

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 8
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 3/90
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/90

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D துறை

105

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	423	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	14-2-1891	198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

4 Sheets

ஆவண. கா-1-18,006-15-6-87.

CdnB

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper :—

G.O., dated 19th May 1890, No. 1227 L., Local and Municipal.

ABSTRACT.—Referring to the Presidents of the District Boards concerned, for verification and early report, statement showing the amount of estimates for Local Fund works scrutinized by the Public Works Department for the quarter ending 31st March 1890.

READ—also the following papers :—

- From the Resident, District Board, Trichinopoly, dated 31st May 1890, No. 35.
From the Resident, District Board, Kistna, dated Masulipatam, 31st May 1890, No. 6.
From the Resident, District Board, Tanjore, dated Vallam, 2nd June 1890, No. 19.

From V. L. BRODIE, Esq., President, District Board, South Canara, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Mangalore, 31st May 1890, No. 722.

With reference to G.O., dated the 19th May 1890, Mis. No. 1227 L., I have the honor to state that I do not consider that the $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. for scrutiny should be charged on Rs. 15,000 in the case of item No. 12 in the accompanying statement which appertains to this district, but only upon Rs. 7,500.

2. These bridges stand on the same line of communication. They are of the same design, of the same size and the same spans, so that the superstructure designed for the one is equally well suited to the other.

3. The cost of providing such a superstructure was estimated at Rs. 7,500 and this amount was doubled in the estimate as well to show the cost of the two bridges as to admit of provision being made for both of them.

4. Only one design and one estimate for Rs. 7,500 was practically submitted for professional scrutiny, the design and estimate for the second bridge being merely a duplicate of that for the first. As the design and estimate for the second, therefore, required no independent scrutiny, I think the charge for scrutiny should be made only on Rs. 7,500 (for one work) and not on Rs. 15,000 which represents the estimated outlay on two exactly similar works.

5. A copy of the estimate is herewith submitted for the information of Government and the enclosure of their order under reply is herewith returned.

From V. A. HAPPELL, Esq., President, District Board, Godavari, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 4th June 1890, No. 72.

In reference to G.O., dated 19th May 1890, Mis. No. 1227 L., I have the honor to state that the amounts entered in the statement therewith received are correct.

2. The estimate submitted for improving the Local Fund school-house at Amalapuram has not yet been returned to this office duly sanctioned.

From H. TREMENHEERE, Esq., President, District Board, Tinnevely, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Tinnevely, 5th June 1890, No. 56.

In reference to G.O., Mis. No. 1227 L., of the 19th May 1890, referring to me, for verification and report, an extract from the statement of estimates for Local Fund works scrutinized by the Public Works Department for the quarter ending the 31st March last, I have the honor to state that the statement is correct, except that a sum of Rs. 3,760 instead of Rs. 3,490 is shown as the amount on which charges for scrutiny can be claimed by the Public Works Department on an estimate for constructing a dispensary with wards and out-houses at Sâtir. The estimate was for Rs. 3,760, but it included a dead-house for Rs. 270 which was not considered necessary by the Taluk Board. The Superintending Engineer was specially requested not to check the estimate for the dead-house in my letter No. 480 of the 30th October last (copy enclosed). Under these circumstances, the Public Works Department can claim scrutiny charge on only Rs. 3,490 and not Rs. 3,760.

From E. C. JOHNSON, Esq., President, District Board, Ganjam, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Chatrapur, 9th June 1890, No. 680.

With reference to G.O., dated 19th May 1890, Mis. No. 127 L., I have the honor to point out that the two works referred to in the statement herewith received were undertaken as professional agency works for famine relief purposes and the necessary allotments were sanctioned from Provincial funds. In the spirit of paragraph 2 of G.O., dated 1st November 1889, No. 2563 L., it would seem that no charge for the scrutiny of these estimates should be made against Local Funds.

From the President, District Board, Anantapur, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Anantapur, 10th June 1890, No. 27.

With reference to G.O., dated 19th May 1890, Mis. No. 1227 L., referring for verification an estimate for the construction of a latrine for the school building at Anantapur, I have the honor to report that the estimate in question was never submitted from this office; but from a copy of letter, dated 31st May 1890 No. 122, of the Chairman, Anantapur Municipality, it will be observed that the Anantapur Municipality sent a resolution to the Inspector of Schools regarding the necessity of having a latrine to the High school building.

2. I have transferred the Government order to the Chairman, Anantapur Municipality, for necessary action.

From W. A. WILLOCK, Esq., President, District Board, Vizagapatam, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Vizagapatam, 28th June 1890, No. 661.

With reference to G.O., Mis. No. 1227 L., dated 19th May 1890 forwarding an extract from the statement showing the amounts of estimates for Local Fund works scrutinized by the Public Works Department, for verification, I have the honor to state that the estimated cost of constructing a school building for the High school at Yellamanchili was Rs. 1,800 and not Rs. 1,880 as shown in column 5 of the statement.

ORDER—dated 21st July 1890, No. 1810 L., Local and Municipal.

The statement received from the Public Works Department will be forwarded to the Accountant-General with the following remarks and with the request that he will

make the necessary adjustments in the accounts, in communication with the Examiner of Public Works Accounts:—

- (1) Under the circumstances stated by the President, District Board, South Canara, the contribution payable for the scrutiny of the estimates of the works referred to should be calculated on Rs. 7,500 and not on Rs. 15,000.
- (2) As the President, District Board, Tinnevely, states that the estimate amounting to Rs. 3,760 for constructing the dispensary building at Sâtir included a dead-house estimated to cost Rs. 270, which the Superintending Engineer was specially requested not to check, the contribution should be calculated on the remaining sum of Rs. 3,490.
- (3) No contribution should be charged to Local Funds for the scrutiny of the estimate for constructing a latrine for the school-house at Anantapur, which is a Municipal work, as already stated in clause (2) of G.O., dated 1st March 1890, No. 464 L.
- (4) As the President, District Board, Ganjam, reports that the construction of the roads from Ichâpur to Jarada and from Tekkali to Anantagiri have been undertaken as professional agency works for famine relief purposes and that the necessary allotments have been sanctioned from Provincial Funds, no charge for the scrutiny of the estimates should be recovered from Local Funds.
- (5) The contribution for the scrutiny of the estimate for the construction of the High school building at Yellamanchili should be calculated on Rs. 1,800, which the President, District Board, Vizagapatam, reports is the estimated cost of the building, and not on Rs. 1,880.

2. The Presidents of the District Boards of Tinnevely and Vizagapatam are requested to state the circumstances under which the estimates amounting to Rs. 2,760 and Rs. 1,800 for repairing Road No. 6 Driver *via* Malaseval and for constructing the High school building at Yellamanchili, respectively, were forwarded to the Public Works Department for scrutiny — *vide* No. 36 of the Local Fund rules.

3. The attention of the Public Works Department is invited to the remark of the President, District Board, Godâvari, in paragraph 2 of his letter.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the President, District Board, Anantapur.	
" " " " South Canara.	
" " " " Ganjam.	
" " " " Godâvari.	
" " " " Kistna.	
" " " " Tanjore.	
" " " " Tinnevely.	
" " " " Trichinopoly.	
" " " " Vizagapatam.	
" " " " Accountant-General, with a statement.	
" " " " Public Works Department.	

Exd. A. Aubert.

Gobt. of (1890) Madras.
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

No 22 14 W. 14 Aug 1890

Communicated to
Suptg Engineers I, II
III & IV Circles and to
the Examiners P.W. Accts.
& the Suptg Engineer
I Circle will expedite
the return of the plan and
estimate for improving
the Local Fund School
house at Amalapur sent
to him for revision
with memo No. 2208 C of
12th May 1890.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 21st July 1890, No. 1810 L.

JULY.

Local Fund Works.

Forwarding to the Accountant-General, with remarks, statement showing the amounts of estimates for — scrutinized by the Public Works Department for the quarter ending 31st March 1890, and requesting him to make the necessary adjustments in the accounts in communication with the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

4 to I, II, III & IV Circles
Examiners P.W. Accts
& the Dept

22ND JANUARY 1891, No. 135 L., LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

423/3

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers:—

From W. A. WILLOCK, Esq., President, District Board, Vizagapatam, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Vizagapatam, 7th October 1890, No. 1226.

With reference to paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 1810 L., dated 21st July 1890, I have the honor to state that the estimate therein referred to was originally forwarded by the President, Narsapatnam Taluk Board, to the Inspector of Schools, Northern Circle, for the purpose of obtaining the sanction of the Director of Public Instruction for constructing a building in the compound of the high school building at Yellamanchili, which belongs to Government, and a grant of one-third of the cost. The estimate seems to have been forwarded to the Public Works Department for scrutiny by the officers of the Educational Department and not by those of this department. It seems to me, therefore, that no charge for scrutiny should be made.

No. 874.

Referred to the Public Works Department for favor of remarks.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
24th October 1890.

(Signed) A. G. CARDEW,
Under Secretary.

No. 4390-C.

Referred to the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, for report.

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

28th October 1890.

From G. D. WYBROW, Esq., Superintending Engineer, I Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Dowlaishweram, 10th November 1890, No. 366-V.Z.

With reference to your endorsement (herewith returned), No. 4390-C., dated the 28th October 1890, on letter from the President, District Board, Vizagapatam, objecting to the usual percentage charged for scrutiny by this department of an estimate for constructing a school-house at Yellamanchili, I have the honor to inform you that paragraph 955-A of the Public Works Code lays down that estimates of important works connected with the Local Funds costing Rs. 2,500 or more should be forwarded to this office for scrutiny; in the present case the amount of the estimate was Rs. 1,800, and it was forwarded for scrutiny by the Educational Department, and the estimate was duly scrutinized by this office. I know of no reason why the usual percentage should not be charged when the work belonged to Local Funds.

2. As, however, the estimate appears to have been sent to this office by an oversight, the claim of percentage for scrutiny might be waived, as perhaps it was the duty of this office to have refused to scrutinize the estimate, unless there was some special reason for obtaining the opinion of the Superintending Engineer on the plan and estimate.

No. 4863-C.

Forwarded to the Local and Municipal Department, with the remark that the building was to be constructed in the compound of the high school building at Yellamanchili, which belongs to Government; it is considered that, under the circumstances, the plan and estimate were properly sent to the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, for scrutiny, and that the usual percentage should be charged.

25th November 1890.

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

No. 1071.

Referred to the Director of Public Instruction for remarks.

(By order.)

FORT ST. GEORGE,
9th December 1890.

(Signed) A. G. CARDEW,
Under Secretary.

From H. B. GRIGG, Esq., M.A., C.I.E., Director of Public Instruction, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 12th December 1890, No. 12688.

In returning C. Nos. 4071 and 4751 L., dated 9th December 1890, I have the honor to state that it has been the practice of this department to forward all estimates for buildings projected by Local Fund and Municipal Boards, for which grant from Provincial funds is claimed under the Grant-in-aid Code, to the Public Works Department for scrutiny and opinion in the same way as similar applications from schools under private management are sent. In no case, hitherto, has any charge been made by that department for scrutiny, nor do I think that such a charge can be properly made; because, so far as Provincial grants for education are concerned, the Madras Government has hitherto made no distinction whatever between Municipal and Local bodies and managers of private schools. To do so would, in effect, be a reduction in the allotment for education in favor of that for public works. Applications for aid for buildings from Local bodies are frequent and involve considerable grants. I would, therefore, earnestly deprecate the checking of the tendency on the part of Local bodies to improve their school accommodation by making the work more costly to them, or the funds at my disposal being restricted by this office having to add one-third of the cost of this scrutiny to the estimate; for, if the charge is made, this department must, in equity, bear a third of it: otherwise, the Government is only nominally contributing one-third of the cost.

ORDER—dated 22nd January 1891, No. 135 L., Local and Municipal.

The Government agrees with the Director of Public Instruction in considering that no charge should be recovered from Local Funds for the scrutiny of estimates in cases like the present, which are examined by the Public Works Department not in the interest or for the purposes of the Local body, but in and for those of the Educational Department.

(True Extract.)

Redmichie
Chief Secretary.

To the Public Works Department.
" all Presidents of District Boards.
" all Chairmen of Municipal Councils,
" through the Collectors concerned,
" the Director of Public Instruction.

Exd. A. Raitt.

423
4

1058

1451

Govt. of {1891} Madras.
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Issued

Recd. 10-2-91 Encl.

G.O., 22nd January 1891, No. 135 L.

JANUARY.

Estimates for School Buildings.

Agreeing with the Director of Public Instruction in considering that no charge should be recovered from Local Funds for the scrutiny of — which are examined by the Public Works Department in the interest or for the purposes of the Educational Department.

Communicated to
the S.D.I. Circle in con-
tinuation of G.O. No. 22/40
of 14th August 1890; also
to the Super. Engrs for
information.

S.D.I.
10.2.91

(4)

10.2.91

10.2.91

Super. Engrs
C.A. to
Super. Engrs
20th Dec 1890
10.2.91