Gobt. of {1891} Madras.

REVENUE.

Issued

Reed. Encl.

G.O., 10th September 1891, No. 857.

SEPTEMBER.

Report.

The report of the Court of Wards on the administration of the estates under its charge during fasli 1299 (1889-90), reviewed.

No. 2717 Dy 14 Oct. 1891

Communicated to Superintending Engineers for information -

Ref G.O. B

DW

To

The Supdg: Engineers

P.W.D. Rs. 2055 dt 1-10-1891

6-7-56 MB RT Reserve/56 Dt

23-10-56 Lus

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

	Page	Paras.
Number of estates under management	1	1—8
Estates handed over	1	2
(*a*) The Chinna Kimedi estate	2	3
(*b*) The Urlám estate	2	4
(*c*) The Chitticherla estate	2	5
(*d*) The Ramasetuswamiar's estate	2	6
(*e*) The Ramnad estate	2	7
Statement No. 1 showing the names, &c., of estates under wardship ...	3	9
Season	3	10
Number of villages	3	11
Holdings	3	12
Cultivation	4	13
Demand of estates	4	14
Remissions	4	15
Net demand	5	16
Demand, collection and balance	6 & 7	17 & 18
Current collections	7 & 8	19
Irrecoverable arrears	8 & 9	20
Financial condition of estates	9—11	21
Comparative statement of total charges	12, 13 & 14	22 & 23
Public works	14 & 15	24
Debts due to the estates	15 & 16	25 & 26
Debts due by the estates...	16 & 17	27
Religious and charitable institutions attached to estates	17 & 18	28
Financial condition of minor institutions	18	29
Education of the Wards	18 & 19	30
Law suits	19 & 20	31
Condition of ryots	20 & 21	32
Inam alienations	21	33
General remarks	21 & 22	34
Conclusion	22	35

APPENDICES.

	Page
A. No. I.—Statement showing the ages, &c., of the Wards and the period during which the estates have been under management for fasli 1299 (1889-90) ...	23 & 24
B. No. II-A.—Statement showing the number of villages and the proportion of cultivation to holdings in the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1299 (1889-90)	25 & 26
C. No. II-B.—Statement showing the settled demand of the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1299 (1889-90) ...	27—30
D. No. III.—Statement showing the demand, collection and balance of the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1299 (1889-90)	31—33
E. No. IV.—Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1299 (1889-90)	34—39
F. Enclosure A to No. IV.—Statement showing the expenditure incurred on maramut (works) in the several estates under management in fasli 1299 (1889-90)	40 & 41
G. No. V-A.—Statement showing the debts due to the estates under management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1299 (1889-90)	42
H. No. V-B.—Statement showing the debts due by the estates under management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1299 (1889-90)	43
I. No. VI.—Statement showing the Educational progress made by the Wards and their relations in fasli 1299 (1889-90)	44—59

REPORT ON THE ADMINISTRATION

OF

ESTATES UNDER THE COURT OF WARDS IN THE MADRAS PRESIDENCY,

FOR FASLI 1299 (1889-90).

Number of estates under management.—There were 52 estates under management at the beginning of the year, of which five, viz., Chinna Kimedi and Urlám in Ganjam, Chitticherla in Cuddapah, Ramasetuswamiar's estate in Tanjore and Ramnad in Madura, were handed over to their owners on their attaining majority; besides these, the six marginally-noted estates were taken up during the year, so that there were 53 estates at the end of the year, or one more than at the beginning.

Tangellamúdi	Godávari.
Telaprole	Kistna.
Uppara Ayyanna's estate.	Bellary.
Karuvelakarai	Tanjore.
Anavaratham Pillai's estate.	Tinnevelly.
Etaiyápuram	Tinnevelly.

2. *Estates handed over.*—The subjoined statement shows the condition of the five estates above referred to at the time of their restoration as contrasted with their condition when the Court assumed charge:—

STATEMENT showing the condition of the estates restored as contrasted with their condition when taken up.

Districts.	Estates.	Annual revenue: When the estate came under management. Current demand.	Annual revenue: When the estate came under management. Current collection.	Annual revenue: When the estate was restored. Current demand.	Annual revenue: When the estate was restored. Current collection.	Balance on hand: When the estate came under management.	Balance on hand: When the estate was restored.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam ..	Chinna Kimedi ..	81,723	81,452	70,483	62,471	14,766	23,350
Do. ..	Urlám	58,334	(a) 58,196	49,475	24,569	138	24,906
Cuddapah ..	Chitticherla	(b) 985	(b) 976	(b) 1,426	(b) 1,463	287	11,356
Tanjore ..	Ramasetuswamiar's estate	33,685	18,263	39,730	16,119	633	1,706
Madura ..	Ramnad	6,60,702	3,96,210	8,84,121	7,86,346	6,499	3,51,261

Districts.	Estates.	Debts recovered during the period the estate was under management.	Debts paid off during the period.	Amount expended on irrigation works.	Amount expended on other permanent improvements.	Age of the proprietor: When the estate came under management.	Age of the proprietor: When the estate was restored.	Period for which the estate was under management.
		9	10	11	12	13	14	15
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	YRS. M.	YRS.	YRS. M.
Ganjam ..	Chinna Kimedi ..	7,999	60,000	72,845	..	7 6	21	13 6
Do. ..	Urlám	714	981	44,710	18,106	10 2	21	10 10
Cuddapah ..	Chitticherla ..	5,906	1,757	10,030	..	7 11	21	13 3
Tanjore ..	Ramasetuswamiar's estate	5,994	87,267	1,516	2,835	17 9	21	3 3
Madura ..	Ramnad	(c) 22,267	(d) 25,84,892	8,29,110	96,184	4 4	21	16 8

(a) Including Rs. 4,507 collected before the proprietor's death.
(b) Exclusive of money allowance of Rs. 2,625 per annum.
(c) Includes debts remitted, Rs. 17,077.
(d) Includes arrears of peshkash, Rs. 2,25,000.

3. *The Chinna Kimedi estate.*—The Chinna Kimedi estate was under management for 13 years and 6 months. During this period, the current demand fell from Rs. 81,723 to Rs. 70,483, owing the Collector says partly to the frequent recurrence of bad seasons and partly to the taking up of certain lands belonging to the estate for the Rushikulya project. In reply to an inquiry the Collector, however, reports that only acres *165* assessed at Rs. *596* have been taken up for the Rushikulya Project and this cannot therefore be considered as affecting the question. In regard to the recurrence of bad seasons, the Court notes that the area under holding remains about the same and that it is only the assessment that has decreased. The Collector is requested to report more particularly what explanation he can give for this; possibly it is due to grain assessments, amounting to less or realizing less. On the other hand, debts amounting to Rs. 60,000 were paid off and Rs. 72,845 were spent on irrigation works. The cash balance at the time of restoration including Government Promissory notes amounted to Rs. 23,350 against Rs. 14,766 at the time the Court assumed charge of the estate. The ward made fair progress in his studies under Mr. Taylor at Parlákimedi and had reached the Upper Fourth class when his estate was handed over to him.

4. *The Urlám estate.*—This estate was under management for 10 years and 10 months. The current demand and collection as entered in columns 3 and 4 show a large increase over those shown in the report for fasli 1288, the first year of the Court's management. The Collector has been requested to state whether the figures now given by him are correct, and if so, to explain why there has been a falling off during the period of the Court's management. The balance in favour of the estate rose from Rs. 138 to Rs. 24,906, though Rs. 62,816 were spent on irrigation works and other permanent improvements, and debts amounting to Rs. 981 were paid off by the Court. The ward, a Brahman widow, attained majority in January 1890, and on being reported to possess sufficient ability and discretion to manage her affairs and also close relations to help her in the management, the estate was handed over to her.

5. *The Chitticherla estate.*—This estate was under management for 13 years and 3 months, during which period both the current demand and collection rose considerably. The balance to the credit of the estate when the Court assumed charge was Rs. 287 and amounted to Rs. 11,356 (including Rs. 7,000 invested in Government Promissory notes) when the estate was restored to the proprietor. Debts to the extent of Rs. 1,757 were paid off, while Rs. 5,906 were recovered. The expenditure on irrigation works amounted to Rs. 10,030. The minor's educational attainments were not, however, very satisfactory, though he learnt to read and write Telugu well.

6. *The Ramasetuswamiar's estate.*—This estate was under management for only 3 years and 3 months. The current demand shows an increase, but there is a falling off in the percentage of the collection to the demand at the time of restoration as compared with what it was when the Court assumed charge of the estate. This falling off is due to the non-realization of rent amounting to Rs. 13,600 from a certain village leased out to the tenants against whom summary suits had been filed. The ward was given religious instruction to qualify him for his office as the hereditary priest of the Tanjore Royal family.

7. *The Ramnad estate.*—This estate was under management for 16 years and 8 months. During this period, the current demand rose from Rs. 6,60,702 to Rs. 8,84,121 and the collections from Rs. 3,96,210 to Rs. 7,86,346. Debts amounting to about 26 lakhs of rupees including arrears of peshkash were paid off during this period. The irrigation works were also brought to a state of fair efficiency at a cost of nearly nine lakhs of rupees. The balance in favour of the estate at the time of restoration was Rs. 3,51,261 against Rs. 6,499 at the time the estate came under management. The minor and his brother were given a liberal education in Madras and brought up in a manner suited to their position. The elder passed the First in Arts examination and the younger the Matriculation examination of the Madras University. Both of them were also taken on tours during their vacations to most of the important places in India and Ceylon.

8. No reports were received from the Collectors of Kistna and Tinnevelly regarding the Telaprole and Etaiyápuram estates, respectively, as they were taken under management only towards the close of the fasli under report. As in previous years, the Vádimitta estate in Tinnevelly was managed by the lessee; no mention is, therefore, made of it in the report or in statements Nos. II to V.

9. *Statement No. I showing the names, &c., of estates under wardship.*—Statement No. I appended to the report shows the names of the estates, the authority under which they were taken under the Court's management, the sexes of the wards and their disabilities, the ages of the wards at the end of the fasli and the dates on which such of them as are minors will attain their majority. Of the 53 wards, 42 were minors (one being a female), five were incapacitated by sex alone and the remaining six, two of whom were females, were lunatic or imbecile.

10. *Season.*—The season was on the whole favorable except in Chingleput, Tanjore and Madura where the north-east monsoon was a complete failure. In Parlákimedi, the rainfall registered was 54·5 inches against 42·87 inches in the previous year. In Jarada, though the season was favourable both for dry and wet crops, considerable damage was done to them by the cyclone of November 1889. In Trichinopoly, the Peramur Singam Iyengar's and the two Nangavaram estates were not affected as they lie along the Cauvery, but the Marungápuri estate, the largest in the district, being far from the river, suffered on account of deficient water-supply. In Mailavaram, the rains were excessive in the beginning and deficient towards the end. The season in the other estates was more or less normal.

11. *Number of villages.*—Statement II-A shows the number and tenures of the villages in the proprietory estates and the extent of holdings and cultivation in all the estates as compared with the figures of the previous year. As in the previous year, thirty-four estates were held on fixed tenure, while the rest consisted of ryotwari lands. The total number of villages in the permanently-settled estates was 3,089, of which eight belonged to Tangellamúdi, one of the estates taken up during the year. Deducting these as well as the villages in Belgám, the return relating to which was left blank in the report of the preceding year, the total number of villages in the estates which were under management in both faslis was 3,054 against 3,036 in the preceding year, an increase of 18, which is the net result of an increase in three estates and a decrease in two others as noted in the margin. In Meduru, the number of villages brought to account is 72 against 62 in the previous year. The increase is not explained by the Collector, but it is probably due to an error in the previous year's statement. So also in Sáptúr, the two villages assigned for pagodas were omitted to be shown last year. In Palayavanam, 27 villages belonging to the estate but remaining in the possession of the mortgagees, and also six villages acquired by the late zemindar by purchase and mortgage are shown in the statement for the first time. The decrease in Kallikót is due to the desertion during the year of one of the villages occupied in the previous year, and that in Pulicherla to the sale of 26 villages in satisfaction of the decree in Original Suit No. 19 of 1884 on the file of the District Court of North Arcot. Of the 3,089 villages above referred to, 1,711 villages were held on ryotwar or joint-rent tenure, 421 were rented to middle-men paying money rents or rent in kind, and the remaining 957 villages were held on favourable assessment, or in some cases free of assessment. As the Collectors' reports were submitted before Government Order, dated 15th August 1890, No. 662, was communicated to them, the statements received from Collectors do not show separately the number of villages "partly rented and partly ryotwar." Column 5 of statement No. II-A annexed to the present report has therefore been left blank.

Meduru	+ 10
Palayavanam	+ 33
Sáptúr	+ 2
Kallikót	— 1
Pulicherla	— 26

12. *Holdings.*—The total area of holdings in all the estates except Vadagarai, for which figures are not available, was 467,539 acres, of which 421,569 acres were cultivated. The extent of holdings in the estates which were under management during fasli 1299 as well as in fasli 1298, and for which figures are available, was 457,816 acres in fasli 1299 against 441,426 acres in fasli 1298, showing an increase of 16,390

acres. This is the net result of an increase of 21,941 acres in 22 estates and a decrease of 5,551 acres in ten others. The most important variations occurred in the marginally-noted estates. The increase in Dhárákót, Kurla, Aska, Kallikót and Kangundi is only nominal, being due to the transfer of rented villages to ryotwar or joint-rent tenure. The increase in Parlákimedi, Kapileswarapuram, Gandharvakóta and Marungápuri is due to more lands having been taken up on durkhast than were relinquished. In Neliyalam the increase is due to the adoption of the correct extent as settled at the time of certain escheat inquiries. The increase in Bodogodo has not been explained by the Collector. The decrease in Pulicherla is due to the sale of certain villages by the Civil Court; that in N. Rengasawmy Iyer's estate is due to the sale of certain lands for the liquidation of debts; and that in N. Doraisawmy Iyer's estate to the sale of certain outlying and inconveniently situated lands. The decrease in Panaiyur is due to the unfavourable character of the season; that in Jarada, to the transfer of a village from ryotwar to rented; and that in Meduru, to the inclusion in the last year's accounts of the extent of certain mokhasa villages which are now excluded, as they are held rent-free.

	Increase.
Parlákimedi	288
Dhárákót	2,661
Bodogodo	372
Kurla	653
Aska	713
Kallikót	12,380
Kapileswarapuram	1,101
Kangundi	1,160
Gandharvakóta	1,207
Marungápuri	267
Neliyalam	415
	Decrease.
Jarada	171
Meduru	1,566
Pulicherla	801
Panaiyur	110
N. Doraisawmy Iyer's	240
N. Rengasawmy Iyer's	2,526

13. *Cultivation.*—The extent of actual cultivation in fasli 1299 was 421,569 acres or 90·1 per cent. of the holdings against 346,019 acres or 78·3 per cent. in the previous year. The increase occurred in most of the estates, but in the estates noted in the margin, the percentage of cultivation to holdings was very low, and, except in the last two estates, shows also a falling off as compared with the percentage in the previous year. The decrease in Panaiyur is due, as already stated, to the unfavourable character of the season; while that in Neliyalam is due to a similar cause, and also probably to the inability of the ryots, as stated in the previous year, to take up more land for cultivation. In Singavanam and Palayavanam, the decrease is due to the complete failure of the north-east monsoon. In Mambarai, the decrease is not explained.

Panaiyur	64·6
Singavanam	42·9
Palayavanam	64·7
Mambarai	56·8
Neliyalam	19·0

14. *Demand of estates.*—Statement II-B shows the gross demand of the estates, the remissions granted, and the net demand. The gross demand of the year amounted to Rs. 19,22,818, of which Rs. 33,324 were remitted, leaving a net demand of Rs. 18,89,494. The following abstract compares the figures under these heads as regards the estates which were under management in both faslis 1298 and 1299:—

Fasli.	Gross demand.	Remissions.	Net demand.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1298	16,77,250	1,76,599	15,00,651
1299	18,39,261	32,203	18,07,058

15. *Remissions.*—The remissions granted during the year by officers who conducted the jamabandi amounted to Rs. 33,324 against Rs. 2,76,650 in the previous year, the decrease being chiefly due to the favourable character of the season in fasli 1299. Fasli 1298 was very unfavourable, and consequently large remissions had to be granted, especially in the estates in the Ganjam district. In the year under report, the remissions granted in Parlákimedi (Rs. 3,169), Kurla (Rs. 1,505), Aska (Rs. 1,183), and Kallikót (Rs. 546) were chiefly for loss of crop, and those in Panaiyur (Rs. 2,501) and Sáptúr (Rs. 4,924) chiefly on account of shavi and waste. In Pulicherla, the usual "Riyayati" remission, granted at two annas in the rupee on account of heavy assessment, amounted to Rs. 869. The amount (Rs. 5,936) shown against Marungápuri represents the assessment due on the Zemindar's pannai lands in which both the zemindar's and tenants' rights belong to the zemindar. The remissions granted in Erumaipati, Shulagiri and Yelagiri were the usual cowle remissions. In Mambarai, a portion of the amount remitted (Rs. 236) is the rent due on subsequent inams, the Collector's recommendation for resuming some of which will be disposed of separately.

16. *Net demand.*—Excluding the estates which were not under management in both the years, the demand of fasli 1299 shows an increase of Rs. 3,06,407 as compared with that of the previous year which is the net result of an increase of Rs. 3,55,086 in thirty-two estates and of a decrease of Rs. 48,679 in thirteen others. The important variations are explained below.

STATEMENT showing the net demand of estates under management during faslis 1298 and 1299.

Name of estate.	Fasli 1298.	Fasli 1299.	Difference.	Explanation of increase or decrease.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Parlákimedi	3,03,793	3,85,994	+ 82,201	Increase chiefly due to absence of heavy remissions.
Jarada	11,942	22,529	+ 10,587	Do. do. do.
Dhárákót	49,717	74,599	+ 24,882	Do. do. do.
Bodogodo	17,267	25,886	+ 8,619	Do. do. do.
Kallikót	1,88,072	3,23,601	+ 1,35,529	Increase due to increase generally in rents, to leasing out of waste lands for the first time in the year, and to better working of forest rules.
Chidikada	11,784	22,650	+ 10,866	Increase due to absence of remissions.
Lakkavaram	10,535	13,593	+ 3,058	Increase due to favourable season.
Meduru	1,17,438	1,26,861	+ 9,423	Increase due chiefly to the inclusion of the rents of the villages of the Agripalli Devastanam, which it is now the turn of the Medur estate to manage according to the agreement between the Názvíd Zemindars.
Mailavaram	21,378	24,244	+ 2,866	Increase due to increased cultivation and less remission.
Kapileswarapuram	1,08,969	1,23,732	+ 14,763	Increase due to less remissions, to the enhancement of rent on wet lands and to additional rent on waste lands taken up during the year.
Kangundi	82,772	85,677	+ 2,905	Increase due to increased cultivation.
Pulicherla	22,571	12,944	− 9,627	Decrease due to the sale of two payakuts.
Panaiyur	24,735	19,326	− 5,409	Decrease due to remission on account of unfavourable season.
Ukkadai	23,354	29,356	+ 6,002	Increase due to higher rents and high prices.
Vadapathimangalam	45,060	71,040	+ 25,980	Increase due to increased outturn of paddy and high prices.
Mathur	5,446	7,999	+ 2,553	Do. do. do.
Singavanam	9,566	2,548	− 7,018	Decrease due to the total failure of the north-east monsoon.
N. Dorasawmy Iyer's	19,140	22,022	+ 2,882	Increase due to purchase of new lands, to extension of cultivation and to increased rents obtained during the releasing of estate lands.
Marungápuri	59,103	61,237	+ 2,134	Increase due to increase in holdings and increased second-crop cultivation.
N. Rengasawmy Iyer's ..	34,622	30,779	− 3,843	Decrease due to sale of lands.
Sáptúr	56,733	43,350	− 13,383	Decrease due to failure of wet crops and larger remissions.
Kavalpara	32,173	27,431	− 4,742	Decrease due to smaller collection of renewal fees.

The net demand exceeded 3¾ lakhs in Parlákimedi. It was nearly 3¼ lakhs in Kallikót; 1¼ lakhs in Meduru and Kapileswarapuram; three-quarters of a lakh in Dhárákót, Kangundi and Vadapathimangalam; half a lakh in Belgám, Gandharvakóta, Sáptúr and Marungápuri; and a quarter of a lakh in Jarada, Bodogodo, Chidakada, Tangellamúdi, Mailavaram, Ukkadai, Edamanal, N. Dorasawmy Iyer's estate, N. Rengasawmy Iyer's estate and Kavalpara; and Rs. 10,000 in ten other estates.

17. *Demand, Collection and Balance.*—Statement No. III shows the demand, collection and balance of the estates during the year. The arrear demand shown against some estates in column 3 of this statement differs from the closing balance of fasli 1298 as marked in the statement. The differences are due, in the case of the estates in Tanjore, to the adoption of different values for the balance of the grain rent in the two years, and in the others either to error in the previous year's return or to rounding of fractions. The arrear demand at the beginning of the year amounted to Rs. 13,21,614, of which Rs. 3,37,186 were collected (including excess collections of Rs. 7 in the Mailavaram estate) and Rs. 69,787 remitted, leaving a balance of Rs. 9,14,618 or 69·2 per cent. of the demand against 62·2 per cent. in the previous year. In four estates, the balance was *nil*. It was below 25 per cent. in ten, between 25 and 50 per cent in fifteen, and more than 50 per cent. in the marginally-noted twenty-one estates.

Kallikót	94·4
Vadapathimangalam	93·4
Timmajammah's	93·2
Gandharvakóta	84·7
Neliyalam	77·0
Meduru	76·9
Kangundi	74·8
Dhárákót	70·6
Bodogodo	69·5
Erumaipati	66·0
Kurla	65·8
Appikonda	65·0
Tyagasamudram	63·7
Aska	61·4
Chípurupalle	60·4
Tangellamúdi	59·9
Yelagiri	55·2
Vadagarai	55·1
Kapileswarapuram	55·1
Belgám	52·5
Pulicherla	52·3

In Kallikót the bulk of the arrears is irrecoverable, and after careful inquiry, the manager has furnished a statement to the Collector which is reported to be under scrutiny. In Vadapathimangalam, a large portion of the arrears is due by a renter against whom decrees have been obtained. They were under execution. Almost the whole balance in Timmajammah's estate had been collected by Patchamiah, the previous holder of the estate, on receipts granted by him to the ryots, and misappropriated by him. He was lodged in the civil jail, but was wrongfully released owing to the carelessness of the Superintendent, to whom the Collector has been asked to give notice of the Court's intention to file a suit for the recovery of the amount. In Gandharvakóta the tenants are reported to be poor, troublesome Kallars from whom collection is very difficult; the late manager was also inactive. In Neliyalam a sum of Rs. 1,748 was ordered to be written off, and a further sum of Rs. 7,872 due on account of janmabhogam is reported to be irrecoverable. In Meduru, the arrears consist of kattubadi and mokhasa rents which form the subject of suits pending in the High Court on appeal, and in Kapileswarapuram, the rates of rent on inam lands are under litigation. In Kangundi and Pulicherla, the greater portion of the arrears has been ordered to be written off since the close of the fasli. The former estate, Kangundi, has also since been handed over to the proprietor. In Dhárákót, Bodogodo, Kurla and Aska the arrears up to fasli 1295 have been secured by documents, and stringent orders have been issued by the Collector for their prompt collection. A large portion of the balance in Erumaipati is involved in suits in which a compromise has since been effected. In Appikonda, arrears to the extent of Rs. 3,617 were sanctioned to be written off; and in Chípurupalle and Belgám, the ryots are reported to be poor, but the balance against them will be recovered in course of time. For realizing the arrears in the Tyagasamudram estate, strict orders have been issued by the Collector. In Tangellamúdi, the arrears are mostly due by karnams to whom emoluments are due from the estate and merely await adjustment. In Yelagiri, the rent on home-farm lands was withheld owing to the estate claiming occupancy right, a claim which, however, has since been abandoned in favour of the tenants. In Vadagarai, the balance is covered by court decrees.

18. The remarks made have reference to the arrear balance as it stood at the end of the year. The subjoined statement shows the percentage of the arrear balance to the arrear demand after deducting subsequent collections and remissions, and also the amounts now recommended for remission and adverted to in paragraph 20 *infra*.

STATEMENT showing the percentage of the arrear balance to the arrear demand and the amounts recommended for remission.

Estates.	Percentage to the demand		
	of balance after deducting subsequent collections.	of amount recommended for remission.	of the balance.
Parlákimedi	22·4	..	22·4
Jarada	38·0	..	38·0
Dhárákót	35·9	..	35·9
Bodogodo	63·1	..	63·1
Kurla	53·6	..	53·6
Aska	47·5	·9	46·6
Kallikót	94·0	..	94·0
Chidikada	17·2	..	17·2
Siripuram	14·2	..	14·2
Chipurupalle	59·6	..	59·6
Appikonda	63·1	..	63·1
Belgaum	51·5	..	51·5
Lakkavaram	33·7	8·3	25·4
Meduru	75·5	15·2	60·3
Tangallamudi	54·9	17·9	37·0
Mailavaram	12·0	..	12·0
Kapileswarapuram	55·1	..	55·1
Kangundi	74·8	14·8	60·0
Timmajammah's	93·2	..	93·2
Pulicherla	·9	·2	·7
Panaiyur	23·9	3·8	20·1
Ukkadai	32·8	..	32·8
Ghandarvakóta	84·3	..	84·3
Vadapathimangalam	93·0	..	93·0
Mathur	16·0	..	16·0
Singavanam	26·3	..	26·3
Tiruvalanjuli	20·3	..	20·3
Tyagasamudram	63·7	..	63·7
Palayavanam	25·6	..	25·6
Edamanal	18·5	..	18·5
Peranur	10·5	..	10·5
N. Dorasawmi Iyer's	26·8	..	26·8
Marungapuri	42·0	..	42·0
N. Rungasami Iyer's	14·6	..	14·6
Singam Iyengar's	19·4	..	19·4
Sáptúr	23·2	14·7	8·5
Vadagarai	54·6	..	54·6
Mambarai	41·1	..	41·1
Metrothi	19·7	..	19·7
Neliyalam	65·3	44·2	21·1
Erumaipatti	65·0	..	65·0
Shulagiri	34·8	11·4	23·4
Yelagiri	38·3	..	38·3
Sarvothama Row's	40·3	..	40·3
K. Puttamma's	34·0	..	34·0
Kavalpara	26·1	..	26·1

It will be seen, from the above statement, that the percentage is heavy in many estates. Fasliwar particulars of the arrears are not available in most cases, but the arrears apparently relate to a series of years. Collectors are requested to furnish fasliwar particulars in future in statement No. III accompanying the administration report. In the meantime Collectors are requested to scrutinize the arrear lists carefully and recommend for remission such of the items as cannot be recovered without undue hardship to the ryots.

19. *Current collections.*—Including the excess collections of Rs. 5 in the Mailavaram estate, the total current collections amounted to Rs. 14,26,465 out of a demand of Rs. 18,89,638,* leaving a balance of Rs. 4,63,178 or 24·5 per cent. against 23·3 per cent. in the previous year. There was no balance in three estates; in ten it was less than 5 per cent.; in fourteen between 5 and 20 per cent.;

* The difference of Rs. 144 between this sum and that in paragraph 14 *supra* is due to the inclusion in statement No. III of Rs. 19, being interest on rent in Tiruvalanjuli estate and Rs. 125 in Puttamma's estate, for which no explanation has been furnished by the Collector.

while in eleven it was between 20 and 35 per cent. It exceeded 35 per cent. in the 12 estates noted in the margin. The major portion of the balance in Ukkadai is reported to be due from porakudies, who possess no property and from whom collections must be gradual as their circumstances improve. In Singavanam and Singam Iyengar's estates, subsequent collections since the close of the fasli, amounting to Rs. 1,036 and Rs. 1,998, respectively, have reduced the balance considerably. In Chípurupalle the manager, who is the son-in-law of the proprietrix, gets no remuneration and is reported to be dilatory in the discharge of his duties, and the Collector has informed the family that, if he does not exert himself, he will be replaced by a paid manager. In Tiruvalanjuli, a large portion of the balance represents paddy in the possession of the lessees, which was not measured out to the purchasers within the fasli, and in Palayavanam and Tyagasamudram a portion of the balance is reported to be irrecoverable. In Panaiyur the large balance is said to be due to the unfavourable character of the season. Of the three estates of Ganjam, the landed estate of Parlákimedi has since been handed over to the zemindar's eldest son. In Bodogodo and Kurla the heavy balance was reduced by subsequent collections.

Ukkadai	73·5
Singavanam	66·2
Chípurupalle	55·5
Tiruvalanjuli	52·1
Tyagasamudram	47·3
Neliyalam	41·3
Palayavanam	41·2
Panaiyur	39·1
Parlákimedi	39·1
Bodogodo	38·3
Kurla	38·0
Singam Iyengar's	35·8

	Rs.
* Chidikada	796
Siripuram	389
Chípurupalle	641
Appikonda	3,617
Kapileswarapuram	18,516
Kangundi	9,732
Pulicherla	7,105
Peranur	12
N. Dorasawmi Iyer's	1,070
Marungapuri	1,126
N. Rungasami Iyer's	1,158
Sáptúr	550
Metrothi	294
Neliyalam	1,749
Shulagiri	102
Yelagiri	843
Total ...	47,700

20. *Irrecoverable Arrears.*—Of the total balance—current and arrears—at the close of the year, amounting to Rs. 13,77,826, Rs. 47,700* have since been ordered by the Court to be written off the accounts, while Rs. 47,982-4-9 are recommended by Collectors for remission in their reports for the reasons stated below.

STATEMENT showing the amounts recommended for remission.

Estates.	Erroneous demand.	Barred by limitation.	Poverty, death or desertion of ryots.	Rent disallowed by Courts.	Amount withheld by ryots and village officers to whom certain deductions were promised.	Other causes.	Total.
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Aska	..	..	..	..	84 10 0	..	84 10 0
Lakkavaram ..	..	..	..	..	..	47 12 1	47 12 1
Meduru	..	12,571 9 11	1,647 4 5	1,231 9 11	..	12,778 2 1	28,228 10 4
Tangallamudi ..	66 6 3	811 5 1	57 11 0	..	..	989 11 5	1,925 1 9
Kangundi ..	Particulars not available.						3,892 0 0
Pulicherla ..	..	..	(a) 71 8 11	..	..	..	71 8 11
Panaiyur ..	..	..	..	..	..	444 2 4	444 2 4
Sáptúr	..	..	2,006 14 3	249 3 7	..	2,598 5 6	(c) 4,854 7 4
Neliyalam ..	3,951 0 0	..	3,365 0 0	..	..	..	7,316 0 0
Shulagiri ..	..	..	(b) 522 0 0	..	..	..	522 0 0
Kavalpara ..	..	..	596 0 0	..	..	..	596 0 0
Total ..	4,017 6 3	13,382 15 0	8,266 6 7	1,480 13 6	84 10 0	16,858 1 5	47,982 4 9

	Rs. A. P.
(a) Current	47 6 6
(b) ,, ...	130 8 0
(c) ,, ...	247 6 7

The amount entered against Aska consists of two items—Rs. 18, arrears of rent due by a karji, and Rs. 66-10-0, arrears due by three individuals for faslis 1296 and 1297. The former was granted a remission of Rs. 6 by deduction from the rent due by him and the amount now recommended for remission is the rent due by him for faslis 1296, 1297 and 1298. The latter is due by three individuals, to whom the late proprietor promised a remission by deduction of rent for services rendered. Of the amount entered against Meduru, the claims for the sum of Rs. 12,571-9-11 are stated to have been barred by the statute of limitation. The arrears relate to faslis 1286 to 1297 and the Collector promises information as to when they became time-barred. The estate came under management in March 1888 and the Collector will be requested to state why steps were not taken by him to secure the arrears of faslis 1296 and 1297. In the case of Rs. 903-10-2, included in the statement under "other causes" and recommended for remission on account of waste and loss of crops, Rs. 399-12-8 relate to fasli 1297 and Rs. 503-13-6 to faslis prior to it. The claim for the latter is apparently time-barred and as to the former the Court agrees with the Collector in thinking that the proper time for inquiring into claims for remission under this head is the jamabandi of the fasli to which the arrears appertain and if they were not then admitted good cause should be shown for admitting them afterwards. Out of the amount shown against Lakkavaram, Rs. 14-7-7 is the assessment on subsequent inams resumed or relinquished, and Rs. 33-4-6 the assessment remitted at the jamabandi of fasli 1298. The latter amount should have been excluded when the final demand was fixed for that year. In Tangallamudi the amount entered under "other causes" consists chiefly of collections made before the Court assumed charge of the estate but not brought to account and claims set off but not adjusted. In regard to the irrecoverable arrears of Kangundi no orders are called for, as the estate has been handed over to the zemindar. In Pulicherla the amount is due by defaulters whose property has been sold and purchased on behalf of the estate for want of bidders. They are stated to possess no other property to proceed against and the amount will therefore be written off. The amount entered against Panaiyur is stated to have been sanctioned by the Collector to be classed as irrecoverable at the jamabandi of fasli 1299; but the reasons for so doing are not stated nor is any specific recommendation made for writing off the amount. In Sáptúr the amount is found irrecoverable owing to the defaulters being paupers or to the arrears being due by the estate itself on ponnai lands or to the arrears being claimed as a set off against sums due by the estate. In Neliyalam the amount recommended is the rent due on account of janmabogam wrongly claimed by the estate on certain Government escheat lands. In Shulagiri the rent for faslis 1296 to 1299, at Rs. 130-8-0 a year, due by the lessee of a certain village, against whom a suit was filed and finally decided in favour of the estate, is recommended to be written off the accounts on the ground that the village has since been relinquished and that the defaulter is a pauper without means to pay the amount. In Kavalpara the amount relates to fasli 1286 and subsequent years and is due by tenants who are reported to be in very poor circumstances so that payment cannot be insisted on without undue hardship.

	Rs. A. P.
* Meduru—	
(1)	12,571 9 11
(2)	399 12 8
Kangundi	3,892 0 0
Panaiyur	444 2 4

Excluding the items noted in the margin * sanction is accorded for writing off Rs. 30,674-11-10 from the accounts.

21. *Financial condition of estates.*—Statement No. IV shows the financial condition of the several estates. The differences between the opening balance of fasli 1299 and the closing balance of fasli 1298, as given in the previous year's report, are due in Mailavaram and Ukkadai to the omission to transfer from cash to Government securities the investment made in the previous year and in Erumaipatti and Vadapathimangalam to the rounding of fractions. In the estates in Tanjore the difference under grain balance is due, as usual, to the different commutation rates adopted in the two years. In the three † estates of Ganjam noted in the margin

† Parlákimedi. | Kallikót. Bodogodo.

and in Pulicherla the differences have not been explained and the Collectors concerned will be requested to supply the omission. The amounts shown under "other items" against the estates in Ganjam consist chiefly of deposits of renters, refund of takkavi, &c. The remittances from the Court of Wards are also included under this item in Parlákimedi and Kurla. In Appikonda the profits derived from salt and indigo business are shown under this item. In the estates of Tanjore and Sáptúr this item is mainly made up of deposits, and the sale-proceeds of forest produce are also included. The amounts shown against Kavalpara and Vadagarai include malikhana allowances of Rs. 4,568 and Rs. 1,883, respectively. The total cash receipts of the year amounted to Rs. 25,81,570. Adding to this the cash balance at the beginning of the year, the total available assets were Rs. 34,69,614, of which Rs. 22,53,594 were expended and Rs. 3,21,000 were spent in the purchase of Government promissory notes. The cash balance at the end of the year was Rs. 8,95,020. Adding to this the value of grain on hand and of Government securities, the balance to the credit of the estates was Rs. 49,15,596. The charges entered in column 26 of the statement include the following unusual items :—

	Rs.
Parlákimedi—	
Marriage expenses	3,000
Cost of chandeliers	18,449
Funeral charges	14,000
Completion of Devi Mutt	70,000
Total ...	1,05,449
Jarada—	
Marriage expenses	7,500
Advance for seed-grain	4,275
Funeral charges	2,500
Minor's trip	539
Total ...	14,814
Dhárákót—	
Repayment of deposits	3,899
Advance for seed-grain	8,000
Funeral charges	100
Total ...	11,999
Bodogodo—	
Advance for seed-grain	936
Funeral charges including charges for condolence	565
Total ...	1,501
Kurla—	
Marriage expenses	1,500
Advance for seed-grain	2,103
Upanayanam charges	150
Total ...	3,753
Aska—	
Advance for seed-grain	1,761
Kallikót—	
Law charges	7,992
Marriage expenses	523
Advance for seed-grain	16,000
Karnavali, &c., ceremonies	3,600
Minor's trip	1,233
Total ...	29,348

	Rs.
Chidikada—	
Pilgrimage of the proprietrix to Benares	12,000
Sripuram—	
Cost of sugar-cane mills	305
Meduru—	
Fees paid to pleaders and barristers	12,107
Devastanam repairs	8,685
Survey and demarcation charges	1,000
Total ...	21,792
Kangundi—	
Purchase of houses	5,019
Tour charges	1,850
Law do.	10,081
Passage money to tutor	996
Total ...	17,946
Vadapathimangalam—	
Law charges	2,891
Purchase of lands	647
Total ...	3,538
Gandharvakóta—	
Law charges	2,376
Purchase of lands	247
Total ...	2,623
Tiruvalanjuli—	
Law charges	2,044
Singavanam—	
Law charges	2,510
Palayavanam—	
Marriage of the daughter of the late zemindar	200
Law charges	934
Funeral charges	180
Total ...	1,314
Tyagasamudram—	
Law charges	763
Schooling ceremony	50
Total ...	813
Peranur—	
Minor's marriage and purchase of jewels	2,000
N. Dorasawmi Iyer's estate—	
Purchase of lands	26,955
N. Rungasawmi Iyer's estate—	
Refunds	1,533

	RS.
Sáptúr—	
Law charges	2,658
Yelagiri—	
Survey charges	2,180
K. Puttamma's estate—	
Law charges	4,351

22. *Comparative statement of total charges.*—The total charges of the year are compared below with those of the preceding fasli in the case of those estates which were under management in both the years :—

COMPARATIVE statement of total charges of the estates under management.

Estates.	Fasli 1298.	Fasli 1299.	Remarks.
	RS.	RS.	
Parlákimedi	4,25,835	6,59,212	Increase due to purchase of chandeliers for the palace (Rs. 18,450), to funeral charges (Rs. 4,000) and to the purchase of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 1,05,000.
Jaradá	21,813	34,229	Increase due to a large expenditure on account of the minor's sister's marriage, some funeral charges and advance for grain and to repayment of certain debts.
Dhárákót	53,396	90,527	Increase due to a larger payment on account of peishcush and land-cess, to repayment of debts and to miscellaneous disbursements.
Bodogodo	18,830	25,503	Increase due to the payment of Government dues and to the purchase of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 400.
Kurla	16,876	22,211	Increase due to the payment of Government dues and to the purchase of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 1,300.
Aska	20,003	20,253	Increase due to increased expenditure under maintenance of the ward and to the purchase of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 4,200.
Kallikót	3,10,084	2,37,938	Decrease due to the payment of arrear allowances and to large payments in liquidation of debts in fasli 1298.
Chidikada	14,876	43,406	Increase due to a large expenditure under maramut charges, assessment and land-cess and miscellaneous and to the purchase of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 11,600.
Srípuram	15,894	20,792	Increase due to a large expenditure under maramut charges and maintenance and education of the minor and to the purchase of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 800.
Chipurupalle	6,046	7,728	Increase due to the payment of Government dues and to the purchase of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 400.
Appikondah	16,687	22,538	Increase due to a large expenditure under maramut charges and to the payment of Government dues and certain debts.
Lakkavaram	13,997	19,932	Increase due to increased maramut expenditure, to payment of arrears of peishcush and to investment in Government paper.
Meduru	1,11,090	1,89,265	Increase due to payment of arrears of allowances to village officers, to expenditure on account of survey operations and also to law charges.
Mailavaram	24,506	18,763	Decrease due to the payment of a smaller sum under "maramut" and "establishment charges."
Kapileswarapuram	1,50,469	1,18,954	Decrease due to the purchase of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 35,000 in the previous year and to smaller expenditure under maramut, water-tax, repayment of debts, law charges, &c.
Kangundi	1,09,495	1,25,391	Increase due to increased expenditure generally under establishment, maramut, Government commission, law charges and maintenance, education, &c., of the minors.
Timmajiammah's	2,692	3,228	Increase due to increased expenditure under establishment, law charges, maintenance and education of the minor and to the payment of Government dues.
Pulicherla	44,045	27,881	Decrease due to a larger sum expended under repayment of debts in the previous fasli.
Panaiyur	36,849	17,837	Decrease due to the payment of debts in fasli 1298.
Ukkadai	17,858	38,829	Increase due to the payment of Government dues that fell in arrears.
Gandharvakóta	37,382	45,962	Increase due to a large expenditure under payment of debts.
Vadapathimangalam	55,466	1,36,269	Increase solely due to the payment of the large arrears of Government kist and commission and of heavy law charges.

Comparative statement of total charges of the estates under management—*cont.*

Estates.	Fasli 1298.	Fasli 1299.	Remarks.
	RS.	RS.	
Mathur	9,546	7,597	Decrease mainly due to the arrears of Government demand being less than in the previous year.
Singavanam	22,666	20,867	Decrease due to a larger payment of Government dues in the previous fasli.
Tiruvalanjuli	8,527	5,740	Decrease due to the non-payment of Government dues during the fasli for want of funds and to decrease under repayment of deposits.
Tyagasamudram	12,739	26,444	Increase due to the purchase of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs. 18,000.
Palayavanam	10,361	16,918	Increase due to increased expenditure under repayment of debts, Government dues and establishment charges.
Edamanal	17,325	45,442	Increase chiefly due to the payment of Rs. 22,007 on account of debts and of Rs. 5,635 on account of arrears of Government kist.
Peramur	8,852	17,152	Increase due to increased expenditure on account of the marriage of the ward's son and to the purchase of Government promissory notes.
N. Doraiswami Iyer's Estate.	12,765	40,544	Increase due to increased expenditure under maramut and to the investment of Rs. 26,536 in the purchase of a village for the estate.
Marungapuri	62,026	83,044	Increase due to increased expenditure under establishment and maramut and to payment of debts and Government dues.
N. Rangaswami Iyer's Estate.	59,990	78,694	Increase due to large expenditure under payment of debts and to repayment of deposits and profits of samudayam lands.
Singam Iyengar's Estate ..	2,145	6,935	Increase due to the fact that the figures for fasli 1299 show the expenditure for the whole year, while those for fasli 1298 show only the charges for six months and to the payment of Government dues, &c.
Sáptúr	46,535	62,753	Increase due to a very large expenditure on public works and to the payment of tirwa on pennai lands.
Vadagarai	5,940	6,570	Increase due to increased expenditure under Government dues.
Mambarai	3,078	6,017	Increase due to repayment of debts and to increase in establishment charges.
Metrathi	4,753	7,515	Increase due to the purchase of promissory notes of the nominal value of Rs 3,000.
Neliyalam	8,526	8,905	Increase due to a large expenditure under saderward and contingencies and maramut and on plantations.
Erumaipatti	15,955	23,771	Increase due to the purchase of promissory notes in the fasli.
Shulagiri	18,603	17,855	Decrease due to absence of arrears under Government commission and to less expenditure under law charges.
Yelagiri	23,614	19,793	Decrease due to a large repayment of debt in the previous fasli.
Sarvotama Rao's Estate ..	4,199	4,814	Increase due to additional expenditure incurred for keeping up the family residence at Brahmavar after the death of the minor's grandmother Bhagiriti Boyee.
K. Puttamma's Estate ..	20,443	22,666	Increase due to a larger payment of debt during the current fasli.
Kavalpara	32,876	69,919	Increase due to the remittance of Rs. 20,295 to the Accountant-General for the purchase of Government promissory notes.
Bimbalan	410	250	Decrease due to absence of law charges.

	RS.	RS.
Total receipts ...	...	25,81,570
Deduct debts ...	1,24,479	
Amount realized by sale of land, &c. ...	1,19,441	
Amount borrowed ...	34,000	
		2,77,920
		23,03,650
Establishment charges		1,69,973
Government commission		21,940
		1,91,913
Percentage		8·3

23. In statement No. IV, the percentage to the receipts of establishment charges and of Government commission is given. Excluding from the latter the collections of debts (column 9), the amounts realized by the sale of lands, articles, jewels, &c. (column 11) and also the amount borrowed (column 12) and taking the normal receipts of the year, the percentage will be found to be 8·3 as shown in the margin. In the estates noted below, the percentage exceeds 12 per cent. and the attention of Collectors will be drawn to the necessity for reducing the expenditure if possible.

Bodogodo	20·2
Appikonda	14·0
Lakkavaram	14·4
Meduru	13·4
Timmagiammah's	14·4
Pulicherla	13·5
Panaiyur	16·2
Gandharvakóta	16·0
Singavanam	17·1
Sáptúr	21·8
Sarvotama Row's	12·3
Puttamma's	25·3
Bimbalan	18·3

24. *Public Works.*—Enclosure A to statement No. IV shows the amounts sanctioned for and expended on Public Works in the several estates under management. The total allotment was Rs. 2,87,722, of which Rs. 1,37,665, or 47·8 per cent., was spent against 64·6 per cent. in the previous year. The expenditure is classified below :—

	Fasli 1298.	Fasli 1299.
	RS.	RS.
Irrigation works	92,579	67,565
Buildings	33,120	29,762
Communications	2,886	25,211
Total	1,28,585	1,22,538
Establishment	21,424	14,844
	1,50,009	1,37,382
Expenditure for which no details are furnished	1,30,709	283
	2,80,718	1,37,665

The expenditure on Public Works (Rs. 1,37,665) amounted to 5·3 per cent. of the total expenditure of the estates. Excluding from the latter debts repaid and the sums invested in Government promissory notes, the proportion rises to 6·8 per cent. against 11 per cent. in the previous year. The falling off is only nominal, being due entirely to the handing over of the three estates—Ramnad, Urlam and Chinnakimedi—which contributed Rs. 1,19,937 in the previous year out of the total maramut expenditure of Rs. 2,80,718. The other estates are small and cannot afford to spend much money under this head. The result may, however, be expected to improve in future years owing to the addition of the Pittapúr and Ettiyápuram Estates to the Court's charge. The proportion of expenditure to allotment in the several estates was 47·8 per cent. In eleven estates it exceeded 75 per cent., in three of which the entire allotment was utilized, in five * others the allotment was exceeded. In eleven estates, the proportion ranged between 50 and 75 per cent. and in the eighteen estates noted in the margin,† it was below 50 per cent. In Chípurupalle and Tangellamudi, no works were executed in the year under report, but the expenditure shown against them represents the amounts advanced in the previous fasli and now adjusted. In Jaradá, Kurla, Parlákimedi, Chedikada and Erumaipatti the allotments were not fully utilized by the Public Works Department. In the estates of Tanjore, except Gandharvakóta, the works executed during the year were not fully paid for, and in Ukkadai a provision of Rs. 1,200, intended for the construction of a bungalow, was also not utilized, the plan and estimate for the work not being ready. In Karuvalakarai,

* Srípuram.
Appikonda.
Lakkavaram.
Tiruvalanguli.
N. Dorasami Iyer's Estate.

† Chipurupalli	2·5
Tangellamudi	7·4
Jaradá	12·8
Shulagiri	13·0
Erumaipatti	16·8
Ukkadai	17·0
Gandharvakota	17·1
Karuvalakarai	20·0
Vadagarai	22·8
Mathur	31·7
Pattamma's Estate	34·6
Kurla	36·7
Parlákimedi	40·5
Kapileswarapuram	42·7
Vadapathimangalam	44·5
Chidikada	45·4
Singavanam	46·9
Sáptúr	49·9

a portion of the allotment was withheld, the other mirasidars of the village having failed to contribute their quota for the repair of irrigation works. In Gandharvakota the allotments for some of the works were surrendered as being unnecessary, while the execution of others was postponed for want of coolies and contractors. The difficulty of procuring labour and materials is much felt in Shulagiri, while in Sáptúr good and experienced contractors are reported not to be available. In Vadagarai a provision of Rs. 355 for the repair of the estate granary was not utilized, the estimate being under revision by the Executive Engineer, and in Kapileswarapuram the plans and estimates for the several works proposed for execution were not ready. In Puttamma's Estate the full budget provision was not utilized owing to the change of managers.

The following statement exhibits the outlay compared with the grants for 1889-90 in the several estates in which the works were entrusted to the Public Works Department :—

	Original grant.	Revised grant.	Outlay.
	RS.	RS.	RS.
Parlákimedi	92,250	46,740	45,321
Jarada	13,530	2,768	3,469
Bodogodo	4,920	922	2,153
Dhárákót	7,380	4,920	5,383
Kurla including Tarlipet	4,059	615	195
Aska	2,214	615	468
Kallikót	45,510	4,674	4,714
Chidikada	5,295	2,295	1,528
Lakkavaram	593	793	2,294
Yelagiri	2,036	2,036	1,830
Erumaipati	1,544	925	556

The large surrenders in the estates of Ganjam are explained to be due to the opening of famine-relief works on which the attention of the whole of the executive staff was concentrated till November 1889. In Chidikada the only work entrusted to the Public Works Department was the scheme for an anicut across the Bodderu river and the construction of a head sluice for Bodderu channel. The outlay on this work was not satisfactory, but some progress may be looked for in the current year.

25. *Debts due to estates.*—Statement V-A. shows the debts due to the several estates under management. The total debts outstanding at the beginning of the fasli amounted to Rs. 11,02,675. Including those newly contracted or brought to account, as also the interest that accrued during the year, the total sum due was Rs. 12,73,431, of which Rs. 1,24,947 * were collected within the year and Rs. 21,317 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 11,27,211 at the end of the year distributed among forty estates. Of this amount Rs. 69,732 † have been ordered to be written off since the close of the year. The outstanding balances are large in the following estates :—

* Includes Rs. 44 excess realized in the sale of brass vessels in Mailavaram.

	RS.
† Chidikada	31
Vadapathimangalam	62,333
Karuvelakarai	173
N. Rungasami Iyer's	338
Neliyalam	6,848
Meduru	9
	69,732

District.	Outstanding balances.	District.	Outstanding balances.
	RS.		RS.
Aska	36,353	Vadapathimangalam	1,04,132
Kallikót	1,28,802	Tiruvalanjuli	57,250
Appikondah	50,122	Tygasamudram	59,636
Meduru	1,21,024	Singam Iyengar's	46,361
Kapileswarapuram	70,863	Saptúr	26,493
Kangundi	39,021	Neliyalam	33,292
Ukkadai	31,212	K. Puttamma's estate	1,75,018
Gandharvakóta	26,599		

No information is furnished regarding the heavy balance in Aska, but in Kallikót special attention is being paid to the subject, the list of debts having been carefully scrutinized and steps taken to effect recoveries by filing suits. In Appikondah, most of the debts are recoverable by instalments and are covered by mortgage of lands. In Meduru, Rs. 3,151 have been realized since the close of the fasli and nearly half the remaining balance is covered by decrees, while the other half is in course of collection. In Kapileswarapuram, a portion of the balance, Rs. 3,108-15-8, is reported to be irrecoverable. The Collector's application for writing off the amount will be disposed of separately. Of the remainder suits were filed for Rs. 1,703, application for execution of decrees was made for Rs. 1,5146, and the balance, except the portion of it which would only fall due in future years according to stipulations in documents, was in course of collection. In Kangundi, the amount was covered by mortgage bonds and decrees. In Ukkadai, the major portion of the balance was due under Court decrees for which execution proceedings were being taken out; and in Gandharvakóta endeavours were being made to collect the debts as far as possible and to institute suits against such defaulters as had sufficient means, but purposely withheld payment. Of the balance shown against Vadapathimangalam, Rs. 62,333, being advances to porakudies, were written off after the close of the fasli under Court's Proceedings, dated 23rd July 1890, No. 1777, as the Collector reported that he had satisfied himself by a close and careful scrutiny of the accounts and by careful local inquiry that the debtors were destitute porakudies from whom there was not the slightest chance of recovering the sums. Rs. 6,152 were covered by court decrees; and the remaining amount of 35,647 was due by tenants who were entirely dependent on the estate and unable to repay the amount advanced to them. In Tiruvalanjuli, a large portion of the balance was covered by Court decrees and a portion of it was also irrecoverable for which a separate report is promised. The balance in Tyagasamudram is also large and is chiefly due under Court decrees, mortgage and hypothecation bonds and pledge of jewels. The irrecoverable portion is under scrutiny by the Sub-Collector. In Singam Iyengar's Estate, most of the debts are covered by hypothecation bonds and the subject is engaging the Collector's attention. In Saptúr, most of the debts are due on bonds executed by the tenants for arrears of rent and better progress in collection is expected in the current fasli. The balance in Neliyalam includes Rs. 6,848-7-8, sanctioned by the Court to be written off and a further report is promised as to the items of debts which are still irrecoverable. As regards Konde Puttamma's Estate, the list of debts originally submitted to the Court was found to be incorrect, in that it contained a number of items which had been disallowed by the Civil Courts or had been barred, or were identical with other items shown in the list, or had been recovered by the late proprietor. The subject was reviewed by the Court in its Proceedings, dated 6th August 1890, No. 1981, a copy of which will be separately submitted for the information of Government. The Collector has promised to submit a revised list.

26. The two items noted in the margin are reported to be irrecoverable and sanction is solicited for writing them off the accounts. The Tiruvalanjuli estate having been handed over since the close of the fasli, the proprietor will be left to deal with the item himself; and in Yelagiri, the item, being the difference between the amount claimed by suit and that decreed by the Court, sanction is accorded for writing off the amount.

	Rs.	A.	P.
Tiruvalanjuli	1,401	13	0
Yelagiri	280	0	0

27. *Debts due by the estates.*—Statement V-B shows the debts due by the estates under the management of the Court. The amount due at the beginning of the year was Rs. 13,35,014. Adding Rs. 30,500, debts contracted or newly brought to account, as also Rs. 1,60,523, interest that accrued during the year, the total sum due was Rs. 15,26,037. Of this sum, debts, amounting to Rs. 2,67,436, were paid off and Rs. 1,823 struck off in the Edamanal estate having been remitted by the creditors or disallowed by Civil Courts. The balance at the end of the year was Rs. 12,56,778

due by 25 estates. In four estates, the sums outstanding were small, less than Rs. 1,000, and five estates were cleared of debt during the year. The outstanding balances were large in the following estates:—

District.	Outstanding balances.	District.	Outstanding balances.
	Rs.		Rs.
Dhárákót	54,003	Vadapathimangalam	35,534
Kallikót	36,781	Singavanam	1,27,857
Tangellamúdi	1,10,379	Tiruvalanjuli	43,690
Timmajiamma's	16,358	Palayavanam	2,36,964
Pulicherla	49,176	Edamanal	71,252
Panaiyur	15,048	N. Rungasami Iyer's ..	1,06,328
Gandharvakóta	2,58,480	Shulagiri	25,328

In Kallikót, owing to the unfavourable character of the season, it was found necessary to contract a loan of Rs. 30,000, on which interest was paid during the year; the principal will be paid off when it falls due. In Tangellamúdi the bulk of the debt (over Rs. 88,300) is due to the ward's natural father, the Rajah of Venkatagiri, to whom the estate is hypothecated. Of the amount due by Timmajiamma's estate, a debt of Rs. 11,000 is due to the Kangundi Zemindar who is enjoying an inam village on usufructuary mortgage which the Collector is negotiating to sell. In Pulicherla two payakats consisting of 26 villages were sold in satisfaction of the decree in Original Suit No. 19 of 1884 on the file of the District Court, North Arcot, but the proceeds were insufficient to pay off all the debts. An appeal against the sale preferred to the High Court was decided against the estate. In Panaiyur a large portion of the balance will be paid off when the salt now in stock is sold. In Gandharvakóta, out of the large amount due, the payment of Rs. 1,02,938 may be deferred as the creditors are in possession of certain estate villages in lieu of interest, and as regards the liquidation of the balance the Collector promises a separate report. No portion of the debts due was paid off in Vadapathimangalam and Singavanam for want of funds, and in the latter the Collector reports that no savings can be effected from the annual income and the charges cannot be cut down with advantage. In Tiruvalanjuli the balance is covered by hypothecation bonds (Rs. 34,211) and court decrees and suits (Rs. 9,479). The estate has since been handed over to the proprietor. Proposals for the liquidation of the heavy debt due by the Palayavanam and Edamanal estates are promised by the Collector. Out of the debts due by N. Rungasami Iyer's estate, a sum of Rs. 22,175 has been paid since the close of the fasli, but there seems to be no chance of the estate being handed over free from encumbrances unless some more lands belonging to the estate are sold. The amount shown against Shulagiri is the balance of the loan obtained from Government which is being paid off in yearly instalments.

28. *Religious and charitable institutions attached to estates.*—The subjoined statement shows the demand, collection and balance of the religious and charitable institutions attached to the Peramur, Marungápuri, Sáptúr and Kavalpara estates.

STATEMENT showing the demand, collection and balance of the religious and charitable institutions attached to estates under management.

District.	Estate.	Endowment.	Demand.			Collections and remissions.
			Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur ..	Musiri chattram ..	132	434	566	56
	Marungápuri ..	Devastanam	* 5,233	10,483	15,716	4,813
	Do. ...	Uranis	* 473	642	1,115	393
Madura ..	Sáptúr ..	Chattram	..	161	161	..
	Do. ..	Devastanam	233	1,742	1,975	149
Malabar ..	Kavalpara ..	Tiruchengód devasam ..	2,513	1,093	3,606	235

* This is the correct figure.

District.	Estate.	Endowment.	Collections and remissions—*cont.* Current.	Collections and remissions—*cont.* Total.	Balance. Arrears.	Balance. Current.	Balance. Total.	Percentage of balance to demand.
			8	9	10	11	12	13
Trichinopoly	Peramur	Musiri chattram	Rs. 382	Rs. 438	Rs. 76	Rs. 52	Rs. 128	22·6
	Marungápuri	Devastanam	9,119	13,932	420	1,364	1,784	11·3
	Do.	Uranis	529	922	80	113	193	17·3
Madura	Sáptúr	Chattram	161	..	..	..	..	..
	Do.	Devastanam	1,398	1,547	84	344	428	21·7
Malabar	Kavalpara	Tiruchengód devasam	788	1,023	2,278	305	2,583	71·6

The high percentage of balance in Tiruchengód devasam is due to the disputes among the co-trustees who cannot agree among themselves as to the arrangement to be made for the management of the property. A large portion of the balance appearing in the statement has also been collected by them. The arrear balances shown against Marungápuri and Sáptúr devastanams include Rs. 245 and Rs. 52, being items sanctioned by the Court since the close of the fasli to be written off the accounts.

29. *Financial condition of minor institutions.*—The financial condition of the several institutions above referred to is shown below.

STATEMENT showing the financial condition of the minor institutions attached to estates under management.

District.	Estate.	Endowment.	Opening balance.	Receipts.	Total.	Charges.	Closing balance.	Remarks.
Trichinopoly	Peramur	Musiri chattram	Rs. 230	Rs. 554	Rs. 784	Rs. 640	Rs 144	
	Marungápuri.	Devastanam	7,989	14,123	22,112	13,034	9,078	Exclusive of paddy on hand, kalams 61.
	Do.	Uranis	490	863	1,353	613	740	
Madura	Sáptúr	Chattram	1	358	359	256	103	
	Do.	Devastanam	3,756	3,079	6,835	4,108	2,727	
Malabar	Kavalpara	Tiruchengód devasam.	169	1,178	1,347	923	424	Exclusive of grain on hand of the value of Rupees 112-8-4.

30. *Education of the wards.*—Statement No. VI shows the educational progress of the wards and of such of their relations as were receiving instruction during the year under report. The total number included in the list is 67, of whom 62 were males and five females. The younger son of the Parlákimedi zemindar and the minor zemindars of Jarada, Dhárákót and Kallikót were under Mr. Taylor's private tuition at Parlákimedi. The first-named minor's progress in education was to some extent retarded by a very serious illness which prevented him from attending school for more than two months; nevertheless it was on the whole satisfactory. The Jarada minor is reported to have made fair progress in his studies, but his conduct is reported to be unsatisfactory. The Dhárákót minor's progress was good; but as regards the Kallikót minors, it is reported that the elder knows very little except Uriya and that the younger is lazy. Since the close of the fasli, however, both the Kallikót boys have been removed to Madras and placed under Mr. Potts with the Kapileswarapuram minor. The two Kangundi minors remained at Madras during the whole of the year under report under Mr. Creighton until December 1889 and then under Mr. Wilson. Their progress is reported to have been good. They were also taught estate work generally by a clerk deputed from the manager's office to read vernacular papers with them. The Mathur minor having passed the F.A. examination in December 1889, is studying in the Presidency College for the B.A. degree. The elder minor of N. Dorasami Iyer's estate was reading in the Senior F.A. class at the close of the fasli. Both these minors are favourably reported on; their conduct also is reported to be satisfactory.

Of the other minors, the eldest Tyagasamudram boy, whose age was 20, was studying Sanskrit at home under a private tutor: the conduct of the remaining members of this family, viz., the three younger minors and the step-brother of the late proprietor, is not favourably reported on. The Vadapathimangalam minor was studying privately for the Matriculation examination. The minors of the marginally-noted estates were receiving instruction under private tutors. Three of the wards, viz., those of Bodogodo, Venkatapur Ayyanna's estate and Karuvalakarai, were put to letters about the close of the fasli. Of the rest, eight were reading in High School classes and the remaining 23 in Middle School and Primary classes. The conduct and progress of these minors are reported to have been on the whole satisfactory.

Kurla ...	1	Sáptúr ...	1
Tangellamúdi	1	Mambarai ...	3
Mailavaram ...	1	Vadimittah ...	1
Palayavanam	1	Metráthi ...	1
Marungápuri	1	Yelagiri ...	1
N. Rungasami Iyer's estate.	1		

The minors of Jarada and Kallikót are reported to have been instructed in Revenue Law and Accounts during the year; and arrangements have been made since the close of the fasli to instruct the Ukkadai minor also.

Of the female wards, the proprietrix of Aska is being taught by a private tutor, whom she pays from her own allowance. Timmajiamma, it is reported, has made perceptible progress in Telugu, arithmetic, needlework and English under her Governess, Miss Mary Connelly. The female ward of the Marungápuri estate is making fair progress and the Court has recently sanctioned the appointment of a more competent teacher. As reported in the previous faslis, the Kavalpara Nethiyar gave up her studies in 1881. She can read and write Malayalam well. Her young daughter has passed the 1st Standard examination and has been promoted to the 2nd Standard class.

31. *Law suits.*—As stated in the previous year's report, the formal appeal filed in the High Court against the decision of the District Court of Ganjam in connection with the transfer of the Parlákimedi estate to the son of the incapacitated zemindar was decided in favour of the latter and the landed estate was handed over to him on 1st July 1890. In regard to the moveable property consisting of Government promissory notes claimed by him, the Court of Wards has decided that, so far as the property of its ward is concerned, it must retain it for him until a proper court of law relieves it of the money. Original Suit No. 14 of 1888 on the file of the District Court of Vizagapatam, which was pending, has since been decided in favour of the minor zemindar of Siripuram who is declared entitled to immediate possession of Ungarada and other villages, with mesne profits from April 1886. The decree is pending execution. The suit filed by Suryanarayana Thatraj to recover possession of half the Belgám estate on the ground that he is the nearest male relative of the late zemindar was decided in his favour. An appeal has, however, been presented to the High Court which has been admitted and an order has been granted for the stay of execution, on condition of giving security to the satisfaction of the District Court of Vizagapatam. Two suits filed against Simhadri Appa Rao on behalf of the Kapileswarapuram estate, one to recover Rs. 80,000, being the amount misappropriated by him as trustee during the period of his management, and the other to remove an illegal encroachment on a wall on which a building was erected, were pending at the close of the year. But as the defendant has since died and his estate has also been taken under the management of the Court of Wards, arrangements are being made to withdraw the suits and to settle the matters out of court. A suit on behalf of this estate has also been filed against Rajah Varadarajah Appa Rao Bahadur, zemindar of Gannaveram and his tenants for causing obstruction to a supply channel belonging to the estate. It is still pending. In connection with the Tangellamúdi estate, three appeals are now pending before the High Court in the matter of the heirship-certificate granted by the District Court of Nellore to the Rajah of Venkatagiri on behalf of his minor son, the nephew of the late Rani, and of the order of the District Court of Godávari declaring that the late Rajah of Pittapur was better entitled to receive a certificate to collect the debts due to the estate of the Tangellamúdi Rani, his younger brother's junior widow. In Vadapathimangalam, an appeal preferred to the High Court against the decree of the Sub-Court, Kumbakónam, adjudging nearly one-half of the landed property to one Aiyadorai Mudali and his son, was pending; the estate

has since been handed over. In Sáptúr, the suit filed by Nagammall, a dagger-wife of the late zemindar on behalf of her minor son to recover the estate or a share of it, was decided in favour of the Court's ward so far as the exclusive possession of the zemindari was concerned; but a share was decreed in the case of such of the personally acquired pannai lands, gardens, &c., of the late zemindar. An appeal has been preferred to the High Court on behalf of the Court's ward against so much of the decree as is adverse to the minor. The appeal (Suit No. 80 of 1887) relating to Puttamma's estate which was pending in the High Court since 1887 has recently been decided in favour of the Court's ward.

32. *Condition of ryots.*—The condition of ryots in the several estates is briefly noticed below.

Parlákimedi.—The ryots here are much better off than the ryots of the adjoining Government taluk of Chicacole. The stress of the recent famine was not so great here.

Jarada and Dhárákót.—The ryots suffered greatly from the recent famine and have not yet recovered from its effects. They pay an average rent of about Rs. 3 per acre and are reported to be poor.

Bodogodo.—The condition of the ryots was better than in the previous fasli, which was a famine year.

Kurla and Aska.—The ryots were much affected by the famine of fasli 1298 but are now generally recovering. The ryots are reported to be poor. The crops failed to some extent owing to the floods during the fasli. The average rent paid is about Rs. 6 per acre.

Kallikót and Atagadá.—The ryots are reported to be poor. The average rent is about Rs. 5 per acre.

Vizagapatam estates.—The ryots of Siripuram are reported to be in well-to-do circumstances, while those of Chidikada are not so, but manage to pay their rents in a fair season. In Chípurupalle and Appikonda, the ryots are very poor and their lands are not fertile, so that they pay their rents with difficulty. The ryots of the Belgám estate are fairly well off; and the rents they pay are not considered excessive.

Lakkavaram.—The ryots seem to be quite contented and there was no change in their condition.

Tangellamúdi.—The ryots are reported to be quite contented and are as happy as those in Government villages.

Mailavaram.—The tenants are generally in good circumstances and their condition does not differ from that of Government ryots.

Kapileswarapuram.—There is on the whole no perceptible difference between the condition of ryots in the deltaic villages of this estate and that of those in the adjoining Government villages.

Kangundi and Timmajiamma's estates.—The condition of ryots here compares unfavourably with that of the neighbouring Government ryots. The Collector considers that no improvement can be expected until the right of occupancy is conferred upon the ryots.

Pulicherla.—The ryots possess occupancy right, but are individually poor. The assessment paid by them is heavy in most cases. Tiled houses are few and far between and though there is abundant pasturage for cattle, the number they can afford to keep is small.

Panaiyur.—The ryots of this estate are generally poor except in some of the villages where they derive some profit out of cocoanuts and salt manufacture.

Tanjore estates.—In the three zemindari estates of Gandharvakóta, Singavanam and Palayavanam, the ryots are reported to be poor, possessing little or no moveables beyond a limited number of cattle; and in the last-named estate several are reported to have emigrated to Colombo and elsewhere. The other estates in this district consist of ryotwari holdings, in which the tenants or porakudies are coolies or day labourers and are generally poor.

Sáptúr.—The condition of the ryots is reported to be improving. The rates of rent are not on the whole oppressive, except where the waram system prevails.

Mambarai.—The ryots are in an impoverished condition, as stated last year, owing to constant litigation with the late zemindar.

Metráthi.—The ryots are in as fair condition as those in the adjoining Government villages.

Erumaipati.—The ryots of the estate are reported to be better off than those in the neighbouring proprietary estates though the assessment is heavy.

Shulagiri.—The ryots are in much the same condition as those in the Government taluk of Hosúr. The average incidence of assessment per head of population is Rs. 2-1-7. The ryots do not depend on sowcars for payment of assessment.

Yelagiri.—The condition of the ryots is similar to that of the neighbouring Government ryots. The incidence of assessment per head of population is Rs. 1-4-11 or Rs. 1-14-0 per acre. The average size of the holding of a ryot has been ascertained to be 6·78 acresof cultivable land assessed at Rs. 10-9-6.

South Canara estates.—The tenants of the estates are in a prosperous state and there is no difference between their condition and that of other ryots in the district.

Kavalpara.—The tenantry of the estate are reported to be in good condition, the rates of rent being reported to be small when compared with those prevailing under other landlords in Malabar.

It is observed that the information furnished by most of the Collectors under this important head is not sufficiently full as no attempts are made to compare the rates of assessment prevailing in the estates with those in the adjoining Government taluks. Their attention will be drawn to paragraph 5 of Court's Proceedings, dated 24th March 1891, No. 790, and they will be requested to give in future reports the average rents paid by the ryots per acre of wet and dry lands and to report the extent to which recourse is had to the Rent Recovery Act and to civil suits for the realization of the demand.

33. *Inam alienations.*—The Court regrets that the revised statement of alienations called for in Government Order, dated 29th May 1888, No 3091, has not yet been submitted to Government. Arrangements have now been made to complete it speedily and it will be very shortly submitted.

34. *General remarks.*—The Parlákimedi estate was surveyed many years ago, but the question of settlement, though pending for a long time, was not finally determined owing to the legal difficulties in the way of any attempt to increase individual assessments, a necessary corollary to any attempt to equalize them. The estate has, however, been handed over to the young zemindar and the matter left to him to be dealt with as he considers expedient. It is an interesting one, and the Collector will be requested to report what is eventually done. In Medúr estate the villages were surveyed during the year and the fields demarcated. There are a few boundary disputes which are pending. In Kangundi the forests were formerly leased out to one Daivasigamony Pillai, but owing to his default in payment of rent, &c., his contract was cancelled and some of the blocks were leased out by the Collector to Messrs. Leighton and Co. of Madras. The forests in Sáptúr were under the District Forest-officer. The survey in the Yelagiri and Metráthi estates was completed during the year. The Collector of Ganjam paid special attention to the management

of the Kallikót estate which was much mismanaged during the time of the late proprietor. He has effected considerable improvements in the system of accounts and the revenue is showing signs of steady improvement owing to careful supervision. In the Mailavaram estate inaccuracies of recorded extent of fields were noticed and rectified to a certain extent. Certain boundary disputes in connection with the Kapileswarapuram estate were settled during the year. Certain minor improvements were also made in connection with the roads and topes of this estate. It has no forests proper, but there are a few jungles which have been leased out.

35. *Conclusion.*—The Court regrets the delay in the submission of this report. It was due to delay in the receipt of the district reports and to pressure of work in the Court's office.

No. I.—Statement showing the ages, &c., of the wards and the period during which the estates have been under management for fasli 1299 (1889-90).

Districts.	Estates.	Government Orders by which the estates came under the management of the Court of Wards.	Sexes of the wards.	Disabilities.	The period during which the estates have been under management.	Ages of the wards at the end of the fasli.	Dates on which the wards shall attain majority.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
					YRS. M.	YRS. M. D.	
Ganjam	Parlákimedi *	No. 1279, dated 22nd June 1830	Male	Imbecility	59 11	60 0 0	
	Jarada	,, 2454, ,, 19th July 1880	Do.	Minority	9 11	19 1 26	May 1892.
	Dharákót	,, 149, ,, 6th Feb. 1885	Do.	Do.	5 5	14 8 23	7th Oct. 1896.
	Bodogodo	,, 1026, ,, 11th Sept. 1885	Do.	Do.	4 9	5 3 16	Mar. 1906.
	Kurla	,, 610, ,, 26th July 1886	Do.	Do.	3 11	19 7 18	13th Nov. 1891.
	Aska	,, 782, ,, 6th Sept. 1886	Female	Sex	3 10	27 0 0	
	Kallikót	,, 1035, ,, 4th Nov. 1887	Male	Minority	2 8	19 0 0	June 1892.
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	,, 2930, ,, 25th Sept. 1877	Female	Sex	12 9	50 6 28	
	Siripuram	,, 1449, ,, 5th ,, 1878	Male	Minority	11 10	15 10 5	Aug. 1895.
	Chipurupalle	,, 510, ,, 13th May 1882	Female	Sex	8 2	52 0 0	
	Appikondah	,, 337, ,, 12th ,, 1886	Male	Minority	4 2	13 5 20	Jan. 1898.
	Belgám	,, 191, ,, 14th Mar. 1889	Female	Sex	1 4	27 0 0	
Godávari	Lakkavaram	,, 2291, ,, 15th Dec. 1879	Male	Minority	10 7	14 2 6	April 1897.
	Meduru	,, 179, ,, 1st Mar. 1888	Do.	Do.	2 4	3 4 0	1908.
	Tangallamudi	,, 834, ,, 15th Oct. 1889	Do.	Do.	..	10 8 15	16th Oct. 1900.
Kistna	Mailavaram	,, 902, ,, 15th Sept. 1887	Do.	Do.	2 8	16 5 15	15th Jan. 1896.
	Kapileswarapuram	,, 903, ,, 15th ,, ,,	Do.	Do.	2 7	16 9 21	9th Sept. 1895.
	Telaprole	,, 347, ,, 19th May 1890	Do.	Do.	..	0 9 0	1910.
Bellary	Venkatapur Uppara Ayyanna's estate.	,, 699, ,, 13th Aug. 1889	Do.	Do.	..	5 11 0	July 1905.
North Arcot	Kangundi †	,, 435, ,, 11th April 1883	Do.	Do.	7 3	20 11 0	Aug. 1890.
	Timmajammah's	,, 299, ,, 10th Mar. 1885	Female	Do.	5 4	11 0 0	1900.
	Pulicherla	,, 711, ,, 22nd July 1887	Male	Do.	2 11	15 8 0	Nov. 1895.
Chingleput	Panaiyur	,, 233, ,, 4th Mar. 1887	Do.	Do.	3 4	17 4 20	11th Feb. 1894.
Tanjore	Ukkadai	,, 1471, ,, 12th July 1879	Do.	Do.	11 0	18 0 0	Jan. 1893.
	Gandharvakóta	,, 493, ,, 9th Mar. 1881	Do.	Do.	9 4	16 6 20	11th Dec. 1894.
	Vadapathimangalam †	,, 1205, ,, 29th Aug. 1881	Do.	Do.	8 10	20 4 0	7th Mar. 1891.
	Mathur	,, 1292, ,, 20th Nov. 1882	Do.	Do.	7 7	18 10 0	6th Sept. 1892.
	Singavanam	,, 159, ,, 1st Feb. 1884	Do.	Do.	6 5	17 2 15	15th April 1894.
	Tiruvalanjuli †	,, 531, ,, 5th May 1885 ,, 5554, ,, 18th Sept. 1888	Do.	Lunacy	5 2	45 11 21	
	Tyagasamudram †	,, 524, ,, 4th May 1885	Do.	Minority	5 2	20 4 17	Feb. 1891.

* This estate has since been handed over to the ward's eldest son.
† Since handed over.

No. I.—Statement showing the ages, &c., of the wards and the period during which the estates have been under management for fasli 1299 (1889-90)—*cont.*

tricts.	Estates.	Government Orders by which the estates came under the management of the Court of Wards.	Sexes of the wards.	Disabilities.	The period during which the estates have been under management.	Ages of the wards at the end of the fasli.	Dates on which the wards shall attain majority.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
					YRS. M.	YRS. M. D.	
Tanjore—*cont.*	Palayavanam	No. 485, dated 16th May 1887 ..	Male	Minority	3 1	7 3 0	March 1904.
	Edamanal	,, 809, ,, 21st Nov. 1888 ..	Do.	Do.	1 7	10 10 0	25th Aug. 1900.
	Karuvalakarai	,, 637, ,, 27th July 1889 ..	Do.	Do.	0 11	4 11 0	15th ,, 1906.
Trichinopoly	Peramur	,, 2985, ,, 30th Nov. 1868 ..	Do.	Imbecility	21 7	42 0 0	Since dead.
	Nangavaram Duraiswami Iyer's estate	,, 1545, ,, 18th ,, 1880 ..	Do.	Minority	9 7	15 8 0	31st Oct. 1895.
	Marungapuri	,, 163, ,, 22nd Feb. 1888 ..	Do.	Do.	2 4	5 7 0	8th Nov. 1905.
	Nangavaram Rangaswami Iyer's estate	,, 673, ,, 20th Sep. ,, ..	Do.	Do.	1 9	15 5 0	27th Jan. 1896.
	Singam Iyengar's estate	,, 789, ,, 13th Nov. ,, ..	Do.	Do.	1 8	15 10 0	22nd Aug 1895.
Madura	Sáptúr	,, 5, ,, 5th Jan. 1886 } ,, 61, ,, 19th ,, 1888 }	Do.	Do.	4 6	8 11 23	7th July 1902.
	Vadagarai	,, 1651, ,, 7th Nov. 1881 ..	Do.	Do.	8 8	16 6 7	24th Dec. 1894.
	Mambarai	,, 18, ,, 15th Jan. 1889 ..	Do.	Do.	1 5	10 6 15	15th ,, 1900.
Tinnevelly	Vadimitta	,, 2183, ,, 17th Nov. 1879 } ,, 2660, ,, 4th May 1888 }	Do.	Do.	10 7	11 9 0	10th Sept. 1899.
	Anavaratham Pillai's estate ..	,, 553, ,, 4th July 1889 ..	Do.	Do.	0 11	13 0 0	21st Nov. 1898.
	Etaiyápuram	,, 487, ,, 23rd June 1890 ..	Do.	Do.	..	11 6 0	1899.
Coimbatore	Metrathi	,, 860, ,, 14th July 1883 ..	Do.	Do.	6 11	9 6 0	8th Jan. 1902.
Nílgiris	Neliyalam	,, 364, ,, 11th April 1887 ..	Do.	Do.	3 3	3 5 0	Died on 17th Sep. 1890.
Salem	Erumaipati	,, 694, ,, 5th June 1874 ..	Female	Imbecility	16 1	39 0 0	
	Shulagiri	,, 788, ,, 28th July 1882 ..	Male	Minority	7 11	8 3 13	Mar. 1903.
	Yelagiri	,, 539, ,, 2nd June 1887 ..	Do.	Do.	3 1	15 5 23	8th Jan. 1896.
South Canara	Sarvotama Rao's estate	,, 1230, ,, 14th Aug. 1875 ..	Do.	Do.	14 11	19 7 0	Dec. 1891.
	K. Puttamma's estate	,, 872, ,, 18th July 1883 ..	Female	Lunacy	6 11	72 0 0	
Malabar	Kavalpara	,, 1187, ,, 9th Aug. 1872 } ,, 599, ,, 21st June 1887 }	Do.	Sex	17 11	24 0 0	
	Bimbalan	,, 122, ,, 2nd Feb. 1880 ..	Male	Lunacy	10 5	37 0 0	Died on 1st July 1890.

No. II-A.—Statement showing the number of villages and the proportion of cultivation to holdings in the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1299 (1889-90).

Districts.	Estates.	Number of villages.					Fasli 1298.			Fasli 1299.		
		Ryotwari or joint-rent.	Rented to middlemen whether paying rent in money or kind.	Partly ryotwar and partly rented.	Inam or shrotriem.	Total.	Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.	Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
							ACS.	ACS.		ACS.	ACS.	
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	510	..	..	390	900	113,901	71,482	62·8	114,189	114,188	99·9
	Jarada	29	2	..	31	62	3,624	2,401	66·3	3,453	3,453	100·
	Dhárákót	113	23	..	49	185	9,496	9,005	94·8	12,157	12,148	99·9
	Bodogodo	133	184	..	19	(a) 336	5,548	5,542	99·9	5,920	5,920	100·
	Kurla	13	..	..	2	15	(c) 1,311	1,291	98·5	1,964	1,960	99·7
	Aska	10	1	..	1	12	(d) 1,069	1,052	98·4	1,782	1,782	100·
	Kallikót	346	73	..	141	(b) 560	47,704	39,519	82·8	60,084	54,582	90·8
Vizagapatam ..	Chidikada	5	..	..	..	5	2,277	2,277	100·	2,218	2,209	99·5
	Srípuram	5	..	..	5	10	2,908	2,908	100·	2,912	2,912	100·
	Chipurupalle	1	..	..	..	1	2,488	2,479	99·6	2,488	2,488	100·
	Appikondah	1	..	..	..	1	302	302	100·	302	302	100·
	Belgám	22	5	..	..	27	..	..	..	4,186	4,060	96·9
Godávari	Lakkavaram	..	7	..	..	7	572	541	94·6	735	700	95·2
	Meduru	33	4	..	35	72	26,028	25,576	98·3	24,462	23,038	94·1
	Tangellamúdi	8	..	..	..	8	..	..	..	5,035	4,846	96·2
Kistna	Mailavaram	12	3	..	1	16	8,784	7,208	82·1	8,992	7,458	82·9
	Kapileswarapuram	28	6	..	24	58	22,074	19,265	87·3	23,175	17,970	77·5
	Telaprolre	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Bellary	Venk. U. Ayyanna's	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	81	81	100·
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	158	45	..	127	330	19,773	13,521	68·4	20,933	18,047	86·2
	Timmajammal's	6	..	..	6	12	1,166	917	78·6	1,215	1,104	90·8
	Pulicherla	33	..	..	5	38	1,617	1,258	77·8	816	645	79·0
Chingleput ..	Panaiyur	11	3	..	..	14	4,541	3,325	73·2	4,431	2,866	64·6
Tanjore	Ukkadai	..	..	..	..	..	2,521	2,133	84·6	2,521	2,141	84·9
	Gandharvakóta	23	19	..	11	53	21,722	20,853	96·0	22,929	22,003	95·9
	Vadapathimangalam	..	..	..	..	..	5,727	5,727	100·	5,727	5,727	100·
	Mathur	..	..	..	..	..	615	606	98·5	615	612	99·5
	Singavanam	10	..	..	10	20	2,736	1,184	43·3	2,736	1,174	42·9
	Tiruvalanjuli	..	..	..	..	..	604	600	99·3	604	600	99·3
	Tyagasamudram	..	..	..	..	..	477	477	100·	557	557	100·
	Palayavanam	29	3	..	26	58	2,193	1,534	69·9	2,193	1,419	64·7
	Edamanal	..	..	..	..	..	1,525	1,425	93·4	1,526	1,503	98·4
	Karuvalakarai	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	192	192	100·
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur	..	..	..	..	..	2,451	1,863	76·0	2,449	2,025	82·6
	N. Duraisawmy Iyer's	..	..	..	..	..	4,309	3,725	86·4	4,069	3,522	86·5
	Marungapuri	78	..	..	22	100	35,198	34,074	96·8	35,465	33,036	93·1
	N. Rangasawmy Iyer's	..	..	..	..	..	7,742	6,693	86·5	5,216	5,122	98·1
	Singam Iyengar's	..	..	..	..	..	964	939	97·4	975	945	96·9

(a) Excluding one deserted village.
(b) Excluding ten deserted villages.
(c) Exclusive of figures for Tarlipeta.
(d) Exclusive of figures for Chakipalli.

No. II-A.—Statement showing the number of villages and the proportion of cultivation to holdings in the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1299 (1889-90)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Number of villages.					Fasli 1298.			Fasli 1299.		
		Ryotwari or joint-rent.	Rented to middlemen whether paying rent in money or kind.	Partly ryotwar and partly rented.	Inam or shrotriem.	Total.	Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.	Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
							ACS.	ACS.		ACS.	ACS.	
Madura	Sáptúr	17	..	..	2	19	22,016	18,053	82·0	21,975	18,414	83·7
	Vadagarai	..	..	..	..	..		Not available.				..
	Mambarai	3	..	..	..	3	4,128	Not available.		4,093	2,327	56·8
	Vadimitta	..	..	..	..	..		Not available.		..	..	..
Tinnevelly ..	Anavarathum Pillai's	..	..	..	..	..		Do.		229	201	87·7
	Etaiyápuram	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore	Metráthi	1	..	..	..	1	5,960	4,523	75·9	5,960	4,177	70·0
Nilgiris	Neliyalam	..	..	..	..	..	7,141	1,398	19·6	7,556	1,443	19·0
	Erumaipatti	12	..	..	1	13	7,033	5,195	73·9	7,074	6,287	88·8
Salem	Shulagiri	48	42	..	49	139	6,306	5,257	83·4	6,453	5,481	84·9
	Yelagiri	13	1	..	..	14	6,929	6,904	99·6	6,947	6,915	99·5
	Sarvotama Rao's	..	..	..	..	..	300	300	100·	300	300	100·
South Canara ..	K. Puttamma's	..	..	..	..	..	946	946	100·	948	946	99·7
	Kavalpara	..	..	..	..	..	16,626	11,715	70·4	16,626	11,715	70·4
Malabar	Bimbalan	..	..	..	..	..	74	26	35·1	74	26	35·1
	Total ..	1,711	421	..	957	3,089	441,426	346,019	78·3	467,569	421,569	90·1

No. II-B.—Statement showing the settled demand of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1299 (1889-90).

Districts.	Estates.	Land Revenue.						Other items.	Total.
		Dry.		Wet.		Total.			
		Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		ACS.	RS.	ACS.	RS.	ACS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	48,650	60,292	65,539	2,82,907	114,189	3,43,199	..	3,43,199
	Jarada	663	1,311	2,776	16,227	3,439	17,538	580	18,118
	Dhárakót	3,438	6,621	8,673	45,639	12,111	52,260	13,723	65,983
	Bodogoda	2,376	1,987	3,544	12,063	5,920	14,050	5,812	19,862
	Kurla	574	2,417	1,390	8,355	1,964	10,772	..	10,772
	Aska	467	2,317	1,221	7,386	1,688	9,703	535	10,238
	Kallikót	11,360	14,102	48,724	2,15,528	60,084	2,29,630	58,205	2,87,835
	Chidikada	827	2,746	1,391	14,928	2,218	17,674	..	17,674
	Sripuram	1,264	2,935	1,648	11,801	2,912	14,736	..	14,736
Vizagapatam	Chipurupalle	2,432	6,343	56	426	2,488	6,769	..	6,769
	Appikonda	254	947	48	352	302	1,299	..	1,299
	Belgám	805	1,436	3,381	34,103	4,186	35,539	..	35,539
Godávari	Lakkavaram	34	46	214	533	248	579	7,343	7,922
	Meduru	17,408	40,401	6,882	26,947	24,290	67,348	10,191	77,539
	Tangallamudi	1,887	3,414	2,381	15,845	4,268	19,259	596	19,855
	Mailavaram	7,686	7,871	1,306	4,364	8,992	12,235	6,946	19,181
Kistna	Kapileswarapuram	16,249	32,735	6,926	38,932	23,175	71,667	5,978	77,645
	Telaprole	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Bellary	V. Uppara Ayyanna's	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Kangundi	17,825	..	3,108	..	20,933	48,560	6,511	55,071
North Arcot	Timmajiammal's	1,119	..	96	..	1,215	3,019	..	3,019
	Pulicherla	470	1,675	346	4,667	816	6,342	..	6,342
Chingleput	Panaiyur	2,883	4,497	945	4,720	3,828	9,217	..	9,217
	Ukkadai	991	..	1,530	..	2,521	..	28,748	28,748
Tanjore	Gandharvakóta	16,670	19,501	5,346	11,017	22,016	30,518	..	30,518
	Vadapathimangalam	732	..	4,935	..	5,727	..	67,835	67,835
	Mathur	114	..	501	..	615	..	7,999	7,999
	Singavanam	857	58	1,879	1,317	2,736	1,375	..	1,375
	Tiruvalanjuli	335	..	269	..	604	..	6,431	6,431
	Tyagasamudram	126	..	351	..	477	..	5,747	5,747
	Palayavanam	1,133	751	1,060	3,404	2,193	4,155	..	4,155
	Edamanal	492	..	1,034	..	1,526	..	20,181	20,181
	Karuvalakarai	44	..	148	..	192	..	2,863	2,863
Trichinopoly	Peramur	2,051	..	398	..	2,449	..	11,251	11,251
	N. Dorasawmi Iyer's	3,037	..	1,032	..	4,069	..	21,798	21,798
	Marungapuri	29,179	33,874	6,286	16,656	35,465	50,530	7,179	57,709
	N. Rangasawmi Iyer's	3,812	..	1,404	..	5,216	..	30,439	30,439
	Singam Iyengar's	654	..	321	..	975	..	6,979	6,979

No. II-B.—Statement showing the settled demand of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1299 (1889-90)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Land Revenue.						Other items.	Total.
		Dry.		Wet.		Total.			
		Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		ACS.	RS.	ACS.	RS.	ACS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Madura	Sáptúr	20,260	23,576	1,715	15,559	21,975	39,135	3,867	43,002
	Vadagarai	..	..	..	..	..	..	3,695	3,695
	Maanbarai	3,492	3,300	601	2,031	4,093	5,331	..	5,331
Tinnevelly	Vadimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Anavaratham Pillay's	44	..	..	..	44	..	1	1
	Etaiyápuram	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore	*Metráthi*	4,749	5,556	..	..	4,749	5,556	..	5,556
Nilgiris	*Neliyalam*	7,346	..	165	..	7,511	..	7,286	7,286
	Erumaipati	6,630	13,139	444	3,944	7,074	17,083	..	17,083
Salem	*Shulagiri*	5,768	6,871	685	3,554	6,453	10,425	3,227	13,652
	Yelagiri	6,287	8,613	660	4,398	6,947	13,011	147	13,158
South Canara	Sarvothama Row's	200	..	100	..	300	..	4,311	4,311
	K. Puttamma's	351	..	597	..	948	..	9,977	9,977
Malabar	Kavalpara	12,522	..	4,104	..	16,626	..	24,498	24,498
	Bimbalan	55	..	19	..	74	..	431	431
	Total ..	266,602	3,09,332	196,239	8,07,603	462,841	11,68,514	3,91,310	15,59,824

No. II-B.—Statement showing the settled demand of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1299 (1889-90)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Miscellaneous revenue.					Total of columns 10 and 15.	Deduct remissions.	Net revenue.	Net demand for fasli 1298.	Difference.
		Jodi or quit-rent on inam.	Land-cess.	Water-rate and second-crop assessment.	Other items.	Total.					
		11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	22,408	17,786	..	5,983	46,177	3,89,376	3,382	3,85,994	3,03,793	+ 82,201
	Jarada	1,395	941	..	2,075	4,411	22,529	..	22,529	11,942	+ 10,587
	Dhárákót	2,900	2,184	..	3,532	8,616	74,599	..	74,599	49,717	+ 24,882
	Bodogoda	645	1,290	..	4,089	6,024	25,886	..	25,886	17,267	+ 8,619
	Kurla	258	498	..	227	983	11,755	1,505	10,250	9,305	+ 945
	Aska	273	457	..	426	1,156	11,394	1,183	10,211	8,425	+ 1,786
	Kallikót	15,941	16,298	..	4,073	36,312	3,24,147	546	3,23,601	1,88,072	+ 1,35,529
	Chidikada	351	934	..	3,779	5,064	22,738	88	22,650	11,784	+ 10,866
	Srípuram	969	795	1,424	1,233	4,421	19,157	161	18,996	17,178	+ 1,818
Vizagapatam	Chipurupalle	129	278	182	1,472	2,061	8,830	..	8,830	8,266	+ 564
	Appikonda	30	150	..	7,753	7,933	9,232	536	8,696	8,443	+ 253
	Belgám	2,662	2,707	..	2,518	7,887	43,426	1,121	42,305	..	..
	Lakkavaram	..	246	30	5,495	5,771	13,693	100	13,593	10,535	+ 3,058
Godávari	*Meduru*	2,738	3,503	21,842	21,239	49,322	1,26,861	..	1,26,861	1,17,438	+ 9,423
	Tangillamudi	1,083	736	15	11,874	13,708	33,563	..	33,563	..	..
	Mailavaram	198	533	513	4,951	6,195	25,376	1,132	24,244	21,378	+ 2,866
Kistna	Kapileswarapuram	9,608	3,716	20,312	13,006	46,642	1,24,287	555	1,23,732	1,08,969	+ 14,763
	Telaprole	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Bellary	V. Uppara Ayyanna's	..	..	..	784	784	784	..	784	..	..
North Arcot	Kangundi	14,297	4,151	..	12,158	30,606	85,677	..	85,677	82,772	+ 2,905
	Timmajiammal's	237	230	..	319	786	3,805	..	3,805	3,631	+ 174
	Pulicherla	3,038	726	722	2,985	7,471	13,813	869	12,944	22,571	− 9,627
Chingleput	Panaiyur	23	303	239	12,628	13,193	22,410	3,084	19,326	24,735	− 5,409
	Ukkadai	..	..	..	608	608	29,356	..	29,356	23,354	+ 6,002
	Gandharvakóta	94	1,207	159	4,472	5,932	36,450	..	36,450	36,165	+ 285
	Vadapathimangalam	..	..	..	3,205	3,205	71,040	..	71,040	45,060	+ 25,980
	Mathur	..	..	..	..	..	7,999	..	7,999	5,446	+ 2,553
	Singavanam	..	169	..	1,004	1,173	2,548	..	2,548	9,566	− 7,018
Tanjore	Tiruvalanjuli	..	..	..	77	77	6,508	..	6,508	6,417	+ 91
	Tyagasamudram	..	..	..	1,711	1,711	7,458	..	7,458	7,757	− 299
	Palayavanam	..	..	..	1,038	1,038	5,193	..	5,193	6,253	− 1,060
	Edamanal	..	..	435	644	1,079	21,260	..	21,260	22,141	− 881
	Karuvalakarai	..	..	..	..	..	2,863	..	2,863	..	..
	Peramur	..	..	49	284	333	11,584	..	11,584	11,419	+ 165
	N. Dorasawmi Iyer's	..	..	212	12	224	22,022	..	22,022	19,140	+ 2,882
Trichinopoly	Marungapuri	99	1,683	627	7,055	9,464	67,173	5,936	61,237	59,103	+ 2,134
	N. Rungaswmi Iyer's	..	..	263	77	340	30,779	..	30,779	34,622	− 3,843
	Singam Iyengar's	..	..	..	530	530	7,509	..	7,509	7,124	+ 385

No. II-B.—Statement showing the settled demand of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1299 (1889-90)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Miscellaneous revenue					Total of columns 10 and 15.	Deduct remissions.	Net revenue.	Net demand for fasli 1298.	Difference.
		Jodi or quit-rent on inam.	Land-cess.	Water-rate and second-crop assessment.	Other items	Total.					
		11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Madura	Sáptúr	..	1,113	2,328	3,739	7,180	50,182	6,832	43,350	56,733	— 13,383
	Vadagarai	..	..	..	766	766	4,461	..	4,461	4,982	— 521
	Mambarai	..	..	184	410	594	5,925	560	5,365	4,904	+ 461
	Vadimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Tinnevelly ..	Anavaratham Pillay's	..	..	..	2,920	2,920	2,921	..	2,921	..	..
	Etaiyápuram	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore ..	Metráthi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Nílgiris	Neliyalam	14	224	..	1,435	1,673	7,229	81	7,148	7,185	— 37
	Erumaipatí	..	..	..	1,433	1,433	8,719	..	8,719	10,256	— 1,537
Salem	Shulagiri	..	542	181	1,022	1,745	18,828	3,423	15,405	15,203	+ 202
	Yelagiri	4,122	565	6	3,395	8,088	21,740	1,305	20,435	18,864	+ 1,571
	Sarvothama Row's	125	432	118	3,750	4,425	17,583	925	16,658	15,618	+ 1,040
South Canara ..	K. Puttamma's	..	..	..	..	..	4,311	..	4,311	4,275	+ 36
	Kavalpara	..	..	..	..	..	9,977	..	9,977	10,299	— 322
Malabar	Bimbalan	..	..	..	2,933	2,933	27,431	..	27,431	32,173	— 4,742
		..	..	..	..	..	431	..	431	371	+ 60
	Total ..	83,637	64,397	49,841	1,65,119	3,62,994	19,22,818	33,324	18,89,494	..	..

No. III.—Statement showing the demand, collection and balance of the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1299 (1889-90).

Districts.	Estates.	Demand.			Collection.			Amount written off.
		Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	1,16,383	3,85,994	5,02,377	90,276	2,35,006	3,25,282	..
	Jarada	11,279	22,529	33,808	6,738	15,073	21,811	..
	Dhárákót	40,070	74,599	1,14,669	11,765	59,483	71,248	..
	Bodogodo	14,459	25,886	40,345	4,405	15,962	20,367	..
	Kurla	13,242	10,250	23,492	4,520	6,353	10,873	..
	Aska	9,181	10,211	19,392	3,537	7,037	10,574	..
	Kallikót	4,36,098	3,23,601	7,59,699	24,250	2,42,520	2,66,770	..
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	5,847	22,650	28,497	3,900	15,648	19,548	..
	Srípuram	3,029	18,996	22,025	2,569	16,510	19,079	..
	Chípurupalle	7,534	8,830	16,364	2,982	3,927	6,909	..
	Appikonda	6,499	8,696	15,195	2,270	6,812	9,082	..
	Belgám	22,687	42,305	64,992	10,768	32,726	43,494	..
	Lakkavaram	579	13,593	14,172	367	12,619	12,986	..
Godávari	Medúr	* 1,85,280	1,26,861	3,12,141	42,710	88,848	1,31,558	..
	Tangellamúdi	10,704	33,563	44,267	4,285	30,141	34,426	..
	Mailavaram	3,725	24,244	27,969	‡ 2,974	§ 23,353	26,327	309
Kistna	Kapileswarapuram	* 1,29,425	1,23,732	2,53,157	11,279	98,011	1,09,290	46,794
	Telaprole	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Bellary	*Venkatapur Uppara Ayyanna's*	..	784	784	..	784	784	..
	Kangundi	* 26,225	85,677	1,11,902	5,808	85,409	91,217	785
North Arcot	Timmajamma's	* 1,683	3,805	5,488	113	3,805	3,918	..
	Pulicherla	14,192	12,944	27,136	6,255	12,787	19,042	507
Chingleput	Panaiyur	* 11,659	19,326	30,985	6,749	11,762	18,511	1,553
	Ukkadai	25,323	29,356	54,679	16,995	7,762	24,757	..
	Gandharvakóta	84,808	36,450	1,21,258	12,965	27,655	40,620	..
	Vadapathimangalam	15,661	71,040	86,701	1,029	68,040	69,069	..
	Mathur	362	7,999	8,361	229	7,695	7,924	75
	Singavanam	* 4,937	2,548	7,485	3,270	861	4,131	35
Tanjore	Tiruvalanjuli	3,014	† 6,527	9,541	2,401	3,125	5,526	..
	Tyagasamudram	6,589	7,458	14,047	2,386	3,923	6,309	..
	Palayavanam	* 2,174	5,193	7,367	1,536	3,049	4,585	..
	Edamanal	671	21,260	21,931	485	17,527	18,012	27
	Karuvalakarai	91	2,863	2,954	91	2,770	2,861	..
	Peramur	* 2,495	11,584	14,079	2,161	10,115	12,276	62
	N. Duraiswami Iyer's	* 7,364	22,022	29,386	5,141	18,228	23,369	47
Trichinopoly	Marungápuri	* 12,058	61,237	73,295	5,235	54,949	60,184	1,726
	N. Rangaswami Iyer's	13,542	30,779	44,321	11,247	23,078	34,325	..
	Singam Iyengar's	* 1,433	7,509	8,942	1,123	4,817	5,940	..
	Sáptúr	* 31,400	43,350	74,750	7,247	36,039	43,286	16,097
Madura	Vadagarai	* 2,596	4,461	7,057	1,165	3,609	4,774	..
	Mambarai	* 4,168	5,365	9,533	2,451	4,528	6,979	..

* The figure now entered is correct.
† Differs by Rs. 19 from demand in statement No. II-B due to the inclusion of interest on rent.
‡ Includes excess collections of Rs. 7.
§ Do. do. ,, 5.

No. III.—Statement showing the demand, collection and balance of the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1299 (1889-90)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Balance at the close of the fasli.			Percentage of balance to demand.			Amount subsequently collected and remitted.			Balance.
		Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.	
		10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	26,107	1,50,988	1,77,095	22·4	39·1	35·2	..	..	..	1,77,095
	Jarada	4,541	7,456	11,997	40·2	33·0	35·4	251	677	928	11,069
	Dhárákót	28,305	15,116	43,421	70·6	20·2	37·8	13,892	8,659	22,551	20,870
	Bodogodo	10,054	9,924	19,978	69·5	38·3	49·5	825	3,693	4,518	15,460
	Kurla	8,722	3,897	12,619	65·8	38·0	53·7	1,625	2,757	4,382	8,237
	Aska	5,644	3,174	8,818	61·4	31·0	45·4	1,276	2,133	3,409	5,409
	Kallikót	4,11,848	81,081	4,92,929	94·4	25·0	64·8	1,760	36,668	38,368	4,54,561
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	1,947	7,002	8,949	33·2	30·9	31·4	939	1,747	2,686	6,263
	Srípuram	460	2,486	2,946	15·1	13·0	13·3	30	2,201	2,231	715
	Chipurupalle	4,552	4,903	9,455	60·4	55·5	57·7	61	1,133	1,194	8,261
	Appikonda	4,229	1,884	6,113	65·0	21·6	40·2	124	589	713	5,400
	Bolgám	11,919	9,579	21,498	52·5	22·6	33·0	156	1,063	1,219	20,279
Godávari	Lakkavaram	212	974	1,186	36·6	7·1	8·3	17	823	840	346
	Medúr	1,42,570	38,013	1,80,583	76·9	29·9	57·8	2,641	10,440	13,081	1,67,502
	Tangellamúdi	6,419	3,422	9,841	59·9	10·1	22·2	539	819	1,358	8,483
	Mailavaram	449	896	1,345	12·0	3·6	4·8	..	242	242	1,103
Kistna	Kapileswarapuram	71,352	25,721	97,073	55·1	20·7	38·3	..	20,446	20,446	76,627
	Telaprole	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Bellary	Venkatapur Uppara Ayyanna's	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
North Arcot	Kangundi	19,632	268	19,900	74·8	0·3	17·7	..	17	17	19,883
	Timmajamma's	1,570	..	1,570	93·2	..	28·6	..	..	..	1,570
	Pulicherla	7,430	157	7,587	52·3	1·2	27·9	7,298	33	7,331	256
Chingleput	Panaiyur	3,357	7,564	10,921	28·8	39·1	35·2	561	2,615	3,176	7,745
Tanjore	Ukkadai	8,328	21,594	29,922	32·8	73·5	54·7	..	9,365	9,365	20,557
	Gandharvakóta	71,843	8,795	80,638	84·7	24·1	66·5	374	1,180	1,554	79,084
	Vadapathimangalam	14,632	3,000	17,632	93·4	4·2	20 3	65	908	973	16,659
	Mathur	58	304	362	16·0	3·8	4 3	..	..	..	362
	Singavanam	1,632	1,687	3,319	33·0	66·2	44·3	335	1,036	1,371	1,948
	Tiruvalanjuli	613	3,402	4,015	20·3	52·1	42·0	..	1,045	1,045	2,970
	Tyagasamudram	4,203	3,535	7,738	63·7	47·3	55·0	..	180	180	7,558
	Palayavanam	638	2,144	2,782	29·3	41·2	37·7	80	139	219	2,563
	Edamanal	159	3,733	3,892	23·6	17·5	17·7	35	1,700	1,735	2,157
	Karuvalakarai	..	93	93	..	3·2	3·1	..	46	46	47
Trichinopoly	Peramur	272	1,469	1,741	10·9	12·6	12·3	10	282	292	1,449
	N. Duraswami Iyer's	2,176	3,794	5,970	29·5	17·2	20·3	203	1,103	1,306	4,664
	Marungápuri	5,097	6,288	11,385	42·2	10·2	15·5	28	2,490	2,518	8,867
	N. Rangaswami Iyer's	2,295	7,701	9,996	16·9	25·0	22·5	319	1,529	1,848	8,148
	Singam Iyengar's	310	2,692	3,002	21·6	35·8	33·5	32	1,998	2,030	972
Madura	Sáptúr	8,056	7,311	15,367	25·6	16·8	20·5	762	3,616	4,378	10,989
	Vadagarai	1,431	852	2,283	55·1	19·0	32·2	14	192	206	2,077
	Mambarai	1,717	837	2,554	41·1	15·6	26·7	1	761	762	1,792

No. III.—Statement showing the demand, collection and balance of the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1299 (1889-90)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Demand.			Collection.			Amount written off.
		Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Tinnevelly	Vádimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Anavaratham Pillai's	..	2,921	2,921	..	2,821	2,821	..
	Etaiyápuram	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore	Metráthi	1,486	7,148	8,634	1,192	6,465	7,657	..
Nilgiris	Neliyalam	16,566	8,719	25,285	3,802	5,117	8,919	..
	Erumaipati	* 2,124	15,405	17,529	742	15,064	15,806	..
Salem	Shulagiri	3,441	20,435	23,876	627	19,487	20,114	1,616
	Yelagiri	† 5,051	16,658	21,709	2,258	15,009	17,267	..
	Sarvottama Rao's	181	4,311	4,492	108	4,061	4,169	..
South Canara	K. Puttamina's	† 3,048	(a) 10,102	13,150	2,010	8,801	10,811	..
	Kavalpara	1,251	27,431	28,682	770	26,863	27,633	154
Malabar	Bimbalan	..	431	431	..	431	431	..
	Total ..	13,21,614	18,89,638	32,11,252	3,37,186	14,26,465	17,63,651	69,787

Districts.	Estates.	Balance at the close of the fasli.			Percentage of balance to demand.			Amount subsequently collected and remitted.			Balance.
		Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.	
		10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Tinnevelly	Vádimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Anavaratham Pillai's	..	100	100	..	3·4	* 3·4	..	..	..	100
	Etaiyápuram	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore	Metráthi	294	683	977	19·7	9·5	11·3	..	582	582	395
Nilgiris	Neliyalam	12,764	3,602	16,366	77·0	41·3	64·7	1,949	..	1,949	14,417
	Erumaipati	1,382	341	1,723	65·0	2·2	9·8	..	103	103	1,620
Salem	Shulagiri	1,198	948	2,146	34·8	4·6	8·9	..	499	499	1,647
	Yelagiri	2,793	1,649	4,442	55·2	9·9	20·4	855	139	994	3,448
	Sarvottama Rao's	73	250	323	* 40·3	5·8	7·1	..	92	92	231
South Canara	K. Puttamma's	1,038	1,301	2,339	34·0	12·8	17·7	1	306	307	2,032
	Kavalpara	327	568	895	* 26·1	2·0	3·1	1	23	24	871
Malabar	Bimbalan	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total ..	9,14,648	4,63,178	13,77,826	69·2	24·5	42·9	37,059	1,26,009	1,63,068	12,14,758

* Difference due apparently to rounding.
† The figure now entered is correct.
(a) Differs by Rs. 125 from demand in Statement II-B. Explanation has been called for from the Collector.

No. IV.—Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1299 (1889-90).

Districts.	Estates.	Amount to the credit of the estate at the beginning of fasli 1299.				Cash receipts in fasli 1299.							
		Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain on hand.	Total.	Land and miscellaneous revenue.	Interest on Government securities.	Debts recovered.	Sale-proceeds of grain, &c.	Amount realized by the sale of property as houses, lands, jewels, Government securities, &c.	Amount borrowed.	Other items.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	26,92,000	*2,23,846	..	29,15,846	†3,38,980	1,14,224	..	..	..	..	1,53,618	6,06,822
	Jarada	..	9,595	..	9,595	21,811	..	..	..	..	..	2,585	24,396
	Dhárákót	..	17,376	..	17,376	71,248	..	..	..	..	..	11,754	83,002
	Bodogodo	2,000	8,194	..	10,194	20,367	79	..	..	..	..	1,125	21,571
	Kurla	34,600	7,796	..	42,396	10,873	1,471	98	..	..	..	3,979	16,421
	Aska	44,800	8,745	..	53,545	10,574	3,200	837	..	..	..	937	15,548
	Kallikót	..	15,150	..	15,150	2,66,770	525	686	..	30,604	30,000	11,813	3,40,398
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	30,000	13,696	..	43,696	19,548	1,266	..	..	..	..	13,937	34,751
	Srípuram	8,000	14,353	..	22,353	19,079	315	..	..	53	..	288	19,735
	Chipurupalle	..	1,652	..	1,652	6,909	..	707	..	..	..	401	8,017
	Appikonda	5,000	7,056	..	12,056	2,082	..	5,642	..	165	4,000	9,045	27,934
	Belgám	..	2,162	..	2,162	43,494	..	570	..	223	..	792	45,079
Godávari	Lakkavaram	43,500	17,404	..	60,904	12,986	1,836	206	..	..	..	393	15,421
	Meduru	77,000	1,34,737	..	2,11,737	1,31,558	12,382	41,759	1,980	1,724	..	4,224	1,93,627
	Tangellamúdi	..	6,975	..	6,975	34,426	..	521	..	2,135	..	138	37,220
Kistna	Mailavaram	29,600	‡ 5,551	4,140	39,291	§ 18,699	..	551	4,933	..	..	553	24,736
	Kapileswarapuram	2,72,900	54,163	114	3,27,177	‖ 1,06,845	3,252	4,352	..	304	..	2,181	1,16,934
	Telaprole	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Bellary	Venkatapur U. Ayyanna s	..	818	..	818	784	..	513	..	365	..	393	2,055
North Arcot	Kangundi	31,500	31,653	..	63,153	91,217	1,224	2,501	..	..	..	7,973	1,02,915
	Timmajamma's	..	2,600	..	2,600	3,918	..	..	..	..	..	502	4,420
Chingleput	Pulicherla	..	18,601	..	18,601	‖ 18,961	..	27	..	..	..	1,189	20,177
	Panaiyur	..	3,793	..	3,793	18,511	..	254	41	..	..	1,371	20,177
Tanjore	Ukkadai	15,000	8,777	3,564	27,341	23,759	..	10	3,556	..	..	26,877	54,202
	Gandharvakóta	..	8,593	1,713	10,306	39,609	..	671	1,461	..	..	3,254	44,995
	Vadapathimangalam	..	31,061	36,072	67,133	39,196	..	191	29,030	224	..	53,898	1,22,539
	Mathur	..	487	1,284	1,771	7,852	..	..	1,127	116	..	1,809	10,914
	Singavanam	..	1,104	8,867	9,971	3,168	..	1,851	6,233	183	..	8,624	20,059
	Tiruvalanjuli	..	2,322	..	2,322	5,289	..	..	..	80	..	2,403	7,772
	Tyagasamudram	20,000	18,927	1,774	40,701	4,061	777	6,049	1,381	..	..	1,973	14,241
	Palayavanam	..	9,177	3,638	12,815	3,794	..	74	1,831	92	..	2,768	8,559
	Edamanal	..	8,253	6,902	15,155	17,569	..	5	6,420	913	..	13,707	38,614
	Karuvalakarai	..	2	28	30	2,639	..	555	28	513	..	1,671	5,406

* The difference of Rs. 1,000 between this amount and the closing balance of fasli 1299 has not been explained by the Collector.
† The Collector will be called upon to explain the difference between this and the figure in column 8 of statement No. III.
‡ Exclusive of Rs. 4,800 invested in Government Promissory notes.
§ The difference between this and column 8 of statement No. III is due to the latter including grain collections.
‖ The difference between this and column 8 of statement No. III is due to the latter including advance collections.

No. IV.—Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1299 (1889-90)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Cash balance and receipts, total of columns 4 and 14.	Charges.								
			Establishment for management and contingencies.	Maramut expenditure.	Government demand.		Government commission for management.	Total management, works and Government demand.	Maintenance and education of the wards.	Allowance to the other members of the wards' family.	Repayment of debts.
					Peishkush.	Assessment and land-cess.					
		15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	8,30,668	24,557	41,847	87,823	25,062	2,023	1,81,312	80,737	5,721	..
	Jarada	33,991	2,303	1,797	..	2,387	312	6,799	3,579	2,832	752
	Dhárákót	1,00,378	7,599	5,471	34,492	7,557	1,212	56,331	7,245	2,266	6,643
	Bodogodo	29,765	3,909	2,401	8,008	4,866	444	19,628	692	1,049	318
	Kurla	24,217	1,245	1,283	7,104	872	162	10,666	1,296	1,326	..
	Aska	24,293	1,226	1,666	5,718	530	16	9,156	3,390	612	..
	Kallikót	3,55,548	15,234	14,022	78,903	18,569	1,758	1,28,486	14,333	16,589	1,718
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	48,447	1,426	3,900	4,855	4,932	158	15,271	2,546	..	..
	Srípuram	34,088	1,396	3,006	8,801	1,432	361	14,996	2,771	..	..
	Chipurupalle	9,669	527	10	3,177	678	75	4,467	2,037	..	..
	Appikonda	34,990	2,316	1,357	715	587	229	5,204	3,302	550	12,329
	Belgám	47,241	1,214	..	8,652	4,549	57	14,472	2,784	1,131	21,485
Godávari	Lakkavaram	32,825	2,022	2,739	4,999	439	169	10,398	1,865	180	402
	Meduru	3,28,364	17,001	2,453	14,782	29 308	3,201	66,745	12,286	1,467	20
	Tangellamúdi	44,195	1,879	296	8,289	1,052	101	11,617	..	..	2,511
Kistna	Mailavaram	30,287	1,719	2,045	4,011	558	..	8,333	4,632	275	..
	Kapileswarapuram	1,71,097	10,796	3,545	16,159	25,863	1,166	57,529	10,167	1,600	33
	Telaprole	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Bellary	Venkatapur U. Ayyanna's	2,873	25	..	..	351	..	376	50	50	..
North Arcot	Kangundi	1,34,568	12,401	13,970	26,534	5,859	1,365	60,129	17,324	8,304	..
	Timmajamma's	7,020	564	..	..	597	71	1,232	188	..	..
Chingleput	Pulicherla	38,778	2,358	..	3,089	1,039	374	6,860	708	780	17,837
	Panaiyur	23,970	2,949	2,127	969	2,509	289	8,843	1,419	1,200	2,453
Tanjore	Ukkadai	62,979	1,562	595	..	11,888	..	14,045	170	344	..
	Gandharvakóta	53,588	4,274	297	1,313	2,181	2,839	10,904	1,194	1,310	22,692
	Vadapathimangalam	1,53,600	6,056	780	..	47,317	1,148	55,301	2,249	328	..
	Mathur	11,401	399	287	..	2,876	..	3,562	778	211	25
	Singavanam	21,163	1,275	355	5,450	22	441	7,543	479	451	..
	Tiruvalanjuli	10,094	889	350	..	..	..	1,239	724	471	..
	Tyagasamudram	33,168	932	400	..	2,875	..	4,207	..	252	..
	Palayavanam	17,736	1,319	..	1,407	482	..	3,208	178	296	7,412
	Edamanal	46,867	964	290	..	5,635	..	6,889	402	273	22,007
	Karuvalakarai	5,408	170	30	..	766	..	966	..	191	..

No. IV.—Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1299 (1889-90)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Charges—*cont.*			Balance to the credit of the estate at the end of fasli 1299.				Increase or decrease as compared with the opening balance.	Percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to the total receipts.
		Invested in Government Promissory notes.	Other miscellaneous disbursements.	Total charges.	Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain on hand.	Total.		
		25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	1,05,000	2,86,442	6,59,212	27,97,000	1,71,456	..	29,68,456	+ 52,610	4·4
	Jarada	..	20,267	34,229	..	— 238	..	9,833	— 9,833	10·7
	Dhárákót	..	18,042	90,527	..	9,851	..	9,851	— 7,525	10·6
	Bodogodo	400	3,416	25,503	2,400	4,262	..	6,662	— 3,532	20·2
	Kurla	1,300	7,623	22,211	35,900	2,006	..	37,906	— 4,490	8·6
	Aska	4,200	2,895	20,253	49,000	4,040	..	53,040	— 505	8·0
	Kallikót	27,000	49,812	2,37,938	27,000	1,17,610	..	1,44,610	+ 1,29,460	5·0
Vizagapatam ..	Chidikada	11,600	13,989	43,406	41,600	5,041	..	46,641	+ 2,945	4·5
	Sripuram	800	2,225	20,792	8,800	13,296	..	22,096	— 257	8·9
	Chípurupalle	400	824	7,728	400	1,941	..	2,341	+ 689	7·5
	Appikonda	..	1,153	22,538	5,000	12,452	..	17,452	+ 5,396	9·1
	Belgám	..	4,970	44,842	..	2,399	..	2,399	+ 237	2·8
	Lakkavaram	5,600	1,487	19,932	49,100	12,893	..	61,993	+ 1,089	14·2
Godávari ..	Meduru	58,600	50,147	1,89,265	1,35,600	1,39,099	..	2,74,699	+ 62,962	10·4
	Tangellamúdi	..	3,884	18,012	..	26,183	..	26,183	+ 19,208	5·3
	Mailavaram	1,500	4,023	18,763	31,100	11,524	6,298	48,922	+ 9,631	6·9
Kistna	Kapileswarapuram	45,100	4,525	1,18,954	3,18,000	52,143	14	3,70,157	+ 42,980	10·2
	Telaprole	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Bellary	Venkatapur U. Ayyanna's	..	42	518	..	2,355	..	2,355	+ 1,537	1·2
	Kangundi	..	39,634	1,25,391	31,500	9,177	..	40,677	+ 22,476	13·3
North Arcot ..	Timmajamma's	..	1,808	3,228	..	3,792	..	3,792	+ 1,192	14·4
	Pulicherla	..	1,696	27,881	..	10,897	..	10,897	+ 7,704	13·5
Chingleput ..	Panaiyur	..	3,922	17,837	..	6,133	..	6,133	+ 2,340	16·0
	Ukkadai	..	24,270	38,829	15,000	24,150	1,000	40,150	+ 12,809	2·9
	Gandharvakóta	..	9,862	45,962	..	7,626	1,264	8,890	— 1,416	15·8
	Vadapathimangalam	..	78,391	1,36,269	..	17,331	38,054	55,385	— 11,748	5·8
	Mathur	..	3,021	7,597	..	3,804	564	4,368	+ 2,597	3·6
Tanjore	Singavanam	..	12,394	20,867	..	296	3,597	3,893	— 6,078	8·5
	Tiruvalanjuli	..	3,306	5,740	..	4,354	152	4,506	+ 2,184	11·4
	Tyagasamudram	18,000	3,985	26,444	38,000	6,724	2,317	47,041	+ 6,340	6·5
	Palayavanam	..	5,824	16,918	..	818	2,597	3,415	— 9,400	15·4
	Edamanal	..	15,871	45,442	..	1,425	18	1,443	— 13,712	2·5
	Karuvalakarai	..	1,812	2,969	..	2,439	421	2,860	+ 2,830	3·1

No. IV.—Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1299 (1889-90)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Amount to the credit of the estate at the beginning of fasli 1299.				Cash receipts in fasli 1299.							
		Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain on hand.	Total.	Land and miscellaneous revenue.	Interest on Government securities.	Debts recovered.	Sale-proceeds of grain, &c.	Amount realized by the sale of property as houses, lands, jewels, Government securities, &c.	Amount borrowed.	Other items.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Trichinopoly	Peramur	66,000	12,040	..	78,040	12,276	2,711	73	..	..	..	187	15,247
	N. Duraiswami Iyer's	..	8,555	..	22,872	23,369	..	..	..	9,714	..	705	33,788
	Marungapuri	..	22,872	..	11	60,184	..	578	..	7,644	..	936	69,342
	N. Rangaswmy Iyer's	..	11	..	5,209	34,325	..	2,568	..	61,272	..	1,384	99,549
	Singam Iyengar's	..	5,209	..	38,701	5,940	..	9,764	..	383	..	535	16,622
Madura	Sáptúr	1,47,500	38,701	15,208	2,01,409	* 35,087	6,230	1,030	15,189	2,214	..	7,476	67,226
	Vadagarai	5,000	10,212	2,573	17,785	4,774	291	..	2,066	87	..	2,290	9,508
	Mambarai	..	1,042	..	1,042	6,979	..	..	..	81	..	157	7,217
Tinnevelly	*Vádimitta*	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Anavaratham Pillai's	..	..	..	..	2,821	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,821
Coimbatore	*Etaiyápuram*	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Nilgiris	Metráthi	..	6,702	..	6,702	7,657	..	..	..	..	..	76	7,733
	Neliyalam	21,800	10,096	..	31,896	8,919	..	1,098	..	..	..	309	10,326
Salem	Erumaipati	..	16,093	..	16,093	15,806	479	..	..	..	..	187	16,472
	Shulagiri	..	10,098	..	10,098	20,114	..	..	..	110	..	599	20,823
	Yelagiri	..	7,881	..	7,881	17,267	..	896	..	..	..	184	18,347
South Canara	Sarvothama Row's	5,500	2,040	..	7,540	* 3,785	292	..	..	..	..	25	4,102
	K. Puttamma's	..	15,289	..	15,289	* 8,461	..	24,842	..	9	..	552	33,864
Malabar	Kavalpara	76,000	26,159	2,612	1,04,771	* 23,992	3,076	..	2,654	233	..	9,540	39,495
	Bimbalan	..	425	1	426	* 430	..	..	1	..	..	..	431
	Total ..	36,27,700	8,88,044	88,490	46,04,234	17,15,772	1,53,630	1,09,479	77,931	1,19,441	34,000	3,71,317	25,81,570

* The difference between this and column 8 of statement No. III is due to the latter including grain collections.

No. IV.—Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1299 (1889-90)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Cash balance and receipts, total of columns 4 and 14.	Charges.								
			Establishment for management and contingencies.	Maramut expenditure.	Government demand.		Government commission for management.	Total management, works and Government demand.	Maintenance and education of the wards.	Allowance to the other members of the wards' family.	Repayment of debts.
					Peishkush.	Assessment and land-cess.					
		15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Trichinopoly	*Peramur*	27,287	823	216	..	4,695	154	5,888	1,926	180	..
	N. Duraiswami Iyer's	42,343	1,171	1,513	..	7,077	250	10,011	2,164	480	..
	Marungapuri	92,214	5,405	3,392	20,587	4,338	953	34,675	485	4,339	40,748
	N. Rangaswamy Iyer's	99,560	1,233	1,062	..	9,308	382	11,985	621	2,877	60,651
	Singam Iyengar's	21,831	1,040	321	..	1,951	71	3,383	1,607	84	391
Madura	Sáptúr	1,05,927	13,204	17,954	8,812	2,643	733	43,346	823	4,120	..
	Vadagarai	19,720	458	137	..	2,214	113	2,922	1,998	602	..
	Mambarai	8,259	490	..	1,500	313	61	2,364	95	700	2,302
Tinnevelly	Vádimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Anavaratham Pillai's	2,821	40	..	1,170	..	43	1,253	165	50	..
	Etaiyápuram	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore	Metráthi	14,435	273	..	1,966	405	84	2,728	697	600	..
Nílgiris	Neliyalam	20,422	1,823	406	..	231	..	2,460	401	585	..
Salem	Erumaipati	32,565	1,200	283	8,698	775	167	11,123	800	..	..
	Shulagiri	30,921	1,635	974	5,499	936	286	9,330	576	2,917	3,500
	Yelagiri	26,228	1,866	2,030	5,885	727	..	10,508	118	1,272	4,300
South Canara	Sarvothama Row's	6,142	447	70	..	1,665	57	2,239	558	442	..
	K. Puttamma's	49,153	2,045	166	..	3,024	236	5,471	..	..	12,400
Malabar	Kavalpara	65,654	4,238	1,822	..	3,684	376	10,120	7,484	720	..
	Bimbalan	856	76	..	..	35	3	114	129	..	..
	Total ..	34,69,614	1,69,973	1,37,665	3,89,367	2,57,689	21,940	9,76,634	2,00,172	70,027	2,42,929

No. IV.—Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1299 (1889-90)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Charges—*cont.*			Balance to the credit of the estate at the end of fasli 1299.				Increase or decrease as compared with the opening balance.	Percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to the total receipts.
		Invested in Government Promissory notes.	Other miscellaneous disbursements.	Total charges.	Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain on hand.	Total.		
		25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur	6,600	2,558	17,152	72,600	10,135	..	82,735	+ 4,695	6·4
	N. Duraiswami Iyer's	..	27,889	40,544	..	1,799	..	1,799	— 6,756	4·2
	Marungapuri	..	2,797	83,044	..	9,170	..	9,170	— 13,702	9·2
	N. Rangasawmy Iyer's	..	2,560	78,694	..	20,866	..	20,866	+ 20,855	1·6
	Singam Iyengar's	..	1,470	6,935	..	14,896	..	14,896	+ 9,687	6·7
Madura	Sáptúr	..	14,464	62,753	1,47,500	43,174	10,804	2,01,478	+ 69	20·7
	Vadagarai	500	548	6,570	5,500	13,150	1,134	19,784	+ 1,999	6·0
	Mambarai	..	556	6,017	..	2,242	..	2,242	+ 1,200	7·6
Tinnevelly ..	Vádimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Anavaratham Pillai's	..	32	1,500	..	1,321	..	1,321	+ 1,321	2·9
	Etaiyapuram	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore ..	Metrathi	3,000	490	7,515	3,000	6,920	..	9,920	+ 3,218	4·6
Nílgiris	Neliyalam	..	5,459	8,905	21,800	11,517	..	33,317	+ 1,421	17·6
Salem	Erumaipati	10,000	1,848	23,771	10,000	8,794	..	18,794	+ 2,701	8·3
	Shulagiri	..	1,532	17,855	..	13,066	..	13,066	+ 2,968	9·2
	Yelagiri	..	3,595	19,793	..	6,435	..	6,435	— 1,446	10·2
Soth Canara ..	Sarvothama Row's	1,300	275	4,814	6,800	1,328	..	8,128	+ 588	12·3
	K. Puttamma's	..	4,795	22,666	..	26,487	..	26,487	+ 11,198	6·7
Malabar	Kavalpara	20,100	11,395	49,819	96,100	15,835	3,641	1,15,576	+ 10,805	11·7
	Bimbalan	..	7	250	..	606	1	607	+ 181	18·3
	Total ..	3,21,000	7,63,832	25,74,594	39,48,700	8,95,020	71,876	49,15,596	+311,362	7·4

ENCLOSURE A to Statement No. IV.—Showing the expenditure incurred on Maramut (works) in the several estates under management in Fasli 1299 (1889-90).

Districts.	Estates.	Irrigation works.		Buildings.		Communications.	Establishments.	Total expenditure.	Allotment in the Budget.	Percentage of expenditure to allotment.
		New works.	Repairs.	New works.	Repairs.					
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	903	10,203	5,033	3,867	15,430	6,411	41,847	1,03,250	40·5
	Jarada	34	530	430	127	439	237	1,797	14,000	12·8
	Dhárákót	414	379	1,318	2,167	..	1,193	5,471	8,400	65·1
	Bodogodo	..	711	760	454	220	316	2,401	3,900	61·5
	Kurla	1	689	..	491	..	102	1,283	3,491	36·7
	Aska	..	1,234	..	313	..	119	1,666	3,214	51·8
	Kallikót	..	7,753	1,276	358	2,612	2,023	14,022	23,600	59·4
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	..	3,322	..	578	..	..	3,900	8,585	45·4
	Srípuram	..	2,691	..	315	..	..	3,006	1,600	..
	Chipurupalle	..	10	..	..	..	..	10	400	2·5
	Appikonda	..	744	..	613	..	..	1,357	1,116	..
	Belgáum	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,650	..
Godávari	Lakkavaram	2,294	272	..	173	..	..	2,739	2,259	..
	Meduru	..	2,453	..	..	..	..	2,453	4,000	61·3
	Tangellamúdi	..	164	..	132	..	..	296	3,981	7·4
Kistna	Mailavaram	..	1,003	..	802	..	240	2,045	3,108	65·8
	Kapileswarapuram	..	1,901	..	1,248	120	276	3,545	8,300	42·7
	Telaprole	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Bellary	Venk. up. Ayyanna's estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
North Arcot	Kangundi	1,000	7,200	1,151	588	3,119	912	13,970	16,200	86·2
	Timmajammah's	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	200	..
	Pulicherla	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Chingleput	Panaiyur	..	2,127	..	..	..	..	2,127	3,500	60·8
	Ukkadai	239	356	..	..	..	..	595	(a) 3,500	17·0
	Gandharvakóta	..	155	..	142	..	..	297	1,730	17·1
	Vadapathimangalam	..	157	..	623	..	..	780	1,751	44·5
	Mathur	..	188	..	99	..	..	287	900	31·7
	Singavanam	..	336	..	19	..	..	355	726	48·9
Tanjore	Tiruvalanjuli	..	150	..	200	..	..	(b) 350	250	100·
	Tyagasamudram	..	200	..	200	..	..	400	600	66·6
	Palayavanam	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	320	..
	Edamanal	..	125	..	165	..	..	290	(c) 350	82·8
	Karuvalakarai	..	30	..	..	..	..	30	(d) 150	20·0
	Peramur	..	196	..	20	..	..	216	250	86·4
	N. Duraisawmi Iyer's	..	1,341	..	172	..	..	1,513	1,200	..
Trichinopoly	Marungapuri	..	2,369	..	1,023	..	..	3,392	3,393	99·9
	N. Rangasawmi Iyer's	..	862	..	200	..	..	1,062	1,900	55·9
	Singam Iyengar's	..	321	..	..	..	..	321	350	91·7
	Sáptúr	..	9,953	..	2,205	3,165	2,631	17,954	36,000	49·9
Madura	Vadagarai	..	..	..	137	..	..	137	600	22·8
	Mambarai	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..

(a) Includes Rs. 1,200 for the construction of a bungalow, the estimates for which were not received in time.
(b) Rs. 100 have been spent on account of incomplete works of fasli 1298.
(c) Works costing about Rs. 179 were executed, but not paid for within the fasli. The allotment excludes Rs. 292 sanctioned for incomplete works of fasli 1298.
(d) Work for Rs. 73 was executed, but not paid for with in the fasli.

Enclosure A to Statement No. IV.—Showing the expenditure incurred on Maramut (works) in the several estates, &c.—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Irrigation works.		Buildings.		Communications.	Establishments.	Total expenditure.	Allotment in the budget.	Percentage of expenditure to allotment.
		New works.	Repairs.	New works.	Repairs.					
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Tinnevelly	Vádimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Anavaradam Pillai's	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Etaiyápuram	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore	Metrathi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Nilgiris	Neliyalam	..	..	148	176	82	..	406	406	100·
				Particulars not available.				283	1,679	16·8
Salem	Erumaipati	..	510	..	139	..	(e) 325	974	7,478	13·0
	Shulagiri	..	1,995	..	35	..	..	2,030	2,636	77·0
	Yelagiri	..	..	20	50	..	..	70	70	100·
South Canara	Sarvotama Rao's	..	..	..	57	..	59	166	479	34·6
	K. Puttamma's	50	..	..	1,798	24	..	1,822	6,250	29·1
Malabar	Kavalpara	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Bimbalan	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Total	4,935	62,630	10,076	19,686	25,211	14,844	1,37,665	2,87,722	47·8

(e) *Remuneration to a supervisor for preparation of estimates.*

No. V-A.—Statement showing the debts due to the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1299 (1889-90)

Districts.	Estates.	Debts as per last year's account.	Debts newly contracted.	Debts newly brought to account during the year.	Interest accrued during the year.	Total.	Debts collected.	Debts written off.	Total.	Remainder due at the end of the fasli.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam	Jarada	(a) 745	..	..	..	745	..	..	..	745
	Bodogodo	865	..	..	..	865	..	..	..	865
	Kurla	(b) 5,128	..	..	185	5,313	98	..	98	5,215
	Aska	(b) 35,401	..	..	1,789	37,190	837	..	837	36,353
	Kallikót	(b) 1,24,488	..	..	5,000	1,29,488	686	..	686	1,28,802
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	..	..	31	..	31	..	..	..	31
	Chipurupalle	8,922	..	..	..	8,922	707	..	707	8,215
	Appikondah	52,215	..	..	3,549	55,764	5,642	..	5,642	50,122
	Belgam	18,481	..	..	683	19,164	570	..	570	18,594
Godávari	Lakkavaram	7,428	..	..	243	7,671	206	2,626	2,832	4,839
	Meduru	75,058	..	87,860	..	1,62,918	41,759	135	41,894	1,21,024
	Tangellamudi	14,434	..	..	1,240	15,674	521	775	1,296	14,378
Kistna	Mailavaram	(c) 1,900	..	6	..	1,906	(h) 551	..	551	1,399
	Kapileswarapuram	(a) 80,724	5,936	..	..	86,660	4,352	11,445	15,797	70,863
Bellary	Venk. U. Ayyannah's	4,497	..	..	100	4,597	513	..	513	4,084
North Arcot	Kangundi	41,883	..	..	1,340	43,223	2,501	1,701	4,202	39,021
	Timmajammah's	5,512	..	..	312	5,824	..	..	..	5,824
	Pulicherla	26	..	..	1	27	27	..	27	..
Chingleput	Panaiyur	(c) 7,293	..	..	178	7,471	254	..	254	7,217
Tanjore	Ukkadai	12,782	..	18,846	..	31,628	(d) 416	..	416	31,212
	Gandharvakóta	26,392	..	..	878	27,270	671	..	671	26,599
	Vadapathimangalam	1,01,705	..	..	17,125	1,18,830	(f) 14,698	..	14,698	1,04,132
	Mathur	140	..	1,005	..	1,145	(e) 355	..	355	790
	Singavanam	16,706	..	..	750	17,456	1,851	..	1,851	15,605
	Tiruvalanjuli	54,556	..	..	2,694	57,250	..	..	..	57,250
	Tyagasamudram	(c) 64,659	..	..	3,546	68,205	6,049	2,520	8,569	59,636
	Palayavanam	11,097	..	..	400	11,497	74	..	74	11,423
	Edamanal	2,938	..	..	403	3,341	5	641	646	2,695
	Karuvalakarai	2,104	..	..	142	2,246	(g) 755	..	755	1,491
	Peramur	77	..	..	5	82	73	..	73	9
Trichinopoly	N. Duraisawmi Iyer's	402	..	..	18	420	..	..	..	420
	Marungápuri	3,724	..	..	272	3,996	578	468	1,046	2,950
	N. Rangasawmi Iyer's	8,496	..	..	242	8,738	2,568	1,006	3,574	5,164
	Singam Iyengar's	(c) 53,474	..	..	2,651	56,125	9,764	..	9,764	46,361
	Sáptúr	(c) 25,958	..	..	1,565	27,523	1,030	..	1,030	26,493
Madura	Vadagarai	460	..	..	..	460	..	..	..	460
	Mambarai	6,000	..	..	215	6,215	..	..	..	6,215
Tinnevelly	Anavaradham Pillai's	428	..	..	..	428	..	..	..	428
Nilgiris	Neliyalam	23,107	..	..	11,283	34,390	1,098	..	1,098	33,292
Salem	Yelagiri	2,610	..	..	263	2,873	896	..	896	1,977
South Canara	K. Puttamma's	1,99,860	..	..	..	1,99,860	24,842	..	24,842	1,75,018
	Total ..	11,02,675	5,936	1,07,748	57,072	12,73,431	1,24,947	21,317	1,46,264	11,27,211

(a) Balance according to last year's statement omitted by Collector.
(b) Omitted in the last year's statement.
(c) This is the correct figure.
(d) Includes Rs. 406, recoveries of advances.
(e) Recoveries of advances.
(f) Includes Rs. 14,507, recoveries of advances.
(g) Includes Rs. 200, recoveries of advances.
(h) Includes Rs. 44 excess realized in the sale of brass vesels.

No. V-B.—Statement showing the debts due by the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1299 (1889-90).

Districts.	Estates.	Debts as per last year's account.	Debts contracted.	Debts newly brought to account during the year.	Interest accrued during the year.	Total.	Debts paid off.	Debts struck off.	Total.	Remainder due by the estate at the end of the fasli.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam	Jarada	(a) 10,022	..	..	900	10,922	752	..	752	10,170
	Dhárákót	(a) 56,596	..	..	4,050	60,646	6,643	..	6,643	54,003
	Bodogodo	318	..	..	..	318	..	..	318	..
	Kallikót	6,271	30,000	..	2,228	38,499	1,718	..	1,718	36,781
Vizagapatam	Appikonda	(b) 19,767	..	..	1,102	20,869	12,329	..	12,329	8,540
	Belgaum	29,972	..	..	1,387	31,359	21,485	..	21,485	9,874
	Lakkavaram	401	..	..	94	495	402	..	402	93
Godávari	Meduru	243	..	..	..	243	(c) 216	..	216	27
	Tangallamudi	82,322	..	..	30,568	1,12,890	2,511	..	2,511	1,10,379
Kistna	Kapileswarapuram	33	..	..	..	33	33	..	33	..
North Arcot	Timmajammal's	(d) 14,500	500	..	1,358	16,358	..	..	..	16,358
	Pulicherla	64,329	..	..	2,684	67,013	17,837	..	17,837	49,176
Chingleput	Panaiyur	(d) 15,614	..	..	1,887	17,501	2,453	..	2,453	15,048
Tanjore	Ukkadai	642	..	..	..	642	..	..	..	642
	Ghandarvakota	2,72,113	..	..	11,270	2,83,383	(e) 24,903	..	24,903	2,58,480
	Vadapathimangalam	33,321	..	..	2,213	35,534	..	..	..	35,534
	Mathur	25	..	..	..	25	25	..	25	..
	Singavanam	75,974	..	..	51,883	1,27,857	..	..	..	1,27,857
	Tiruvalanjuli	33,943	..	..	9,847	43,790	(e) 100	..	100	43,690
	Palayavanam	(d) 2,42,888	..	..	1,428	2,44,316	7,412	..	7,412	2,36,904
	Edamanal	89,739	..	..	5,443	95,182	22,007	1,823	23,830	71,352
	Marungapuri	(d) 50,111	..	..	2,655	52,766	40,748	..	40,748	12,018
Trichinopoly	N. Rangasawmi Iyer's	(d) 1,64,001	..	..	24,978	1,88,979	(f) 82,651	..	82,651	1,06,328
	Singam Iyengar's	391	..	..	..	391	..	..	391	..
Madura	Mambarai	(g) 15,362	..	..	1,298	16,660	2,302	..	2,302	14,358
Tinnevelly	Anavaratham Pillai's	11,298	..	..	343	11,641	..	..	..	11,641
Nilgiris	Neliyalam	197	..	..	..	197	..	..	..	197
Salem	Shulagiri	(d) 27,455	..	..	1,373	28,828	3,500	..	3,500	25,328
	Yelagiri	4,166	..	..	134	4,300	4,300	..	4,300	..
South Canara	K. Puttamma's	13,000	..	..	1,400	14,400	12,400	..	12,400	2,000
	Total ..	13,35,014	30,500	..	1,60,523	15,26,037	2,67,436	1,823	2,69,259	12,56,778

(a) Includes Rs. 22, interest accrued in the previous year, but not brought to account in the year.
(b) Differs from last year's statement by Rs. 6,133. The Collector will be requested to explain.
(c) Includes Rs. 196 adjusted by payment in grain.
(d) This is the correct figure.
(e) This differs from the amount in column 24 of statement No. IV. The Collector will be requested to explain.
(f) Includes Rs. 22,000, for which a deed of release was procured.
(g) Exclusive of Rs. 2,000 foregone by a certain creditor.

No. VI.—STATEMENT showing the Educational progress made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1299 (1889-90).

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Date when first put under tuition.	Class and school in which the Ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the Ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Ganjam.	Parlákimedi.	Sri Padmanabha Narayana Deo (the Ward's son).	17½	..	..	..	IV Upper (private tuition).	200	114
	Jarada ..	Sri Raghunatha Samantharaya Deo.	19	9	..	15th June 1883.	Do. ..	121	67
	Dhárákót.	Madana Mohana Simha Deo.	14	9	..	28th May 1885.	II Class (private tuition).	200	160
	Bodogodo.	Sri Kisorachandra Simha Deo.	5	..	The minor was put under tuition on the 16th March 1890.				
	Kurla ..	1. Tripasur Venkata Kondal Rao.	19	15	IV Lower.	January 1887.	IV Upper and V Classes.	166	158
		2. Tripasur Venkata Narasinga Rao.	17	13	Do. ..	..	V and VI Classes.	168	164
		3. Tripasur Venkata Jagannatha Rao.	15	11	Lower and Upper Primary.	..	III and Lower IV Classes.	166	155
		4. Tripasur Venkata Rama Rao.	7	..	..	..	Local Fund school, 3rd Standard.	300	255
	Aska ..	Tripasur Sundaramma.	27	..	..	..	..	..	..

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General Remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
11	12	13	14	15	16
1 English—Royal Reader, No. IV, 60 pages. 2. Arithmetic—Decimals, Double Rule of Three and Problems. 3. Algebra—Multiplication and Division. 4. History—India, in Uriya, 130 pages. 5. Geography—Europe finished and Asia revised. 6. Telugu—Whole of Telugu Third Reader and Grammar. 7 Uriya—Half of Raghuvamsam (Prose) and whole of Poetry and Higher Grammar, 40 pages.	English—Text and Grammar, good; Reading, very fair; Dictation, good; Translation, good.	Arithmetic, very fair; Algebra, fair.	History, fair; Geography, good.	Telugu—Texts, very fair; Grammar, fair. Uriya—Texts and Grammar, good.	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition at Parlákimedi. Attendance, very good; short attendance due to the minor's serious illness. Hand-writing, English, Telugu and Uriya, good; general behaviour, very satisfactory.
1. English—Royal Reader, No. IV, 32 pages. 2. Arithmetic—Vulgar Fractions, Decimals, Double Rule of Three, &c. 3. Algebra. 4. History—India, in Uriya, 100 pages. 5. Geography—Finished nearly all Europe. 6. Telugu—Telugu Third Reader, 100 pages and whole of Telugu Grammar. 7. Uriya—Revised Sakuntala (Prose) and Sundarakanda (Poetry) Higher Grammar, 20 pages.	English—Text, Grammar and Reading, fair; Dictation and Translation, very fair.	Arithmetic and Algebra, poor.	History, middling and Geography, good.	Telugu—Texts, good; Grammar, fair. Uriya—Texts and Grammar, very fair.	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition at Parlákimedi. Attendance, fair; Hand-writing, English and Telugu, very fair; Uriya, good; general behaviour, not very satisfactory.
1. English—Whole of Garthwaite's English First Book, and Royal Reader, No. 2, 8 pages. 2. Arithmetic—Simple Rules, commenced Compound Rules. 3. Geography—Madras Presidency. 4. Telugu—Telugu First Book (whole) and Grammar. 5. Uriya—Hitopadesa, Part I finished and Part II, 15 pages (Prose), Sujana satakam (40—80 verses) Poetry and Radhanadoss' Grammar, 40 pages.	English—Texts and Dictation, very fair. Reading. middling.	Good ..	Geography, fair.	Telugu—Texts, good; Grammar, fair. Uriya—Texts and Grammar, good.	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition at Parlákimedi. Attendance, very fair; Hand-writing, English, fair; Telugu and Uriya, very fair; general behaviour, very satisfactory.
....	Passed Middle School Examination. Marks are not known.				Hand-writing, fair; behaviour, excellent.
....	45¾/80	31¼/50	75/100	41/60	Hand-writing, poor; behaviour excellent.
....	36/80	17½/50	57½/100	38½/60	Hand-writing, pretty fair; behaviour, exemplary.
....	..	..	..	..	Attendance, regular; writing improving; behaviour, satisfactory.
....	..	..	..	..	The proprietrix was illiterate when the estate was taken under the Court's management. It is reported that she is being taught by a private tutor whom she pays from her towji (allowance).

No. VI.—Statement showing the Educational progress made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1299 (1889-90)—*cont.*

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Date when first put under tuition.	Class and school in which the Ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the Ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Ganjam —*cont.*	Kallikót	1. Sri Hari Hara Mardaraja Deo.	19	16	..	July 1888.	II Class (private tuition).	200	146
		2. Sri Brajaraja Deo.	13	10	..	..	Do. ..	200	155
Vizagapatam.	Sripuram.	Sri Raja Inuganti Rajagopalarayanin Garu.	15	4	..	28th Apr. 1879.	Lower Fourth Class.	250	190
	Appikondah.	1. Mindi Ramajogi Garu.	13	9	I Class ..	10th Apr. 1880.	III Class ..	207	188
		2. Mindi Sriramachandram Garu.	12	8	Preparatory Class.	15th July 1882.	II Class ..	219	203
		3. Mindi Subramanyam Garu.	9	5	Not of school-going age.	Jan. 1885.	Primary Class.	228	171
Godávari.	Lakkavaram.	Sri Raja Mantripragada Bhujanga Row Garu.	14	3	..	10th Oct. 1880.	IV Upper Class.	188	177
	Tangallamudi.	Sri Raja Velugoti Govindakrishnayachendraluvaru.	10	..	..	9th Apr. 1890.	Private tuition.	In the English Class 67	51
Kistna..	Mailavaram.	1. Rajah Suranani Venkata Purushothama Jagannatha Gopal Row Bahadur.	16	14	III Class..	..	III and Lower IV Class.	198	153

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General Remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
11	12	13	14	15	16
1. Whole of Garthwaite's English First Book and Royal Reader, No. II, 5 pages. 2. Arithmetic—Simple Rules, commenced Compound Rules. 3. Geography—Madras Presidency. 4. Telugu—Whole of First Book and Grammar. 5. Uriya—Hitopadesa, Part I, finished, Part II, 5 pages: Sujana satakam, verses 40—80, and Radhanadoss' Grammar.	English—Texts, Reading and Dictation, fair.	Very fair.	Geography, fair.	Telugu—Text, very fair and Grammar, fair. Uriya—Texts, good and Grammar, very good.	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition at Parlákimedi. Attendance, fair; Hand-writing, English, very fair; and Telugu and Uriya, good; general behaviour, very satisfactory.
Do. do. ..	English—Texts and Dictation, poor; Reading, middling.	Poor.	Do. ..	Telugu—Text, fair and Grammar, middling. Uriya—Texts and Grammar, fair.	Under Mr. Taylor's private tuition at Parlákimedi. Attendance, fair; Hand-writing, English and Telugu, middling; Uriya, fair; general behaviour, very satisfactory.
No annual examination was held after the minor joined the Hindu College and before the close of the fasli.					Attendance, not satisfactory; Hand-writing, fair; general behaviour, good.
....	$\frac{22\frac{1}{2}}{140}$	$\frac{10}{100}$	$\frac{24\frac{1}{2}}{100}$	$\frac{13\frac{1}{2}}{50}$	Attendance and general behaviour, good.
....	$\frac{23}{60}$	$\frac{19}{40}$	$\frac{19\frac{1}{2}}{40}$	Language $\frac{12}{40}$ Grammar $\frac{7}{15}$	
....	$\frac{18}{30}$	$\frac{15}{40}$	$\frac{15}{20}$	Language $\frac{12}{30}$ Grammar $\frac{10}{10}$	
....	$\frac{20}{80}$	$\frac{50}{165}$	$\frac{26}{80}$	$\frac{8}{30}$	The Principal of the school remarks as follows:— Bajah Bhujanga Rao stands well in his class. He is diligent and well behaved. He would do better if his health were stronger. His writing is bad; that is probably the cause of his having failed at the Middle School Examination.
The minor began his English study in April 1890.	..	..	..	Language, $\frac{53\frac{3}{4}}{100}$ Grammar, $\frac{17\frac{1}{2}}{30}$	The minor is very docile and in his studies very mindful and regular.
1. English—C. V. E. S. Fourth and Fifth Readers. 2. English Grammar—Grammatical Primer and Manual. 3. Arithmetic—To the end of Vulgar Fractions and easy decimals. 4. Euclid—Book I, first four Propositions. 5. Algebra—Up to Multiplication. 6. History—Indian History. 7. Geography—Asia, Europe and India. 8. Vernacular—Sanskrit, Hitopadesa and Sabdamanjari.	$\frac{97}{200}$	$\frac{78}{200}$	$\frac{50}{100}$	$\frac{53}{100}$	

No. VI.—Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Name of the Wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Date when first put under tuition.	Class and school in which the Ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the Ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Kistna—*cont.*	Mailavaram—*cont.*	2. Rajah Suranani Venkata Papaya Rao Bahadur.	14	12	I Class ..	..	III Class, from January 1890.	82	76
		3. Rajah Suranani Venkatanarayana Rao Bahadur.	13	10	Do. ..	..	Private study.	..	..
	Kappilesswarapuram.	Rajah Venkata Rangayya Appa Rao.	16	14	III Class ..	..	Lower and Upper IV Classes.	188	186
Bellary ..	Venkatapur Uppara Ayyanna's estate.	Dodda Basappa ..	5	5	..	March 1890.	..	..	..
	Kangundi.	1. Rama Subbaraju Nayanivaru.	21	13	III Standard.	1st Sept. 1883.	..	..	..
		2. Subbaraju Nayanivaru.	18	11	..	..	..	..	..
North Arcot.	Timmajammal's estate.	Timmajammal ..	11	8	I Standard.	20th Dec. 1887.	Is under the tuition of Miss Mary Connelly.	..	..
	Pulicherla.	Venkata Chandrappa Nayanivaru.	15	13	III Standard (I Class).	20th Jan. 1888.	IV Standard (II Class).	253	70
Chingleput.	Panaiyur.	1. C. T. Kalappa Mudaliar.	17	14	IV Lower ..	..	Upper IV and V; Native High School, Mailapur.	..	..

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1299 (1889-90)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General Remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
11	12	13	14	15	16
1. English—C. V. E. S. Fourth Reader and Grammatical Primer. 2. Arithmetic—Vulgar Fractions. 3. History—Indian History (Telugu). 4. Geography—Asia. 5. Vernacular—Sabdamanjari and Sanskrit First Book.	Absent on leave during the half-yearly examination held in May 1890.				....
1. English—C. V. E. S. Second Reader. 2. Arithmetic—Compound Rules. 3. Telugu—Panchatantram, Nidhimanjari Grammar, &c. 4. Geography—Orally taught.					
1. English—C. V. E. S. Fifth Reader and Manual Grammar. 2. Mathematics—Arithmetic, general; Geometry, Adam's First Book; Algebra, Todhunter's. 3. History—Sinclair's Indian History. 4. Geography—Manual and Duncan's India. 5. Vernacular—Sanskrit, Hitopadesam and Sabdamanjari.	125/200	68/200	71/100	59/100	Promoted to the Upper Fourth Class in January 1890 and appeared for the Special Middle School Examination, May 1890, after only six months in the class; failed in Arithmetic only.
....	..	..	..	..	Did not attend school regularly, being too young.
Were reading Lady Brassey's *voyage in the Sunbeam*; fair in Arithmetic; knew a little of Algebra and the first 12 Propositions of Euclid; learnt also estate work.	..	..	..	..	Under Mr. Creighton's tuition until December and then under Mr. Wilson until May. Hand-writing very fair; behaviour, very good.
....	..	..	...	..	Conduct, good.
....	5/60	4/40	15/40	Language 4 2/10 Grammar 2/10	He is very irregular in his attendance. His hand-writing is fair. His general behaviour is said by the headmaster not to be satisfactory.
July to December 1889.					
Bradshaw's Fifth Reader— Prose, 17—47 pages. Poetry, 115—125 pages. Manual of Grammar, 69—144 pages. Geometry—First Book and Deductions, 1—20 (Todhunter's). Arithmetic—Rule of Three, Double Rule of Three, Square Measure and Miscellaneous Examples—Barnard Smith—Chapters, 47—57, and Problems in Arithmetic, Chapters 1—8. Algebra—Division, Resolution, G.C.M., L.C.M., and Fractions, Chapters 9—18 (Todhunter's).	..	..	..	..	Passed the Middle School Examination; Hand-writing, pretty fair; behaviour, uniformly good.

No. VI.—Statement showing the Educational progress made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1299 (1889-90).

Districts.	Names of estate.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Date when first put under tuition.	Class and school in which the Ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the Ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Chingleput—*cont.*	Panaiyur—*cont.*	1. C. T Kalappa Mudaliar.	17	14	IV Lower.	..	Upper IV and V., Native High School, Mailapur.	..	..
	..	2. C. T. Arumuga Mudaliar.	15	12	II Class	..	Lower IV and Upper IV., Native High School, Mailapur.	..	..

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1299 (1889-90)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General Remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
11	12	13	14	15	16
Sinclair's Indian History for Middle School, the whole. Geography — Duncan's Asia, Europe, Africa, America and Oceania, India, the whole. Sanskrit— Middle School Text (1889), the whole. First Book, the whole. Translation and Dictation—General. *January to July* 1890. Pre-Matriculation Text (1890)— Poetry—1—10 pages. Prose—46—60 pages. Bain's Higher English Grammar, 1—78 pages. "Evenings at Home"—General reading. Geometry—First Book (Revision)—Second Book, Propositions 1 to 6 and Deductions 20—124 (Todhunter). Arithmetic—Problems in Arithmetic, Chapters 9—19, and Examination papers in the same book, pages 152—198. Algebra—(Rudiments), Chapter 1—9. English History—(Sinclair's), 1—45 pages. Indian History for the Matriculation Examination (Sinclair's), 1—60 pages. Geography—(Manual of)—America, Oceania and Asia. Physics—(Balfour's Primer), 1—20 pages. Chemistry—(Roscoe's Primer), 1—40 pages. Sanskrit— Raghuvamsam 7th Canto, 30 slokas. First Book—Revised. Second Book—1—20 pages. Translation and Dictation—General. *July to December* 1889. Barrow's Fourth Reader, 24—60 pages and 1—24 pages (Revision). Manual of Grammar, 36—68 pages. Geometry—First Book—Propositions 7—12. Arithmetic—Decimals, Rule of Three and Double Rule of Three. Barnard Smith, Chapters, 28—47. Algebra—Chapters, 4—10 (Todhunter's). Geography—Duncan's Europe, Asia (Revised). History—(Sinclair's)—37—65 pages. Tamil— Poetry—(Anthology)—53—99 verses. Prose—Fourth Reader—120—276 pages. Grammar—(Mahalinga Iyer), 15—35 pages. Translation and Dictation—General.	..	..	..	..	....
	..	..	..	..	Hand-writing, not good; behaviour, uniformly good

No. VI.—Statement showing the Educational progress made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1299 (1889-90)—*cont.*

Districts.	Names of estate.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Date when first put under tuition.	Class and school in which the Ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the Ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Chingleput—*cont.*	..	2. C. T. Arumuga Mudaliar.	15	12	II Class ..	..	Lower IV and Upper IV., Native High School, Mailapur.	..	..
	Mathur..	M. S. Natarajier ..	18	11	III Class ..	28th Jan. 1886.	Senior F.A. Class, Kumbakonam, College, up to December 1889; Junior B.A. Class, Presidency College, Madras, from March last.	..	..
	Karuvalakarai.	Vallal *alias* Seni Chetti.	4	4	..	26th June 1890.	Anglo-Ver. School, Kuruvalakarai.	...	..
Tanjore..	Vadapathimangalam.	1. Somasundara Mudaliar.	20	11	II Class ..	May 1881.	Not studying in school. Studying privately for Matriculation Examination.	..	..
		2. Menatchisundara Mudaliar.	12	3	....	15th Mar. 1886.	III Class ..	78	78
	Gandharvakóta.	A. Suryanarayana Pandarathar.	16	7	Elementary.	19th Aug. 1881.	II Class ..	170	126

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1299 (1889-90)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General Remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
11	12	13	14	15	16
January to July 1890.					
Bradshaw's Fifth Reader—Prose—1—30 pages. Poetry—1—10 lessons. Manual of Grammar—1—68 pages. Geometry—Propositions, 1—25 (First Book) and Deductions 1—16. Arithmetic—Double Rule of Three, Square Measure and Miscellaneous. Barnard Smith's Arithmetic, Chapters 47—52. Smith's Examination Papers 1—25. Algebra—Resolution, G.C.M., L.C.M., Chapter 10 (Todhunter's). Geography — Duncan's Asia, Europe and Africa. History—Sinclair 1—80 pages. Tamil— Poetry—(Anthology), 1—138 verses. Prose—(Fourth Reader), 228—370 pages. Grammar—(Mahalinga Iyer), 1—49 pages. Translation and Dictation—General.	..	..	..	..	....
....	At the end of 1889, the Ward appeared for the F. A. Examination and came out successful.				Regular in attendance and general behaviour, good. Writes a very fair hand and showed care and accuracy in his work.
....	..	..	..	..	....
....	..	..	..	..	....
....	$\frac{24}{100}$	$\frac{10}{100}$	$\frac{38}{100}$	Language and Grammar $\frac{10}{100}$	....
....	Did not attend any examination.				The Manager of the St. Joseph's College, Trichinopoly, states that the minor's attendance is very irregular owing to his having feigned sickness, that his hand writing is not very good and general behaviour, bad.

No. VI.—Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Date when first put under tuition.	Class and school in which the Ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the Ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Tanjore —*cont.*	Ukkadai.	Annasawmi Tevar.	18	6	..	..	Upper IV Class.	91	89
	Edamanal.	1. Vijiaraghavulu Naidu.	11	9	III Standard or I Class.	7th Mar. 1887.	IV Standard or II Class.	287	283
		2. Muthukristna Naidu.	8	6½	II Standard.	Do. ..	III Standard or I Class.	287	282
	Singavanam.	Durairajah *alias* Aiyarappa Meikkan Gopalar.	17	12	II Standard.	November 1884.	Upper IV Class, S.P.G. College, Tanjore.	131	111
	Palayavanam.	Andavaraya Vanangamudi Pandarathar.	7	4	..	16th Mar. 1888.	Was under private tuition.	..	..
	Tyagasamudram.	1. Ramasawmi ..	20	15	..	..	..	..	.
		2. Sitaraman ..	18	13	III Standard.	1st Sept. 1884.	..	Did not attend any school; under the pretence of studying under private tutors which is false.	
		3. Sivaraman ..	10	5	I Standard.	Do. ..	..		
		4. Kalianaraman.	7	2	..	18th June 1889.	..		
		5. Subbaraman, step-brother to the late proprietor.	18	13	III Standard.	1st Sept. 1884.	..		
	Peramur.	Prasanna Venkatesa Aiyar.	17	..	..	January 1882.	V and VI Classes.	159	140
	Nangavaram Duraisawmi Aiyar's estate.	1. N. D. Lakshminarayana Aiyar.	15	6	..	26th Jan. 1882.	VII and VIII Classes.	160	144
		2. N. D. Subbarama Aiyar.	10	1	..	7th Oct. 1886.	III Class ..	178	126
Trichinopoly.	Marungapuri.	1. Krishna Vijaya Puchaiya Nayakar.	5	3	..	..	..	..	..
		2. Rukmaniammal.	12	10	..	..	..	..	..
	Nangavaram Rangasawmi Aiyer's estate.	1. N. S. Annathurai Aiyar.	15	13	Upper IV Class.	1883	Upper IV and V Classes.	165	138
		2. Nataraja Aiyar.	7	5	..	..	..	..	..
	Singam Aiyangar's estate.	1. S. Srinivasa Aiyangar.	16	14	V Class ..	1882	VI Class ..	152	140
		2. S. Rangasawmi Aiyangar.	14	12	Do. ..	1883	Do. ..	152	146

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1299 (1889-90)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General Remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
11	12	13	14	15	16
....	..	..	..	..	The Manager, S.P.G. Middle School, Tanjore, reports that the minor did not make his appearance during the latter half of the fasli, that his progress in the several subjects is not satisfactory, that his hand-writing is fair and that his general behaviour is satisfactory.
....	8/15	21/40	4/15	9/16	Attendance, regular; hand-writing, fair; general behaviour, satisfactory.
....	29/60	11/30	1/2	53/120	
....	38/80	42/60	21/40	Language, 28/30 Grammar, 19/20	Attendance, satisfactory; Hand-writing, good; Gymnastics, poor; general behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	Well behaved and intelligent, but reported to be very shy.
....	..	..	..	..	Studying Sanskrit under a private tutor; general behaviour, good; not intelligent. 2. Very obstinate; conduct, bad. 3. Good, but too weak to attend to study by small-pox followed by an attack of fever. 4. Good. Too young and playful. 5. General behaviour, very unsatisfactory; conduct, bad.
....	7/24	41/120	14/45	13/40	Attendance, fair; hand-writing, fair; general behaviour, good.
....	1/2	49/150	41/110	23/40	Attendance, fair; hand-writing, fair; general behaviour, satisfactory.
....	1/10	2/15	1/6	19/150	Attendance, poor; hand-writing, pretty fair; general behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	Private tuition.
....	..	..	..	..	Private tuition.
....	1/2	11/20	59/100	2/5	Attendance, fair; hand-writing fair; general behaviour, satisfactory.
....	..	..	..	..	Private tuition.
....	1/4	1/6	5/26	11/40	Attendance and hand-writing, fair; general behaviour, satisfactory.
....	1/6	23/120	16/65	27/80	Attendance, good; Hand-writing, fair; general behaviour, satisfactory.

No. VI.—Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Date when first put under tuition.	Class and school in which the Ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the Ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Madura.	Sáptár..	Ramasawmy Kannayya Nayakar.	9	6	..	1st Jan. 1887.	III Standard.	285	285
	Vadagarai.	Ramabadra Nayudu.	16	8	..	24th Sept. 1880.	V and VI Classes.	219	217
	Mambarai.	1. Venkatarama Naick.	10	9	..	..	..	..	..
		2. Parasurama Naick.	8	7					
		3. Malla Bommi Naick.	6	5					
Tinnevelly.	Vadimittah.	Ponnusawmi Maniyagar.	12	2	..	January 1884.	II Class (under private tuition).	..	..
	Anavaratham Pillai's estate.	Sivasubramania Pillai.	13	12	III Class ..	1887.	Lower IV Class, Church Mission College, Tinnevelly.	..	..
Coimbatore.	Metrathi.	Venkata Rangasawmi, Nathama Nayakkar.	9	..	..	26th Sept. 1887.	..	..	..
Salem ..	Shulagiri.	Sriman Masti Mummadi Ramachandra Rajagaru.	8	..	..	27th Jan. 1887.	II Standard.	281	240
	Yelagiri.	1. Muni Papi Reddi.	15	12	V Standard.	21st Sept. 1887.	Upper IV Class, Municipal Middle School, Tirupatúr.	231	134
		2. Muni Ramalinga Reddi.	7	4	Alphabet Class.	..	Under private tuition.	..	..
South Canara..	B. Sarvotama Rao's estate.	Sarvotama Rao ..	19	4	..	1st April 1877.	IV Upper.	130	53
Kavalpara.	Malabar..	1. Parvathi Nethiar, mother of Nos. 2 and 3.	24	6	Was put under a Malayalam tutor in 1872, but discontinued her studies in 1881.	..	..	..	..

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1299 (1889-90)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General Remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
11	12	13	14	15	16
English—Garthwaite's First English Book, last 17 pages. English—Garthwaite's Second English Book, pages 1 to 20. Arithmetic—Simple Division, Reductions and Simple Problems. Geography—Madras Presidency. History—Indian History. Tamil—Third Reader and Poetical Anthology, Part I, Pope's Grammar, No. I.	..	..	..	..	Attendance, good; handwriting, improving; and general behaviour, good.
....	86/120	63/120	86/130	38/80	Attendance very regular; conduct, very satisfactory.
....	..	..	..	..	Under a private tutor.
English—Second Book of Lessons. Arithmetic—Fractions. Tamil—Third Book of Lessons and Pope's Grammar.	..	..	..	..	
....	..	..	..	..	Can read and write Tamil and English tolerably well; conduct, fair.
Garthwaite's—English First Book; Addition and Subtraction in Arithmetic; Garthwaite's Tamil Second Reader and Pope's Tamil Grammar.	..	..	..	..	Under private tuition; conduct, generally satisfactory.
....	..	18/24	..	20/30	The boy is still young.
....	..	..	..	..	Failed in the Middle School Examination; attendance, fair; hand-writing, poor; general behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	Hand-writing, poor; general behaviour, good.
....	The minor appeared for the Middle School Examination in December last, but failed to pass.				General behaviour, satisfactory.
....	..	..	..	..	Having attained her majority, has given up her studies. She reads and writes Malayalam well.

No. VI.—Statement showing the Educational progress made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1299 (1889-90).

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Date when first put under tuition.	Class and school in which the Ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the Ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Malabar—*cont.*	Kavalpara—*cont.*	2. Kavalpara Nayar, named Kumaran Raman.	8	..	I Standard.	December 1886.	IV Standard.	262	237
		3. Narayana Nethiar.	6	..	Infant Standard.	December 1888.	I Standard.	262	223

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1299 (1889-90)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General Remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
11	12	13	14	15	16
English—Garthwaite's Second Reader, pages 1—105. Arithmetic—Portions prescribed for the standard. Malayalam— Vijiarunga Mudaliar's Fourth Reader, pages 1—62. Garthwaite's Essentials of Grammar, pages 1—23. Geography—Pothan's Malayalam Geography of India (pages 16—60).	..	..	..	..	Attendance regular; he is a sharp, good looking and promising little boy.
Malayalam— Garthwaite's Balapatam, Part I, pages 1—62. Arithmetic—Portions prescribed for the standard.	..	17 24	..	21 30	....

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 26th June 1891, No. 1650:—

Abstract.—Submitting report on the administration of the estates under the management of the Court of Wards during fasli 1299 (1889-90).

Order—dated 10th September 1891, No. 857, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

With the Proceedings read above, the Court of Wards submits its report on the administration of the estates under its charge during fasli 1299 (1889–90). This report was due in the Government office on the 1st May, but was not submitted until 11th July, the delay being ascribed to the late receipt of the district reports and to pressure of work in the Court's office. It is hoped that, as promised in paragraph 4 of the Board's Proceedings printed in G.O., dated 26th June 1891, No. 586, the Court will arrange to submit future reports on 1st February to admit of their reaching the Government of India on 1st April.

2. During the year under report, five estates were handed over to their proprietors, while six were newly taken up, making the number of estates under management at the end of the year 53 or one more than at the beginning. Of the wards, 42 were incapacitated by minority, five by sex alone and the remaining six by lunacy or imbecility. In the case of three estates—Mailavaram, Kapileswaram and Anavaratham Pillai's estate—the entries in column 8 of Statement No. I appended to the report are incorrect if the age of the wards is correctly given in column 7.

3. The financial condition of the five estates restored to their proprietors is reviewed in paragraphs 3—7 of the Court's report. In the case of the Chinna Kimedi and Urlam estates, which were in the hands of the Court for over ten years, the current demand showed a considerable fall for which explanation has been called for from the Collector. In the same estates, debts were paid off to the extent of Rs. 60,000 and Rs. 931, while the amount spent on irrigation works and other permanent improvements aggregated Rs. 72,845 and Rs. 62,816 respectively. As regards Ramnád, which continued for a period of 16 years and 8 months under the Court's management, the current

demand rose from Rs. 6,60,702 to Rs. 8,84,121, or by 33·8 per cent., while debts and arrears of peishkush, amounting to Rs. 25,84,892, were paid off and Rs. 9,25,294 were expended on irrigation works and other permanent improvements. The cash balance to the credit of the estate rose from Rs. 6,499 at the time of its assumption to Rs. 3,51,261 at the date of its restoration. The condition of the other two estates, though not equally prosperous, was nevertheless creditable to the Court's management. The educational attainments of the Ramnád wards were good, the elder having passed the First in Arts examination and the younger the Matriculation examination of the Madras University. On the other hand the progress made by the wards of Chinna Kimedi and Chitticherla was unsatisfactory.

4. As in the previous year, 34 estates were held on zemindari tenure, while the rest consisted of ryotwari lands. The number and tenures of the villages in the zemindari estates are shown in columns 3—7 of Statement No. II-A and are reviewed in paragraph 11 of the report and call for no remarks. It is observed from the closing sentence of this paragraph that though the form of the statement has been revised, the revision of the classification of the villages suggested in paragraph 4 of G.O., dated 15th August 1890, No. 662, has not yet been carried out.

5. The details of holdings and cultivation commented on in paragraphs 12 and 13 of the report do not call for any special remark. The extent of holdings shown in column 11 of Statement No. II-A excludes the area rented to middlemen (*vide* paragraph 4 of the Court's Proceedings recorded in G.O., dated 19th May 1891, No. 2966, Mis.) and from the closing sentence of paragraph 12 of the report, it appears that inam or rent free lands also are excluded from the holdings which, therefore, refer only to the area cultivated on ryotwari or joint-rent tenure. Comparing the area shown in column 11 of Statement No. II-A with that in column 7 of Statement No. II-B, it is observed that in a few cases the two sets of figures differ to some extent, but no explanation of the discrepancy is furnished either in the Court's report or in those of Collectors'. The Court is requested to report on the point.

6. The gross demand of the estates amounted to Rs. 19,22,818, of which Rs. 33,324 were remitted, leaving a net demand of Rs. 18,89,494. Excluding the estates which were not under management in both faslis, the net demand in fasli 1299 (1889–90) aggregated Rs. 18,07,058 against Rs. 15,00,651 in the previous fasli. The increase of Rs. 3,06,407 is ascribed to increased cultivation and to the absence of the heavy remissions granted in the previous year.

7. The following abstract shows the state of collections :—

—	Demand.	Collections and remissions.	Balance.	Percentage of balance to demand.		
				Fasli 1297.	Fasli 1298.	Fasli 1299.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.			
Arrears	13,21,614	4,06,966	9,14,648	65·3	62·2	69·2
Current	* 18,89,638	14,26,460	4,63,178	18·2	23·3	24·5
Total ..	32,11,252	† 18,33,426	13,77,826	50·1	37·5	42·9

* There is a difference of Rs. 144 between this figure and that shown in paragraph 6 which has not been explained (*vide* note on the margin of paragraph 19 of the report).

† Exclusive of excess collection of Rs. 12 in the Mailavaram estate.

The arrear collections were unsatisfactory. Deducting the collections and the amounts written off the accounts since the close of the fasli under report and deducting also the sums reported to be irrecoverable, the balance exceeded 25 per cent. of the demand in 30 estates. It does not appear whether the special reports suggested in paragraph 7 of the previous year's review have been called for. The current collections were more satisfactory though there was a falling off as compared with the two previous years. Nearly a third of the balance appertained to the Parlákimedi estate which has since been handed over to the proprietor. Excluding this amount and also the subsequent collections and remissions, the balance is reduced to Rs. 1,86,181 or 12·4 per cent. of the demand—a result which may be regarded as fairly satisfactory.

8. The total cash receipts of the year aggregated Rs. 25,81,570 and the total charges Rs. 25,74,594. Excluding the unusual items marginally noted, the receipts and charges amounted to Rs. 23,18,650 and Rs. 19,77,797 respectively. In paragraph 22 of the report, the charges of the year are compared with those of the previous year, but the figures include investments of savings in land or Government paper and sums paid in liquidation of debts. It is desirable, as pointed out in paragraph 8 of the last year's review, that these items should be excluded before making this comparison.

		Rs.	
Total receipts			25,81,570
Deduct—		Rs.	
Debts recovered ..		1,09,479	
Amount borrowed ..		34,000	
Sale-proceeds of property		1,19,441	
			2,62,920
Net receipts ..			23,18,650
Total charges			25,74,594
Deduct—			
Debts repaid ..	2,42,929		
Amount invested in Government promissory notes ..	3,21,000		
Amount invested in land and houses as per statement in paragraph 21 of the report	32,868		
			5,96,797
Net charges ..			19,77,797

9. In paragraph 23 of the report the Court works out the percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to the receipts, or, in other words, the cost of management; but in doing so has over-deducted Rs. 15,000 under debts. This, however, does not affect the result. Taking all the estates together the cost of management was 8·3 per cent. of the receipts which compares favorably with that in the previous year, viz., 9·6 per cent. As regards individual estates, the cost exceeded 12 per cent. in the 13 estates enumerated in paragraph 23 of the report and in Palayavanam and Neliyalam which appear to have been omitted by mistake. Comparing these 15 estates with the 12 estates specially noticed in paragraph 9 of the last year's review, it will be observed that in 8 estates—Bodogodo, Appikonda, Lakkavaram, Gandharvakóta, Sáptúr, Nelliyalam, Puttamma's estate and Bimbalam—the cost of management continues to be high. The Court will be requested to state whether the reports suggested by Government have been received from the Collectors concerned.

10. The expenditure on public works during the last three years was as shown below :—

Items.	Fasli 1297 (1887–88).	Fasli 1298 (1888–89).	Fasli 1299 (1889–90).
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1. Irrigation works	1,60,463	92,579	67,565
2. Buildings	33,914	33,120	29,762
3. Communications	2,640	2,886	25,211
4. Expenditure for which no details have been furnished	5,370	1,30,709	283
5. Cost of supervision	17,175	21,424	14,844
Total ..	2,19,562	2,80,718	1,37,665

The expenditure in fasli 1299 (1889–90) was nearly 7 per cent. of the total charges excluding repayment of debts and investment in lands and Government promissory notes and compares unfavorably with the proportion obtained in the previous year, viz., 11 per cent. But this result was due to the handing over of the three large estates of Ramnád, Urlam and Chinna Kimedi. In eleven estates, the public works in which were entrusted to the Public Works Department, the original grant amounted to Rs. 1,79,331, of which Rs. 1,12,028 were surrendered chiefly owing to the opening of relief works in the Ganjam district which required the concentrated attention of the executive staff till November 1889. It is satisfactory to note that the revised allotment was worked up to and in some cases exceeded by the outlay. It is presumed from a comparison of the expenditure incurred by the Public Works Department

with that shown in column 9 of enclosure A to Statement No. IV that the Public Works Department attended only to the more important works, the remainder being carried out under the orders of Collectors. If this be so, the outlay by the Public Works Department in Parlákimedi and Jarada should be less and not more than that shown in the appendix. The Court is requested to explain the discrepancy. In Kangundi, in which it is presumed that the Public Works continued under the control of the District Board Engineer, 86·2 per cent. of the allotment was expended during the year. In the remaining estates the public works were under the charge of Collectors; in several of these the expenditure fell far short of the allotments.

	Outlay by Public Works Department.	As shown in enclosure A to Statement No. IV.
	RS.	RS.
Parlákimedi	45,321	41,847
Jarada	3,469	1,797

11. With some exceptions, the educational progress of the wards appears to have been, on the whole, fair.

12. The consolidated report on the subject of alienations in wards' estates has since been received and will be separately reviewed.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Court of Wards.
Copy to the Public Works Department.

Exd. S. J. Pereira.

70 Copies.

REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION

OF THE

ESTATES UNDER THE COURT OF WARDS

IN THE

MADRAS PRESIDENCY

FOR FASLI 1299 (1889-90).

MADRAS:
PRINTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRESS.

1891.