

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 6
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் செப்டம்பர் 1990
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/9

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D துறை

176

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	507	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : 20-2-1891		198/

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

3 Shells

G.S.

507

Letter from the Executive Engineer, Madura Division, to the Superintending Engineer, M. Circle, No. 692. S. E. dated 31st January 1891.

With reference to your No. 1015^{H.D.} dated 23rd January 1891, calling on the Sub-division of a Statement of Famine tools for the half year ended 31st December/90, as per Code para 17/3. A, I have the honor to refer you to your No. 626. M. D. of 29th September/90, in which the famine tools were ordered to be brought on the Half yearly Return of Tools and Plant. It is to be understood that the famine tools are to be inspected by the Subdivision officers and then brought on the Return with a certificate of Count and note of their condition, how many are unserviceable and so forth.

2. I now beg to inquire whether the inspection of famine tools half yearly by Sub Division officers at the time when other tools are also inspected is sufficient or irrespective of the above they have to be inspected after 30th June and 31st December as per Code para referred to by you. In the latter case I may observe that the tools will have to be inspected 4 times a year.

Copy.

No 1072 mod / 6th February 1891.

Copy Submitted to the
Chief Engineer D. P. W for orders on
the question raised by the Executive
Engineers.

2. In para 1713 A of the P.W.
Code it is distinctly laid down that
"famine tools should be inspected by
Executive Engineers at the end of each
Calendar half year and the results reported
to Superintending Engineers as soon as
possible after 30th June & 31st December";
while in the case of other Tools and Stores
the stock can be taken once a year.

3. The famine tools in the
Division have been brought on the Division
half yearly returns, agreeably to the suggestion
of the Examiners P.W. Accounts; it is not
clear why these tools should not be treated
like others so far as Stock taking or ins-
pection is concerned. It should also be
decided whether famine tools should be
inspected by only Executive Engineers and
not by their assistants and Sub division
officers

Al Smith J. Col. R. E.
Superintending Engineer
W. Leitch

He.

P.W.D. 1537

From
The Supt. Engineer
VI circle.

To
The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

No 1072 m

Dated 6th
Sept 9 3 February 1891.

Ry 12.2.91

Famine Tools:—

Submits for orders
letter from the Secy Govt
Madras requesting
instructions as to the
inspection of the — by
the sub. D. officers —

No 5076 of 20 Feb 1891

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

5076
Read the following

From the Supt. Engr, VI circle - (N.E. No 1072 m D of 6th Feb 1891)

The famine tools
having been brought on
the general return of tools
and plant should be in-
spected at the same time
as the tools.

19th Feb
18.2.91

S. K. V. Quile, D. 2/2
By Mr. A. K.

anti

No. 507 W of 20 27/10

(3)

11th 18.ii.91
Ref-

290 11/3/12