(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 86

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 10/8/0

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

# தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(20)

## தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். / மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 2.802 அச்சகம் / பலவகை

நாள் : 24-10-1891 198

டிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

43. Sheets

(Brittle for mending)

வண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

## Note

The accompanying statement which compares budget grant, final grant and actual outlay under all funds in the General Branch for 1890-91 will show that there has been a lapse of Rs 81,531 from the total final grant of Rs 23,51,233. Deducting from these sums the amounts which were not allotted to any Division, the total lapse will be Rs 59,679 against a grant of Rs 23,29381 or 2·5 per cent of the grant. There has been excess outlay in 10 Divisions and short outlay in 18 Divisions. The number of Divisions in which short outlay of over Rs 2,000 has occurred is ten and the explanation furnished in each case is appended below.

Vizagapat. — The short

This compares the outlay with the final grant, i.e. the grant standing after the reappropriations & surrenders; which is a misleading comparison.

The comparison should be made with the budget grant also.

The budget grant to the Dept. was 25.75 lakhs, & the outlay only 22.70, giving a shortfall of 3.05, which is nearly 12 % of the grant. Of the 3.05, 2.39 occurs in the Architect's division & about ·50 in the Ganjam Dist. under Ct. of Wards works. It should be explained why these large sums were surrendered & why they were not reallotted in time to be utilized during the year. The sum unallotted was also ·21.

3 Oct.

outlay is explained to be due to (1) want of skilled labor owing to rapid progress of Railway works in the vicinity, (2) want of good bricks (3) unusual heavy rains during February and March 1891 of Parvatipur

Kistna Eastern — The short outlay in this Division is mainly under Provincial Civil Works and Incorporated Local Funds and is explained to be due to (1) savings on the estimate for widening Ryves' Canal which was nearly completed during the year (2) the late allotment of funds for the construction of ballast for the Pondugal ferry by 2nd March 1891.

Bellary :— The short outlay is due to (1) provision for contingencies and petty supervision in the estimates for taluq office Kudligi and District Munsif's Court Bellary not having been utilised (2) difficulty in getting Contractors [illegible]

2802/2

of Police huts at Munchukota (3) stoppage of work on the taluq office at Kampli under orders of the Chief Engineer P.W.D.

Coimbatore :— The chief heads under which short outlay has occurred are Imperial Military, Provincial & Incorporated Local. No explanation has been furnished by the Superintending Engineer for the short outlay of Rs 3,263 under Imperial Military. The short outlay under Provincial is due to (1) the late receipt of orders for putting in hand the iron work of the Farewell Bridge (2) late sanction of allotment for Sub registrar's office at Attur (3) failure of Contractor for Sub-registrar's office, Gobichettypalayam. In the case of other works under Provincial on which there have been only small lapses, no explanation has been given, but the names of officers & subordinates

C

concerned have been stated. The short outlay under Incorporated Local is due to the breaking out of Cholera among workmen.

West Coast :— The only head under which short outlay has occurred is Provincial Civil Works and the explanation furnished is (1) nonreceipt of advice of the arrival of girder bridges for the Vayitri Gudalur road (2) unhealthiness of the place as regards the construction of the revetment to the left approach of the Sholadi Bridge (3) savings on completed works.

North Arcot :— This Division having since been transferred to the IV Circle, neither the Superintending Engineer V nor the Superintending Engineer IV has submitted explanations for short outlay, but as the lapse is only Rs 2793 and as the surrender~~ing~~ of Rs 4120 from the grant for the

of a dam on the road from Arni to Wandewash was not accepted by Government no further explanation is necessary.

2803/3

South Arcot :— Short outlay in this Division has occurred under Provincial and Incorporated Local and is due in the first case to (1) failure of contractors for the Taluq office, Villupuram and Subregistrar's office at Vanur (2) Compensation for land for the Sub-registrar office at Swami Malay not having been adjusted by the Revenue Department and in the 2nd case to late allotment of funds viz in February 1891 and to freshes in the Vellar during February and March 1891.

Madura :— The short outlay under Provincial in this Division is due to the write back of the value of materials at the site of the condemned Munsif's court at Paramakudi

2802/4

Trichinopoly:– The short outlay in this Division is due to (1) prevalence of cholera and untimely rain which damaged brick kilns, (2) sickness in the Central Jail at Trichinopoly which interrupted the works undertaken by the Superintendent of Jails (3) late sanction of estimates (4) nonpayment by the Rev: Department of the compensation for removal of Chacklers parchary in the Pioneer lines.

Architect:– There has been only a lapse of Rs 4,200 on a grant of Rs 6,50,000 which is not worth noticing.–

The explanation furnished for the lapses in each Division is satisfactory with the exception of Bellary and Coimbatore. In the case of the former, the provision for contingencies & petty supervision in the estimates for taluq office at Hadagi and District Munsif's Court at B [illegible] should not be utilised should have been surrendered. As regards the Coimbatore Division it is submitted that some explanation should have been furnished for the lapse under Imperial Military and for the small lapses from the grants for certain works under Provincial. The lapses in the two Divisions for which no proper explanation has been furnished are very small and are not worth noticing. The results in the General Branch are on the whole satisfactory and the statements forwarded by the Superintending Engineers and the Consulting Architect to Government may therefore be recorded.

S

W

OWB
25.9.91

2802/5

## Note

With reference to the remarks of the Hon. Member on the note regarding short outlay in the General Branch during 1890-91, the following additional information is submitted.

Outlay short of the original grants has occurred in 9 divisions. The lapses in 5 of these divisions are inconsiderable. In regard to the remaining 4 namely Ganjam Rs 50648, Godaveri Eastern Rs 16888, Nellore Rs 7755 and Architect division Rs 243228, the following seem

Seem to be the causes of short outlay.

Ganjam. Short outlay has occurred only under the head "Court of Wards Estates". The circumstances have been reviewed in the Administration Report, vide para 14.

Godaveri Eastern. The short outlay in this case occurs under Incorporated Local, and the following are the causes.

| | |
|---|---|
| Rs 29,703 from the iron girder bridge over the Mattalapalam Salt Creek | The work was transferred to the Local Fund Board for execution, G.O. 530 d/ 11th July 90 |
| Rs 10,270 from bridges across irrigation channels | A sum of Rs 12,000 was provided by the Revenue Dept. in the Canal and Ferry Fund Budget without application from the P.W.D. It was found that on Rs 1730 were required for testing the bridges. The balance was accordingly surrendered to the Revenue pt. vide G.O. No. 2486 W d/ 17.9.90. |
| Rs 1,000 from the aqueduct over Chollangi river | This is due to a saving on the original estimate, and the amount was replaced at the disposal of the Revenue Dept. G.O. No. 2486 W d/ 17.9.90. |
| Rs 1,200 from the Kovur Munpair Junction Canal | This is due to readjustment of outlay among different funds. The amount was transferred to Minor Works and Navigation vide G.O. No. 2486 W d/ 17.9.90. |

2882/6

## Nellore

| | |
|---|---|
| Rs 4,500 from the Collector's office, Nellore | Due to saving on the estimate G.O. No. 521 W d/ 23.2.91 |
| Rs 1,200 from the Collector's office, Nellore | Represents saving on the estimate. vide para 61 of the Administration Report. |
| Rs 1,000 from "Minor Works" | Due to delay in making over to the P.W.D. the site for the Police

Rs 1300 from "Civil Buildings, Repairs" } Police Station at ...
G.O. 521 W. of 25th Feby 91.
transferred by Supdtg. Engr. to other divisions within Circle where fund needed.

Architect. The explanation of the Shortfall in this division was furnished in the note attached to G.O. No. 645 W. d/ 6th March 1891 to which attention is also invited in reply to the Hon. Member's query "why the large sums were not surrendered or reallotted in time." The explanations therein furnished were accepted by the Hon. Member with the remark that "the lapses however much to be regretted appear unavoidable".

Seen
[illegible]
[illegible]

R.S.
10/10
9-10-91
W. Hadlow
16/10
No. 174

W

Statement Comparing Original grants, Final Gr
enditure, and short or Excess outlay in the Consulti
chitect's Division for the official Year 1890-1891.

| Funds. | Original Grant | Final Grant | Actuals 20 per month's accts. Printing | Excess or lapses during 90-91 | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| rovincial | 887,810 | 648,932 | 649,470 | -538 | Differences being trifling no explan is necessary. |
| mperial Civil Works | 3,800 | 2,402 | 6,523 | +1879 | |
| cluded Local Funds | 348 | 1,582 | 1783 | -201 | |
| perial Military<br>ncorporated Local Funds | — Nil | | | | |

2808/7

No. 903 of — Forwarded to the Chief Engineer P.W.D. with reference to his Circular Memo No. 2420 C of 8th May 1891

Henry Irwin
Consulting Architect to G

5th June 1891
Madras

P.W Current 6 20

Rd 9-6-9

No-2420C of 8-5-91 ✓
" 3348C " 18-7-91 ✓
" 3842C " 25-8-91 ✓
" 4066 " 14-9-91
" 538 " 11-7-91 Rev
" 2486W " 17-9-90
" 521W " 28-2-91
" 645W " 6-3-91
" 794W " 18-3-91

---

VI. Circle. Fund Imperial

tatement comparing original grants, final grants, xpenditure and short or excess outlay in each vision for the year 1890-91-

ide Memorandum No. 2420 C of 8th May 1891, from the Chief Engineer P. W. D.

2802/8

| Executive Division | Head of Service | original grant 1890-91 | final grant 1890-91 | outlay 1890-91 | Expenditure compared with final grant more | less | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Imperial Military | | | | | | |
| richinopoly | Minor Works | 705 | 1,813 | 656 | . | 1,157 | The sum of Rs 1157 allotted in G.O. No. 2239 M of 18th Augt 1890 for compensation for removing fund-less paracheries in the Pioneer lines at Trichinopoly was not disbursed by the Rev. Dept. upto end of the year & this delay has been intimated by the Collector only lately. |
| Do | Repairs | 5,000 | 3,200 | 3,639 | 439 | . | This sum which was under sus- from the Mil. dept pensions to this head will be adjusted on recovery of the same from the Military dept |
| Madura | Do | 420 | 420 | 420 | " | " | |
| Tinnevelly | Do | 560 | 560 | 447 | " | 113 | Due to the Exe. not passing certain Bills for house rent for want of information regarding pay of the Apothecary in charge of dispensary at Tuticorin |

| Executive Division | Head of Service | Original grant 1890-91 | Final grant 1890-91 | Outlay 1890-91 | Expenditure compared with final grant | | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | More | Less | |
| | Imperial - other Services<br>Postal | | | | | | |
| Trichinopoly | Minor Works | 427 | 587 | 551 | . | 36 | Requires no special explanation being very small |
| Madura | Do | . | 103 | 92 | . | 11 | do. |
| Tinnevelly | Do | . | 190 | 182 | . | 8 | do. |
| Trichinopoly | Repairs | 100 | 288 | 294 | 6 | . | do. |
| Madura | Do | 200 | 500 | 493 | . | 7 | do. |
| Tinnevelly | Do | 400 | 700 | 459 | . | 241 | Due to some bills not being received from Chief Supt Travancore Govt & to the stoppage of deepening well in the Post office compound at Palamcottah the well having since received a supply of water. |

2802/9

| Division | Head of Service | Original grant 1890-91 | Final grant 1890-91 | Outlay 1890-91 | Expenditure compared with final grant | | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | More | Less | |
| | Telegraphs | | | | | | |
| Trichinopoly | Minor Works | 236 | 236 | 157 | . | 79 | Savings in the Estimate |
| Do | Repairs | 200 | 410 | 360 | . | 50 | Do |
| Tinnevelly | Do | 200 | 40 | 33 | . | 7 | Do |

[illegible]
offg. Supdg. Engineer
[illegible] Circle
23/5/91

To
The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

P.W. Current 3687/

From

The Supt. Engineer
VI circle

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

No. 306-C
Dated 23rd
Despd 25 } May 1891.
Rd 26-5-91

Fund - Imperial.

Statement comparing original grant, final grant, expenditure and short or excess outlay in each Division for the year 1890-91-

| Executive Division | Head of Service | Original grant 1890-91 | Final grant 1890-91 | Outlay 1890-91 | Expenditure compared with grant<br>more | less | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Madura | Major Works | 48,990 | 45,015 | 42,134 | " | 2,881 | The short outlay under this is properly speaking Rs 4,396 which is the write back of the value of materials at site of the condemned Munsiff's Court at Paramakudi to the new building, but deducting excess outlay on other works under the head the net short outlay comes to Rs 2,881. |
| Do | Minor Works | 3,260 | 9,688 | 9,510 | " | 178 | Requires no special explanation being small & chiefly savings on estimates. |
| Do | Repairs | 3000 | 3,013 | 2,756 | " | 257 | Do |
| Do | Tools & Plant | 400 | 400 | 409 | 9 | " | — |
| Tinnevelly | Major Works | 13,460 | 20,558 | 19,227 | " | 1,331. | Due chiefly to savings in Taluq cutchery at Srivaikuntham and some other works under the head— |

| Executive Division | Head of Service | original grant 1890-91 | Final grant 1890-91 | outlay 1890/91 | Expenditure compared with final grant. | | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Tinnevelly | Minor Works | 3,750 | 11,645 | 12,173 | 528 | – | owing to the debit advice lately received from the R.W. Workshops for supply of lightning conductors &c for certain buildings |
| Do | Repairs | 1,500 | 1,150 | 1,142 | – | 8 | No special explanation required. |
| Do | Tools & Plant | 300 | 1,360 | 1,351 | – | 9. | Do |
| | Communications<br>Original Works | | | | | | |
| Madura | Major Works | – | – | 147 | 147 | – | For adjusting liabilities of 1889-90 on account of completing the [illegible] Gudalur road. |
| | Mis: Pub: Impts:<br>Original. Works | | | | | | |
| Tinnevelly | Major Works | 7,680 | 3,510 | 6,253 | 2,743 | – | Due to the debit advice for cost of steam engine & sent from R.W. stores on account of artesian well boring at Tuticorin, vide G.O 1220 W/2.5.91 |

W. Current 5 [illegible]

From

The Supt. Engineer
VI circle.

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

No. 307 C
Dated 23d } May 1891.
Despd. 25 }
Rd 26-5-91

**Fund Provincial**

Statement comparing original grants, final grants, expenditure and short or excess outlay in each Division for the year 1891-92.

---

VI. Circle

## Incorporated Local Funds.

2809/13

Statement comparing original grants, final grants, expenditure and short or excess outlay in each division for the year 1891–92. Vide memorandum No. 2420C, dated 8th May 1891 from the Chief Engineer, D. P. W.

| Executive Division | Heads of Service | Original grant 1890–91 | Final grant 1890–91 | Outlay 1890–91 | Expenditure compared with final grant | | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | More | Less | |
| | **Incorporated Local Funds.**<br>**Communication** | | | | | | |
| Madura | Repairs | " | " | 31 | *31 | " | *This was incurred in settling liabilities in the Maintenance Account of the Cumbum Gudalur road for 1889–90. |
| Trichinopoly | Do. | 1,000 | 1,000 | 982 | " | 18 | Short outlay very small; requires no special explanation. |
| Tinnevelly | Major works | " | 1,000 | 965 | " | 35 | Requires no special explanation being small. |
| Do. | Minor works | " | 130 | 106 | " | 32 | — do — |

Offg. Superintending Engineer
VI Circle

To

The Chief Engineer
D. P. W. 23/5/91

From

The Supdt. Engineer
VI circle

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

No. 308 rc
Dated 23rd } May 1891
Despd. 25 }
Recd. 26-5-91

**Incorporated Local Funds.**

Statement comparing original grants, final grants, expenditure and short or excess outlay in each Division for the year 1891-92.

---

VI Circle

2862/14

# Excluded Local Funds.

Statement comparing original grants final grants expenditure & short or Excess outlay in each division for the year 1890-91 – vide memorandum No. 2420 C of 8th May 1891 from the Chief Engineer P.W.D.

| Executive division | Head of Service | original grant 1890-91 | final grant 1890-91 | outlay 1890/91 | Expenditure compared with final grant | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Excluded Local Funds.<br>Port Fund.<br>Mis: Public Impts. | | | | | |
| Tinnevelly | Minor Works | . | 1,160 | 980 | . 180 | Due to delay on the part of Contractor in completing fully the cook house to the Light Supdt's quarters & compound wall in front of Light Keeper's quarters at Mannar – |
| Do. | Repairs | . | 465 | 430 | – 35 | Requires no special explanation. |
| Madura | Do. | . | 190 | 72 | . 118 | Owing to the late grant of funds for repg. the Port Officer's bungalow at Paumben & to the [illegible] being in the remotest part of the division – |

To
The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

E W M[illegible]
Offg. Supdtg. Engineer
VI Circle
23/5/91

P.W. Current 5684

From

The Supdt. Engineer
VI Circle

To.

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

No. 309 TC
Dated 23 May 1891
Rd 26-5-91

Excluded Local Funds.

Statement comparing original grants, final grants, expenditure and short or excess outlay in each Division for the year 1890-91.

---

7000

From

The Superintending Engineer
V Circle

2802/15

To,

The Chief Engineer
P.W. Department

Dated 26 June 1891
Rd 30-6-91

No. 302 E

Statement of short and excess outlay on the grants for 1890-91 under Imperial Military.

Nil.

D. McW. Campbell Major R.E.
Superintending Engineer V Circle

End 25/6.

B

2802/17

P.W. Current 5684

From CURRENT No. 7001

The Superintending Engineer
V Circle

To
The Chief Engineer
P W Department

/16

2802/17

Dated 26 June 1891
Rd 30-6-91

No. 3032

Statement of short and excess outlay on the grants for 1890-91 under Imperial Civil

"Nil"

[illegible] Major R.E.
Superintending Engineer
V Circle

Gmd.
25/6

B

Statement shewing explanation for short and excess outlay on the grants for 1890-91 under Provincial Civil Buildings &ca

2802/22

| Division | Name of Work | amount of grant | Expenditure up to 31st March 1891 | Difference<br>Less | <br>more | Name of Officer Concerned | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Provincial Civil Buildings<br>Major Original Works | | | | | | |
| South Arcot | Taluq Office at Villuparam | 9973 | 7821 | 21 52 | " | S. Panchanada Iyer Overseer | Short outlay due to failure of Original Contractor & difficulty in obtaining labor due to the ground nut harvest. Labor had to be imported. Mangalore tiles were ordered for this work & money was retained to meet the cost of these tiles. But payment could not be made by transfer Receipt and the Executive Engineer Coast Division had no funds available. |
| Do | Sub Registrars Office at Vanur | 2080 | 685 | 13 95 | " | Do Do | The Contract of this work was cancelled twice and a third contractor was engaged. He was only able to collect a limited quantity of materials and lay down the foundation. |
| Tanjore | Sub Registrars Office at Swamimalay | 2730 | 2202 | 5 28 | | W. Masilamony Overseer | The compensation for land payable by the Civil Department is Rs 500 for which the balance of allotment was reserved. |

2802/18

Statement shewing explanation for short and excess outlay on the grants for 1890-91 under Provincial Civil Buildings &ca

| Division | Name of Work | Amount of grant | Expenditure up to 31st March 1891 | Difference Less | Difference more | Name of officer concerned | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Tanjore | Taluq Office at Kumbaconum | 5000 | 5820 | " | 820 | W. Masilamony Mood^r Overseer. | The Executive Engineer reports that the excess was incurred under the expectation of getting additional funds. Application for additional funds was however submitted but it was too late to sanction funds Vide G.O. No. 913 W of 2^d April 1891. |
| Chingleput | Improvements to the High School at Saidapett | 1675 | 982 | 693 | " | Mr. H.C. Berlie Sub Divisional Officer Mount. | This lapse would not have occurred if the revised Estimate had been received sanctioned during the last official year. |
| Tanjore | Lump sum grant | 7426 | 7927 | " | 501 | | The excess was due to additional outlay on Anikarachattram Police station work owing to some what lax system of working. |
| South Arcot | Repairs<br>Lump sum grant | 2184 | 1801 | 383 | " | | The short outlay is due to the fact that the repairs to the Bungalow of the Assistant Superintendent of Police at Tirukoilur were not completed owing to the receipt of the Mangalore tiles |

2802/19

Statement shewing explanation for short and excess outlay on the grants for 1890-91 under Provincial Civil Buildings &c.

2802/20

| Division | Name of Work | Amount of grant | Expenditure up to 31st March 1891 | Difference Less | Difference more | Name of Officer Concerned | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Tanjore | Lump sum grant | 6650 | 5834 | 816 | " | | tiles late in March, too late to allow of payment being made on them. A sum of Rs 300 was asked to be transferred to Kumbaconum Taluq Cutcherry but it was too late to sanction it Vide G.O No. 913 W dated 2nd April 1891. A grant of Rs 250 was sanctioned in G.O No. 892 W dated 30th March/91 for repairing the cemeteries in the Fort at Tranquebar. It was too late to spend the grant. |
| | Communications major original | | | | | | |
| South Arcot | Masonry bridge across the Ponnaiyar River | 28161 | 32601 | " | 4440 | Mr C J Peters Executive Engineer in charge | Adjustment of the amount to be refunded by the Forest Department on account of cost of trees not cut and other adjustment to reduce the outlay are expected to be made in the accounts (supplementary) for March and in those of April & May 189 |
| Do | Girder Bridge across the Gadilam River | 47957 | 44368 | 89 | | | |

2802/20

Statement shewing explanation for short and excess outlay on the grants for 1890-91 under Provincial Civil Buildings &c

2802/22

| Division | Name of Work | Amount of grant | Expenditure up to 31st March 1891 | Difference Less | Difference more | Name of Officer Concerned | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Tools and Plant | | | | | | |
| P.W. Workshop | Lump sum grant | 1500 | 2069 | | 569 | | The excess is due to a platform weighing machine and a parallel vice and other articles having been purchased late in the Official year when it was too late to apply for additional grant. The tools and Plant were necessarily required. |
| P.W. Stores | Do Do | 25,000 | 25,680 | | 680 | | The excess represents workshops debit on account of certain articles of Tool and Plant ordered to be manufactured for stock of Public works stores to meet the demands of other Divisions. |

2802/21

No. 304 W.

To,

The Chief Engineer P.W. Department with reference to his Circular memorandum No. 2200 dated 8th May 1891.

26 June 1891

G. McW. Campbell Major R.E.
Superintending Engineer V Circle

Exmd. [illegible] 25/6

P. W. CURRENT No. 6999

From

The Superintending Engineer
V Ci[illegible]

To,

The Chief Engineer
P.W. Department.

Dated 26th June 1891.
Rd 30-6-91

No. 304 W
Provincial Civil

Statement of short and excess outlay for 1890-91 under—

Submits ——

B

2802/23

Statement shewing explanation for short and excess outlay on the grants for 1890-91 under Incorporated Local Funds.

2802/24

| Division | Name of Work | Amount of grant | Expenditure up to 31st March 1891 | Difference Less | Difference More | Name of Officer Concerned | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|  | [illegible] Communications Repairs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Negapatam | Grant | 11078 | 20,064 | . | 8986 |  | The excess is due to the adjustment of the moiety of the difference between the traffic receipts and the cost of maintenance of Vedaraniam Canal as per G.O. No. 389 dated 22nd April 1885. Only Rs 5000 was allotted by the President District Board to meet the adjustment of Rs 14121 during the year. |
|  | Miscellaneous public Improvement Original Works |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Arcot | Protecting the Village of Thonnavoorthirai from encroachment of the Vellaur. | 1437 | 614 | 823 | " | Abdul Latif Sahib Supervisor | Funds for the work were allotted only in February 1891 owing to slight freshes in the Vellaur River & rains in February & March 1891 the work could not be completed. |

2802/24

No. 305 W.

To,
The Chief Engineer P. W. Department
with reference to his Circular Memorandum
No. 2420C dated 8th May 1891,

[illegible] Campbell Major R.E.
Superintending Engineer [illegible] Circle

26 June 1891
[illegible]
25

From 6998

The Superintending Engineer
V Circle

To,

The Chief Engineer
P.W. Department

Dated 26 June 1891

Rd 30-6-91

No 3862

Incorporated Local & Miscellaneous Public Improvement.

Statement of cost & excess outlay for 1890-91 under — submits —

---

No 285/W

Supg. Engineer's office, 4th Circle,
3d June 1891.

From,

Lt Col. R.H.E. Drake-Brockman, R.E.,
Supg. Engineer, 4th Circle,

To,

The Chief Engineer,
P.W. Department.

3862/25

Sir,

With reference to your Memorandum No 2371C of 4th May 1891, I have the honor to forward herewith a statement comparing the total grant, actual expenditure and lapses of the Circle, and to state that the lapses under Rs 50 have not been taken into account.

Enclos 1.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt servant,

R.H.E.Drake-Brockman
Lt Col R.E.,

Supg. Engineer, 4th Circle.

| Divn. | Fund | Grant for 1890-91 | Expenditure during 1890-91 | Short Outlay | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cuddapah | Imperial Military |  |  |  |  |
|  | Repairs | 855 | 825 | 30 |  |
|  | Civil Buildings Postal |  |  |  |  |
|  | Repairs | 400 | 407 |  |  |
|  | Provincial Civil Buildings |  |  |  |  |
|  | Original Works | 50,588 | 49037 | (C)<br>1551 | vide (1) The allotments to Sub Registrar's Offices at Jammalmadugu and Sidhout were not fully spent owing to lateness of sanction and failure on the part of Contractor.<br>(2) The Contractor failed to carry out the work at Gurramkondah and was therefore to be done departmentally. |
|  | Repairs | 2,000 | 1901 | 99. |  |
| Kurnool | Imperial Military |  |  |  |  |
|  | Repairs | 364 | 204 | D<br>160. | D The Military Apothecary attached to the Collectorate was transferred in January and was succeeded by a Civilian, and hence not utilized. |
|  | Civil Buildings Postal |  |  |  |  |
|  | Repairs | 329 | 271. | 58. |  |
|  | Provincial Civil Buildings |  |  |  |  |
|  | Original Works | 22,937 | 21,187 | E<br>1750 | E The burnt tiles supplied by Contractor for the Residence of Asst. Superintendent of Police Nandyal were rejected and not resupplied within the official year and Rs 749 balance for Minor Works were not spent on Police Hospital & Office for the same reason quoted above |
|  | Repairs | 10,102 | 10,053 | 49. |  |

No. 537 of 30 May 91.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer P.W. Deptt. with reference to his Memorandum No. 2920 C. of 8th May 91.

H. Smalley
Col. R.E.,
Supdg. Engineer
3rd Circle

From
The Superintg Engineer
3rd Circle
Bellary

To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. Deptt.
Madras

Bellary 30 May 91.
Recd. 2-6-91
No 537

Statement comparing Grant, Expenditure &c under all heads in the General Buildings and Roads Branch.

Submitting the ——

---

Bellary Division

2862
27

## Statement comparing Grant, Expenditure &c under all heads in the General Buildings and Roads Branch.

| Fund | Grant for 1890-91 | Expenditure during 1890-91. | Short Outlay |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Imperial Civil Buildings** | | | |
| **Postal** | | | |
| Original Works. | 102 | 102. | |
| Repairs | 400. | 395. | |
| **Telegraph** | | | |
| Original Works | 90 | 85 | 5 |
| Repairs | 200 | 173. | 27. |
| **Provincial** | | | |
| Civil Buildings | | | |
| Original Works | 70,578. | 64,132 | A 6446. |
| Repairs | 9000. | 8989 | 11. |
| **Communication** | | | |
| Repairs | 4,700 | 3980. | B 720. |

Remarks.

Short Outlay is explained as follows:—

A (1). Rs 1591 unspent balance provided for Contingencies and petty supervision for Talug Office Kudligi

(2). Rs 2000. Improvements to Compound wall of Collector's Office Bellary started only in February 1891 owing to de
in receiving and issuing permits to quarry stones.

(3). Rs 500. Provided for Contingencies for District Munsif Court Bellary, this will be utilized for works not pr
=vided for in Estimate.

(4). Rs 650. Owing to difficulty in getting Contractors for low rates, the construction of 7 Police Huts at
=Kota was commenced in the latter part of the official yea

(5). Rs 1831. Work on the Talug Office Hampli stopped as per Chief Engineer's Memo. No 1547 C of 18th March 91.

B The Sub Divl Officer, Mr Taylor is responsible for this lapse of

## II Circle — Kistna Western Division.

## Statement showing differences between Budget grant and outlay for Each Head.

## 1890—91.

| Name of work<br>1 | Original grant<br>2 | Modified Grant<br>3 | Outlay<br>4 | Explanation of differences<br>5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Imperial Other Services | | | | |
| Postal — | | | | |
| " Original Works. | " | 505 ✓ | 494 | Differences small |
| " Repairs | 470 | 370 | 387 | |
| Telegraph — | | | | |
| " Repairs | 100 | 150 ✓ | 158 | |

2802 / 28

Allan [illegible]

Executive Engineer.
Kistna Western Dn.

Kistna Western Dn. Office
May 1891

W. Hope
4-6-91
Offg Supg Engineer
II Circle

B 28/5 T 28/5

II Circle — Kistna Western Division.

Statement showing differences between Budget grant and Outlay for each Fund.

1890-91.

| Name of work<br>1 | Original Grant<br>2 | Modified Grant<br>3 | Outlay<br>4 | Explanation of differences<br>5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Incorporated Local | | | | |
| Repairs ——— | 1325 | 1325 | 1221 | Balance not required |

2802/29

Kistna Western Dn Office }
May 1891 }

Executive Engineer,
Kistna Western Dn.

W. Sopp
4/6/91
Offg Supg Engineer
II Circle

[illegible]/28/5  2/6 28/5

ENGINEER
P.W.D.
CIRCLE

No $\frac{8}{6528}$

$\frac{2802}{30}$

No 644 Kd

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer PWD with reference to his No [illegible] C dated 8 [illegible]

[illegible]

15th $\frac{8}{369}$ [illegible]

## II Circle — Kistna Western Division.

## Statement showing differences between Budget Grant and Outlay for each Fund.

## 1890—91.

2802/31

| Name of work<br>1 | Original Grant<br>2 | Modified Grant<br>3 | Outlay<br>4 | Explanation of differences<br>5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Provincial | | | | |
| Original works — Major | | | | |
| Constructing Taluq Cutcherry at Sattenapalli | 5032 | 6482 | 6473 | |
| " Deputy Tahsildar's Cutcherry at Tenali | 8365 | 4100 | " | Due to the transfer of expenditure on the Deputy Tahsildar's Cutcherry at Tenali to that of the Taluq Cutcherry at Tenali, the Construction of which has since been sanctioned |
| " Tahsildar's Cutcherry at Tenali | " | " | 4105 | Work stopped pending receipt of revised type designs |
| Original works | 115 | 115 | 121 | |
| Total O.W. | 13512 | 10697 | 10699 | |
| Repairs | 500 | 1960 | 2116 | |
| Tools and plant | 50 | 50 | " | |

Kistna Western Dn Office
May 1891

Executive Engineer.
Kistna Western Dn.

W. [illegible]
4-[illegible]-91
Offg. Supg. Engineer
II Cir.

2/6
28/5 [illegible]
28/5

6548

From

The Supg. Engineer,
2nd Circle

To

The Chief Engineer,
P.W. Department,
Madras

Dated 15-6-91
Rd 18-6-91
No 644 Rs
Enc: 3. 2

Provincial, Incorporated local, and Imperial other services — Submits statements showing differences between budget grants & outlay during 1890/91, in the Kistna Western Division under —

---

3802/33

## PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 2420 C., dated 8th May 1891.

### CIRCULAR MEMORANDUM.

The Chief Engineer has the honour to request that Superintending Engineers and the Consulting Architect will be good enough to submit, as early as practicable, statements comparing original grants, final grants, expenditure and short or excess outlay in each division for the official year 1890-91.

2. Separate statements should be submitted for each fund dealt with in the General Branch.

3. The names of officers and subordinates responsible for short outlay should be stated in each case with the requisite explanation.

(Signed) A. C. SMITH, Lieut.-Colonel, R.E.,
Ag. Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,
General, Building and Roads Branch.

To Superintending Engineers.
„ the Consulting Architect to Government.

Exd. A. D. Xavier.
Spare Copies

II Circle - Gudivada Division

Statement showing differences between Budget Grant & outlay for each Grade 187

| Name of work | Original Grant | Modified Grant | Outlay | Explanation of differences |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|  | Provincial |  |  |  |
| Repairs to Division Office at Gudivada | - | - | 43 |  |

W. Topp

Offg Supdg Engineer
2nd Circle

From

The Supdg. Engineer,
II Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W. Dept
Madras.

Dated 15-6-91

No. 643 Ks.

Gudwada Division – Provincial – Forwards statement of differences between budget grants and outlay under – during the year 1890/91.

P. W. CURRENT No. 6547

Rd 18-6-91

No. 643 Ks

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer with reference to his No. 2420 C dated 8th May

W. Hopp
15-6-91
Offg Supdg Engr
II Circle

15th June 91

H.
16-6-91

Buckingham Canal Division

[illegible] nts and expenditure for the year 1890-91 called for in Chief Engineer's Circular Memorandum No. 2420 C of 8th May 1891.

General Branch

| Budget head | Original grant | Final grant | Expenditure | Difference | Explanation of short outlay |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Minor |  |  |  |  |  |
| Original Works |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Public Improvements | 245 | 245 | 341 | -96 | Difference slight |
| Repairs | 2,090 | 1,089 | 1,163 | -74 |  |

2802
35

Madras Basin
31st May 1891

(Sd.) A. S. Russell
Ex. Engineer
B. Canal D.

W. Hopp
11-6-91
Offg Supdg Engr
II Circle

H.
11-6-91

Statement comparing grants and expenditure for the year 1890-91 called for in Chief Engineer's Circular Memo No. 2420 C d/8th May 1891.

## General Branch.

| Budget head | Original grant | Final grant | Expenditure 1890-91 | Difference | Explanation of short outlay |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Provincial** | | | | | |
| Repairs. | | | | | |
| Miscellaneous Public Impts. | 90 | 90 | 88 | 2. | |

2802/36

Madras
31st May 1891

(Sd.) A. J. Russell
Ex. Engineer
B. Canal Dn.

To
Offg Supdg Engr
II Circle

11-6-91

P. W. CURRENT No. 6545d

Rd 18-6-91

No. 145 B.C.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer P.W.D. with reference to his memo quoted within.

Offg. Supdg. Engr.
II Circle

15th June 1891

---

II Circle P.W.D. Nellore Division

Statement comparing grants & outlay under Provincial Civil Buildings Nellore division for the year 1890-91

| Head of account | original grant | final grant | outlay | difference | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs | Rs. | Rs. | Rs | |
| Civil Buildings original works. | | | | | |
| major | 23,300 | 17,840 | 18,119 | | The differences are slight and do not require explanation. |
| minor | 6,260 | 5,280 | 5,138 | 122 | |
| Repairs. | 3,000 | 1,540 | 1,585 | -45 | |

2802/37

N.B. The above figures include debits in March supplemental

Nellore }
Dated 2nd June 1891 }

Offg. Supdg. Engr.
II Circle

(Sd) Hugh Powell
Ex. Engr.
Nellore D.

II. Circle P. W. D. Nellore Dn.

Statement comparing grant and outlay under Incorporated
Local Funds in Nellore Division for the year 1890-91

| Head of account | Original grant | Final grant | Outlay | Difference | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Incorporated Local Repairs | 600 | 600 | 563 | 37 | The difference is slight and requires no explanation |

2802/38

N.B. Debits raised in March supplemental are also included in the above.

(Sd.) H. O'Connell
Ex. Engr
Nellore Dn.

Nellore
2nd June 1891

Offg. Suptg. Engr
II Circle

No 168 NL

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer P.W.D. with reference to his Memo No. 2020 C of 8th May 1891.

Offg. Supdg Engr
II Circle
10.6.91

11th June 1891

2802
39

P. W. CURRENT No. 6393

From

The Supdg: Engineer,
Second Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
P. W. Department,
Madras

Dated 11th June 1891
Rd 15-6-91

No. 168 NL

Enclosures 2

Nellore Division –
Provincial and Incorporated
Local Funds – Grants &
Outlay under – Submits 2
statements comparing –
for 1890/91

---

No. 1534

2802/40

FROM,

G. D. Wylson Esquire
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE.

TO,

THE CHIEF ENGINEER
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Enclo: 4
4 statements

DOWLAISHWERAM, 12th June 1891.
Despd 15th

SIR,

With reference to your Circular Memorandum No 2420C. dated 8th May 1891, I have the honor to submit herewith, separate statements comparing original grants, final grants, expenditure and short or excess outlay in each Division, for each fund, dealt with in the General Branch, for the official year 1890-91

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servt

G. D. Wylson
Superintending Engineer.

FROM, G. D. Wyleron Esqre

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO,

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

DATED 12th
DESPATCHED 15th } June 1891.
RECEIVED 20

NO. 153 G.l

ENCLOSURES 4.

SUBJECT.

Fund dealt with in the General branch for 1890-91 statements comparing Original & final grants, expenditure & short or excess outlay in each Division for each —. Submitting —.

22.6.91

---

No. 33486

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 18th July 1891.

OFFICE NOTE.

2802/42

From W

To C

As soon as the supplemental accounts for 1890-91 are closed, please furnish me with the following statements.

(1) Statement showing the budget grant, final grant & actual Expenditure in each Division under all funds in the Buildings and Roads Branch.

(2) Statement showing the total actual Expenditure in the Presidency under each fund arranged by departmental heads.

WB
17.7.91

D.W

C

No 5855 d/15-9-91

W

Herewith the statements required by you.

H 15/9

Offg. Clk

H 15/9

R 15/9
(Rec 2)

4066 C

Memo No 2420 C d 8-8-91
" " 3041 C d 18-7-91
" " 3342 C d 20-8-91
" " 4066 C d 14-9-91

---

No 2802 W d/ 24th Oct 1891

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

# PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

2802/413

READ the following

From the Suptg. Engineer I Circle No: 153 G. L. d/12th ~~July~~ June 1891
——— Do ——— II Circle No: 168 N. L. d/11th June 1891
——— Do ——— Do ——— No: 145 B. C. d/15th June 1891
——— Do ——— Do ——— No: 643 K. S. d/ — do ———
——— Do ——— Do ——— No: 644 K. S. d/ — do ———
——— Do ——— Do ——— No: 647 K. S. d/16th June 1891
——— Do ——— III Circle No: 537 d/2nd June 1891
——— Do ——— IV Circle No: 285 W d/ 8th June 1891
——— Do ——— V Circle No: 305 W d/ 30th June 1891
——— Do ——— Do ——— No: 304 W d/ — do ———
——— Do ——— Do ——— No: 302 W d/ — do ———
——— Do ——— Do ——— No: 303 W d/ — do ———
——— Do ——— VI [illegible]le ——— No: 309 J. C. d/26th May 1891
——— Do ——— Do ——— No: 308 J. C. d/ — do ———
——— Do ——— Do ——— No: 307 J. C. d/ — do ———
——— Do ——— Do ——— No: 306 J. C. d/ — do ———
the Consy. Architect to Govt. No: 903 d/5th June 1891

Recorded.

25-9-91

dz 2802 W. d/24. 0

㊸

J. Lidl 5.14

ell. 30.14. G'

r 3.10.91

3-10-91; R 12/10.

20-10-91;

J2 26/10.