

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 8
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 21-6-90
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 102

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

82

21-6-90 துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	832	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : 21 — 3 — 1891		198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

4 Sheets

ஆவண. கா-1-18,006-15-6-87.

68

Proceedings of the Court of Wards
Dated 16. September 1890 882
No. 2379.

Recd G. O. P. D. dated 22^d August
1890 No. 5204.

Resolution.

Communicate to the Collector of
Vizagapatam for report.

2. It should be stated (1) whether the
provision for the work in the Budget for fiscal 1297
was made after reference to the P. W. D.;
(2) if so, why the amount was not placed at the
disposal of that Department;
(3) if the amount was not provided after reference to the
D. P. W. who was really responsible for it.

(True Extract)

Sd/ H. A. Srin

To the Collector of Vizagapatam Ag. Secretary

(True Copy)

Received

Ag. Under Secy to Govt.

No 3995

Vizagapatnam Collect Office
Dated 14th December 1890

From

W. A. Willock Esq^r

Collector, Vizagapatnam Dt.

828

To

H. A. Srin Esq^r

Secretary to the Court of Wards
Madras.

Sir,

In reply to the Court's Proceedings No 2379, dated 16th September last I have the honor to state that the correspondence on the subject of the proposed expenditure on the Tamarachuram tank of the Siripuram Estate is somewhat confusing but what appears to have taken place was as follows:-

2. On 5th December 1885 the Collector addressed the Executive Engineer and asked him to prepare an estimate for a masonry aqueduct across the Saradhimuttu which supplies the large Tamarachuram tank. It was supposed that this would cost about Rs 2500. and this sum was entered in the Estate budgets for faslis 1293 to 1298. I do not understand what the Court means by its first query but if its object is to ascertain whether any approval of the inclusion of the project or information as to its probable cost had been given by the Officers of the P.W.D. before it was budgeted for my reply is in the negative.

3. I cannot find any record of further practical

steps

steps being taken to carry out the work till
the 11 January 1889 when the Executive Engineer sent
in an Estimate for the repair of the tank at a
cost of Rs 2320 and proposed to utilize the
allotment on this work. Mr. Turner in reply
pointed out that this was not what was
needed and that the repairs to the tank
did not require D.P.W. supervision. On the 4th

March 1889 an Estimate for the proposed ament
at a cost of Rs 4695 nearly double what has been
expected was forwarded by the Executive Engineer.
Mr. Turner signs it and returned it to the
Executive Engineer for the consideration of the
Superintending Engineer, by whom they were returned
to me on 6th May 1889 for transmission to the Govt
of Madras.

4. On looking through the papers I could
find no record of any enquiry having been made
into the probable advantage to be derived from
the work, and called for information on the subject
and also as to whether any objection was likely
to be raised by land holders lower down the stream.
The Saradhi nullah rises in an upland tract
of land between Cherpunipalli and Palacundab
which is notorious for scanty rainfall even in the

best

best of seasons and it struck me that disputes
might well arise, as to the Estates' share of the
water, and also that the fault which it was
hoped it would remedy lay really in the inefficiency
of water in the nullah in bad seasons and not in the
inefficiency of the means of turning it into the tank.
The latter suspicion proved correct. The second crop
assessment of the four last years for which
information was available was as follows:

	Rs
1295	1741.
1296	1867.
1297	1793.
1298	59.

The work was proposed almost entirely to secure
this second crop assessment but these figures
show that under the present arrangement enough
water gets into the tank in moderate years like
1295 and 1297 to secure as large a second crop charge
as in a year of most copious rainfall (1296). The
falling off takes place in years of drought and
in such season an earthen embankment would
be nearly as effective as a masonry ament. The
existence of the latter, would, I believe, be sure
to create trouble with the Villagers in the lower
portion of the nullah which has still some 8 miles
or so to go before it reaches the Palacunda
river. The Deputy Collector certainly reported
when asked by me that the ryots lower down
the stream did not object to the work but I
did not consider the statements made on sufficient
grounds.

grounds. I therefore informed the Deputy Collector that the project has better be abandoned unless he could show that my objections were not well founded. The fasli would have expired before the grant could have been utilized in any case and the work was not provided for in the Budget of the following fasli.

I have &c
Sd/- W. A. Willoughby
Collector

(True Copy)

Recd
Ag. Secy to Govt

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

832
4

Read the following Proceedings of the

Court of Wards dated 2^d February 1891 No. 245, on the following:-

* Copies attached

No. 2379.

Read again Proceedings dated 16. Sept. 1890

Read also letter from the Collector of Vizagapatam dated 14. December 1890 No. 3995.-

Resolution.

The Collector's letter reads as above with be submitted to Government with reference to para 2 of G.O. dated 22^d August last No. 5204 P.D.

G.O. No. 2414 W
15.9.91

2. The Collector appears to have made provision for the erection of an Aqueduct to improve the supply to the Tanjavarachernam tank in his Budgets for faslis 1293 to 1298 intending to obtain the specific sanction of the Court of Wards later on, as soon as the plans & estimates were ready. This point seems to have unfortunately been overlooked and the statement in para 3 of the Collector's letter

G.O. No. 1577 W of 16.90

of the 22^d March 1890 No. 922, that the Public Works Officer was responsible for the non-utilization in fasli 1297 of the allotment for the Tanjavarachernam tank made erroneously. The error is to be regretted.

3. The grant for the work made in fasli 1298 was surrendered by the Pub. Works Department in

P.W.C. No: 3022

in the middle of that year. Vide G.O. of 15. March
1889 No 1672 R.D.

4. From the Collector's present letter it appears
that on further Examination the work has since
been found to be unnecessary and given up.

noted but please
see G.O. No 751 W of
18-3-89.

Order thereon, 14. March 1891 No 1757.
Recorded.

(True Extract)

Chetty
Secretary to Government

No. 832 W of 21 March '91

Recorded in
continuation of G.O. 2414 W
of 8 September 1890.

over
19.3.91 S.W.

(A)

may

S.E. I
S.E. I Circle
L.S.
12/3

12/3

12/3

recd 12/3