

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 8
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் October/90
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 609

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D துறை

102

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	674	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	9-3-1891	198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

4 Sheets

ஆவண. கா-1-18,006-15-6-87.

7/2

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

6TH CIRCLE.

Mudlakamal, 30th July 1889
D. 10

No. 4007C

From L. H. A. Smith R.E.

The Superintending Engineer,

6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer

P. W. D.

Sir,

ENCLOSURES.

In the tables of holidays to public
 servants, annexed to Appendix D of
 the P. W. Code, (S.O. No. 1114 of 5. 2. 90) lately
 received, it is observed that "Vinayac
 Chaturdasi" is omitted under Siva Sect
 in Tichinopoly. This is purely a Siva
 festival, although it may be observed
 by Vaishnavas also - This festival
 is more remarkable at Tichinopoly
 than any where else - It is in fact
 a very grand day in the temple on
 Fort rock when all Sivas & many
 Vaishnavas go there to worship -
 2. "Vaikunta Yacadasa". This is also
 omitted in the above district under
 both Vaishnavas & Siva Sects. This
 has always been a general holiday
 in almost every district. It is also
 more remarkable in Tichinopoly

than any where else, as the well-known
grand Sivanam festival, for which
Hindu pilgrims of both sects come
from remote parts of the Country,
is celebrated on that day -

3. I think that the above omission
was probably due to an oversight
or to a typographical error, if so
I have the honor to suggest the issue
of an erratum -

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most obedt. S.

Aldrich Locke
Supy. Engr. & Civil

Alc

BOARD'S GOVT. REG. NO 2017 OF 1890
LAND REVENUE

TO THE HON. MEMBERS OF THE BOARD
OF REVENUE

13 September 1890

Referred to the Board of
Revenue for remarks.

John White

Chief Secretary

11/9

Mem. No. 3302 of 86.8.90

No. 4942 of 16/8-90

To W.

674/2

The tables annexed to appendix
V of special holidays admissible to
Hindus were taken from the table of
holidays printed on pages 78-81 of
the Board's "Subject List" for 1889-90
and the holidays referred to by the
Superintending Engineer 6th. Circle
are not given in that list, it seems de-
sirable therefore that the Board of
Revenue be addressed on the subject.

CHIEF SECRETARY
22 AUG 90
TO THE HON. MEMBERS OF THE BOARD
OF REVENUE

Offg. C.

P.W.D.

No. 662 of 21.8.90

Referred to the Public
Department for favour of
information whether the
festivals mentioned are
recognised public holidays
for the Vaishnava & Siva
sects

John White
Chief Secy

Secy for. Prod

See also bill return of
Financial papers re Public
holidays in case

P.W. C. No. 7759
7308
2482/91

P. W. D. 6TH CIRCLE.

From
The Supt. Engineer
V/circle

From
The Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To
The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

To
The
No.

No 4807C
dated 30th July 1890,
Despd. 40
Recd. 4-8-90
18-8-90

Dated
Received } 188

No 3303.C d/6 Augt. /90
Enclosure.

Bringing to notice certain
omissions in the tables of
Holidays to public servants
under Diva sect in Sri-
chingsopoly —

To C
For favour of
remarks.

5073
5-8-90
D.W.

In Public No 525/91

Received 28/8/90. S.D. Recd 28/8/90

Public Department.



674
3

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper —

Endorsement of the Public Works Department
dated 21st August 1890, No. 662D, on letter from
the Superintending Engineer, ^{6th Circle} dated 30th July 1890,
No. 4807C.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land
Revenue) dated 20th February 1891, No. 1161 Misc.

Read endorsement of J. F. Price Esq. Chief
Secretary to Government dated 13th September 1890.

2 Read also the following letter from G. W.
Fawcett Esq., Esq. Collector of Trichinopoly, dated
14th November 1890 No. 496.

I have the honor to report with reference
to Board's memo No. 3664, Routine dated 6th
instant, that Board's Proceedings No. 61402 Misc
therein referred to has been destroyed. The holidays
sanctioned in it are given in the table annexed
to Board's Standing Order No. 230.

Resolution

It has not been possible to ascertain
why Vaikunta yekadasi has been omitted from
the list of holidays for the Trichinopoly District.
It

It is noted however that it figures in the new list notified on page 980 of the Fort St. George Gazette dated December 2nd 1890 and the omission will therefore not recur.

2. As regards Vinayagachaturti it is observed that in Board's Proceedings No. 2164 which contains the last revised list of ^{29th 8th 82nd} holidays for the Trichinopoly District, a holiday is correctly allowed for this festival only for Sivas, and one for Vaishnavas only for Anantavratam. These two have by a typographical error been transposed in the list appended to the Board's S.O. No. 230 (O.E.) The error will now be corrected.

3. The list of holidays for D^{ts} are now under revision with reference to the Government notification already mentioned.

Order: 26th February 1891, No. 154.

Recorded with reference to G.O. No. 119 dated 16th February 1891.

True Extract

W. B. M. die
Ag. Asst. Secretary

To
The Public Works Deptt.
with papers etc.
" Board of Revenue (Land Revenue)

S.S.

674
4

1891
Govt. of [18] Madras.

To

The M.D.

8785-12-3.88.
No 523/W. 5-12.90.
502 D. 21.5.90.
3073 W. 18.11.90.
459 C. 27.1.91.
505 W. 20.2.91.

Proceedings, dated 26-2-1891
No. 157

Subject.

Req. omission of
certain holidays
in Trichinopoly
Dt.

1891
1891

Communicated to
the Supy. Supt. VI Circle,
with reference to his
letter no. 480 T.C. & 302
July 1890.

2. Copies of the under-
mentioned correspondence
will be furnished to the
Supy. Supt.

Office note from the Supt.
P.W. a. no. 7082 & 1-12.90
L.P. dated 16 Feb. 1891. no.
119 Public.

(4)

8013
6.3.91

To the S. S. VI Circle
with copy of the
notes.

210/3.

14/3