mr. Ottmann was similarly
employed.

Similarly in Sub Divisional
Charge of the major portion
of the other Sub Division
in Madras, during the
above period and has, as
I understand, received



Sub Divisional Allowance.
During the period 14 July to
4th October. Mr. McAlistair
was being junior to Lieut.
Milne not entitled in my
opinion to one of the
Sub Divisional Charge
allowances sanctioned
by Government for the
Residency Division at
Madras, and if he has
drawn it for the above
period it should be
retrenched.

I have &c

W.H. Burton for R.E.,
Sup^d Engrs V Circle

/True copy/

John Campbell Major R.E.
Sup^d Engrs V Circle

96812

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,

V Circle, Madras,

Chepak, 8 January 1891

No. 2003

From

Major D. McNeill Campbell. R.E.,
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To

The Consulting Architect
to Government.

Sir,

Enclosures. 3.


In returning your Endorsements
Nos 2894, and 2933. dated 19th Dec.
2nd Dec 1890, and 6 January 1891,
I have the honor to state that
the matters therein alluded to, having
been settled by Col. Burton. R.E.,
per his letter to the Examiner No.
2003 of the 29th July 1890 (Copy enclosed)
I am unable to reopen the
question.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Yours Most Obedt Servt
John Campbell Major R.E.
Superint^d Engineer
V Circle.

From
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
V CIRCLE,

To
THE



Dated

Recd.

189

ENCLOSURES.

2/87
28.2.91



Forwarded dated 15 January 1891

Forwarded to the Executive Engineer Madurai Division with reference to his Circular No. 839 of 11.12.90 and 858 of 16.12.90

Henry Munro

Consulting Architect

No. 2591 S. to Govt. Encls. 2

Forwarded to Mr. MacAlister with reference to his No. 649 of 10.12.90

21.1.91 W. Hughes
2/23

(Poke returned with enclosures)

Noted & Returned

John MacAlister
Sub. Div. Off.

12.2.91

3/87
28.2.91

No 858 m

Forwarded to the Consulting Architect in continuation of this of No. 839 m of 11.12.90

Madurai 16 Dec 1890
W. Hughes
28

No. 2933

R. No. 41 An
7.1.91

Forward to the Superintending Engineer 5 encls in continuation of this office No. 2874 of 19.12.90 for favour of disposal.

Henry Munro
Consulting Architect
to Govt.

6 January 1891

Noted & Returned

From
The Sub. Div. Officer
Kanchipuram
To
The Executive Engineer
Madurai

Supd. Engineer's Office
No. 1051
10/12/91
Camp. Encls. 2
Dated 12.2.91
Depd. 12.2.91

Sir, In continuation of my No. 649 of 10.12.90, I beg to add that in the three subsidiary divisions there such divisions were sanctioned by Govt. Viz:

Two at Madurai { 1 Civil works
1 Military works

and one at Kanchipuram. At the time Lt. Milne returned from furlough Captain Lindley R.E. was the permanent Sub. Div. Officer Military works Madurai & therefore, if he was at his own post, (at Madurai) charge of the command for only one Sub. Division could have been drawn at Madurai

Madras and that would have
been paid to Mr Ottmann,
the permanent Sub Div Officer
Civil works, he being an upper
Subordinate, and I as permanent
Sub Div Officer Bangalore, if
I was at my own post, would
have drawn the allowance for
that Sub Division; so that, if Cap-
tain Sindley Rb was at Madras
when Lt Milne returned from
furlough, the latter could not
since ^{only} ~~only~~ two Sub Divisions were
sanctioned by Govt, draw the
charge allowance. Captain Sindley
Rb applied for leave to proceed
to Bangalore to prosecute certain
studies, the Chief Engineer was
unable to grant the leave, so
permitted him to do duty
at Bangalore as Sub Div Officer
and I was ordered to take
his place at Madras. During
Captain Sindley's stay at Banga-
lore no charge allowance
was drawn for Bangalore &
I therefore drew at Madras the
allowance I was entitled to
as Sub Div Officer Bangalore.
When Lt Milne returned from
furlough and three Sub Divisions
were

at Madras 968/100
were formed out of two, the Sup Div
thought that as three Sub Divisions
were sanctioned for the Presidency,
and no allowance was being drawn
at Bangalore during Captain Sindley's
incumbency, that the charge allow-
-ance for three Sub Divisions could
be drawn at Madras, otherwise
Lt Milne would have had to relieve
Mr Ottmann or have gone into the
District.

Col. Burton Rb, as stated in
my letter quoted above, on being in-
-formed that charge allowance
for two Sub Divisions only could be
drawn decided that Mr Ottmann
and I should draw the same.

Even after I was relieved
at Madras by Lt Ellis Rb. Captn
Sindley's successor, I was directed
to remain at Madras, until Lt
Ellis learned all about the works,
this extended for some months, during
which time neither I nor Lt Milne could
draw Sub Div allowance, but Sub
Cand. Patton Supervisor, who was
acting for me at Bangalore drew the
allowance for Bangalore, until relieved
by me.

I beg to remain
Your most Obedt Servt
Abraham Alistair
Sub Div Officer

7/18/90



7/18/90

4/27/91
25-10-91

From 968/4
The Sub Div Officer
Percakulam

To The Executive Engineer
Madras

Dated 10th Dec 1890
Dated 10th Dec 1890
Enc - 3
No 669

Sir,

With reference to
your note no 2382 of
7th Inst, herewith returned,
I beg to explain as follows

At the time Lt Milne
was on furlough he had
charge of the Civil works
Sub Division and I had the
Military works Sub Division
and Lt Milne was succeeded
by Mr Ottomay in May
1889 Captain W.D. Linder took
charge of the Military
works Sub Division at
Madras and I was trans-
ferred to the charge of
the Bangalore Sub Division
In June 1889 Captain Linder
as a temporary measure
transferred to Bangalore
and the Sup^r Engineer
applied

91
7/27/91
6/27/91

810

applied to Chief Engineer for an Extra Deputy
Subordinate to take Captain Sindley's place
at Madras, which was disposed of by the
Chief Engineer deciding that Captain Sindley
and I should exchange charges during
Captain Sindley's stay in Bangalore and
I accordingly held charge until relieved
by Lt. Ellis Rb. who had been posted in
room of Captain Sindley Rb. I then reverted
to the charge of the Bangalore Sub Division
and as soon as I was relieved at Madras,
charge allowance could only be drawn
for our Sub Division at Madras and
this was drawn by Mr. Ottmann.

But apart from this, on Lt. Milne's
return from Furlough, he was posted to
the V Circle again, and the Sup^d Engineer
created a place for him at Madras by
forming three out of the two Sub Divisions
sanctioned by Govt., but at the close of
the first month when it was necessary
to decide who were to draw the Sub
Divisional Allowance the Sup^d Engineer,
Colt Benson Rb. ordered that it should
be drawn in the following order:-

- 1st by Mr. Ottmann
- 2^d by Capt. Macalister
- 3^d by Lt. Milne

if Lt. Milne had appealed against
this immediately the order was
passed

968/5
passed, he would either have been
transferred out of Madras or have
taken over his old charge from
Mr. Ottmann or he would have
relieved me, in the latter case
I would of course not have
received the Sub Divⁿ allowance,
but this would not have caused
me the inconvenience it will
now do, if the Amount is not
recovered from my salary.

From the Sup^d Engr's letter
No 2003 of 29-7-90 it is evident
that he had not been reminded
that he had already decided this
matter as stated above, before
when the redistribution of Sub
Divisions was made I gave
over eleven works between
Mr. Ottmann & Lt. Milne and
took over seven works from
Mr. Ottmann. I regret I am
unable to remember the date
and date of the Sup^d Engineer's
order declaring who was to re-
ceive the Sub Divisional allow-
-ance, but I beg that before
the Amount is recovered from

my salary that you will be
pleased to apply for a copy
of that letter and refer the
matter for consideration.

I beg to remain
Sir
Yours most Obedient

Asbom MacAlister
Sub-Dist Officer

RE 839 M

Forwarded to the Consulting Architect
with reference to his letter No 2705 d/5 Dec 90
with a request that the question may be
referred to the Sup-Eng. for consideration.

W. Hughes Esq.
Madras

11.12.90

873

Dr. No: 528 M
20.12.90

No 2874

Forwarded to the Superintending
Engineer 5th Circle for power of disposal.

Henry Dromie

Consulting Architect
to Government

17.12.90

No 730 LC

Submitted, with the file of
Correspondence on the
Subject, to the Sup-
Engineer

16.2.91. W. Hughes Esq.
Do/11

No 1117 MD d/21 February 1891
5.23.

Submitted to the
Chief Engineer S.P.W
through the Sup-
Engineer II Circle -

2 This Office is not
aware of the circum-
stances under which
Mr MacAlister was allowed
to draw the Sub-Dist
allowance now proposed
to be retracted.

Dr. in print
Exec: Engineer in Charge

From 968/6
The Sub-Dist Officer
Perakulam

To The Executive Engineer
Madras

No 817
Dated 23 February 1891
Dropt 2
Camp: Attamankur

Sir,
With reference to your
No 2597 S of 23-1-91, & by
in continuation of my
letter No 649 of 10-12-90 and
664 of 12-12-90, to point
out that Captain W. D
Lindley Esq. and Mr Ott-
man Sub Engineer
being the two permanent
Sub-Dist Officers at Madras,
at the time in question,
Charge allowance for
only one Sub-Dist could
properly speaking be
drawn at Madras, &
was the permanent
Sub-Dist Officer Banga-
lore and was entitled
to draw Sub-Dist allow-
ance as such, early
in

in July, I was ordered by the
chief Engineer to exchange
Sub Divisions as a temporary
measure with Captain Lindley,
the latter could not draw Sub
Div allowance so I drew at
Madras the allowance I
was entitled to as Sub Div Officer
Bangalore, and I was not
relieved of the Charge of
Captain Lindley's Sub Division
until October 1889, when I was
relieved by Lt. Ellis Esq. on
Captain Lindley's transfer to South
Afric. I should have then gone
back to my own Sub Division
at Bangalore, but I had to do duty
under Lt. Ellis until he became
familiar with his Charge, during
this period, I could not draw
Sub Div allowance neither
could Lt. Milne but Sub Cond.
Patton, Supervisor, who was
junior to both myself and
Lt. Milne drew the allowance
as acting Sub Div Officer Ban-
galore until I rejoined in
January 1890.

968/1
When Lt. Milne returned from
Jurlough, the Sup & Engineer Col.
W. H. Norton Esq. ordered the two
Sub Divs at Madras to be divided
into three. My Sub Division re-
mained almost as it was except
that I gave over a few of the
outlying buildings in exchange
for others and my Sub Division
then consisted of Fort St George
Ordnance and Commissariat
Lines, Barracks, Batteries, and all
Civil and Military buildings
along the North Barracks. Fort St
George itself contained as many
buildings & was more difficult
to work than any of the other Sub
Divisions, it was, therefore, Mr.
Ottman's, formerly Lt. Milne's,
Sub Division that was split up
to expedite work.

I had on former occasions
acted as Sub Div Officer Military
works for longer periods, while
Lt. then Cond., Milne was doing
duty under the Sub Div Officer
Civil works, and I drew the
allowance - besides at the end
of July or early in August, when
it

it was pointed out by the Executive Engineer Presidency Dⁿ that charge allowance for only two Sub Dⁿs could be drawn, the Secy Engineer ordered that the allowances should be drawn 1st by Mr Ottuman, and 2nd by myself, and 3rd by Lt Milne.

Lt Milne should have appeared at once, or at all events before I ceased to belong to the Circle - In January 1890 I returned to Bangalore, as Sub Dⁿ officer, in February I went back to Madras as Sub Dⁿ officer and remained there until 19th April, when I was posted to Nagapattinam Dⁿ on transfer of Military works to Bengal; and it was not until both myself and Lt Milne left Madras that the latter appears to have appeared, or one year after the occurrence.

Under these circumstances I beg that the Chief Engineer be requested to sanction the 3rd Sub Dⁿ at Madras for the period under reference or that the amount may be recovered from me by small monthly instalments, as under the circumstances I have already brought to your notice.

968/8
I am prevented from having my family with me and have therefore to maintain two establishments, it will thus come very hard on me to refund the amount, if it should be so decided. -

I beg to remain
Sir
Yours most Obedt Servt

Abraham Alier
Sub Dⁿ officer

Cont.