(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 36

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் Oct 1990

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(91)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். / மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 2186

அச்சகம் / பலவகை

நாள் : 12-8-1891 ~~198~~

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

18 Sheets

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

(C. No. 63.)

2186/1

Division Madura Summary of Inspection Notes dated 4th May 1891.

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
1	5	Dues receivable in the Division on behalf of Government are paid by debtors direct to the Executive Engineer instead of into the nearest treasury or sub treasury.	(1602 A. S.O. 1277 of 1890)	The Executive Engineer might be asked to explain why the code rule is not observed and to state the difficulties, if any, in the observance of the rule.
2	6	Failure to submit Imprest account to Executive Engineer for recoupment at the end of the month	1737.	The Executive Engineer should insist on the submission of the Imprest accounts at the end of the month and see that the code rule is carried out.
3	7 & 8	Failure to enforce check in Divisional office of claims of contractors previous to payment by Sub Divisional Officer	(1621 A II & III)	The Executive Engineer might be asked to explain why the rule in regard to prior check of claims has not received attention.
4	8	Rushing expenditure at end of the year	(1256 (S.O. No 362 of 1888)	Brought to the notice of Government. It will be seen from the figures given by Inspecting Officer that outlay in March was only a little less than the aggregate outlay of the four preceding months.

Division Madura Summary of Inspection Notes dated 4th May 1891

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
5	9	Failure to introduce rules in appendix Z *in re* cash transactions of the Division	Appendix Z	As separate report on the working of rules in appendix Z will be made when a reasonable number of divisions has been inspected. In the meantime the Executive Engineer might be asked to give effect to these rules regarding the cash transactions of the Division bringing to notice difficulties in the usual course.
6	10	Irregular payment on nominal muster rolls prior to their being passed for payment by Executive Engineer or Sub Divisional Officer	1750	The Executive Engineer should explain the non-observance of the rule and should report whether rule is now being attended to.
7	11	Failure to produce contract agreements owing to their having been irregularly sent to Sub Divisional Officer for record	868.I	The Divisional office is the recognised place of record of all important documents, contract agreements including The Executive Engineer might be asked to obtain the agreements at once and report accordingly.

400—13-8-90.

(C. No. 93.)

Division Madura Summary of Inspection Notes dated 4th May 1891.

2186/2

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
8	15	Works given on contracts before the execution of contract documents	1353	The Executive Engineer should explain the infringement of code rule. The non-observance of this rule might lead to difficulties. The Executive Engineer should therefore endeavour to adhere to this rule strictly in all cases in future.
9	16.	Failure to show as advances payments made to, for materials at site, contractors for finished works	1868	The procedure seems objectionable and it is likely to lead to over payments and should, it is thought, therefore be avoided in future.
10	19.	Failure to return measurement books to Divisional office for final record	1756.	A separate report on the subject will be submitted.
11	20.	Failure to cancel entries in measurement books by suitable endorsements.	1756 Note I	The Executive Engineer should explain the non-observance of the rule and should report whether the rule is now being attended to.
12	21	Failure to compare measurement sheets with measurement books when the latter are received for final record in Divisional office.	1818 Note II 710 of 1889.	A percentage of measurement sheets should at the discretion of the Superintending Engineer or Executive Engineer be checked. The Executive Engine should see that this rule is attended to and report accordingly.

Division *Madura* Summary of Inspection Notes dated *4th May 1891.*

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
13	22	Failure to open out and maintain service books in two cases.	864.	Service books should be opened out at once and report made accordingly.
14	23	Certificates of annual verification not signed by the Executive Engineer	864 B	If the rule in code para 864, that every entry in a service book should be attested at the time by the head of office whose orders have made it necessary, had been attended to, there would apparently have been no difficulty in Executive Engineer's certifying to the effect that he has personally verified service from the date of last endorsement to the date of new endorsement. The Executive Engineer might be asked to attend to the rules and repor after he has signed necessary certificates.
15	24	Failure to maintain office copies of completion reports in Executive Engineer's Office.		There is no rule requiring Executive Engineer to keep office copies of Completion Reports, but the usual practice is to keep them, and it is difficult to understand how they can conveniently be dispensed wit

---

Division *Madura* Summary of Inspection Notes dated *4th May 1891.*

2186/3

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
				The instructions issued (with the concurrence of the Chief Engineer P. W. Department) regarding the filing of documents, can only be regarded as instructions for filing copies of Completion Reports when such copies are kept. It is for Government to say whether the keeping of office copies of Completion Reports is to be optional or compulsory.
16	24 to 27.	Accounts not filed in the order given in the Examiner Circular No. 35 of 1889.		It is highly desirable that the procedure in the matter should be as uniform as possible, and the Executive Engineer might it is thought, be asked to observe the instructions issued with the concurrence of the Chief Engineer P. W. Department, or to bring to notice (separately) any point in which the instructions are considered inconvenient with a view to consideration of desirable modifications.
17.	28.	Failure to return counterfoils of completed cheque books to Divisional Office for final record	859.	The Executive Engineer might now be asked to see that the counterfoils of completed cheques now in the Sub Divisional Offices are returned to Divisional Office for safe custody. This matter was noticed at the last inspection

Division Madura Summary of Inspection Notes dated 4th May 1891.

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
				but apparently no attention has been given to it.

Madras
4th June 1891

Major S.C.
Examiner of P.W. Accounts,
Madras.

C.A.
4/6.
R 4/6
(Encina)

Division Summary of Inspection Notes dated

2188/4

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.

Division Summary of Inspection Notes dated

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.

SUPG: ENGINEER'S OFFICE No. 1/2186-M 15 JUN 91 P.W.D. SIXTH CIRCLE.

No. 4153 11 JUL 91

SUPG: ENGINEER'S OFFICE No. 1/306-M 29 JUN 91 P.W.D. SIXTH CIRCLE.

P.W.

400—13-8-90.

Endo No 1/5 SEG No $\frac{337 \text{md}}{4\text{-}7\text{-}91}$

2186/3

Notes of Inspection by Mr. M. H. Gaynor, Officiating Deputy Examiner of the office of the Executive Engineer Madura Division on the 29th and 30th of April and 1st of May 1891.

Mr. W. Hughes Executive Engineer 1st Grade continues in charge of the Division, and M. R. Ry. K. S. Kodandarama ~~Ayyangar~~ Aiyar, Accountant 4th Grade temporary rank, is still the Accountant.

2. The Executive Engineer intimated that he was not able to remain in Madura for the inspection in consequence of some important work in hand, inspection of which he could not postpone.

3. The office was last inspected by an account officer on the 8th–10th January 1890 See G.O. No. 811 W dated 12th March 1890.

4 The following table gives the names of Sub Divisions with the head quarters names and rank of officers in charge, and names and rank of imprest holders under them

Name of Sub Division	Head Quarter of Sub Division	Name and Rank of Sub Division Officer	Whether Sub Division Officer has drawing account or is imprest holder	Name and rank of Imprest holders under Executive Engineer and Sub Division Officer	Amount of Imprest
Imprest holders directly under Executive Engineer				M.R.R. V. Aravamuthu Iyengar Overseer No I Party Tank Restoration Scheme	50.
				M.R.R. G. Muthusawmy Aiyar Head Clerk Division Office	15.
				M. Subbaroya aiyar aiyangar Head Clerk No I Party Tank Restoration Scheme	10.
Head Quarter	Madura	Abdul Karim Khan Sahib Bahadur Sub Engineer	Drawing Account	M.R.R. C. Subramania Aiyar Overseer	25.
				G. H. Narrainasawmy Mudeliar Sub Divisional Clerk	10.
Periyakulam	Uthamapalium	Sub Conductor R. W. Mac Alister	Do	Mr. I. B. Rodrigues Overseer	25
				M.R.R. N. Nadesawmy Moodr Supervisor	20.
Dindigal	Dindigal	Sergeant B. O. Reynalds	Do	M.R.R. G. Gnanasambantham Pillai Overseer	50.
				" M. Ramakristna Aiyar Overseer	50.
				" C. S. Gopalsawmy Iyengar Do	50
				A. M. Viziarengam Moodeliar Sub Divisional Clerk	10.

5. Dues receivable in this Division on behalf of Government are paid by debtors directly to Executive Engineer or to his Subordinates, and not into the nearest treasury or Sub treasury as required by Code para 1602 A.

The following are instances of Such payments:—

Paid to Executive Engineer

19th February 1891. Security deposit of Contractor Savarimoothoo Moodeliar for 117 Kannanore Periyakulam and 118 Chinnakulam tanks and 119 Kakkulam ______ Rs 90.

27th April 1891 ____ Do ____ of ____ Do __ for constructing a bridge over the Surilyaur at Veerapandi ______ Rs 690.

Paid to Sub Divisional Officer Periyakulam

3rd April 1891. Security deposits of Contractors Veerabadra Pillai and Maya Thaven for Sattangudi tank Improvements ______ Rs 113.

7th April 1891. Security deposits of contractor Thanna Thaven for four works ______ Rs 31.

__ Do __ of Contractor Bas Kara Aiyar for two works ______ Rs 32.

__ Do __ of Contractor Venkatarama Pillai for three works ______ Rs 79.

6. Imprest holders do not observe the rule in code para 1737 which requires them to submit their accounts about the end of each month, the result is that the cash transactions of a month are not recorded in the divisional cash accounts for that month. The actual amount paid by four imprest holders in February 1891 and not brought to account till March 1891 was about Rs 102. The amount involved is small, the imprests in this division being for very small amounts, Still it seems desirable that the rule ~~and~~ should be observed.

7. The check in divisional office of claims of contractors previous to payment by Sub Divisional Officer, as required by Code para 1621 A (II and III) is not strictly enforced. This is particularly observable in respect of claims presented to Sub Division Officer for payment in March 1891, of which there were paid without such previous check

In head quarter Sub Division 12 bills aggregating Rs 5,080

In Periyakulam Sub Division 34 bills aggregating Rs 18047.

2186/7

8. The difficulty of securing previous check in the case of bills for March 1891 has arisen apparently in consequence of the tendency to push expenditure in that month. The following table shows the disproportion between payments made for Works and Repairs in March 1891 and payments made in the five months immediately preceding.

Month.	Executive Engineer	Head Quarter Sub Division	Dindigul Sub Division	Periyakulam Sub Division	Total.
	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs.
October 1890	740	2,560	10,666	8096	22,062
November 1890	603	2822	4,012	2,453	9,890
December 1890	4,759	2,446	1,935	1,250	10,390
91	1,486	3,760	5,026	3328	13,600
891	3,198	5,537	3,223	3,328	15,286
91	2,639	15,127	13,881	14,550	46,197

9. The rules laid down in Appendix Z, relating to the conduct of cash transactions of divisional offices have not been adopted in this division pending orders on reference made by Executive Engineer to Superintending Engineer. The accountant was unable to point out where the "considerable increase"

of

of work of Division Office referred to in Executive Engineer's letter actually comes in.

10. The rule in code para 1750 requiring that nominal muster rolls should be passed for payment by the Executive Engineer or Sub Divisional Officer before payment is actually made is not carefully observed. The following are instances among others of inattention to the rule in which there was no pay order at all, or in which payments were actually made before date of ~~payment~~ pay order

Name of work	Period of Muster Roll	Date of pay order	Date of payment
Cutting a rough trace from Kodaikanal to Pillar Rock	18 July 1890	.	18 July 1890.
Repairing Periyakulam tank	10 to 18 August 1890	.	22 August 1890
Repairing No 10 Iadu anicut	19 to 27 August 1890	.	29 August 1890
Repairs to Periyakulam tank	1 to 7 September 1890	.	20 September 1890
Raising and strengthening Bund of No 16 Thadakulam tank	9 to 15 August 1890	8th Octr 1890	29 September 1890
Repairs to Taluq Cutchery Dindigul	15 to 22d September 1890	16th Octr 1890	14 October 1890
Raising and strengthening the bund and repairing surplus escape of No 9 Kavandankulam tank	1 to 7 April 1890	28 April 1890	13 April 1890.
Do	24 to 31 March 1890	28 April 1890	13. April 1890.
Emergent Repairs to Paraikulam tank	10 to 20 November 1890	30 November 1890	20 November 1890

The neglect of rule is however not habitual.

Vaigai Bridge work Estimate Rs 3,21,460.

11. The correctness of the rates allowed to contractors in making final payments on account of this work could not be checked for want of

0-10-8
2-13-0
2-13-0
2-3-0
17-5-6
4-13-8
5-12-0
12-4-0
16-3-7

the contract agreements which are said to be recorded in the Sub Divisional Office. This arrangement is not in accordance with Code para 858 A. which requires such records to be kept permanently in Divisional Office. The irregularities noticed in para 15 of last report in respect of the accounts of this work have all been remedied.

12 (1) Reconstructing 2 sluices and constructing two weirs to Mamadimangalam tank Estimate Rs 2240.

(2) Repairs to Mamadimangalam tank Estimate Rs 2135.

(3) Constructing a weir to Gulnankulam tank Estimate Rs 926.

In the case of these works, the Register of works (for February 1891 in the case of 1 and 2 and for March 1891 in the case of 3) had not been posted, for want of vouchers (contract certificates) showing value of work done, &c. Before completion of the inspection the vouchers for (1) and (2) were received and Register of works completed.

13. Closing breaches in Manavarooth tank (Estimate not sanctioned) Expenditure to the extent of Rs 284-14-4 incurred on the above work has not been posted in the Register of works

14. Improvements to Tirumul Naick's Palace Madura Estimate submitted for sanction Rs 80800. Expenditure to the extent of Rs 536-6-6 was incurred on account of the above work, but outlay was not distributed in the Register of works under the several items of the Estimate, on the ground that the Estimate has not yet been sanctioned. It seems desirable (to prevent trouble hereafter) that charges incurred should be allocated at once, to the items of estimate as submitted for sanction.

15. (1) Extending and Improving the Uthamut anicut Estimate Rs 27,400/- Contractor M. R. Mooniappa Pillai.

(2) Improvements to the Kattur anicut Estimate Rs 9000 contractor M. L. Muniappa Pillai.

(3) Improvements to the Vaidyoor tank, Estimate Rs 3910, Contractor V. Panniah Pillai

In the case of the above works contracts were given out without previous preparation of the contract documents required by code para 1353. It is understood however that Sub Divisional Officers have been requested to get the contractors concerned to execute agreements

16. Payments are ~~ofte~~ often made to contractors for materials brought by them to site of work, notwithstanding that the contracts in each case are for finished work. In the bills for these works, such items are recorded as separate "items of work," and they are not shown separately as advances. In the month of March 1891, instances of such payments were noticed in the following cases:

Name of work	Estimate Rs	Name of contractor	Reference to contract certificate	Amount paid on account of materials		
(1) Improvements to Kattur Anicut	9000	Muniappa Pillai	Nos 2 & 3 of March 1891	731	15	1.
(2) Improvements to Uttamut Anicut	27,400	Do	3 to 5 of do	611	3	8
(3) Constructing Sub Registrar's office at Paramakudy	3,220	M. S. Olaganatha Pillai	1 of March 1891	491	10	8.
(4) Constructing a Sub Registrar's office at Devikatta	3,130	Palam Kathen	2 of do	499	-	7.
(5) Improvements to Sub Magistrate's Cutcherry and Sub Jail Madura	5790	Syed Mahomed Ibrahim Sahib	2 of do	796	"	11.
(6) Constructing a Sub Magistrate's office with outhouses and Sub Jail at Shivagangah	12,570	C. Muthia Pillai	9 of February/91	117	-	-
(7) Constructing Sub Registrar's office at Muthukolathoor	3,750	Kuppa Pitchai Rowthero	2 of February/91	196	-	-

17 Constructing a District Munsiff's Court house at Paramakudy Estimate Rs 14055

On ~~comparing~~ comparing the contract certificate No 6 of February 1891 of contractor M. S. Olaganatha Pillai, with the measurement sheet attached to the bill, it was found that the quantity entered in the bill against "Dismantling brick in chunam" was 7388 cft while the measurement sheet showed only 7338. The error is in the measurement sheet which accountant states he will have corrected.

18.

Name of work	Amount of appropriation as entered in the schedule for March 1891.	As entered in the Register of works.
	Rs	Rs
(1) Improvements to the Athoor anicut channel	6438	8338.
(2) Constructing 2 surplus weirs &c to No 4 Thumbakulam tank and channel	3714	4814

In the case of these works the amounts of appropriation entered in the Register of works differ from those entered in the Schedule of Expenditure for March 1891, the latter being apparently correct.

19. There are 42 measurement books altogether in the Divisional Office, and the latest of them is dated August 1888. About 24 of these books were received in divisional Office in April 1890, and none have been received since Executive Engineer issued a circular on 27 April 1891 calling for return of all completed measurement books from sub divisions.

20. In no cases were entries in measurement books cancelled by suitable endorsements in red ink as required by code para 1756

21. The comparison between a per centage of the measurement books returned and the corresponding measurement sheets recorded in divisional office, which code para 1818, Note 2, requires to be made when measurement books are returned to division office for record, was not made.

22. Service books were not opened in the following cases.

1. Muthusawmy, Lascar, Peryakulam Sub

Division, appointed February 1891. Executive Engineer has ordered Sub Divisional officer to open a service book for him and send it to the Division office.

2. Alagappen, Lascar, Head quarter Sub Division, appointed April 1891. Report of his joining has just been received in divisional office.

23. The annual verification of service books has been completed, and endorsements prepared, by the clerk in charge of the books, for Executive Engineer's signature. But the accountant states that the Executive Engineer declines to sign them, as he considers that the intention of the rule in code 864 B. (Standing Order No. 1283) is that Executive Engineer should verify the services *personally* and write the endorsements *himself*. Executive Engineer requests definite instructions on these points.

24. The following deviations were observed from the arrangements prescribed in Examiner's Circular No. 35 of 1889 regarding the System of binding monthly accounts.

2186/11

Office copies of completion Reports are required by the circular to be kept in bundles for each year, but no such office copies are kept. A register is maintained however, of all completed works giving the following information—

1. Serial number of each completion report
2. Month and date of its submission
3. Name of work.
4. Register Number of Estimate
5. Amount of Estimate
6. Total Expenditure
7. Date of commencement of work.
8. Date of completion of work.

25. The circular further requires that vouchers, including nominal muster rolls, should be kept ~~personally~~ permanently in cash book order, and be pasted in guard books. Instead of this arrangement (1) Vouchers under Rs 5, (2) Nominal muster rolls, and (3) Certified copies of vouchers are filed with the work abstracts to which

they relate, in the case of works costing above Rs 2500. In the case of other works the vouchers of classes 1 and 2 are pasted into guard books in cash book order, and vouchers of class 3 are filed between boards in the order of central office voucher numbers. The accountant purposes, with Executive Engineer's permission, to alter this arrangement and to adopt the system prescribed in the circular.

26. The circular prescribes that estimates should be pasted into guard books. The practice here is to keep the estimates in bundles of convenient size, arranged in the order of the register number. Accountant states this is convenient as estimates are often required to be taken out of the bundles for reference by Executive Engineer or other authority.

27. Copies of Imprest accounts are pasted into guard books, and not bound into volumes as required by the circular. Accountant purposes, with Executive Engineer's permission, to get all imprest accounts bound as prescribed in the circular.

2186/12

28 With the exception of the point referred to in para 9 of last report, viz the failure to return counterfoils of cheque books to divisional office for record, all others have received attention. The Vaigai bridge Sub Division having been closed, counterfoils of cheque books were returned to Executive Engineer, but this has not been done in any other case.

29. The accountant is allowed two clerks to assist him, and he is quite satisfied with this assistance.

30. The state of the accounts and records generally is satisfactory.

Madura }
4. May 1891 }

(Sd) M. H. Gaynor.
Offg: Dy: Examiner
Inspecting Officer.

No. 3140 d/ 4. 6. 91.

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government P. W. Department for the information and orders of Government, with the accompanyin summary and remarks.

2 Special attention is invited to para 28 of the report and item 17 of the summary. The matter referred to in this item was also noticed in the last report but it has apparently been overlooked.

4053

SUPG: ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 2/26
15 JUN 91
P.W.D.
SIXTH CIRCLE.

SUPG: ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 3/306 MD
29 JUN 91
P.W.D.
SIXTH CIRCLE.

8306

Encl. No. 3 to SE6' No. $\frac{337 \text{MD}}{4\text{-}7\text{-}91}$

Replies to the remarks of the Examiner

2186/13

1 The System will be introduced from 1st July 91-

2 The imprests are all for small amounts and the monthly expenditure will, in several cases, be below the limit for which a cheque can be drawn. The code does not appear to provide for cases of this kind-

3 Sub Divisional officers did not get the bills made out in time and in order to avoid lapse of grants disobeyed the rule-

4 Some grants were given late and work was interrupted during the middle of the year- The latter part of the year viz February and March 91 being the best part of the working season expenditure was much

more than the average -

5. The rules are to be given effect to from 1st July 91 -

6. The amount involved, now entered against each of the Muster rolls noticed, will appear to be very trifling - It is impossible to conform to the Code rule in respect to petty payments of the kind - There will be great delay in paying the Coolies and it is difficult to expect them to wait for a number of days for a paltry sum, sometimes a few annas, nor could the Overseer who engages them stop in any particular place until he has received the Muster roll passed by the Sub Divisional officer - The matter must, it is thought, be left

to the discretion of the Executive Engineer - A strict enforcement of the rule will tend to foster the practice of Subordinates paying the Coolies in the first instance and recouping themselves after payment is authorized - a practice prohibited by para 1646 of the Code -

7 The Vaigai Bridge was in charge of an independent officer when the agreements were made and when the work was transferred to the Executive Engineer, Madura, the records were directly transferred to Madura Sub Division The work having been completed long ago it does not appear necessary that the old agreements need be separated from the records of the Bridge which are separately kept in the Sub Divisional office -

8- Noted-

9- Most of the work is done by petty Contractors of small means and if payments are not made on materials the Contractors must be allowed to use them as they are brought to the work and the advantage of inspecting them before being put in work will be lost- Form No 174 (para 10) permits advances being made for all materials deposited at site of work-

10- Some measurement books were received a day or two after inspection There is no means of checking whether all completed books are returned- Sub Divisional Officers do not appear to be disposed to send books for record until they feel sure that all need for them is at an end-

11 The code rule quoted appears to have been issued after the books, inspected by the Dy. Examiner had been completely used up. Some laxity was, however, found to exist in this respect and Sub Divisional officers were called on to pay attention to the matter about a year ago- I will make it a point to see to this myself-

12 The practice was not in force as books were not regularly returned- Some of the measurements in the books recently received were, however, compared with the corresponding measurement sheets-

13 Noted-

14 The certificates have now been signed-

15 No inconvenience is felt for want of copies of completion reports-

16 (i) It is a great convenience to have, with the work abstract, the vouchers and certified copies relating to it Both for purposes of tracing errors through the work abstracts for

a number of months and for producing Vouchers &c at inspections this arrangement will be found to be attended with no trouble at all—

(2) It is not possible to paste estimates into guard books for the reasons stated by the Inspecting Officer—

(3) The imprest sheets are filed consecutively as required by the circular but instead of being bound are permanently pasted at the end of the month into a guard book—The instructions will however, literally be observed in future—

17- The Counterfoils have all been since received from Sub-Divisional officers—

JW Hughes

26/6/91. Executive Engineer

2186/16

SUPDG: ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 2/363
22 JUN 91
P.W.D.
SIXTH CIRCLE

4057

P.W. 842/7011
8302/91

Replies to the
Remarks to the
Examiner —

Enclo. No. 2 to SE6 No. 337 md / 4-7-91

No. 337 md

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, 2186/17

6TH CIRCLE.

Camp Perani
~~Trichinopoly,~~ 4th July 1891

D 7/-

From
J. W. Martin Esqre.
Offg: ~~The~~ Superintending Engineer,
6TH CIRCLE.

To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

SIR,

ENCLOSURES. 3

In returning herewith the notes of Inspection of Madura division Office by the Dy. Examiner, dated 4th May/91 with the summary and remarks of the Examiner of P.W. Accounts dated 4th June/91, received with G.O. No. 1599 W / 12-6-91, I have the honor to forward copy of reply from the Executive Engineer, dated 26 June/91, and to inform you that I generally agree with him —

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servt.
J W Martin
Offg: Supdg Engineer
6 Circle

ois/

8303/91

4034

P. W. D. 6th CIRCLE.

No. 3255 C of 10th July 1891

To C

For favour of remarks.

OVB
9.7.91

From
The Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. D

No. 337 MD

Dated 4 }
Received 7 } July 1891.

Enclosures. 3.

Notes of Inspection of Madura Dn office by the Dy Exr with the summary & remarks of the Exr –

Returns the — with replies from the Executive Engr Madura Dn on several points —

2186/18

(C. No. 1201/8.)

KEEP-WITH CURRENT No.
dated 189 .

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 189/ . { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 4 August 189/ .

OFFICE NOTE No. 4383 .

To
W.

Your office note No.3255 C.of 10th. July 1891.

Returned with the following remarks:-

(1) Item 2 of the summary. The Executive Engineer might be instructed to see that imprest holders submit their account at end of month. The imprests need not be recouped in cases in which the expenditure falls below Rs.10.

(2) Item 3. The Executive Engineer might be instructed to see that expenditure is so regulated as to avoid a rush at the close of the year.

(3) Items 6 and 10. The matters referred to in these items have been taken up separately.

(4) Item 9. The objection is to the method of exhibiting the payment for the materials at site and not to the payment itself. It should be shown as an advance and not as a payment for work done.

-2-91.

8303

(5) Item I3. A report after the service books referred to have been opened out is awaited.

(6) Item I8. The Executive Engineer might be instructed to attend to the procedure laid down in Examiner's circulars Nos.35 of 1889 and 8 of 1891 & to bring to notice difficulties separately with a view to consideration whether any modifications of the existing instructions are desirable.

Rd 5-8-91
Enc 4

2. The other items call for no remarks.

Offg.C.

Fr. Asst 949 W d 28-3-83
" " " 2189 W d 21-9-84
" " " 2319 d 5-3-85
" " " 3005 W d 19-11-86
" " " 774 W d 1. 12-87
~~[illegible]~~
" " " 1419 W d 16-5-88
Memo No 2270 C d 18-6-88
Fr. Asst 2512 W d 12-9-89
Fr. Enc 811 W d 12-3-90
Memo No 1470 C d 18-3-90
" " 1862 C d 16-4-90
Fr. Enc 1879 W d 12-6-91
Memo No 3255 C d 11-7-91
" " 3829 C d 1-8-91
2538 W d 25-9-90
3723 C " 12-9-90
3599 C " 3-9-90
3253 C " 2-8-90
1436 W " 21-5-90

(Enc 3)

No 2186 W of 12th Augt 1891

Communicated to the Supdg Engr VI Circle with reference to his letter No 337 M.D. d 4th July 1891, for the Ex. Engr's attention.

OVB
6.8.91

Reminder issued No: 2186/1 W d 25-9-91

S.E. VI Circle

Ohs 5. 9. 91
" 8. 9. 91

13/8

(18)

D. 10. 9. 91