

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 12
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் - 10 - 90
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/5

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

(4)

அரசாணை எண். 2633 அச்சகம்
 மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். பலவகை

நாள் : 1 - 10 - 1891 198.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

6 Sheets

Case 10010

24

I forward herewith
the previous papers case
for light - 25/1

2. In the last para
of L.O. of 7.5.89, the
Govt. 260. 89, the Govt.
declined to make any
additional appts. from
the Class on the ground

that the students would
get as many appts.
as before, only late.

3. In L.O. of 28.1.91
the Govt. 71. 89, the Govt.
adhered to their previous
decision.

4. The last mentioned
L.O. was based on a
petition from the same
man who now appeals,
V. J. Srinivasa Sanyal,
who makes no mention
of that order in his
present petition.

5. Our record is not
very complete. We
have not for the D.P.S.

letter on which the order
was based.

6. Consequent on the
extension of the College
theoretical course from
two to three years, no
appl. to the Engineer school
as the end of
was made in 1887, &

therefore, with the sanction
of the Govt. of India,
two appls. were given to
the school which completed
the theoretical course
at the end of 1888.

The actual appls. were
however not made
until 1st Jan'y 1891, as
the two men who gave
the guaranteed appls. had
first to complete their
two years practical course.

7. The petitioner argues
that two appls. have
thus been lost to the
College, one at the end
of 1888 (or beginning of
1889), & another at
the end of 1889 (or
beginning of 1890).

8. The D.P.I. however
pointed out that each
batch would get

its guaranteed appls.,
only that the successful
students would come on
the Engineer school at
the end of the two years
practical course, instead
of the beginning of it.
Concurring with this view,
the Govt. passed orders
accordingly.

9. It will be sufficient
perhaps to refer the
petitioner to G.O. of
28.1.91 by 71 Sd.

C₂

23-9-91

W/
Submitted.

R.P.
25-11-91

To

His Excellency the Right Honourable
The Governor in Council
Fort St. George
Madras

Through The Chief Engineer of D.P.W.
Madras.

The humble petition of
V. T. Srinivasa Aiyengar, B.A., B.C.E., respectfully
sheweth —:

1. That he is a student of the
College of Engineering, Madras, now undergoing his
second year's practical training at Ch. I. Periyar,
Periyar, after passing the theoretical examination
for engineers held in December 1889; and he
prays that the Government may be pleased
to confer on him one of the appointments in the
Assistant-Engineer grade in the D.P.W. of this
presidency, which now remain unconferrd
owing to the introduction of the new curriculum
from January 1886, in recognition of the
principle recognised by the Government of
India, in its letter No. 2529, G., dated Fort,
William 21st December 1887.

2. That the course of in-
struction for Engineer students has been from 1886
extended from two to a period of five years;
the theoretical course comprises a period of three
years, while the practical one consists of a two years

years' Course, of which one is to be spent in the workshops and the other in places where large engineering works are in progress. $\frac{2}{3} \times \frac{1}{4}$

3. That the students who were first admitted under the new rules in the beginning of the year 1886 presented a petition to the Government one of their prayers being that an appointment in the Assistant Engineer grade of this presidency, which should have been given to the College had not the theoretical Course been extended to three years might be given to the College in addition to the usual guaranteed appointment. The Govt of India approved of the reasons stated therein and passed an order that under the circumstances explained it had no objection to the arrangement (vide letter 2529.G. dated Fort William 21st December 1887).

2529 G. dated
Fort William
21/12/87

97 W. Public
Works
11th June 88.

4. That the Govt of Madras accordingly in its order No. 97 W Public Works dated 11th June 1888, notified that two appointments in the Madras Engineering Establishment would be conferred on 1st April 1889, on two students of the Madras College of Engineering who stand highest in the A.C.E. Examination to be held in December 1888. But it was subsequently decided by the Government that the student Course extends over a period of five years (not of three years) and that the appointments would be given to them only in January after the satisfactory completion of their practical Course.

(P.Y.O)

5. That, Consequently, no appointments in the Engineer Establishment had been given to the College during the years 1889, 90 and 1888. The proceedings of the Govt. of India dated No. Fort William 13th December 1884, state that the annual recruitment from the Madras College of Engineering has been fixed at one, thus showing that it is prepared to give one appointment each year to the College. $\frac{2 \times 1}{1}$ Accordingly, to make good the loss to the College of the guaranteed appointments as shown before the Govt. of India in its letter No. 2529 G. dated Fort William 21st Dec. 87 sanctioned one more additional appointment to the previous batch of students under the impression that the Course is only three years, which is evident from its order "viz. that appointments are to be made in April 1889". Thus it seems evident that the principle recognised by the Govt. of India is to make good the loss of the guaranteed appointments to the College owing to the introduction of the new Curriculum, by distributing them to the College. Had the Govt. of India recognised the principle that no batch of students suffers since each batch gets its usual guaranteed appointments then there could have arisen no necessity for sanctioning one more appointment to the previous batch.

That the proceedings of the Govt. of India, no. 1443 G dated 13/12/1946 state that one appointment on the Eng. grade is to be given to the College Bach of

Again the order no. 2529 G. dated Fort William
21st Dec. 87. distinctly states "that it
approves of the reasons stated in ^{the} ~~the~~
~~petition~~ ^{order} viz, that one appointment which
should have been given to the College had
the old Curriculum been in force might be
given to the College, and that. Consequently
it has no objection for the present arrange-
ment". Now it has been settled beyond
doubt, that the Course of instruction for
Engineers is five years, not three years,
and that a student is eligible for holding
guaranteed appointments only after the
successful completion of the practical
Course as he obtains the diploma only then.
So two more appointments in the Engineer
Grade remain to be distributed. The
Govt. of India has recognised in its
letter no. 2529 G. that such appointments
might be given to the College.

Under the foregoing Circumstances
the petitioner therefore begs that the
Government will kindly bestow on him
one of the appointments in the Asst.
Engineer Grade of this Presidency in rec-
ognition of the principle recognised by
Govt of India in its letter no. 2529 G.
dated Fort William 21st Dec. 87

For which act of Kindness and
Concession the petitioner will, as in due
bound ever pray,

V. T. Srinivasa Sanyal
Engg. student cr. 1 Per
Peri

The attention of
 the petitioners
 is called to the order
 of the 28 January 1891,
 No 71, passed in the
 Educational Dept on
 a former application
 of his.

The order
 The Petitioners
 22-11-25-11 18/10
 18-11-28-11