

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 10
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் July 90
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/2

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

(73)

PWD துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	<u>642</u>	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	<u>6. 3 1891</u>	<u>198</u>

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

5 sheets

No 3122 dated 21st January 1891

To

W

142
1

The letters forwarded with the office note do not give the complete explanation of the matter.

In the first instance the work should not have been a P. & D. work at all but when asked by Capt. Love to undertake it and commence it at once I thought I could not very well refuse and wrote to say I would order the sub-divisional officer to prepare an estimate.

The estimate was forwarded on the 28th February 1890. This did not include the P. & D. charges which were erroneously omitted. No funds were provided. But a copy of the Director's sanction was sent under cover of Captain Love No 1274 c of 19 February 1890 in order that there might be

see Principals letter No 1239 c
& 1269 c of 10 & 18th of
February 1890.

Cont. Architects No 1835 of 19 Feb/90

no unnecessary delay in commencing
the work.

Captain Love again urged that
the work should be put in hand
in anticipation of sanction on
3rd March 1890. I wrote to the
Principal in reply to above
that the work has been commenced

Captain Love wrote on 8th
of May to have some alterations
made in height of standards
on 11th June. I wrote asking
that funds £200-13-0 be
provided to meet the cost as
it was not a charge which
should be incurred by the
^{P.W.D.} State. Subsequent reminders
failed to procure funds. On
the 12th July I wrote to the
Director of Public Instruction.

On the 29th July the Director
informed me that sanction
of Government had been applied
for and the Director forwarded
a copy of the orders of Govt
No 490 of 11 July 1890 Educational
Dept.

Principal's letter No 1305 C dated
1st March 1890

No 1962 of 3 March 1890

No 154 C of 8 May 1890

Department. 6 $\frac{1}{2}$ 2

Meanwhile the examiners
had been taking notice of the
irregularity in my accounts, the
money having been paid to the
Contractor on the strength of
the certificate given by Captain
Love that the work had been
completed to his satisfaction.
I was and am still of opinion
that the work was properly done.
I saw the students daily playing
on the Court, it appeared to be
in good order, and no complaint
about its being badly done reached
me. Several small alterations
and additions to the length
of the ground had been made
at his £-0 requests. The Court
was in use for nearly if not
quite a month before the
Vacation and the Court had
been neglected while the
College was closed that the
repairs became necessary. I
refused to have anything to do
with the repairs and I think
it would not have been fair to
put

put pressure on the Contractor
to carry them out.

Though Capt. Love states
that it is plain it could give
no real value. I thought that
the certificate given was
bonafide and I think it is
so plainly expressed that it
would have mislead anyone
else. A completion certificate
referred to by the Director of
Public Instruction in his No 11793
dated 21st November 1890 para 3
is not used before Contractors
receive final payment

The sum of Rs 63.14.3
was omitted from the original
estimate because it was proposed
merely to ascertain what would
be the cost of the work if
done ~~not~~ departmentally, when
forwarding the estimate for
sanction this omission was not
observed and it was only when
the correspondence regarding
provision of funds was being
carried on that the accountant
brought to my notice that it
was

was a work which came under
P. & D Code paras 2486 and
1487 and I accordingly pre-
ferred the charge.

Captain Love's Memo
is attached. 642
3

H. Ince
Consulting Architect to Govt

P. W. C. No. 774.

2373/91

Recd 22/1/91

Enc 7

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 11th inst. in relation to the Public Works Department. I have the honor to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the Public Works Department for their consideration. I am, Sir, very respectfully,
Yours obedient servant,
W. J. S. S. S.

W. J. S. S. S.



642
K

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.
Read again G.O. No. 11th July 1890 & No. 1190^{ms}
Read the following papers—

Letter from the Director of Public Instruction,
Madras, dated 21st November 1890 No. 11793.
And memorandum from the Public Works
Department, dated 29th January 1891, No. 511. C.

Order: 25th February 1891, No. 155.

In the circumstances stated in the memorandum
from the Public Works Department dated 29th
January 1891, No. 511. C., copy of which will be
communicated to the Director of Public
Instruction, the expenditure of Rs. 17-13-0
incurred by Captain Cove on the Cawn Tennis
Court in excess of the authorized estimate is
Sanctioned.

2. In future works of the description in
question should not be entrusted to the Public
Works Department.

/ True Extract /

W. J. S. S. S.
Sg. Under Secretary.

To The Public Works Dept.
" " Director of Public Instruction

6 $\frac{12}{5}$

MC 23731
Govt. of 18 Madras.

To

The M.D.

Re 371C.29.1.91.

Proceedings, dated 25/2/1896
No. 155

Subject.

Sanctify the expenditure
incurred by Captain
Vore on Cawn Jemini
Court in excess of
the authorized vote.
make.

Communicated to
the Consulting Architect
the Consulting Architect

0013

4.3.91

Consq. Architect

with one certificate

7/3/11

(5)

K7C 11/5

5/12/3-