Govt. of {1891} Madras.

EDUCATIONAL.

No. 3223 W dy 2nd Dec/91.

Communicated to Officers of the Public Works Department for information and guidance.

Sd/ J P 27-11
" D&B 26-11-91

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 13th Nov. 1891, No. 874.

NOVEMBER.

College of Engineering.

Stating that the stipends and allowances of soldier-students of the — during their practical courses should continue to be debited to the Public Works Department, and directing that the words "from the College" be struck out of paragraph 101 of the Public Works Code.

S. Engrs
C. A. to Govt.
Supt of Works P. P.
Examiner P. W. A.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—again G.O., dated 5th January 1876, No. 11, Revenue.

Abstract.—Directing that the Ellore fort in Godávari district be made over to the Municipality, reserving such sites as are known to be required for Government buildings and such materials as can be used for those buildings.

Read—again G.O., dated 3rd December 1890, Mis. No. 7437, Revenue.

Abstract.—Referring to the Collector of Godávari, for report, petition from the Secretary, Church Mission Society, Madras, protesting against the erection of the new Taluk cutcherry on the land in Ellore fort leased to the Society in 1857 by the East India Company.

Read—again G.O., dated 26th January 1891, Mis. No. 594, Revenue.

Abstract.—Directing that no further steps should be taken towards the construction of the new cutcherry at Ellore until final orders have been passed on the petition of the Church Missionary Society.

Read—again the following G.O., dated 19th June 1891, Mis. No. 3614, Revenue:—

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 9th June 1891, Mis. No. 4092:—

The Hon. Mr. H. W. Bliss.
Mr. G. McWatters.

Read—G.O., dated 3rd December 1890, Mis. No. 7437, Revenue.

Reference thereon, dated 2nd January 1891.

Forwarded to the Collector of Godávari for submission of the report called for by Government.

2. No copy of the lease is available in the Board's office, but it is found that allusion to the grant is made in the papers connected with the undermentioned Board's Proceedings:—

No. 1714, dated 14th March 1862.
" 473 " 26th February 1875.
" 7716, Mis., dated 24th August 1875.

Read—G.O., dated 26th January 1891, Mis. No. 594, Revenue.

Reference thereon, dated 13th February 1891.

Forwarded to the Collector of Godávari in continuation of Board's reference, dated 2nd January last, on Government Register No. 2727 of 1890.

Read—the following letter from J. F. WETHERILL, Esq., Acting Head Assistant Collector, Godávari District, to the Collector of the Godávari District, dated Ellore, 30th April 1891, No. 264 :—

In returning herewith the papers received with your endorsement, No. 348, dated the 31st January last, on Board's reference, dated 2nd idem, on Government Register No. 2727 of 1890, regarding the objection taken by the Church Mission Society to the construction of the new Taluk cutcherry in the Ellore fort, I have the honour to state that the terms under which the society have held the lease of the fort were reported at length in this office letter, No. 526, dated 25th July 1890.

2. A plan of the fort showing the several buildings therein, as well as the site proposed to be taken up for the new Taluk cutcherry, is sent herewith as directed, as also the original lease obtained from the Secretary to the Society at Madras.

3. Government in their Order, No. 810, dated 17th October 1890, having sanctioned the construction of a new Taluk cutcherry in Ellore, the site marked in the plan between the Police station and the Mission school has been selected by the Public Works Department officers for its construction. Whether or not the consent of the society was taken either at the time the construction of the new cutcherry in the fort was recommended or at the time the site was selected by the Public Works Department officers, I cannot now ascertain. The Principal of the school says that the proposed site is very near the school building and the Principal's quarters and the "stream of traffic and noise which the Taluk cutcherry brings" would render their premises unsuitable for the purpose for which they are leased.

4. The Tahsildar reports that the new Taluk cutcherry will, when constructed, be outside its compound wall 90 feet from the school building and 300 feet from the Principal's quarters. A school-house is as noisy as a Taluk office, and I think the distance between them is too small to prevent the one from being a nuisance to the other.

5. On the east side of the fort there is a good vacant place between the Munsif's court and the Police station at a considerable distance from the Mission school and the Principal's quarters. I think this is more convenient for the new Taluk cutcherry both on account of its proximity to the present cutcherry and ryot's shed attached thereto, which may be utilized hereafter also, as well as from the fact that it is next door to both the Registrar's office and the Police station, and I would recommend, therefore, that the new building be constructed there. There is a well in close proximity to this site and if it is enclosed with the compound wall of the cutcherry it will obviate the necessity of sinking a cutcherry well.

6. Messrs. Davis and Brown have signified in their letters, dated 12th July 1889 and 26th March 1891, respectively (enclosed), that if the new cutcherry is located between the Munsif's and Registrar's buildings no objection will be raised by them, and the Secretary to the Society seems to be in favour of this arrangement—*vide* paragraph 9 of his letter to the Chief Secretary to Government.

7. There is no doubt that the site already selected interferes to some extent with the school and its recreation ground. Above all it is not as suitable as the one now proposed by me.

8. In reference to your endorsement, No. 536, dated the 24th February 1891, on Board's reference, dated 13th February 1891, I have instructed the Tahsildar, Ellore, to see that no further steps are taken towards the construction of the new building until final orders are passed in this matter.

Endorsement by W. A. HAPPELL, Esq., Collector of Godávari, dated Cocanada, 20th May 1891, No. 423.

Submitted to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue in reply to reference, dated 2nd January 1891, on Government endorsement No. 7437 (Revenue), dated 3rd December 1890 (Government Register No. 2727 of 1890).

2. Under the circumstances reported I have asked the Superintending Engineer to send a plan of the proposed site and building with its surroundings and express his opinion as to the suitability of the site for the purpose.

3. The original lease obtained from the Secretary to the Church Mission Society is enclosed for reference and return.

4. The construction of the new Taluk cutcherry has been stopped in reference to paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 594, dated 26th January 1891, Revenue (herewith returned).

Resolution—dated 9th June 1891, Mis. No. 4092.

Submitted to Government in reference to its endorsement, dated 3rd December 1890, Mis. No. 7437, and order, dated 26th January 1891, Mis. No. 594.

2. As it is within the power of Government to determine the lease at any time, any concession that may now be made to the Church Missionary Society will be one of favour and not of necessity. If, however, the Superintending Engineer approves the new site, and the Church Missionary Society raises no objection to it, the matter may be satisfactorily settled by adopting it. The Superintending Engineer's report will be forwarded on receipt.

3. From the correspondence connected with the subject, it appears that the Government Order of January 1876 was meant to refer only to the fort walls and "vacant pieces of ground around the fort" and not to the fort itself. As it stands, however, it refers to the fort, and is, therefore, inconsistent with the lease. The wording of it should accordingly be altered.

4. The Collector has not reported on the suggestion of the Church Missionary Society that the Government buildings should be walled off from the rest of the fort; but this does not appear to be advisable, seeing that Government may possibly require some day to extend their limits still further. The Church Missionary Society, however, might be allowed to fence off *its* buildings with a temporary fence, if it wishes to, the boundary being drawn as the Collector thinks right and not in any way to be considered permanent.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) H. A. SIM,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with seven enclosures in Government Register No. 2727 of 1890,
returned, and Government Register No. 1797 and its
two enclosures, in original, to be returned.

Copy to the Collector of Godávari.

Order—dated 19th June 1891, Mis. No. 3614, Revenue.

Recorded, pending receipt of the Superintending Engineer's report.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue.

READ—also the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 5th September 1891, Mis. No. 6354 :—

MR. G. MCWATTERS.

Read—the following letter from G. D. WYBROW, Esq., Superintending Engineer, I Circle, to the Collector of Godávari, dated Ellore, 8th August 1891, No. 876-G.D. :—

With reference to your letter, No. 2122, dated 21st May 1891, I have the honour to inform you that I have inspected the site selected by the Head Assistant Collector for a Tahsildar's cutcherry at Ellore and I am of opinion that the site is a suitable one.

2. The site is perhaps rather closer than desirable to the District Munsif's court-house, but as the ground is limited this cannot be avoided.

3. The boundaries of the Taluk cutcherry have been marked out by Mr. Young in consultation with Mr. Brown, the representative of the Church Missionary interests. To avoid interference with the Muhammadan tomb, referred to by the Executive Engineer in paragraph 4 of his letter (copy of which is enclosed), I have altered slightly the boundary on the south.

4. A site-plan showing the proposed building is forwarded as requested.

ENCLOSURE.

From B. H. YOUNG, Esq., Executive Engineer, Godávari Western Division, to the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, dated 29th July 1891, No. 194-S.

With reference to your No. 400-G.D. of 26th May 1891, enclosing Collector's No. 2122 of 21st May 1891 and Head Assistant Collector's No. 264 of 30th May 1891, herewith returned, I have the honour to enclose a plan from which you will see that the site has to be moved forward a few feet, will be just as near the Sub-Registrar's office and Police station as before, but will be a few feet nearer the existing Taluk cutcherry in which ground a ryot's shed has been erected, so that the Tahsildar will have the use of both the existing as well as new building when completed, which may be what is being aimed at. The soil is composed of excellent patimatti all over the fort and hence good for building on.

2. With reference to paragraph 3 of the Head Assistant Collector's letter already quoted, I have the honour to enclose copies of the Head Assistant Collector's No. 189 of 23rd July 1889 and No. 74 of 11th March 1890, the latter being a reply to this office No. 222 of 11th September 1889, copy also herewith, from which you will, I think, admit that it was not the fault of this department if the Missionary Society were not consulted; the Collector was evidently consulted and approved of the site. This department does not deal with owners except through the Revenue Department.

3. With reference to paragraph 4 of Head Assistant's number under reply, I have to explain that the compound wall must have been added by the Tahsildar after the plan was sent to him from this office. I have not seen his additions, but from dimensions quoted in that paragraph, I gather that it will enclose 150 feet of ground beyond the building, for his court-room was to have been built 240 feet from the school-room.

4. The vacant space alluded to in paragraph 5 of Head Assistant's letter for a site, which I have already alluded to in paragraph 1, has, however, one objection, and that is that there is a Muhammadan tomb (*vide* plan) lying between it and the present cutcherry, and when I proposed this very site for the District Munsif's court, the objection taken to it was the proximity of this tomb; that community will probably object worse if it is to lie on the path between the old and the new building. The well alluded to in the same paragraph as likely to be useful to the cutcherry contains undrinkable brackish water; yet I understand from Mr. Brown, the representative of the missionary interests, that he particularly objects to this well being enclosed, and pointed me out the boundary within which he had no objection to the cutcherry being constructed; this boundary is shown in plan by chain dotted lines.

Endorsement by W. A. HAPPELL, Esq., Collector of Godávari, dated Cocanada, 21st August 1891, No. 710.

Submitted to the Commissioners of Land Revenue in reference to their Proceedings, No. 4637, Mis., dated 3rd July 1891.

3124/3

2. A copy of the Executive Engineer's letter, No. 194-S, dated 29th July 1891, to the Superintending Engineer, and a plan showing the site selected for the new Taluk cutcherry, are also enclosed.

Resolution—dated 5th September 1891, Mis. No. 6354.

Submitted to Government with reference to G.O., dated 19th June 1891, Mis. No. 3614.

2. The new site may be accepted.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) H. A. SIM,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with letter and enclosures, in original, to be returned.
Copy to the Collector of Godávari.

ORDER—dated 30th October 1891, No. 1035, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The new site selected for the Taluk cutcherry by the Collector with the concurrence of the Public Works authorities and the representative of the Church Missionary Society is approved. Orders will issue in the Public Works Department for the commencement of the work, which has been held in abeyance under G.O., No. 594, dated 26th January 1891.

2. G.O., dated 5th January 1876, No. 11, will be cancelled as the whole fort will be occupied by the mission and public buildings and nothing will be left for the Ellore Municipality to keep possession of.

3. A copy of these Proceedings will be furnished to the Rev. Mr. Sell with reference to his petition dated 11th October 1890.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue, with enclosures.
,, the Public Works Department.
,, the Local and Municipal Department.
,, the Rev. Mr. Sell, with 6 enclosures.

Exd. A. Aubert.

70 Copies.

Govt. of {1891} Madras.

REVENUE.

No. 3124 Wdy 20th Nov/91

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 30th October 1891, No. 1035.

OCTOBER.

Taluk cutcherry.

The new site selected for the — at Ellore, with the concurrence of the Public Works authorities and the representative of the Church Missionary Society, approved, and the grant of the Ellore fort to the Municipality, cancelled.

Com.d to the S.E. I Circle with reference to the undermentioned G.Os.

No. 324 W dy 4 Feby. 1891
1804 W dy 3 July 1891.

2. Attention is drawn to para 5 of G.O No. 2849 W dated 27 Oct. 91 directing the immediate collection of materials for the work and the submission of the estimate on receipt of the revised design for taluq offices

15/1 J.S.
W.

S.E. I Circle

Proc. of the Madras Govt
Read the following

3129/4

30TH OCTOBER 1891, No. 1035, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—again G.O., dated 5th January 1876, No. 11, Revenue.

ABSTRACT.—Directing that the Ellore fort in Godávari district be made over to the Municipality, reserving such sites as are known to be required for Government buildings and such materials as can be used for those buildings.

READ—again G.O., dated 3rd December 1890, Mis. No. 7437, Revenue.

ABSTRACT.—Referring to the Collector of Godávari, for report, petition from the Secretary, Church Mission Society, Madras, protesting against the erection of the new Taluk cutcherry on the land in Ellore fort leased to the Society in 1857 by the East India Company.

READ—again G.O., dated 26th January 1891, Mis. No. 594, Revenue.

ABSTRACT.—Directing that no further steps should be taken towards the construction of the new cutcherry at Ellore until final orders have been passed on the petition of the Church Missionary Society.

READ—again the following G.O., dated 19th June 1891, Mis. No. 3614, Revenue:—

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 9th June 1891, Mis. No. 4092:—

THE HON. MR. H. W. BLISS.
MR. G. MCWATTERS.

Read—G.O., dated 3rd December 1890, Mis. No. 7437, Revenue.

Reference thereon, dated 2nd January 1891.

Forwarded to the Collector of Godávari for submission of the report called for by Government.

2. No copy of the lease is available in the Board's office, but it is found that allusion to the grant is made in the papers connected with the undermentioned Board's Proceedings:—

No. 1714, dated 14th March 1862.
" 473 " 26th February 1875.
" 7716, Mis., dated 24th August 1875.

Read—G.O., dated 26th January 1891, Mis. No. 594, Revenue.

Reference thereon, dated 13th February 1891.

Forwarded to the Collector of Godávari in continuation of Board's reference, dated 2nd January last, on Government Register No. 2727 of 1890.

Read—the following letter from J. F. WETHERILL, Esq., Acting Head Assistant Collector, Godávari District, to the Collector of the Godávari District, dated Ellore, 30th April 1891, No. 264 :—

In returning herewith the papers received with your endorsement, No. 348, dated the 31st January last, on Board's reference, dated 2nd idem, on Government Register No. 2727 of 1890, regarding the objection taken by the Church Mission Society to the construction of the new Taluk cutcherry in the Ellore fort, I have the honour to state that the terms under which the society have held the lease of the fort were reported at length in this office letter, No. 526, dated 25th July 1890.

2. A plan of the fort showing the several buildings therein, as well as the site proposed to be taken up for the new Taluk cutcherry, is sent herewith as directed, as also the original lease obtained from the Secretary to the Society at Madras.

3. Government in their Order, No. 810, dated 17th October 1890, having sanctioned the construction of a new Taluk cutcherry in Ellore, the site marked in the plan between the Police station and the Mission school has been selected by the Public Works Department officers for its construction. Whether or not the consent of the society was taken either at the time the construction of the new cutcherry in the fort was recommended or at the time the site was selected by the Public Works Department officers, I cannot now ascertain. The Principal of the school says that the proposed site is very near the school building and the Principal's quarters and the "stream of traffic and noise which the Taluk cutcherry brings" would render their premises unsuitable for the purpose for which they are leased.

4. The Tahsildar reports that the new Taluk cutcherry will, when constructed, be outside its compound wall 90 feet from the school building and 300 feet from the Principal's quarters. A school-house is as noisy as a Taluk office, and I think the distance between them is too small to prevent the one from being a nuisance to the other.

5. On the east side of the fort there is a good vacant place between the Munsif's court and the Police station at a considerable distance from the Mission school and the Principal's quarters. I think this is more convenient for the new Taluk cutcherry both on account of its proximity to the present cutcherry and ryot's shed attached thereto, which may be utilized hereafter also, as well as from the fact that it is next door to both the Registrar's office and the Police station, and I would recommend, therefore, that the new building be constructed there. There is a well in close proximity to this site and if it is enclosed with the compound wall of the cutcherry it will obviate the necessity of sinking a cutcherry well.

6. Messrs. Davis and Brown have signified in their letters, dated 12th July 1889 and 26th March 1891, respectively (enclosed), that if the new cutcherry is located between the Munsif's and Registrar's buildings no objection will be raised by them, and the Secretary to the Society seems to be in favour of this arrangement—*vide* paragraph 9 of his letter to the Chief Secretary to Government.

7. There is no doubt that the site already selected interferes to some extent with the school and its recreation ground. Above all it is not as suitable as the one now proposed by me.

8. In reference to your endorsement, No. 536, dated the 24th February 1891, on Board's reference, dated 13th February 1891, I have instructed the Tahsildar, Ellore, to see that no further steps are taken towards the construction of the new building until final orders are passed in this matter.

3/24
b

Endorsement by W. A. HAPPELL, Esq., Collector of Godávari, dated Cocanada, 20th May 1891, No. 423.

Submitted to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue in reply to reference, dated 2nd January 1891, on Government endorsement No. 7437 (Revenue), dated 3rd December 1890 (Government Register No. 2727 of 1890).

2. Under the circumstances reported I have asked the Superintending Engineer to send a plan of the proposed site and building with its surroundings and express his opinion as to the suitability of the site for the purpose.

3. The original lease obtained from the Secretary to the Church Mission Society is enclosed for reference and return.

4. The construction of the new Taluk cutcherry has been stopped in reference to paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 594, dated 26th January 1891, Revenue (herewith returned).

Resolution—dated 9th June 1891, Mis. No. 4092.

Submitted to Government in reference to its endorsement, dated 3rd December 1890, Mis. No. 7437, and order, dated 26th January 1891, Mis. No. 594.

2. As it is within the power of Government to determine the lease at any time, any concession that may now be made to the Church Missionary Society will be one of favour and not of necessity. If, however, the Superintending Engineer approves the new site, and the Church Missionary Society raises no objection to it, the matter may be satisfactorily settled by adopting it. The Superintending Engineer's report will be forwarded on receipt.

3. From the correspondence connected with the subject, it appears that the Government Order of January 1876 was meant to refer only to the fort walls and "vacant pieces of ground around the fort" and not to the fort itself. As it stands, however, it refers to the fort, and is, therefore, inconsistent with the lease. The wording of it should accordingly be altered.

4. The Collector has not reported on the suggestion of the Church Missionary Society that the Government buildings should be walled off from the rest of the fort; but this does not appear to be advisable, seeing that Government may possibly require some day to extend their limits still further. The Church Missionary Society, however, might be allowed to fence off *its* buildings with a temporary fence, if it wishes to, the boundary being drawn as the Collector thinks right and not in any way to be considered permanent.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) H. A. SIM,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with seven enclosures in Government Register No. 2727 of 1890,
returned, and Government Register No. 1797 and its
two enclosures, in original, to be returned.

Copy to the Collector of Godávari.

Order—dated 19th June 1891, Mis. No. 3614, Revenue.

Recorded, pending receipt of the Superintending Engineer's report.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue.

READ—also the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 5th September 1891, Mis. No. 6354 :—

MR. G. MCWATTERS.

Read—the following letter from G. D. WYBROW, Esq., Superintending Engineer, I Circle, to the Collector of Godávari, dated Ellore, 8th August 1891, No. 876-G.D. :—

With reference to your letter, No. 2122, dated 21st May 1891, I have the honour to inform you that I have inspected the site selected by the Head Assistant Collector for a Tahsildar's cutcherry at Ellore and I am of opinion that the site is a suitable one.

2. The site is perhaps rather closer than desirable to the District Munsif's court-house, but as the ground is limited this cannot be avoided.

3. The boundaries of the Taluk cutcherry have been marked out by Mr. Young in consultation with Mr. Brown, the representative of the Church Missionary interests. To avoid interference with the Muhammadan tomb, referred to by the Executive Engineer in paragraph 4 of his letter (copy of which is enclosed), I have altered slightly the boundary on the south.

4. A site-plan showing the proposed building is forwarded as requested.

ENCLOSURE.

From B. H. YOUNG, Esq., Executive Engineer, Godávari Western Division, to the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, dated 29th July 1891, No. 194-S.

With reference to your No. 400-G.D. of 26th May 1891, enclosing Collector's No. 2122 of 21st May 1891 and Head Assistant Collector's No. 264 of 30th May 1891, herewith returned, I have the honour to enclose a plan from which you will see that the site has to be moved forward a few feet, will be just as near the Sub-Registrar's office and Police station as before, but will be a few feet nearer the existing Taluk cutcherry in which ground a ryot's shed has been erected, so that the Tahsildar will have the use of both the existing as well as new building when completed, which may be what is being aimed at. The soil is composed of excellent patimatti all over the fort and hence good for building on.

2. With reference to paragraph 3 of the Head Assistant Collector's letter already quoted, I have the honour to enclose copies of the Head Assistant Collector's No. 189 of 23rd July 1889 and No. 74 of 11th March 1890, the latter being a reply to this office No. 222 of 11th September 1889, copy also herewith, from which you will, I think, admit that it was not the fault of this department if the Missionary Society were not consulted ; the Collector was evidently consulted and approved of the site. This department does not deal with owners except through the Revenue Department.

3. With reference to paragraph 4 of Head Assistant's number under reply, I have to explain that the compound wall must have been added by the Tahsildar after the plan was sent to him from this office. I have not seen his additions, but from dimensions quoted in that paragraph, I gather that it will enclose 150 feet of ground beyond the building, for his court-room was to have been built 240 feet from the school-room.

4. The vacant space alluded to in paragraph 5 of Head Assistant's letter for a site, which I have already alluded to in paragraph 1, has, however, one objection, and that is that there is a Muhammadan tomb (*vide* plan) lying between it and the present cutcherry, and when I proposed this very site for the District Munsif's court, the objection taken to it was the proximity of this tomb ; that community will probably object worse if it is to lie on the path between the old and the new building. The well alluded to in the same paragraph as likely to be useful to the cutcherry contains undrinkable brackish water ; yet I understand from Mr. Brown, the representative of the missionary interests, that he particularly objects to this well being enclosed, and pointed me out the boundary within which he had no objection to the cutcherry being constructed ; this boundary is shown in plan by chain dotted lines.

Endorsement by W. A. HAPPELL, Esq., Collector of Godávari, dated Cocanada, 21st August 1891, No. 710.

Submitted to the Commissioners of Land Revenue in reference to their Proceedings, No. 4637, Mis., dated 3rd July 1891.

2. A copy of the Executive Engineer's letter, No. 194-S, dated 29th July 1891, to the Superintending Engineer, and a plan showing the site selected for the new Taluk cutcherry, are also enclosed.

Resolution—dated 5th September 1891, Mis. No. 6354.

Submitted to Government with reference to G.O., dated 19th June 1891, Mis. No. 3614.

2. The new site may be accepted.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) H. A. SIM,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with letter and enclosures, in original, to be returned.

Copy to the Collector of Godávari.

ORDER—dated 30th October 1891, No. 1035, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The new site selected for the Taluk cutcherry by the Collector with the concurrence of the Public Works authorities and the representative of the Church Missionary Society is approved. Orders will issue in the Public Works Department for the commencement of the work, which has been held in abeyance under G.O., No. 594, dated 26th January 1891.

2. G.O., dated 5th January 1876, No. 11, will be cancelled as the whole fort will be occupied by the mission and public buildings and nothing will be left for the Ellore Municipality to keep possession of.

3. A copy of these Proceedings will be furnished to the Rev. Mr. Sell with reference to his petition dated 11th October 1890.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue, with enclosures.
,, the Public Works Department.
,, the Local and Municipal Department.
,, the Rev. Mr. Sell, with 6 enclosures.

Exd. A. Aubert.

70 Copies.

Govt. of {1891} Madras.

REVENUE.

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 30th October 1891, No. 1035.

OCTOBER.

Taluk cutcherry.

The new site selected for the — at Ellore, with the concurrence of the Public Works authorities and the representative of the Church Missionary Society, approved, and the grant of the Ellore fort to the Municipality, cancelled.

Orders thereon No 3124 Wdy 20 Nov/91

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer I Circle with reference to the undermentioned G.Os

No. 324 Wdy 4th Febry: 1891
1804 Wdy 3rd July 1891.

2. Attention is drawn to para 5 of G.O No. 2849 W dated 27th Oct: 91 directing the immediate collection of materials for the work and the submission of the Estimate on receipt of the revised design for taking offices.

1501 J.S. W.

S.E. I Circle

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., dated 16th March 1891, No. 209, Educational.

ABSTRACT.—Remitting to the Director of Public Instruction, for consideration, the question of jutka allowance to soldier-students of the Civil Engineering College.

READ—also the following papers:—

No. 65-D.

MEMORANDUM.

With reference to paragraph 2 of G.O., dated 16th March 1891, No. 209, Educational, the undersigned has the honour to forward copy of an office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, No. 2215, dated 14th April 1891, and to suggest that the question of granting jutka allowance to soldier-students of the College of Engineering during their year of practical training at the Public Works Workshops be reconsidered in the Educational Department.

OOTACAMUND, 20*th April* 1891.

(Signed) A. C. SMITH, Lieut.-Col., R.E., *Ag. Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.*

ENCLOSURE.

OFFICE NOTE from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, No. 2215, dated 14th April 1891.

Under Article 1294 of the Civil Service Regulations, the travelling allowance of an officer is chargeable to the same head as his pay. Under Public Works Code, paragraph 101-D, soldier Engineer subordinates are regarded as students of the College during the year of workshop course, and receive their stipends as students from the College. It seems, therefore, that the jutka hire of the students, if admissible, should be borne by the College and not by the Public Works Department, and that the question should be reported upon by the Accountant-General, Madras, and dealt with by Government in the Educational Department.

(Intld.) C. H. P. C.

To the Educational Department.

From D. DUNCAN, Esq., M.A., D.Sc., Acting Director of Public Instruction, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 26th August 1891, No. 9856.

In returning reference, dated 5th May last, on current No. 441, Educational, inquiring as to the method in which the stipends of soldier-students are debited during their practical course, I have the honour to make the following observations.

2. In paragraph 20 of its report recorded in G.O., No. 61, of the 26th April 1882, Educational, the Committee appointed to consider the question of remodelling the College of Engineering did not approve of the practice of paying pupils during their practical training salaries from Educational funds, which are disproportionate to their position as students. In the abstract estimate of receipts and charges appended to that report, subsistence allowances payable during the final year were included under charges debitable to the Public Works Department on the ground that the work of the pupils would be remunerative. But in Article IX of the draft notification approved in G.O., No. 443, dated 16th July 1885, Educational, it was distinctly provided that *all scholarships and other allowances* during the practical course should be debited to the Department of Public Works. In the subsequent revision of the above notification sanctioned in G.O., No. 7, of the 7th January 1886, no modification was proposed in Article IX, except the mere substitution of the words "all allowances" for "all scholarships and other allowances." The removal of the word "scholarships" does not seem to have been intended to affect the original decision to debit the charges to the Public Works Department, as is evident from the practice which has since been followed.

3. It will be seen from the enclosures to the accompanying letters from the Principal, that the stipends of soldier-students, during their workshop or first year's course, have hitherto been drawn by the General Superintendent, Public Works Workshops, and debited finally to the Public Works Department, and that the Examiner of Public Works Accounts (*vide* his letter, No. 4322, dated 31st July 1891) did not, till now, question this procedure.

4. Under Article IV, clause (9), of the College Rules, the Military Engineer subordinate students are entitled to draw stipends for $3\frac{1}{2}$ years beginning from the time they join the class. Of this period, the first $2\frac{1}{2}$ years are spent in the College in the theoretical course, and the remaining year in a Public Works Workshop in the practical course. I do not know how the words "from the College" came to be inserted in the 8th line of paragraph 101 of the Public Works Code, while they were omitted from the corresponding clause in the College Rules. Paragraph 101 B seems to provide distinctly for debiting all allowances during the practical course to the Public Works Department under "Establishment—Salaries."

5. With reference to the office-note of the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, No. 2215, dated 14th April 1891, I beg to observe that the soldier Engineer subordinates are, as all other students during the practical course, students of the College in one sense, until they complete their two years' practical training and receive their diplomas. If the debit to the Department of Public Works of the stipend paid during the first year of the practical course be objected to on this ground, the same reasoning would apply to the debit of the subsistence allowance payable during the final year. The students' work in the workshop course is also remunerative to some extent. Should the Government, however, decide that the stipends paid to soldier-students during the first year of the practical course should be debited to the Educational funds, the jutka allowance will naturally be debited to the same head. The point does not seem to have been clearly determined and understood, as will appear from the fact that the Examiner of Public Works Accounts has hitherto admitted, as correct, the debit of the stipends to the Department of Public Works.

Reference—dated 17th September 1891.

Referred to the Public Works Department, for favour of remarks. It is requested that the circumstances under which the words "from the College" were inserted in Rule 101 of the Public Works Department Code may, if possible, be explained.

(By order.)

(Signed) A. BUTTERWORTH,
Acting Under Secretary.

No. 4594-C.

MEMORANDUM.

In returning the papers referred under Educational Department endorsement, dated 17th September 1891, the undersigned has the honour to forward the original papers connected with G.O., No. 69 W., dated 11th January 1890, under the authority of which the words "from the College" were inserted in paragraph 101, Public Works Code. It will be observed that the draft of the Government order was counter-initialled by the Chief Secretary.

2. The rule in Article IX of the College Rules laying down that all allowances during the practical courses shall be debitable to the Public Works Department is understood to apply to the stipends specified in that article as admissible to Engineer and Engineer subordinate students during their second year of practical training on works. The stipend of Rs. 10 granted to soldier-students under clause (9), Article IV, is drawn by them for three-and-a-half years commencing from the date of joining the subordinate class, and this period of three-and-a-half years includes the one year spent in the Public Works Workshops, during which they continue to be attached to the College. During their second year of practical training on works, they are brought on the establishment of the Public Works Department as apprentice overseers, and this department then becomes responsible for their allowances.

NACHICOLAM, (Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
20th October 1891. *Secretary to Government, P.W.D.*

To the Educational Department, with referred papers
returned and original papers connected with G.O.,
No. 69 W., dated 11th January 1890.

From the Acting Director of Public Instruction, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dared Madras, 23rd October 1891, No. 12291.

ABSTRACT.—Requesting early orders regarding the payment of stipends and jutka allowance to soldier-students of the College of Engineering during their practical course.

ORDER—dated 13th November 1891, No. 874, Educational.

Article IX of the Rules of the College of Engineering distinctly declares that all allowances of students during the practical courses are debitable to the Public Works Department. There is no reason for excepting soldier-students from the operation of this general rule, and their stipends and allowances should continue to be debited to the Public Works Department.

2. The words "from the College" will accordingly be struck out of paragraph 101 of the Public Works Code.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
" Public Works Department (with original papers returned).
" Accountant-General.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Govt. of {1891} Madras.

EDUCATIONAL.

No. 3223 Wdy 2nd Dec/91.

Communicated to Officers of the Public Works Department for information and guidance

Idl J. Fo 27/11
" O V-B 26/11/91

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 13th Nov. 1891, No. 874.

NOVEMBER.

College of Engineering.

Stating that the stipends and allowances of soldier-students of the — during their practical courses should continue to be debited to the Public Works Department, and directing that the words "from the College" be struck out of paragraph 101 of the Public Works Code.

S. Engrs
C. A. & Govt
Supt of Works P.W.D.
Exec. P. W. Offices

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., dated 16th March 1891, No. 209, Educational.

ABSTRACT.—Remitting to the Director of Public Instruction, for consideration, the question of jutka allowance to soldier-students of the Civil Engineering College.

READ—also the following papers:—

No. 65-D.

MEMORANDUM.

With reference to paragraph 2 of G.O., dated 16th March 1891, No. 209, Educational, the undersigned has the honour to forward copy of an office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, No. 2215, dated 14th April 1891, and to suggest that the question of granting jutka allowance to soldier-students of the College of Engineering during their year of practical training at the Public Works Workshops be reconsidered in the Educational Department.

OOTACAMUND,
20*th April* 1891.

(Signed) A. C. SMITH, Lieut.-Col., R.E.,
Ag. Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

ENCLOSURE.

OFFICE NOTE from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, No. 2215, dated 14th April 1891.

Under Article 1294 of the Civil Service Regulations, the travelling allowance of an officer is chargeable to the same head as his pay. Under Public Works Code, paragraph 101-D, soldier Engineer subordinates are regarded as students of the College during the year of workshop course, and receive their stipends as students from the College. It seems, therefore, that the jutka hire of the students, if admissible, should be borne by the College and not by the Public Works Department, and that the question should be reported upon by the Accountant-General, Madras, and dealt with by Government in the Educational Department.

(Intld.) C. H. P. C.

To the Educational Department.

From D. DUNCAN, Esq., M.A., D.Sc., Acting Director of Public Instruction, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 26th August 1891, No. 9856.

In returning reference, dated 5th May last, on current No. 441, Educational, inquiring as to the method in which the stipends of soldier-students are debited during their practical course, I have the honour to make the following observations.

2. In paragraph 20 of its report recorded in G.O., No. 61, of the 26th April 1882, Educational, the Committee appointed to consider the question of remodelling the College of Engineering did not approve of the practice of paying pupils during their practical training salaries from Educational funds, which are disproportionate to their position as students. In the abstract estimate of receipts and charges appended to that report, subsistence allowances payable during the final year were included under charges debitable to the Public Works Department on the ground that the work of the pupils would be remunerative. But in Article IX of the draft notification approved in G.O., No. 443, dated 16th July 1885, Educational, it was distinctly provided that *all scholarships and other allowances* during the practical course should be debited to the Department of Public Works. In the subsequent revision of the above notification sanctioned in G.O., No. 7, of the 7th January 1886, no modification was proposed in Article IX, except the mere substitution of the words "all allowances" for "all scholarships and other allowances." The removal of the word "scholarships" does not seem to have been intended to affect the original decision to debit the charges to the Public Works Department, as is evident from the practice which has since been followed.

3. It will be seen from the enclosures to the accompanying letters from the Principal, that the stipends of soldier-students, during their workshop or first year's course, have hitherto been drawn by the General Superintendent, Public Works Workshops, and debited finally to the Public Works Department, and that the Examiner of Public Works Accounts (*vide* his letter, No. 4322, dated 31st July 1891) did not, till now, question this procedure.

4. Under Article IV, clause (9), of the College Rules, the Military Engineer subordinate students are entitled to draw stipends for $3\frac{1}{2}$ years beginning from the time they join the class. Of this period, the first $2\frac{1}{2}$ years are spent in the College in the theoretical course, and the remaining year in a Public Works Workshop in the practical course. I do not know how the words "from the College" came to be inserted in the 8th line of paragraph 101 of the Public Works Code, while they were omitted from the corresponding clause in the College Rules. Paragraph 101 B seems to provide distinctly for debiting all allowances during the practical course to the Public Works Department under "Establishment—Salaries."

5. With reference to the office-note of the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, No. 2215, dated 14th April 1891, I beg to observe that the soldier Engineer subordinates are, as all other students during the practical course, students of the College in one sense, until they complete their two years' practical training and receive their diplomas. If the debit to the Department of Public Works of the stipend paid during the first year of the practical course be objected to on this ground, the same reasoning would apply to the debit of the subsistence allowance payable during the final year. The students' work in the workshop course is also remunerative to some extent. Should the Government, however, decide that the stipends paid to soldier-students during the first year of the practical course should be debited to the Educational funds, the jutka allowance will naturally be debited to the same head. The point does not seem to have been clearly determined and understood, as will appear from the fact that the Examiner of Public Works Accounts has hitherto admitted, as correct, the debit of the stipends to the Department of Public Works.

Reference—dated 17th September 1891.

Referred to the Public Works Department, for favour of remarks. It is requested that the circumstances under which the words "from the College" were inserted in Rule 101 of the Public Works Department Code may, if possible, be explained.

(By order.)

(Signed) A. BUTTERWORTH,
Acting Under Secretary.

No. 4594-C.

MEMORANDUM.

In returning the papers referred under Educational Department endorsement, dated 17th September 1891, the undersigned has the honour to forward the original papers connected with G.O., No. 69 W., dated 11th January 1890, under the authority of which the words "from the College" were inserted in paragraph 101, Public Works Code. It will be observed that the draft of the Government order was counter-initialled by the Chief Secretary.

2. The rule in Article IX of the College Rules laying down that all allowances during the practical courses shall be debitable to the Public Works Department is understood to apply to the stipends specified in that article as admissible to Engineer and Engineer subordinate students during their second year of practical training on works. The stipend of Rs. 10 granted to soldier-students under clause (9), Article IV, is drawn by them for three-and-a-half years commencing from the date of joining the subordinate class, and this period of three-and-a-half years includes the one year spent in the Public Works Workshops, during which they continue to be attached to the College. During their second year of practical training on works, they are brought on the establishment of the Public Works Department as apprentice overseers, and this department then becomes responsible for their allowances.

NACHICOLAM, (Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
20*th October* 1891. *Secretary to Government, P.W.D.*

To the Educational Department, with referred papers
returned and original papers connected with G.O.,
No. 69 W., dated 11th January 1890.

From the Acting Director of Public Instruction, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dared Madras, 23rd October 1891, No. 12291.

ABSTRACT.—Requesting early orders regarding the payment of stipends and jutka allowance to soldier-students of the College of Engineering during their practical course.

ORDER—dated 13th November 1891, No. 874, Educational.

Article IX of the Rules of the College of Engineering distinctly declares that all allowances of students during the practical courses are debitable to the Public Works Department. There is no reason for excepting soldier-students from the operation of this general rule, and their stipends and allowances should continue to be debited to the Public Works Department.

2. The words "from the College" will accordingly be struck out of paragraph 101 of the Public Works Code.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
„ Public Works Department (with original papers returned).
„ Accountant-General.

Exd. A. Raitt.

List of European and East Indian Civil Engineers and Uncovenanted Civil Servants in the P. W. Department attached to the Presidency of Madras on the 1st April 1891

Class.		Name and Rank.	Salary	Remarks.
			3	4
		Examiner P. W. Accounts.		
Superior Accounts Establishment	18-5-0	Mr J. D. L. Calder, Deputy Examiner 2d Grade	450	Officiating
	25-2-0	" H. Gaynor do 2d Grade	450	do
		Examiner of Guaranteed Railway Accounts.		
	24-1-0	Mr F. Morrison, Examiner, 2d Class	1350	On furlough.
	11-6-0	" H. A. D. Wathen, Examiner 4th Class, 3d Grade	700	Temporary rank.
	25-4-0	" A. Grant, Examiner 4th Class, 3d Grade	650	
		I. I. E.		
	25-0-0	Mr G. T. Walch, Chief Engineer 2d Class	2,500	Chief Engineer 1st Class, Officiating.
		Superintending Engineers		
	23-2-0	Mr H. Irwin, C.I.E. Superintending Engineer 2d Class	1600	Superintending Engineer 1st Class, temporary rank
	24-4-0	" J. Hannan, Superintending Engineer 3d Class	1100	Substantive pro tem
		Executive Engineers.		
	23-0-0	Mr J. M. Bell Executive Engineer 1st Grade	950	
	22-5-0	Mr W. Hughes, B.A. do 1st Grade	950	
	22-0-0	" J. C. Larminie do 1st Grade	950	
	23-0-0	" J. W. Martin do 1st Grade	1,100	Superintending Engineer 3d Class Officiating
	23-5-0	" C. J. Peters do 1st Grade	950	
	23-5-0	" G. B. Wybrow do 1st Grade	1,100	Superintending Engineer 3d Class temporary rank
Engineer Establishment	16-6-0	" J. C. Davidson do 2d Grade	800	
	16-6-0	" W. C. de Morgan do 2d Grade	800	
	16-6-0	" W. B. de Winton do 2d Grade	800	Services temporarily transferred to the Establishment under the Inspector General of Military Works, 1st January 1890
	16-6-0	" A. H. Garrett do 2d Grade	800	On furlough.
	16-3-0	" A. Joyce do 2d Grade	800	
	18-1-0	" J. C. Johnstone do 2d Grade	800	
	20-6-0	" C. H. G. Norfor do 2d Grade	800	On furlough.
	15-6-0	" C. M. Smith do 2d Grade	800	Substantive pro tem.
	15-6-0	" H. S. Taylor do 2d Grade	800	do
	16-6-0	" J. Traill do 2d Grade	800	do
	16-6-0	" C. Vincent do 2d Grade	800	Services placed at the disposal of the Government of India 5th December 1889
	15-6-0	" C. A. B. Burlton do 3d Grade	700	
	15-1-0	" G. C. Carlisle do 3d Grade	700	
	13-6-0	" W. Jopp do 3d Grade	700	Substantive pro-tem.
	13-6-0	" G. E. Lambert do 3d Grade	700	do
	14-6-0	" W. C. Lewis do 3d Grade	700	
	13-6-0	" A. A. G. Malet do 3d Grade	700	Substantive pro-tem.
	15-6-0	" J. B. Paul do 3d Grade	700	On furlough.
	15-6-0	" A. S. Russell do 3d Grade	700	
	15-6-0	" A. B. Todd do 3d Grade	700	
	15-6-0	" G. J. Ussher do 3d Grade	700	On furlough.
	16-6-0	" G. H. Watson do 3d Grade	700	Temporarily transferred to Madras

List of European and East Indian Civil Engineers and Uncovenanted Civil Servants in the P. W. Department attached to the Presidency of Madras on the 1st April 1891.

Class.	Name and Rank.				Salary	Remarks.
11-6-0	Mr. A. M. Hayes	Executive Engineer	4th	Grade	600.	Substantive pro tem.
13-6-0	" E. Manson	do	4th	Grade	600	On Furlough.
12-6-0	" H. H. O'Connell	do	4th	Grade	600	Substantive pro tem.
13-6-0	" B. H. Young	do	4th	Grade	600	do
	Assistant Engineers					
8-6-0	Mr. J. R. Allen	Assistant Engineer	1st	Grade	500.	
8-6-0	" G. W. Ashpitel	do	1st	Grade	600	On furlough.
10-4-0	" H. C. Clerk	do	1st	Grade	500	do
11-6-0	" A. M. Foord	do	1st	Grade	500	do
9-6-0	" G. F. Handcock	do	1st	Grade	600	Executive Engineer 4th Grade, Temporary rank.
8-6-0	" J. Inglis	do	1st	Grade	500.	
2-3-0	" H. P. Keeling	do	1st	Grade	500	Substantive pro-tem.
4-7-0	" M. Loam	do	1st	Grade	500.	
9-6-0	" A. F. Mackenzie	do	1st	Grade	600	Executive Engineer 4th Grade Temporary rank.
9-6-0	" C. A. D. Marjoribanks	do	1st	Grade	600	do
9-6-0	" J. H. Medlicott	do	1st	Grade	600	do
5-6-0	" H. A. Moss	do	1st	Grade	500.	
6-6-0	" S. F. Murray	do	1st	Grade	500	
10-6-0	" S. D. Pears	do	1st	Grade	600.	do
5-6-0	" A. C. Pereira	do	1st	Grade	500	
10-6-0	" C. A. Smith	do	1st	Grade	600	do
4-7-0	" F. W. S. Smyth	do	1st	Grade	500	
8-6-0	" G. F. Sombre-Eve	do	1st	Grade	500	
9-6-0	" J. J. Whiteley	do	1st	Grade	600	do
4-7-0	" L. L. Wickham	do	1st	Grade	500.	
11-6-0	" F. J. Wilson	do	1st	Grade	600	do
5-6-0	" J. S. Wilson	do	1st	Grade	500	
6-6-0	" C. W. Wood	do	1st	Grade	500	
1-6-0	" W. J. J. Howley	do	2d	Grade	350.	
3-1-0	" C. Mildred	do	2d	Grade	350.	
1-6-0	" A. G. Romilly	do	2d	Grade	350.	
0-3-0	" J. M. Lacey	do	3d	Grade	250.	
	Upper Subordinates.					
26-9-0	Mr. W. V. Baker,	Sub Engineer	1st	Grade	450.	Honorary Assistant Engineer 1st Grade.
29-5-0	" J. W. A. Ellis,	do	1st	Grade	500	do
29-10-0	" E. Haldwell	do	1st	Grade	450	do
						Local Fund Engineer Nilgiris 30 October 1882
36-6-0	" S. W. H. Ottmann	do	1st	Grade	500	Honorary Assistant Engineer 1st Grade
26-9-0	" J. H. Stephens	do	1st	Grade	400.	do
24-1-0	" H. C. Berlie	do	2d	Grade	300	
23-3-0	" S. Francis	do	2d	Grade	300	Honorary Assistant Engineer 2d Grade.
26-9-0	" J. D. Legge	do	2d	Grade	300	do
25-0-0	" S. Mahony	do	2d	Grade	300	
23-9-0	" H. M. Bond	do	3d	Grade	250.	
14-11-0	" C. A. French	do	3d	Grade	250.	
21-3-0	" J. Mahony	do	3d	Grade	250.	
22-4-0	" E. Taylor	do	3d	Grade	250.	Substantive pro-tem.

Engineers Establishment (Continued)

Upper Subordinate Establishment.

List of European and East Indian Civil Engineers and Uncovenanted Civil Servants in the P.W. Department attached to the Presidency of Madras on the 1st April 1891.

Class.	Name and Rank.	Salary	Remarks
	Upper Subordinate Establishment (Continued)		
20-10	Mr. E. Dady Supervisor 1st grade	200.	
18-8	" J. O. Kennedy do 1st grade	200.	
15-3	" G. D. Enright do 1st grade	200.	Substantive pro tem.
17-7	" J. Enright do 1st grade	200.	
28-6	" J. F. Fonseca do 1st grade	200.	
19-6	" J. Kelly do 1st grade	200.	
22-8	" J. M. Gaylor do 1st grade	200.	
4-1	" R. Harris do 2d grade	150.	
11-11	" J. L. Hendrick do 2d grade	150.	
17-9	" W. A. Joyce do 2d grade	150.	
21-0	" J. Lawrence do 2d grade	150.	
18-1	" R. A. Salisbury do 2d grade	150.	
18-9	" C. W. Praish do 2d grade	150.	
16-9	" S. Grutrieu do 2d grade	150.	
8-8	" J. W. Walsh do 2d grade	150.	
16-2	" S. Whittread do 2d grade	150.	
9-7	" J. Willis do 2d grade	150.	Substantive pro-tem.
10-1	" M. R. Breenahan Overseer 1st grade	100.	
8-8	" J. C. Campbell do 1st grade	100.	
13-3	" S. D. De Rebello do 1st grade	100.	
9-7	" S. Donahoe do 1st grade	100.	
8-9	" C. H. Marrow do 1st grade	100.	
12-1	" C. S. Veronho do 1st grade	100.	
17-10	" J. Pereira do 1st grade	100.	
12-9	" J. B. Rodrigues do 1st grade	100.	
12-8	" J. A. Sydenhams do 1st grade	100.	
8-5	" A. C. Lafontaine do 2d grade	80.	
9-6	" S. A. Monisse do 2d grade	100	Overseer 1st grade Temporary rank.
2-8	" C. J. Fonseca do 3d grade	60	Substantive pro-tem
0-2	" C. J. W. Plummer do 3d grade	60.	
	Consulting Architect Division		
9-4	Mr. W. W. Regan Sub Overseer 1st grade	60.	✓
0-10	" J. Calder do 1st grade	50.	✓
	First Circle		
	Godavari Western Division.		
10-10	Mr. H. Atkinson Sub Overseer 1st grade	50.	✓
	Second Circle		
	Nellore Division.		
6-0	Mr. J. Smithers Sub Overseer 2d grade	40.	✓
	Third Circle		
	Cuddapah Division		
2-5	Mr. J. A. C. Wylie, Sub Overseer 1st grade	50.	✓
2-8	" M. Francis do 3d grade	35.	✓
	Fourth Circle		
	West Coast Division.		
1-7	Mr. L. A. Barbosa Acting Sub Overseer 1st grade	50.	Temporary Upper Subordinate on Rs 60/-
2-0	" M. C. D'Sylva do 3d grade	25.	✓

Lower Subordinate Establishment (Continued)

List of European and East Indian Civil Engineers and Uncovenanted Civil Servants in the P. W. Department attached to the Presidency of Madras on the 1st April 1891.

Class.	Name and Rank.	Salary	Remarks.
	First Circle		
	Godavari Eastern Division		
14-9	Mr W. Jones Boat Superintendent	75.	✓
	Ganjam Division		
7-2	Mr J. Holgeiter, Storekeeper	15.	
	Second Circle		
	Kistna Eastern Division		
7-7	Mr D. Harper, Storekeeper	50.	✓
	Third Circle		
	Kurnool Division		
16-3	Mr C. Dawson, Storekeeper	100	✓
8-1	" J. A. Roe, Acting Store Clerk	25	✓
N	" A. Thomas, Anicut Superintendent	25	✓
N	" Samuel Neil, Anicut Superintendent	20.	✓
N	" C. Peters, Anicut Superintendent	15.	
	Fourth Circle		
	West Coast Division		
Not known	Mr S. D. Rozario, 2d Class Hospital Assistant	35.	✓ Transferred to the Medical Deptt in July 1891.
	Fifth Circle		
	P. W. Workshops Division		
4-3	Mr F. J. Rozario, Foreman, Instrument Department	112	✓
	Negapatam Division		
22-9	Mr Kitto, Lock Superintendent	25	✓
	Periyar Project Works.		
	No I Periyar Division.		
Not known	Mr S. A. Pires, Civil Apothecary	50.	✓ Transferred to the Medical Deptt in June 91.
	Accountants.		
18-7-0	Mr J. Conquest B. A, Accountant 2d Grade	350	Accountant 1st Grade, Temporary rank
20-3-0	" C. E. Hubbard do 2d Grade	430	do do do
	" J. W. Bowie do		Guaranteed Railway Accounts.
35-6-0	" J. W. Bowie do 3d Grade	240.	
24-1-0	" E. E. Boyton do 3d Grade	240.	
23-8-0	" W. A. Long do 3d Grade	240.	
16-7-0	" L. Taylor do 3d Grade	200.	
	" W. J. Jackson B. A do 4th Grade	150	

Setting Establishment

Subordinate Accounts Establishment

t of Europeans and East Indian Civil Engineers and Uncovenanted Civil Servants in the P.W. Department attached to the Presidency of Madras on the 1st April 1891.

Class.		Name and Rank.	Salary	Remarks
Pay and Establishment.	6-9-0	Mr. J. D'Silva Accountant 5th grade	30.	
	4-7-0	" J. Pereira do 5th grade	30	
	8-6-0	" M. Ryan do 5th grade	160	Accountant 2nd grade Temporary rank attached to Corps Division.
	4-1-0	" C. W. Taylor do 5th grade	40.	
		Public works Secretariat.		
		General Buildings and Roads Branches.		
	21-9	Mr. R. Parsons, Chief Assistant	600.	
	21-1	" C. S. Simpson, 2nd Assistant	300.	
	38-1	" B. Pereira, Clerk I Class 3rd grade	250.	
	29-9	" D. Parsons do 3rd grade	250.	
	18-10	" J. R. Upshon II do 2nd grade	162	
	26-3	" A. J. Lazers do 3rd grade	120.	
	16-7	" S. D. Roberts III do 1st grade	84.	
	12-8	" D. M. Lincoln do 1st grade	84.	
	0-3	" F. R. James do 2nd grade	65.	
	11-0	" A. Tessey IV Class 1st grade	42.	
	5-1	" J. D. Plummer IV Class 2nd grade	38.	
	2-9	" F. Conroy III Class 3rd grade	22.	
	2-1	" J. W. Bobb IV Class 3rd grade	20.	
	35-9	" C. J. Baldrey, Superintendent Technical Section	230.	
	22-6	" J. W. Jackson, Head Draftsman	150.	
Office Establishment.		Irrigation Branch.		
	28-2	Mr. B. W. Hawkins, Clerk I Class, 3rd grade	250.	
	10-8	" J. C. Bernard " III Class, 3rd grade	116.	
	17-4	" C. F. Andrew " III Class 2nd grade	80.	
	0-6	" H. St. C. Daily Acting Clerk IV Class, 3rd grade	20.	
	7-9	" J. Ellis Acting Draftsman 1st Class	70.	
		Railway Branch.		
	29-8	Mr. J. A. Doyle, Clerk I Class 2nd grade	300.	
	13-7	" J. J. Calder " II Class 2nd grade	160.	
	21-2	" A. J. Bennet " IV Class 1st grade	50.	
	0-1	" C. J. Thomson, Acting Clerk V Class, 2nd grade	10.	
		Examiner Public works Accounts.		
		Mr. J. E. Catton, Clerk II Class 2nd grade	160.	
		" C. Day " III Class 2nd grade	76.	
		" E. A. De Monte. III Class 3rd grade	60.	
		" A. Duarte " IV Class 1st grade	40.	
		" J. W. Wilkins. III Class 3rd grade	20.	
		" J. Jervis Acting Clerk III Class, 3rd grade	20.	
		" A. Devin Clerk V Class 1st grade	19	On furlough.
		" S. A. Collins Clerk V Class 1st grade	19	
		Consulting Architects Division.		
	18-11	Mr. J. M. Lewis, Clerk I Class 3rd grade	250.	

List of European and East Indian Civil Engineers and Uncovenanted Civil Servants in the P. W. Department attached to the Presidency of Madras on the 1st April 1891.

Class.	Name and Rank.	Salary	Remarks
	First Circle		
	Superintending Engineer's Office.		
6-7	Mr. C. Hoskins, Clerk IV Class 3d Grade	30.	Temporary Clerk on Rs 30.
	Ruchikulya Division		
15-0	Mr. A. C. Adams, Clerk V Class 1st Grade	19	√
	Second Circle		
	Superintending Engineer's Office		
23-10	Mr. R. F. Fletcher, Special Draftsman	100	√
	Third Circle		
	Superintending Engineer's Office.		
27-9	Mr. M. D. Rozario, Special Draftsman	100	√
	Fourth Circle		
	Superintending Engineer's Office		
N.	Mr. J. M. Rozario Acting Clerk III Class 3d Grade	52	√
	Coimbatore Division.		
19-4	Mr. S. Ribeiro, Acting Clerk III Class 2d Grade	64	√
	West Coast Division		
7-5	Mr. A. G. Rozario Clerk III Class 2d Grade	80	√
N	" A. H. C. Ambroo, Clerk IV Class 3d Grade	30	√
25-6	" J. D'Cruz Clerk III Class 3d Grade	25	√
N	" F. Cunha Clerk V Class 1st Grade	19	√
N	" A. B. Monteiro do 1st Grade	19	√
N.	" S. Sequeira Clerk III Class 2d Grade	100	Temporary Clerk on Rs 100/-
	Fifth Circle		
	Superintending Engineer's Office.		
33-10	Mr. V. A. Thomas, Clerk II Class 1st Grade	200	
	P. W. Workshops		
8-0	Mr. J. Webb, Clerk V Class 1st Grade	20.	√

Office Establishment (Continued)

List of European and East Indian Civil Engineers and Uncovenanted Civil Servants in the P. W. Department attached to the Presidency of Madras on the 1st April 1891.

Class.	Name and Rank.	Salary	Remarks
Office Establishment (Continued)	**Sixth Circle.** **Superintending Engineer's Office.**		
8—0	Mr. A. Lazarus, Clerk IV Class 2d grade	32.	Substantive pro-tem

Note:— This statement excludes all members of temporary establishment.

Madras
27th May 1891

Forwarded
Offg Examiner of P. W. Accounts, Madras.
Major R.E.
27/5

27/5

[Reference to Madras P.W.D. Code, Edition of 1886, paras. 1966—69.]

(P.W.D. Form No. M 112—Inner Sheet).

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in respect of Estimates for the period ending 31st august 1891.

N.B.—In the case of appropriations, the amount in column 5 is the outlay of the year only.

Item No.	Particulars of each item.	Fund to which chargeable.	Amount of Estimate. ~~Appropriation.~~	Outlay to date against	Excess over	Expenditure during the quarter.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
	Excess over Estimate of 2nd Items of May 1891.						6 Not noticed by Consulting architect in Objectionable Item Statement for august 1891.	
6	Purchase of Land required for the Government Brick-fields.	N.	1704	2357	653		In G.O. No. 2162 W. dated 11 august 1891 passing orders on the Provisional Objectionable Item Statement for may 1891 it was ordered that the circumstances in which outlay was incurred in excess of estimate should be explained by explanation for excesses awaited	A revised estimate of Rs 2357 was sanctioned in G.O. No. 2829 W. dated 26 Oct. 1891
	December 1890.							
7	Manufacture of Bricks and Tiles at the Government Brick fields.	N.	45103	69748	24645		7 Revised Estimate has been called for from the Executive Officer (Not Executive — All an Objectionable Item Statement for august 1891) The work having been closed in March 91 a revised Estimate is therefore not necessary vide code para 955 all that is now required is the approval of Government (by whom the Estimate was sanctioned) to the excess outlay.	The outlay incurred in excess of the original Estimate was sanctioned in G.O. No. 3107 W. dated 18 Nov. 1891.
	September 1890.							† With the despatches
8	Constructing an open drain from the General Hospital.	N.	1590	1384	2252		8 and 9 - Works not completed and Completion Reports have been called for from the Executive Officer	Items 8 & 9. Completion reports are awaited.

[Reference to Madras P.W.D. Code, Edition of 1886, paras. 1966—69.]

(P.W.D. Form No. M 112—Inner Sheet).

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in respect of Estimates for the period ending 31st August 1891.

N.B.—In the case of appropriations, the amount in column 5 is the outlay of the year only.

Item No.	Particulars of each item.	Fund to which chargeable.	Amount of Estimate. Appropriation.	Outlay to date against	Excess over	Expenditure during the quarter.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
	May 1890						Opposed C.A. in Objectionable Item Statement for August 1891.	
9	Additions and improvements to Presidency Training School for Matrons	N.	26370	~~33583~~ 33583	7213	3232	Explanation for excesses awaited.	D.O.B. 20.11.91
	Old Items							
	May 1891							
4	New Women and Childrens Hospital - Construction -	N.	12765	12765	11340		Estimate will be sanctioned as soon as the plans are completed (C.A. in Objectionable Item Statement for August 1891) The commencement of the work was authorised on G.O. No. 1018 W dated 11 April 1891 Sanction to Estimate is awaited	An estimate for this work cannot be prepared until the question of site which is under reference to the Govt. of India is settled.
	March 1889							
5	Repairing the steeple of St Andrew's Church	N.	6587	6587	-		Estimate has been called for from the Sub Divisional officer South Sub Division and will be submitted as soon as it is received. (C.A. in Objectionable Item Statement for August 91) The above remark has been repeated by the Consulting Architect in his Divisional Objectionable Item Statement from April 91 and upto end of August 1891 the Sub Divisional officer does not appear to have submitted the Estimate called for. The objection is now out standing 29 months and is specially brought to notice of Government.	The Consg. Architect will call upon the Sub Divisional officer for explanation of the cause of delay in the submission of an estimate for the item and communicate the result to Govt. If the work has been completed application for sanction to the expenditure should be submitted without delay.

L. S. Sherlock-Hubbard
Asst Examiner P.W. Accounts
Madras
2/9/91