

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 6
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 10/80
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 104

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

97

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	2878-9	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	29-10-1891	198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

4 Sheets

ஆவண, கா-1-18,006-15-6-87.

Mr. J. S. S. S.

N.B.—In any future communication on this subject, the number and date of this letter should be quoted; and the communication should be addressed to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, and not to any by name.

[C. No. 1041.]

I.S. Sherlock-Hubbard Esq.
FROM MAJOR C. H. P. CHRISTIE, R.E.,
OFFY EXAMINER OF P. W. ACCOUNTS, MADRAS,
To

The Secretary to Government,

Public Works Department.

No. 5928

Madras, 30 September 1891.

Sir,

With reference to G.O.No.1619 W, P.W. dated 15th. June 1891,
conveying sanction to -

I. an estimate for Rs.2,466 for a gallery in the Court Library, as
supplemental to the estimate amounting to Rs.9,97,069 already
sanctioned for the New Law Courts at Madras, thus raising the to-
tal amount sanctioned to Rs.9,99,535;

& II. estimates for the following works, as distinct from the original
project, viz

a. Building a set of rooms for the Government

Solicitor's Office and Jury rooms.....Rs. 26,113

b. Additional Small Cause Court, with Press

rooms below.....Rs. 84,824

c. Sixty Chambers for Vakils.....Rs. 1,22,540

d. Partitions in rooms for Barristers & Attorneys

Chambers.....Rs. 651,

I have the honor to submit for consideration that it appears to me
doubtful whether the cost of the Jury rooms (included in item a, but
the cost of which has not been separately stated), and the partitions
in rooms, for Barristers' and Attorneys' Chambers (item d), can be sepa-
rated from the original project; and that notwithstanding the remune-
rative character of the rooms for the Government Solicitor (part of
item a) and the Vakils Chambers (item c), their cost appears to me to be
dependent upon that project.

2. I may be wrong in my opinion, ^{but} under the circumstances stated

above

I would ask that the matter be referred for the orders of the Government of India.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant.

J. S. Sherlock-Hubbard

Offg. Examiner P.W. Accounts Madras.

2878-9
2

80. Ar. 1058 w 3 17-4-89
 " " 2489 w 3 17-9-90
 " B. 2908 w 3 3-11-90
 " A. 3574 w 3 7-2-91
 " " 769 w 3 17-3-91
 " A. 1689 w 3 10-6-91

From
 The Offg. Examiner P.W. Accounts,
 Madras.

To
 The Secretary to Government,
 P.W. Department.

No. 5928

Dated 30 September 1891.

Recd. 1-10-91

New Hight Court Buildings.
 Regarding supplemental estimates to--

1/13/10
 22-10-91
 0/1/10
 2-13-10-91

2878-9

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Read the following:—

From the Examiner, P.W. Accounts, No 5928 of 30 9 91.

as the Examiner demands a
 return, we are bound to
 believe to make it.

W.
 19 Oct

FOR ORDERS with reference

to the notes attached

to G.O. No 1619 W, dated

15th June 1891.

1000
 1/10-91

J

W.

Cu

No. 2878 W d/ 29th Oct/91

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

2878 W

Read the following

Office Note from the Examiner P.W. Accounts No. 5928 d/ 30th Sep/91

The Government of India
will be addressed accordingly.

For P.W. Accounts
D. 30/10

H.E. No. 2879 W d/ 29th Oct/91:-
To

The Secretary to the Govt.
of India
P.W.D.

Sir.

Recd
22 Oct

I am directed to forward
for the orders of the Government
of India a copy of the Pro-
ceedings of this Government
No. 1619 W d/ 15th June 1891, together
with a copy of a letter from the
Examiner P.W. Accounts No.
5928 dated 30th September 1891.
It will be seen from the
Proceedings that
sanction a

High Court buildings
 at Madras, amounts to Rs 9,99,535.
 and that this Government has
 sanctioned as a separate and
 remunerative project,
 an estimate amounting to
 Rs 2,34,128 for constructing a
 block of buildings to contain
 Chambers for Vakils and barristers,
 as well as an additional Small
 Cause Courts.

2. I am to state that in
 the opinion of the Government;
 it has acted within its powers
 under para 1539 Madras P.W.
 Code in sanctioning the two
 estimates separately and
 that there is no necessity
 to treat them as one project
 requiring the sanction of the
 Secretary of State.

Handwritten notes:
 2-4-91

Handwritten: No 2-4-91

Handwritten: No 2878-9 dated 29/10/91

Handwritten: 35/11

Handwritten:
 Deskt. 10/11
 29/10
 15.10.91

Handwritten: S 17.50

Handwritten: (2)

Handwritten:
 C.3.4
 H.M. 21/10
 H.E. 22/10

Handwritten: 5/11