

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 20

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 12.9.90

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/9

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

99

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

அரசாணை எண். — 2577 அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். பலவகை

நாள் : 24-9-1891 198 .

மடிக்கலோ கண்டபடி கையாளலோ கூடாது

10 Sheets

Chingapat Division Office
St. Thomas Mount

No 3039

Camp Padungab
3rd Sept 1891

From

C. H. B. Boston Inquire
Executive Engineer

To
The Superintending Engineer
Chingapat Division
V. Circa

Sir

With reference to your No 843 Ca
dated 31st July 1891, I have the honor to
state that famine operations were com-
menced in this Division in February 1891
and expenditure on the departmental
works during that month amounted to
Rs 1302. In my letter No 5227 dated 2nd
March 1891 to your address, I prominently
brought to your notice that departmental
works in a Division where everything
is usually done by contract, for which
class of work the present establishment
is no more than a deposite, was tending
to throw the current work of the Division
into

into arrears owing to the small number of clerks, and I therefore requested to obtain sanction of Government to employ an extra establishment as long as the famine lasted.

2. In references subsequently made by you in No. 209 Cu dated 5th March 1891 and No. A. dated 18th March 1891, I prominently brought to your notice again in my letters Nos. 5286 dated 5th March 91 and 5581 dated 21st March 1891, that considering the large number of works to be started under Departmental Agency and the check of long muster rolls and ten day and other reports that had to be kept, with the multiparious Correspondence connected therewith in the several sections of my office (Accounts, Correspondence and Drawing) I submitted for your information a statement of works then in progress with the Establishment employed for which sanction was required.

3. The following figures are given below

below to show the amount of expenditure on account of Famine Relief works incurred month by month from February 1891

a/s W

February	1891	Rs 1302 - 0 - 1
March	1891	Rs 3459 - 2 - 4
April	1891	Rs 7103 - 0 - 11
May	1891	Rs 8970 - 11 - 9
June	1891	Rs 13193 - 4 - 2

From the above figures it will be seen how rapid has been the monthly increase in expenditure on works under Departmental Agency. If the sanctioned additional establishment was necessary in the earlier months when the expenditure did not exceed Rs 8000. It is evidently inadequate now that the expenditure has risen to over Rs 13000.

4. With reference to latter part of para 2 of the Chief Engineer's letter to enable you to represent the state of work under Departmental Agency executed and under execution in the several Sub-Divisions viz Trivakore, Chingleput and

and I have appended below a statement giving complete information on the point referred to

No.	Name of work	Estimated Amount	Remarks
	Kara River Sub. D.		
1	Kadur Tank	3420	Completed
2	Making a diversion of the Kara River at Ponnani	6220	
3	Repairing and strengthening the Kara River Embankment in Ponnani Taluk	2500	
4	Uttukotta Tank	4600	
5	Clearing the supply channel of Vadamadurai Tank	4590	
6	Wortelligat River flood bank Raising & strengthening the above Vallur Aicut -	6000	
7	Kambakkam Tank. Raising & strengthening the bank and repairing the weir at	5340	
8	Inalur Tank	4910	
9	Santhavalur Tank	3000	
10	Anbur Tank	2940	
11	Paralayambakam Tank	1770	
12	Clearing the Uttukotta Tank supply channel	1710	

No.	Name of work	Estimated Amount	Remarks
13	Vadamadurai Tank. Repairing the bund of -	4820	25/3
14	Varadyapoliur Tank	650	
15	Arathur Tank	2460	
16	Palavakkam Tank	1800	Completed
17	Gummudipundi Tank	3460	
18	Attupakkam Tank	230	
19	Rettambedu Tank	3870	In progress
20	Pandur Tank	1590	
21	Kurinjigarai Tank	2800	
22	Sekkamanni Tank	1600	Do
23	Tirukantam Tank	4080	
24	Singaraik Tank	600	
25	Mopakkatti Tank	640	Do
26	Acharavakkam Tank	1800	
27	Tenpakkam Tank	1240	
28	Innampattur Tank	3820	Completed
29	Achiravakkam Tangal	1000	
30	Anur Tank	355	
31	Tenpakkam Tangal	690	Do
32	Ravatanallur Tank	840	
33	Inelmaruvattur Tank	840	

No.	Name of works	Estimated Amount	Remarks
34	Kilmaruvattur Tank	950	Completed
35	Madayathur Tank	Estimated and sanctioned	Do
36	Arapedu Tank	2540	Do
37	Sittanur Tank	3080	In progress
38	Puluppari Muthipakkam Tank	4900	Do
39	Tirumachi Tank	4266	Do
40	Inappadu Tank	3460	Do

5. From the above it will be seen that the entertainment of extra Establishment from 1st March 1891 was inevitable and without it the whole work of the Division would have fallen into arrears.

6. Having said so much as to the necessity of the entertainment of the temporary Establishment from 1st March 1891 it seems unnecessary to reiterate the fact of the continued employment of the temporary Establishment being indispensable for a further period of three months from 1st June 1891, which

period

period, moreover, will have drawn to a close by the end of current month; and I would, therefore, leave it to you to decide whether the temporary Establishment will not also be required for an additional term of three months i.e. until 30 November 1891. Considering the present failure of Monsoon and the growing desire of the Revenue Authorities to increase the number of works under Departmental Agency.

7. At first I applied for the entertainment of the following temporary Establishment for three months.

Divisional office

- 1 clerk for the Accounts Section at Rs 45
- 1 - do - Correspondence - do - 20
- 1 - do - do - 14

Sub-Divisional office Tiruvattur

- 1 clerk for the Sub-Divisional office at Rs 15 and I beg that the following Establishment may be sanctioned for three months more.

Divisional office

- 1 clerk for the Accounts Section at Rs 45
- 1 - do - Correspondence - do - 20 + 14

- Sub-Divisional office Trivallur
 1 Clerk for the Sub-Div. office on Rs 15
 1 additional Clerk for extra work on Rs 15

Sub-Divisional office Chingapah
 1 Clerk for the Sub-Divisional office on Rs 14
 Owing to our having at the instance of the Revenue Authorities largely increased both departmental works and works carried out under piece work system. I beg that the following additional Establishment may be sanctioned.

Divisional office

- 1 additional Clerk for the Correspondence Section ^{Jobs 20}
 1 Clerk for the Drawing Section on Rs 10
 Sub-Divisional office Mamb.
 1 Clerk for the Sub-Div. office on Rs 12 -

The usual proposition statement is herewith forwarded and I request that early sanction may be obtained.

I have the
 Signature of C. B. B. B. B.
 Assistant Engineer
 Chingapah.

P.T.O.

1.9.91

N. 421 W

AP/4

Forwarded to the Chief

Engineer P. W. Department with reference to his Memorandum No 3473C dated 28th July 1891.

2 There is no doubt that the entertainment of the temporary Clerks, under the pressure of work as represented by the Executive Engineer, in March 1891, was unavoidable. Though through want of sanction. Such a course was not regular.

3 I recommend that the sanction in G.O. No 1300 W. dated 12th May 1891, may be given retrospective effect from 1st March 1891 and extended to 31st August 1891 - and that ^{the} Establishment at now applied for in Column of the enclosed proposition statement may be sanctioned for two months from 1st September as relief work will certainly have to be continued till November.

Signature of Major R.
 Superintending Engineer

8 Sept 1891

Memo No 807 W 3 17.3.91 ✓
 Memo No 84730 8 15.7.91 ✓
 L. B. No 1300 W 8 12.8.91 ✓
 A. u 2023 W 13 20.7.91 ✓
 Memo No 85050 8 10.8.91 ✓
 907 W 83.4.91

From
 The Supy Engrs
 S. Circle

To
 The Chief Engineer
 P. to, D.

No 421 W.

No 2547 W 4 29.7.91

Dated 8 } September
 Recd 11 } 1891.

Temporary Est.
 - Establishment -
 Foramine Relief Works
 Ching Ching
 Forwarded with
 Remarks Copy of Ex.
 caption Engrs letter ex-
 plaining the circumstances
 under which the
 is required.

57/8

C. No. 9609

Note
 27/7

The Executive Engineer
 Chingleput Du entertained order
 on the 1st March 1891 in connection
 with Famine Relief Works in
 his Division and applied on the
 2nd March for sanction to the
 employment of the men. This
 application was forwarded by
 the Supy. Engineer I Circle
 on the 5th March 1891 and the
 Govt. declined sanction to the
 employment of the men vide G.O.
 No. 807 W of 19th March 1891. Although
 the establishment applied for
 was absolutely necessary, still
 when the proposal was disallowed
 by Govt. the Executive Engr
 should have at once dispensed
 with the services of the men
 whom he entertained without
 sanction and should have
 come up to Govt. with strong

representations to the effect that
he could not manage the office
without the extra establishment.
On the other hand the Executive
Engineer retained the services of
the men contrary to the orders of
Court. and when the employment
of the men for 3 months was
sanctioned on the 13th May 1891, he
disbursed the pay of the estab-
lishment for 3 months from 1st March
to 31st May 1891 without obtaining
the sanction of Court thereto and
applied for the continued employment
of the men for a further period of
3 months from 1st June 1891. The
Honorable Member having objected
to sanction the establishment
notwithstanding the recommendation
of the Chief Engineer, it is not
likely that he will allow
unnoticed the action of the
Executive Engineer in retaining
the men contrary to his orders.
The E. E. does not explain
his action in entertaining the
men from 1st March 1891 and

S/2

S/4

retaining their ^{men} services after receipt
of the order of Court declining sanction,
but simply explains the necessity
for the establishment. The S.E.
forwards this explanation with
the remark that the entertainment
of the men was unavoidable
owing to pressure of work though
such a course was irregular
through want of sanction. The
entertainment of the men was
no doubt irregular through
want of sanction, but retaining
their services on receipt of the
E. E. declining sanction was
disobedience of the orders of
Court. Orders are therefore sought
as to what notice should be
taken of the action of the E. E.

In the accompanying letter
the S.E. & Co. recommends
the following two proposals for
the sanction of Court.

- (1) That the sanction accorded
in E. E. No. 1300 W of 12th May 1891
may be given retrospective effect
from 1st March 1891 and extended
to 31st August 1891

(2) That sanction may be awarded to the employment till 31st October 1891 of the establishment formerly sanctioned and to the entertainment of additional clerks in the Divisional and Sub-Divisional offices from 1st September to 31st October 1891.

There is no other alternative than to sanction the first proposal as the men were actually employed and their pay already disbursed.

With reference to the second proposal it is submitted that it cannot be entirely sanctioned. The continued employment till 31st October 1891 of the men already entertained may be sanctioned, but there are no sufficient grounds to sanction the additional establishment applied for which appears to be excessive. Three clerks were first sanctioned for the Divisional Office and 3 cashiers were also allowed to make payments to Coolies. The

E. & Co. now applies for 2 other additional clerks for the Divisional Office. There are at present only 8 works in progress in the Division and even if more works are undertaken, the 3 clerks already sanctioned would be sufficient to cope with the work. Orders are therefore solicited as to whether the 2 additional clerks now applied for should be sanctioned or disallowed.

27/9

The E. & Co. applies for another additional clerk for the Trivellore Sub Div and 2 additional clerks, one for the Chingleput Sub Div and the other for Moudy Sub Div. From the statement furnished it appears that there are at present only 14 works in progress in the Trivellore Sub Div. Even if there are other works to be soon undertaken in the Sub Div, it is considered that the one additional clerk now employed will be sufficient to manage the work. As there

classified as Establishment,
Temporary.

003

16.9.91.

L

17.9

No. 2577W 24 Sept 1891

(10)

To The Deputy Engr. & Chief
" Examiner P. W. Newell
with proposition statement

480 2577

Subg. Obs 79.04

Hum 17.04

22.12.04

Cu

my