

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 14  
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் July 90  
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் lex

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

74

PWD துறை

|                                       |          |                  |
|---------------------------------------|----------|------------------|
| அரசாணை எண்.<br>மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். | 643      | அச்சகம்<br>பலவகை |
| நாள் :                                | 6 3 1891 | 198              |

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

7 sheets

ஆவண. கா-1-18,006-15-6-87.

Devi

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,

V. Circle, Madras.

No. 17 M.

Chepak, 19<sup>th</sup> Jan 1891

From

Major D. Mc Neil Campbell P.E.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer  
P.W. Department.

SIR,

6/12/90

Enclosures.

With reference to para 5 of  
G.O. of 1<sup>st</sup> 3251 W dated 8<sup>th</sup> Dec.  
2<sup>nd</sup> Dec 1890, I have the honor  
to report as follows:-

On 13<sup>th</sup> Dec 1887 the  
Barrack Master sent in a  
requisition to the Executive  
Engineer Chingleput for the  
repairs of St. Francis Xavier's  
Church at Pallavaram. As the  
Church appeared in the Execu-  
-tive Engineer's printed Re-  
-gistry of Churches & Churches  
belonging to Government in  
charge of the Executive En-  
-gineer

Engineer Shingleput that  
Officer prepared an estimate  
amounting to Rs 1728. this  
was sanctioned by the  
late Superintending Engineer  
and the work was  
carried out in 1889 at a  
cost of Rupees 1696.

4 Col. W. H.  
Munton R.

The mistake appears  
to be in the Church  
being included in the  
Registers above men-  
tioned and as it was so  
included the Exce-  
-sive Engineer considered  
he was justified in pre-  
-paring an Estimate  
in accordance with

Rules XXVI & XXVII P. W.  
Code Appendix D. The  
Remarks entered in the  
Registers against this  
Church are as follows:-  
"Erected by Madras  
Mission in 1839 and en-  
-larged

enlarged for the Veteran Com-  
-pany in 1847 by a Govern-  
-ment Contribution." From  
this remark it would seem  
that the Church does not  
belong to Government and  
should not have been  
included in the Registers.

6 43  
2 ✓ I have the honor to be  
Sir  
Yours Most Obedt Servt

J. R. Campbell Major R.E.,  
Superint of Engrs  
J. R.

P. H.

From

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

V. CIRCLE,

NO. 365.C d/22 January/91.

To

THE Chief Engineer  
P.W. Department.

To

C.

Dated 19<sup>th</sup> January 1891.  
Recd. 20

ENCLOSURES.

No. 178.

Roman Catholic Church  
at Pallamuram.

Reports that the Estimate  
for repairing the  
has been submitted by  
the Engr. Engineer (Chief)  
Division. on requisition  
from the Barrack Master  
on 13<sup>th</sup> Dec/89 as per  
his sketch within.

Please my whether  
any objection to the  
expenditure was raised  
by your office when the  
accounts of the work  
were received.

W.C.M.  
21.1.91

D  
W  
21.1

KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

dated

189

GOVT.  
OF MADRAS.

189/

{ P. W.  
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 20 February 1891.

OFFICE NOTE No. 1099.

To

W.

Your office note No. 365 C. dated 22nd.  
January 1891.

Such scrutiny as would be applied  
in my office, would be applied on re-  
ceipt of the sanction, or estimate, & not  
on receipt of accounts, the sanction if  
not questioned being sufficient autho-  
rity for the expenditure. In the present  
case the sanction was received in Febru-  
ary 1889 and the return of sanctions  
bear evidence of scrutiny by the ac-  
countant then in charge, who does not ap-  
pear to have questioned it.

2. The fact is that there is no pro-  
per information available in my office  
as to what are Government churches, or  
at any rate churches for the repair of  
which the P.W.D. is responsible. Some ten  
years ago an attempt was made to get an  
authoritative list, vide G.O. (Ecclesias-  
tical) No. 291 dated 23rd. December 1878  
but this apparently came to nothing; and

recently

P.W.C. No. 202

recently the matter has come again in connection with G.O. No. 2362 W. of 2nd. September 1890, and is still under consideration.

Put up in the  
1910 in the

W.C.

Prof.  
(Eucru)

Recd. 21-2-91

- 3257 W 20/1/90
- 435 W 7 10/2/90
- 1016 W 7 25/2/01
- 1594 W 7 7/2/00
- 2756 W 7 3/1/79
- 2196 W 7 22/8/79
- 1727 W 26/6/74
- 365 C 7 27/1/91
- 2362 W 7 2/9/90

643  
4

P.W.D.

note

643  
W

In G.O. No. 1306 W  
of 7.7.90 & No. 1816 W of 25.7.91  
it was decided that the  
Roman Catholic Church at  
Pallavaram should fall  
under the same rules as  
the R.C. Cathedral at  
Madras, i.e., that it was  
not a Govt. Church, &  
that the only assistance  
to be given by Govt. towards  
repairs, should be in  
the form of compensation  
for sittings occupied  
by soldiers.

Recently, Archbishop  
Coleman, in applying for  
a grant in aid towards  
the repairs of the R.C.  
Cathedral Madras, cited  
as a precedent, the  
case of the R.C. Church  
at Pallavaram, which

H. M. 25-11-91  
R. 27-2-91

The R.C. Church  
at Pallavaram is  
not a Govt. Church

he stated, had been repaired  
at the Fort. expense, &  
in G.O. No. 3251 Wd 8.12.90  
a report was called for  
as to the circumstances  
in which the repairs had  
been carried out.

It now appears  
that the estimate for the  
repairs was prepared, on  
the requisition of the Banash  
Master, by the En. Engr.  
Changleput Dine (under  
Burlton) & that it was  
sanctioned by the late  
Supy. Engr. Colonel Burt.  
The sanction was not  
questioned by the  
Examiner's Office, &  
the work was carried  
out at a cost of  
Rs 1696.

For orders

(1) Whether any notice shall  
be taken of the irregularity  
which occurred in the Office  
of the Supy. Engr. and the  
Examiner, and

whether the cost of the  
pairs shall be recovered  
from the R.C. mission - the  
amount might be taken as  
a set-off against the  
annual bill for compensation  
for sittings, until the Fort.  
claim is satisfied. The  
bill amounts to about  
Rs 200 per annum.

The remarks in para  
2 of the Examiner's note No.  
1099 of 20.2.91 appear to  
be beside the point as  
Special orders were passed  
with respect to this church.

Wd  
25.2.91

Dir

643  
5

643  
5

This case illustrates the danger  
of delegating large powers of  
sanction to Supy. Engineers. There  
may be some from an Engineering  
point of view, but there is consi-  
derable risk of irregular expendi-  
tures as in this case. G.O. must  
look to the Examiner to  
prevent this kind of thing, and  
it is no explanation for him to  
say that the sanction was not  
questioned by an Assistant.  
But are there no proper reports  
of expenditure, and are not these  
examined in the Chief Engineer's  
Office? If they were, why were  
not the expenditures stopped in time?  
In the present case, there is no  
thing to be done except to remove  
the accounts from the Pallawan  
from the present, falling due.  
Wd  
26 Feb.

Any authority under whom action  
the expenditure came should know  
that there are no R.C. Churches the  
residence of Fort. I have heard of any  
140

For orders

Note

6 23/6

With reference to the Honorable Member's remarks on the note for orders on current No. 202, the undersigned has the honour to state that Supg. Engineers have all along had powers to sanction estimates for repairs to Govt. Buildings up to the limit of the budget grants assigned to each circle for the purpose. The Supg. Engineer's sanction in the case of the R. Church at Pallavera was therefore given in respect of the entire powers delegated by the last two or three years.

The progress report on expenditure received by the Chief Engineer does not notice works.

detail except in the case  
of special large works,  
and individual repairs  
works are of course not  
noticed at all. The figures  
are given in lump under  
each service and depart-  
mental head. The first  
intimation received in the  
Chief Engineer's office that  
the Pallaveram Church  
had been repaired at  
Govt. expense was in  
Archbishop Colgan's  
letter of 10 November last,  
and it was then at  
once pointed out that  
an irregularity had  
been committed.

A draft G.O. disposing  
the case is submitted  
for approval.

Yours truly  
Major R. S.  
Under Secy. to Govt.  
P.W.D.

Then the Chief Engineer has no  
means of control. I don't think  
it is right that Chief Engineers  
should have such powers. The pre-  
sent case shows what has been  
done in this direction and they cannot  
be safely be trusted with them.

W.S.  
3 March

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

MADRAS GOVERNMENT

PROCEEDINGS

6437

Read the following

From the S.E. I Circle  
office note from the Secy.

No. 170 dated 19 Jan'y '9,  
S.E. No. 1099 of 20 Febr'y  
1891.

The Govt. observes  
that want of care was  
exhibited by the Executive  
Engineer, Chingleput D<sup>o</sup>,  
in preparing an estimate  
for repairs to a building  
which is not Govt.  
property, and by the  
Chief Engineer I Circle  
in sanctioning the estimate.

Govt. moreover  
looks to the Engineer  
to point out irregularities of  
nature, and it is  
a explanation for that  
to say that the  
action was not questioned  
an accountant. The  
works are for

Examiners note and  
beside the point, as special  
orders were passed on the  
case of the Roman Ca-  
tholic Church at Pallanuram.

+ G.O. No. 1594  
" " 1816 Wd

2. The sum of Rs 1696  
irregularly spent on repairs  
will be recovered from  
the annual payments  
falling due on account  
of compensation for sitting  
provided for soldiers in  
the Church.

8013  
2-3-91

12-3-12 BH

(7)

Cu  
Hw. 2. iii. 91  
22/1/31

L. S. V Circle  
Ear S. W. Auck  
Ecclisastical Dept  
most Rev. Archbishop  
Crown D.D. X

re 1/3-16/3-  
12/3/91