

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 20
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 20th 1990
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 1000

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(108)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	<u>2208</u>	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	<u>14-8-1891</u>	<u>198</u>

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

10 Sheets

ஆவண. கா-1-18,008-15-8-87.

(7)

To

H. E. THE RIGHT HON'BLE

BARON BEILBY LAWLEY WENLOCK, G.C.I.E.,

Governor and President in Council,

FORT ST. GEORGE.

The humble petition of P. Subramany Aiyar, Engineer Subordinate Student.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

That the Petitioner is a passed Engineer Subordinate Student of the College of Engineering, Madras, and is now undergoing a practical course on works which is to be completed by the end of December 1891.

2. That in the year 1889, three students passed out of the College including the Petitioner, and three appointments as Overseer 3rd Grade had also been guaranteed under Madras P. W. D. Code para. 96-A as in the previous year.

3. That subsequent to the Examination of December 1890, in which four students passed out of the College, three from the Engineer Subordinate Class and the fourth an independent candidate who was one of the unsuccessful students of the Engineer Class of the previous year 1889, twelve vacancies for Overseer 3rd Grade occurred, and the scale of guaranteed appointments was therefore revised and fixed as follows :—

9 appointments (instead of 3 already guaranteed) for the batch of 1890.

2 instead of 3 guaranteed appointments for 1891.

4 instead of 3 guaranteed appointments for 1892.

In regulating these 12 vacancies, the claims of the Petitioner have been overlooked, as will be seen from the fact that, the number of appointments already fixed for the batch of 1891 having been reduced from 3 to 2, and that for 1892 increased by 1, irrespective of the number (3) of candidates passed from the *Engineer Subordinate Class*, (i.e. four guaranteed appointments against three successful students). The object of the Government therefore seems to have been to provide for the independent candidate alluded to in para. 3 above, as it was well aware that at the time the scale was revised only three candidates passed from the *Engineer Subordinate Class* of the College.

4. If this should be the intention of Government, the Petitioner begs leave to urge that his claims should be given preference to those of the independent candidate above referred to for the following reasons :—

(a) That the Petitioner should have secured the appointment guaranteed for the batch of 1891 had not Government transferred the same to that of 1892.

(b) He has had a special training as a Engineer Subordinate student, which the independent candidate referred to by him has not had, the latter being an unsuccessful student of the Engineer Class and his selection for the appointment being opposed to the intentions of Government that even the successful student of the Engineer Class should not be given guaranteed Overseer's post.

5. Under these circumstances, therefore, the Petitioner humbly craves that Your Excellency would be graciously pleased to reconsider his case and provide him with one of the four guaranteed appointments fixed for the batch of 1892, as the same is in excess of the number (3) of students passed from the Engineer Subordinate Class of the College.

For which act of justice and concession the Petitioner shall as in duty bound ever pray.

CHIDAMBARAM,
July 1891.

*He begs to remain
Your Excellency
Your Excellency's most obedient and
humble servant
P. Subramania aiyer*

22/8/✓
PETITION from

P. Subramania aiyer

Dated *July* 1891.

TRANSFERRED to the Chief Secretary to
Public Works Department
Government for disposal.

(By Order.)

J. S. S.
Private Secretary.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,

24th July 1891. }

Sr. B no 138108 18-1-86 ✓
 " " 876108 23-3-89 ✓
 Munsh 7202 29-8-90 ✓
 " 4855 24-11-90 ✓
 Sr. B no 2895 6108 3-11-90 ✓
 " " 1910 5-1-91 ✓
 Munsh 695 2 9-2-91 ✓
 Sr. B no 3644 9-2-91 ✓
 Munsh 2913 15-6-91 ✓
 Sr. B no 1170 27-4-91 ✓

(18) DEPARTMENT.

From
 THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
 TO THE RIGHT HON. THE GOVERNOR,
 To
 THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
 Public Works DEPARTMENT.

Dated 29th Dec 1891
 Recd. 4th Jan 1892

ENCLOSURE

Subject.

Transfers a petition from P. Subramaniam
 Esq. praying that he may be
 provided with one of the four
 guaranteed appointments from
 the batch of 1892

Q 12-8-91

Ohs 33-VII
 Dec 21 1891

8245 ✓

Ohs 33-VII
 Dec 21 1891
 Cus 8245

Petition from P. Subramaniam
 Esq., praying that he
 may be provided with
 a guaranteed appointment

2208
 83

The number of
 appointments originally
 guaranteed to the College
 Students was as follows:-
 3 to the batch which passed
 out of the College in Dec
 1888.
 3 to those who passed out
 in Dec 1889
 3 to those who passed out
 in Dec 1890.

These figures were
 subsequently altered
 as follows:-

6 to the batch of 1888
 2 to " " 1889
 4 to " " 1890.

Under No. 36445
 of 9-2-91 three addl. appes.
 were given to the batch
 of 1888 making nine
 in all.

Not proposed to

Sr. B. 710 138 W 18-1-86 ✓
 " " 276 W 13 23-3-89 ✓
 Munsie 720 D 24-6-90 ✓
 " 485 E 24-11-90 ✓
 Sr. B. 289 E 6 W 3-11-90 ✓
 " 17 W 5-1-91 ✓
 Munsie 695 E 9-2-91 ✓
 Sr. B. 364 W 9-2-91 ✓
 Munsie 2913 E 15-6-91 ✓
 Sr. B. 1170 W 27-4-91 ✓

we only two apply to the
 batch of 1889 was made
 at the suggestion of Capt
 Love, who stated in his
 R/o note attached to
 memo by 720 D 27-2-91
 that only two men left
 the college at the end of
 1889. Capt. Love evidently
 did not take into account
 the third man who passed
 as an independent can-
 didate.

The petitioner P.
 Subramania Aiyar failed
 in the final class exam.
 of Decr 1888, & he went
 up as an independent
 candidate in Decr 1889.
 He went through the whole
 short course in 1890,
 & is now undergoing
 the second year of practical
 training on works.

He prays that the no.
 of appls. originally guaranteed
 to the batch of 1889, viz
 three, may be adhered
 to so that he may be
 provided for.

213-8-91

He submitted a
 similar petition in March
 last, which was refused
 in R/o by 1170 W 27-4-91

For order
 C. 2208/10

7-8-91

The Petitioner
 prays to stay in his
 petition to the R.

That he himself
 is an independent
 candidate —

The case quoted
 by the R/o in para
 3 of his R/o is not
 in accordance with
 his case — for
 this man failed to
 pass in the Engineer
 class, but passed
 the next year in the
 Engineer Subordinate

of an independent candidate

Sr. B. no 138108 18.1.86 ✓
 " " 876103 23.3.87 ✓
 Munro 7202 29.8.90 ✓
 " 4855 24.11.90 ✓
 Sr. B. no 2895.6103 3.11.90 ✓
 " " 1910 5.1.91 ✓
 Munro 695 9.2.91 ✓
 Sr. B. no 3644 9.2.91 ✓
 Munro 2913 15.6.91 ✓
 Sr. B. no 1170 27.4.91 ✓

The ~~only~~ reason
 that I consider the
 Pelt. should not
 be given a guaranteed
 post is that there
 are 2 men who
 passed in 1888 at
 the exam. at which
 the Pelt. failed, who
 are now only holding
 temporary appointments.
 I think both of these
 men should be
 appointed permanent
 before the Pelt.

There are now
 7 vacancies in the
 Permanent Establishment
 of the Upper Subordinate
 List, and under
 present arrangements
 2 of these will be
 filled up in Jan. 92.

There are 4 permanent
 appointments for Jan. 93,
 for which should be
 considered among those who
 be 5 vacancies. O.B.

Q 13.8.91

The two men of
 the College passed
 1888 who were not
 provided with permanent
 appointments at the
 beginning of 1891 are
 J. Venkatarama Aiyar
 P. Siva Subrahmanya Pillai

Both these men
 are now temporary
 officers on Rs 60,
 the former in N. and
 the latter in N. and W.

Of the fourteen men
 who passed the theoretical
 exam. in 1888, two appear
 to have failed to com-
 plete the three practical
 courses, of the College
 Calendar says nothing
 about them.

9 civil students obtained
 permanent over-super-
 1 military student (Private
 Price) obtained a per-
 manent post as 1st grade
 over-super.
 2 men obtained temporary posts.

C.

27/8/91

82. B no 13810 of 18.1.86 ✓
 " " 87610 of 23.3.89 ✓
 Mansie 7202 of 27.8.90 ✓
 " 4853 of 24.11.90 ✓
 B no 2895.610 of 3.11.90 ✓
 " " 1911 of 5.1.91 ✓
 Mansie 695 of 9.2.91 ✓
 B no 364 of 9.2.91 ✓
 Mansie 2913 of 15.6.91 ✓
 82. B no 1170 of 27.4.91 ✓

I also
 know many
 vacancies are
 there now in the
 Eng. Intendments
 List.

over
 1.8.91

But

Including the
 vacancy caused by
 the death of Mr J.C.
 Campbell (which case
 has not yet been finally
 reported) there are
 * seven vacancies.

Can

of 1891

Against 4 guaranteed
 appointments in 1892?

over
 1.8.

But Against 2 appointments
 guaranteed on 1. Jan 1892 &
 4 appts. guaranteed on 1. Jan
 1893.

2.13.8.91

So only

2208/2

note.

2208/2

The petition is not
 "a formal statement of
 the Evening College"

but a statement of the
 position of the fund
 committee in 1888 and
 afterwards and of the
 fund as an independent
 institution in 1889.

It is not considered
 that the Evening College
 is one of the principal
 appointments, but the
 number of vacancies is
 in excess of the number
 of principal appointments
 for the next two years,
 and it is possible that
 some extra appointments
 may be made. Should
 this be the case the
 petitioners claims will
 receive better treatment together
 with those of other
 applicants.

S. W. D.

Sr. 1610 1281W 18.1.86 ✓
 " 876W 13.3.89 ✓
 Munshi 7202 27.8.90 ✓
 " 4855 24.11.90 ✓
 Sr. 1610 2895.6W 3.11.90 ✓
 " 19W 5.1.91 ✓
 Munshi 695 9.2.91 ✓
 Sr. 1610 3644 9.2.91 ✓
 Munshi 2913 15.6.91 ✓
 Sr. 1610 1170W 27.4.91 ✓

2208
 /
 X
 9
 Note.

P. Subrahmanya Aiyar
 appeared for the museum
 test as a College student
 in 1888 and failed to pass.
 In 1889 he appeared for
 the examination as an
 independent candidate
 and passed and is now
 undergoing the year
 practical training in
 works.

P. Subrahmanya Aiyar
 now desires that Government
 should increase the guaranteed
 appointments of 1892 from
 2 to 3 so as to give him
 an appointment also.

Govt. declined to
 guarantee an appoint-
 ment to R. Srinivasa Raghavan
 Aiyar another student
 who

0/8
 R 13-8-91

who took up a wharf
Superintendent's post
and after losing it desired
to go through his
practical training
(G.O. No. 3534 dated 7 July 1899).

Two of the men of
the vessel which passed
in 1888 have not
been provided with
permanent appointments
and it would be hard
on them if P. Subrahmanyan
Aiyar who failed in
1888 is provided with
a permanent appoint-
ment before them.

M.
10.4

P. W. S.

Read the folly:—

Petition from P. Subrahmanyan Aiyar, dated
— July 91.

2208
9/8

For orders

The petitioner is
not "a passed student
of the Engineering
College" but a student
who failed at the final
examination in 1888 and
afterwards went up
and passed as an
independent candidate
in 1889.

It is not considered
that he has any —
claim to one of the
guaranteed appointments,
but the number of
vacancies is in excess
of the number of
guaranteed appointments
for the next two
years, and it is
possible that some
extra appointments

the

may be made. Should
this be the case
the petitioner's claims
will receive attention
together with those
of other applicants

FOR ORDERS.

Approved *L*
W. *W.*
to say. *W. D.*

2208
13/9

Obs 33. vii.
Hm 10. vii.

B
From records

No 2208 W

2908
10

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

11th August 1891

Read the following

Petition

Letter from P. Subramanya aiyar dated 27 July 1891

It is observed that the
petitioner is not "a passed
student of the College of
Engineering," but a student
who failed at the final exami-
-nation in 1888 and afterwards
went up and passed as an
independent candidate in
1889. It is not considered that
he has any claim to one of the
guaranteed appointments, but
should there be additional
vacancies, the petitioner's
claims

claims will receive
attention together with
those of other applicants

S

W

10

103031W

20

The Petitioner.

2/15/8

(10)

2/15/8

11-viii

2/15/8