

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 12
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் October 90
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/9

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	739	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	13-3-1891	198 .

மடிக்கலோ கண்டயடி கையாளலோ கூடாது

9. sheets

ஆவண, கர-1-18,008-15-6-87.

10/9

No. 43886.

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
No. 1 PERIYAR PROJECT.

Periyar 16th February 1891

From

W. S. Taylor Esq

Superintending Engineer, of Works

No. 1 Periyar Project Division

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department

Sir,

ENCLOSURES.

I have the honor to inform you that, for the period of absence from this Division, with your knowledge, of Mr Mackenzie, Executive Engineer, viz from the 6th to the 21st December 1890, to obtain medical advice at Madras, full pay was claimed in the Salary Bill for December 1890, under article 1247 of the Civil Service Regulations, but the Examiner P. W. Accounts has disallowed pay for the period in question for want of the Gazette notification

Notification of the leave required by
Code para 67.

2. I have therefore the honor to
request that you will kindly have
the necessary steps taken to enable
the claim to be admitted by the
Examiners.

3. I beg to add that Mr. Mackenzie
had been paid pay as claimed
for him in the Salary Bill for
December/90, soon after its sub-
mission to the Examiners, anticipat-
ing no audit objection for the
absence referred to above.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Yours most obedt Servt

H. G. G. G.

Supdt. of Works

Periyar Dr

No. 1248 of 2-3-91.
To W.

739
2

In the salary bill of No. 1 Peri-
yar Division for December 1890, full
pay on behalf of Mr. A. T. Mackenzie Ex-
ecutive Engineer was claimed for the
month with the remark that he was on
leave from 8th. to 21st. December 1890
under Civil Service Regulations arti-
cle 1247 to obtain medical advice. As
Mr. Mackenzie was not entitled to any
privilege leave and as no intimation
of grant of leave by competent autho-
rity had been given, salary claimed
for 8th. to 21st. December 1890 viz
Rs. 309-10-0 was disallowed pending
settlement of terms of leave.

2. Under articles 1247 to 1250 of
the Civil Service Regulations, Mr. Mac-
kenzie might have gone to Madura for
a reasonable time without taking
leave, that being the nearest station
at which there is a medical officer;
but it appears that Mr. Mackenzie came
to Madras which he was not entitled
to do except on leave on medical cer-
tificate. The period of absence must
therefore be treated as furlough on
medical certificate, pending grant of
which no allowances can be passed.

1/3
C.

1/3
D. 1

2390
1891
P. W. D. Periyar Project.

From
The Superintending Engineer,
out of Works
Division
No. 1 PERIYAR PROJECT.

To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

No. 438 S.E.

Dated 16th February 1891
Received

Enclosure: 1

A. J. Mackenzie Esquire
Executive Engineer - Requests
to have the necessary steps
taken to enable the Committee
of P.W. Accounts to admit
the salary of for the
period of his absence from
this Division to obtain
medical advice at Madras.

No. 1008 C of 23rd Feb 1891

To C

For perusal of report
as regards the nature & amount
of the allowance, and
the reason for it.

11/2/91
Dul

Cir 2390

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

139/1
Read the following:—

No. I Periyar Project
16 February 1891
Examines P.W. Accounts
for 1891.

R ORDERS.

As we will probably
have to write a note on
this paper himself, it
is proposed to keep it
open for his orders.

In his note of 15.1.91
the Committee attached to the
187C & 15.1.91 the Hon.
said that he had no
objection to the proposal
of leave to be taken
during the ordinary period
of leave. The ordinary period
of leave was 56 days.
The period of leave was
56 days. The ordinary period
of leave was 56 days.

The period of leave was
56 days. The ordinary period
of leave was 56 days.
The period of leave was
56 days. The ordinary period
of leave was 56 days.

The period of leave was
56 days. The ordinary period
of leave was 56 days.
The period of leave was
56 days. The ordinary period
of leave was 56 days.

The undersigned has
already recommended
that Mr. Mackenzie
be considered to have
served Madras on duty
if the proposal was
approved by the Honble
member and the under-
signed did not feel
justified in pressing the
question especially as it
was supposed that only
the grant of travelling al-
lowance was at issue.

The Examiner has
disallowed his salary
for the period in question.

leave is unauthorized; &
 the G.O. may therefore
 simply set forth that
 the Govt. has no objection
 to Mr. Mackenzie being
 regarded as on casual
 leave from 6.6.91. Dec
 1890 -

Cu
 3.3.91

Very well - that last
 Mackenzie & the
 Madras he would
 have been entitled to
 T.A. for journey to & fro.
 There is no bar to his
 going to any other place
 under C.P. & he would
 be entitled to T.A.
 C. has under Art. 125
 considered his absence
 as 'prolonged'.
 I think a P.O. as
 suggested above would
 be sufficient.
 OWS
 4.3.91

For orders ^{Recd 2/20}
 - 739/14 ^{No 10.11}
 The undersigned has already
 recommended that Mr.
 Mackenzie should be
 considered to have visited
 Madras on duty, & the
 proposal was
 disapproved by the H.C.
 and the undersigned did
 not think it advisable
 to press the question -
 especially as it was sup-
 posed that only
 the grant of travelling
 allowance was at
 issue.

The Examinee has
 now disallowed his
 salary for the period
 in question, and
 from the notes of
 the latter's note
 it seems doubtful
 whether he would
 press the point even
 under a f.O. considering
 Mr. Mackenzie's case.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

739/14

Read the following:-

Mr. I. Srinivas Project
 dated 16 February 1891.
 Examinee P.W. Account
 Feb 1891.

OR ORDERS.

The undersigned has
 already recommended
 that Mr. Mackenzie
 be considered to have
 visited Madras on duty.
 At the proposal was
 disapproved by the Honble
 member and the under-
 signed did not feel
 justified in pressing the
 question - especially as it
 was supposed that only
 the grant of travelling al-
 lowance was at issue.

The Examinee has
 now disallowed his salary
 for the period in question.

2390

him or cannot leave -

In these circumstances
the medical officers
to review the suggestion
that he should be
convinced to leave with
Maulana on staff - 73

It will be seen that
under notes 1247 & 1250
of the C.O. R. he would
have done both jobs
and travelling allowance
for a visit to Maulana,
and the question therefore
remains open the
writing going from
Maulana to Maulana -

There was at Maulana
at that time only a
native doctor but perhaps
and if an army doctor
that the Privilege should
be given the but under
month -

Being in mind that
his illness was also
not to be expected in
connection with his staff,
that it was of importance

2390

As the Justice intends that
he should know whether
or not it would be necessary
for him to take further;
that he did not remain
in Mexico a day longer
than was necessary
allow Dr. Propert
(where he counted) to
decide upon the case;
and that, as the matter
regard case resting for
personal knowledge, he took
no part in any argument
or mention while in
Mexico, the concession
entail for does not
appear unreasonable.

L 9/21

739/1
Read the following:—

From the Supt. of Works, No I Serijas Project
Division, No. 438 B.E. dated 16th February 1891.

Office note from the Examiners P.W. Accounts,
No. 1248 dated 2nd March 1891.

FOR ORDERS.

The undersigned has already recommended that Mr. Mackenzie be considered to have visited Madras on duty but the proposal was disapproved by the Honble Member and the undersigned did not feel justified in pressing the question - especially as it was supposed that only the grant of travelling allowance was at issue.

2. The Examiners has now disallowed his salary for the period in question.

and from the wording
of the latter's notice it
seems doubtful whether
he would pass the salary
even under a G. O. con-
sidering Mr. Mackenzie
to have been on casual
leave.

3. In these circumstances
the undersigned ventures
to revive the suggestion
that he should be con-
sidered to have visited
Madras on duty.

4. It will be seen that
under sections 1247 to 1250
of the C. S. Regulations
he could have drawn
both pay and travelling
allowance ~~allowance~~ for
visit to Madras, and
the question therefore ^{merely} ~~surely~~
arises upon the railway
journey from Madras
to Madras.

5. There was at Madras
at that time only a
Provisional Assistant Surgeon
and

and it was very desirable
that Mr. Mackenzie
should obtain the
advice possible.

6. Bearing in mind
that his illness was
due solely to exposure
in connection with his
duty; that it was of
importance to the public
interests that he should
know whether or not
it would be necessary
for him to take furlough;
that he did not remain
in Madras a day longer
than was necessary
to allow Dr. Branford
(whom he consulted)
to decide upon his case;
and that, as the under-
signed can testify from
personal knowledge, he
took ^{no} part in any amuse-
ment or recreation
while in Madras, the

8/11 concession

concession asked for does
not appear unreasonable.

FOR ORDERS.

S

W

10.3

Mr. Mackenzie cannot be considered
as on duty but I can see no objection
to an Order that if he is
absent from his division from
1.5.21 he will be treated as
casual leave. His note to that
effect.

366 C.R.

His

14.11.20

10.11.21
12.11.21

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Read the following

From the Super. of Works No I Periya Div. No 43888
2/16.2.21
San. No. 12488/2.2.21

Mr. Mackenzie's
absence from his division
from the 6: to 21 Dec 1920
will be treated as
casual leave - vide
not. 13.12.20 366 C.R.
R. 12.2

S. J. P. Provat
San. No. 12488/2.2.21
14/2/21

to 19/3 1891

OK

19/3 1891