

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 6
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 14.9.90
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 647

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P. M. D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். 2455 அச்சகம்
 மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். பலவகை

நாள் : 14-9-1991 198.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

3. Sheets



No 1548
30-6-91

from
The Executive Engineer
Eastern Division Mysore
to
The Examiner
P.W. accounts
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to observe that deposit
agreements have to be taken from certain & the
Superintendents who have been made implead holders
Cash Securities as decided by the Chief
Engineer have either been or will be taken
from them.

2- The form no. 163 in which it is required
that the required agreements should be
taken provides for sureties whom the parties
concerned are not prepared to furnish
as they are small implead holders and
the Cash Security in itself would appear
enough.

3- This office is unable to determine which
part or parts of the form should be retained
and which should be discarded.

when getting it signed by each depositor.
I shall therefore feel obliged by
your filling in a form and returning it
for the guidance of this office with
reference to the Supt. A. Lakshminarayana
whose cash security is fixed at Rs 50
which he pays in 5 equal instalments.

I have

1st M Jopp

Et Eng. Secy

1st True copy

W Jopp
Executive Eng. Secy

Compd. R.

2nd Encl. 68. II 1258
28.8.91



J. S. Sherlock - Hubbard Esq.
Offg. Examiner P.W. accounts

24th 12th Madras

To The Executive Engineer

Thiruv. Eastern Division

No 4609. Madras 12th August 1891

Sir,
With reference to your letter no 1548
dated the 3rd July 1891. I have the honor
to state that as the form of agree-
ment of deposit (P.W. Form no 16.163) pro-
vides for two sureties, and as my own
opinion is that the interests of Govern-
ment would not be sufficiently safe-
guarded without these sureties, I would
suggest that the question of accepting
a bond in the sole name of the Sd. Supt.
Superintendents may be submitted for the
orders of Government (through the Insp.
Engineer and Circle), together with a
copy of this letter.

I have &c

1st Donald Calder,
Offg. Dy. Examiner
- Executive Eng. Secy Offg. Examiner P.W. acc.
Madras

2/609/1891

1st Encl. 655 H No 125846
29.8.91

From W. Jopp Esq. Executive Engineer,
Kishna Eastern Division to the Supt. Engineer,
2nd Circle dated 17th August 1891, No 2446.

2455
3

I have the honor at the request
of the Examiner P.W. Accounts to forward
Copies of two letters as noted below for
such action as you think fit. I think
that the Cash security given by the Delta
Superintendent is sufficient, & the additional
safeguards of Sureties is not necessary in
their Cases; where they hold only small
permanent advances of Government money.

1. This Office Rs $\frac{1528}{30.6.91}$ to the Examiner P.W.
2. Examiner's Rs $\frac{4609}{12.8.91}$ to the Supt. Engineer.

Incl: 2.
Copies of Letters

No 125846 dated 29th August 1891

Submitted to the Chief Engineer,
P.W. Department, with the remark
that the undersigned concurs with the
Division Officer that Sureties are not
required, & with the request that sanction
of Government may be obtained accordingly.

J. H. ...

Supt. Engineer
29.8.91

2095 c 8 13.6.91
3177 c 8 6.7.91

From

The Superintending Engineer
II Circle

No. 2455 Wd/14 Sept 1891

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W. Department,
Madras.

Wd.
9/9/91

Dated 9th August 1891

No. 1258 K.S.

Encl: 2 Copies
of letters.

On the recom-
mendation of the
Supt Engineer II Circle,
Cash Securities, without
Sureties, will be accepted
from Delta Superin-
tendents in the Kistna
Eastern division who
are imprest holders.

Delta Superintendents -
Submitting letter from Ex. Engr.
Kistna Eastern Div. Considering that
Securities by - are enough and that
additional sureties are not required
for the small advances entrusted to them

Wd.
7.9.91

S 8.7

(3)

S.E. II Circle
Esar P.W. Sects

9/9/91
21/9/91
20/9/91
18/9/91
17/9/91
16/9/91
15/9/91
14/9/91
13/9/91
12/9/91
11/9/91
10/9/91
9/9/91
8/9/91
7/9/91
6/9/91
5/9/91
4/9/91
3/9/91
2/9/91
1/9/91