

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 6
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 12.90
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 100

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

(45)

P.W.D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். 2508 அச்சகம்
 மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். பலவகை

நாள் : 18 - 9 - 1891 198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

3. sheets

I.W. Department.

2508
1

Note for His Excellency the Governor.

When Mr. Boulton's letter No. 3003 of 14 October 1890 came up for disposal I went very carefully into the whole previous history of the case, being in fact anxious to find some ground on which the former decision could be reconsidered, but was unable to find any such ground.

2. I was Under Secretary to Government at the time the original lists were prepared, and know that these were considered with the greatest care by Col. Shaw-Stewart (the acting Chief Engineer) who examined into every case in person, and subsequently by General Sauthey, and I believe that almost as much care was given to them by the Duke of Buckingham.

who disposed personally of
all famine matters.

3. The Duke of Buckingham
was still in office when
Mr. Burlton's appeal of
the 6th January 1880 and
memorial of the 19th July
1880 were received, and
approved the orders (nos.
2794 of 6 Febry. and 2157 W
of 14 Septo. 1880) passed on them.

4. Mr. Burlton's request
having been ^{negatived} at a
time when the facts were
fresh in the memory of
the officials concerned, it
seems impossible to reopen
the question after a lapse
of ten years.

L

W. 11.9.91

I am afraid it is difficult to come to
any other conclusion, but

I can not make out from
the papers, that anything was ever
shown why Mr. Burlton's name
did not appear in the list prepared
by Col. Shaw-Stewart. The only
reason apparently ever given why
his case should not be re-considered
is that ^{because} his name did not appear
in the list, therefore his claim would
not be considered. There may have been
reasons for his name not appearing on
the list - but I cannot find them. and
if it should be the case of pure
inadvertence, it seems hard that he
should suffer. I should like to know
if there is anything to show why Mr.
Burlton's name was specially left out.

W
12 Sep 91

508/V P.W.D

Note for His Excellency
the Governor. —

No special reason
can be traced for
the absence of Mr.
Burlton's name from
the list because the
list itself was one of
officers who had done
specially good service;
there was therefore
no necessity for —
recording any reason
for the omission
of any particular
officer's name from
the list. This point
is noticed in the
note by the Under
Secretary (myself)
attached to G.O. No.
2157 W dated 14th Sept 80.

I have a distinct
recollection of more
than one case in
which claims to
inclusion in the list
were negatived on
the ground that
though the claimants
had done good
and satisfactory
service, it was not
of the special nature
which would justify
their inclusion.

If Mr. Burlton's
name had been
omitted by inadvertence
it is almost impossible
that the mistake
should not have been
corrected in 1880,
when the Duke of
Buckingham was still

Mr. Burlton is an
excellent officer,
and I for one should
be very glad if his
wishes in this matter
could be met; but
I am afraid it is
impossible to make
out a case which
would justify a
recommendation to
India on his behalf.

S
12.5

I am afraid that nothing can
be done.

W
14.12.91

B.N. 3395 W 19.12.90 rfiled

in office, or in 1884,
when Colonel Shaw-
Stewart, who as acting
Chief Engineer prepared
the original list, was
in office as Chief
Engineer.

25/3

It should be noted
that Mr. Burlton's
service in the Nellore
District ended in
February 1878, and
that the heaviest
portion of the famine
work was after that.
In the Northern Districts
it was earlier, but
in Nellore, Chingleput,
North Arcot and
Salem the worst
time was from
May to August 1878.
I was District Eng^r
of Salem at the time
and can speak from

#16

Mrs. Burlton's case

B.
From order

No. 2508 W & 18 Sept 1891

Recorded.

S
12.9

25/3

(3)

22/10/91

22/10/91