(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 10

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் OCT 1990

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

(76)

P.W.D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 2168	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : 11-8-1891	198 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

5 Sheets

ஆவண. கா-1—18,000—15-6-87.

2168/3/1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

Retirements.

Read the following papers:—

No. 5. *From Lieut.-Colonel A. C. SMITH, R.E., Acting Chief Engineer and Joint Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, to Brigdr.-Genl. A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Secretary to Government, Military Department; dated Ootacamund, 13th May* 1891, *No.* 204-*D.*

I have the honour to enclose certain correspondence on the subject of service to pension of Royal Engineer officers who have elected for continuous service in India.

Enclosure.

1 Printed paper of correspondence, No. 569-C, of 2nd February 1891.

2. As the ruling in para. 2 of Colonel Hope's letter appeared to be only a local one, I addressed the Deputy Adjutant-General, Royal Engineers, Horse Guards, on the subject, who in reply stated that "any representation you may wish to make regarding the pensions of Royal Engineer officers who have elected continuous Indian service should be submitted through the usual channel to the Government of India."

3. I have therefore the honour to request you will be good enough to forward this letter and enclosures in order that an authoritative ruling on the question raised by me may be obtained from the Government of India.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 569-*C, dated* 2*nd February* 1891.

From Lieutenant-Colonel A. C. SMITH, R.E., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department; dated Trichinopoly, 27th January 1891, No. 1352-TC.

I have the honour to enclose copies of a letter addressed by me to the Adjutant-General, Madras, on the subject of Pensions, Royal Engineers (continuous Indian service), and that officer's reply thereto.

2. As the ruling laid down in para. 2 of the latter is not, I think, generally known to such officers, I request the same may be circulated for their information.

ENCLOSURES.

From the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Adjutant-General, Army Head-quarters, Ootacamund; dated 5th January 1891, No. 1158-TC.

I have the honour to request information on the following points.

2. According to the Royal Warrant of 20th February 1886, an officer of the Royal Engineers who has elected for continuous service in India becomes entitled to a pension of £700 a year after 32 years' service, provided he has served not more than 3 years in England or elsewhere out of India.

3. And if such an officer has served 6 years out of India, I believe I am right in concluding that after 32 years' total service his pension will be—

$$£450 + \frac{32-3}{32} \times 250 = £450 + \frac{29}{32} \times 250 = £676\text{-}11\text{-}3.$$

4. But supposing such an officer with 6 years' British service serves on in India for 35 years, will his 3 years' extra service in India qualify him to draw the full pension of £700 instead of only the portion thereof as in para. 3?

5. There is no distinct ruling, so far as I am aware, on this point, but it seems only fair that the country to which he devotes the extra service should make up his pension in full; and I shall be much obliged if you will put the question before His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief for his favourable consideration and recommendation.

From Colonel H. R. HOPE, Deputy Adjutant-General, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, Trichinopoly; dated Ootacamund, 22nd January 1891, No. 177-O.

[*Reference*—His letter No. 1158-TC, dated 5th January 1891, requesting certain information as regards the pension of a Royal Engineer officer who elects for continuous service in India.]

Has the honour, in reply, to state that, under Article 11 of the Royal Warrant, dated 20th February 1886, a continuous service officer of Royal Engineers on completion of 32 years' service, of which 26 years is Indian service, is entitled to the pension of £676-11-3 as shown below:—

Twenty-six years' Indian service + 3 years' British service allowed to count = 29 years' service for Indian pension.

		£	s.	d.
Pension allowed by Royal Warrant for 32 years' service	...	450	0	0
Do. by Staff Corps Rules for 32 years' service	...	700	0	0
Difference	...	250	0	0

The pension would therefore be—

		£	s.	d.
Pension by Royal Warrant for 32 years' service	...	450	0	0
To be added on account of Indian service $\frac{32-3}{32} \times £250$	...	226	11	3
Total Pension	...	676	11	3

2. On completion of 29 years' service in India + 3 years' service out of India (allowed to count as Indian service), or, in other words, 32 years' service for pension under Indian Rules, an officer will be entitled to the pension of £700 per annum.

Circulated to officers concerned.

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers, } for communication to Royal Engineer officers
To the Consulting Architect to Government, } concerned.
Copy to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, for information.

No. 2730.

Referred to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief for favour of any remarks His Excellency may desire to offer prior to the submission of the papers to the Government of India.

(By Order.)

OOTACAMUND, 14*th May* 1891. (Signed) A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Brigdr.-Genl.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Adjutant-General.

2168/A 2

No. 6. *Memorandum from Brigadier-General H. P. PEARSON, C.B., Adjutant-General, Madras Army, to Brigadier-General A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Secretary to Government, Military Department; dated Ootacamund, 22nd May* 1891, *No.* 1363-*O* (*Service Officers*).

Returned as requested with the intimation that the decision contained in this office memo. No. 177-O, dated the 22nd January 1891, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, was based on answers 6 and 7 of the Secretary of State for India, contained in the memorandum of decisions on questions in connection with the Royal Warrant of the 20th February 1886.

2. The accompanying memo. No. 617-O, dated the 7th March last, to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, having reference to the same subject, is herewith forwarded.

From Brigadier-General H. P. PEARSON, C.B., Adjutant-General, Madras Army, to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts; dated Ootacamund, 7th March 1891, No. 617-O.

[*Reference*—His letter No. 1111, dated 21st February 1891, requesting authority for Adjutant-General's opinion regarding pension of Royal Engineer continuous service officers, expressed in para. 2 of this office letter No. 177-O, dated 22nd January 1891, to the address of Lieutenant-Colonel A. C. Smith, Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.]

Has the honour, in reply, to state that, in accordance with para. 9, Royal Warrant, dated 20th February 1886, Royal Engineer officers who have elected for continuous service in India are eligible for pensions under the conditions and at the rates applicable to officers admitted to the Indian Staff Corps after the 1st July 1881, viz., after 32 years' Indian service, to the highest pension of £700 per annum (India Army Circulars, Clause 208 of 1881).

2. Para. 11 of the Royal Warrant in question has reference only to the calculation of broken periods of Indian service towards increase of pension when the actual period of Indian service carries its proportion to the Staff Corps pension and the officer allowed the difference between the Staff Corps pension and the Royal Warrant pension, subject to the condition laid down in para. 12, *ibid.*, that he shall have completed 20 years' service in all.

3. A continuous service officer of Royal Engineer of 33 years' service, of which 29 have been spent in, and 4 out of, India, counts 32 years towards Indian pension, and is entitled to the pension of £700 a year, thus:

		£
(1) Pension under the Royal Warrant	...	450
(2) To be added on account of Indian service, *i.e.*, $\frac{33-1}{32} \times 250$	...	250
Total	...	700

Therefore if an officer of 32 years' service has only spent 3 years out of India, he will be considered to have completed 32 years towards Indian pension and will be entitled to the highest pension of £700 a year.

4. Reference is invited to answers 6 and 7 of the Secretary of State for India, contained in the accompanying copy of a memorandum of decisions on questions in connection with the Royal Warrant of the 20th February 1886.

No. 7. *To the Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department; dated 8th June* 1891, *No.* 3187.

No. 8. *From Major-Genl. E. H. H. COLLEN, C.I.E., Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department, to Brigdr.-Genl. A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Secretary to the Government of Madras, Military Department; dated Fort William, the* 14*th July* 1891, *No.* 795-*G.*

Retirements.

In reply to your No. 3187, dated the 8th June 1891, I am directed to say, for the information of the Governor in Council, that the mode of calculating the Indian pension of officers of the Royal

Enclosures returned.

(Imperial) Engineers, who have elected for continuous service in India, as shown in para. 1 of the letter of the Adjutant-General, Madras, No. 177-O, dated the 22nd January 1891, is correct, and should be adopted in all cases, but his views, as expressed in para. 2, *ibid.*, and para. 3 of his No. 617-O, dated the 7th March 1891, are opposed to it and to the Royal Warrant of the 20th February 1886.

No. 9. ORDER THEREON, 3rd August 1891, No. 4279.

Ordered that the decision of the Government of India be communicated to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief and the Public Works Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Brigdr.-Genl.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Adjutant-General.
„ Public Works Department.

Exd. J. Henricus.

2168/5/3

Govt. of {1891} Madras.

MILITARY.

To

THE ADJUTANT-GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.

Communicated to Officers of the Public Works Department concerned for information.

(Sd) J. P
4/8.

Dated August, 3rd.

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 3rd August 1891, No. 4279.

AUGUST.
Nos. 5—9.

Retirements.

Officers of the Royal (Imperial) Engineers.—Intimation from the Government of India that the mode of calculating the Indian pension of —, who have elected for continuous service in India, proposed by the Adjutant-General, Madras, is correct and should be adopted in all cases.

Supdg Engrs
C. A to Govt
Supt of Works P. P.
Chief Engr for Irrign
Consg Engr for Rys
Exer P. W. Accts
Exer Ry Accts

(5)

2168/17 For C. No. 8407.

MEMORANDUM.

Communication to Officers concerned

REQUESTED for ~~reference the undermentioned papers~~:—

40 spare copies of P. G. No 4279 of 3rd Aug/91 regarding retirement of Officers of the R (Imperial) Engrs.

Second Asst. Secy

P. W. SECRETARIAT,
Chepâk, 7th Aug 1891.

To

The Head Clerk
Mily Secretariat

12/5

No 204 D dtd 13th May 1891

2168/1/5

To the Under Secy Govt Madras

Sir

I have the honor to enclose certain correspondence on the subject of service pension of R.E officers who have elected for continuous service in India

Enclosure
1 Printed paper
of correspondence
ending with
No 569 C dtd 27th Feby 91

As the ruling in para 2 of Col Harper's letter appeared to be only a local one I addressed the D.G.S. R.E. Horseguards on the subject who in reply stated that "any representation we may wish to make regarding the pensions of R.E officers who have elected for continuous Indian service should be submitted through the usual channel to the Government of India."

3 I have therefore the honor to request you will be good enough to forward this letter & enclosures in order that an authoritative ruling on the question raised by me may be obtained from the Govt. of India

A.L.S
12/5

Recd 15 May 1891
Forwarded to Govt of India
No 204 D dtd 13th May 1891

(Imperial) Engineers, who have elected for continuous service in India, as shown in para. 1 of the letter of the Adjutant-General, Madras, No. 177-O, dated the 22nd January 1891, is correct, and should be adopted in all cases, but his views, as expressed in para. 2, *ibid.*, and para. 3 of his No. 617-O, dated the 7th March 1891, are opposed to it and to the Royal Warrant of the 20th February 1886.

No. 9. ORDER THEREON, 3rd August 1891, No. 4279.

Ordered that the decision of the Government of India be communicated to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief and the Public Works Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Brigdr.-Genl.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Adjutant-General.
„ Public Works Department.

Exd. J. Henricus.

Govt. of {1891} Madras.
MILITARY.

To

THE ADJUTANT-GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated August, 3rd.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 3rd August 1891, No. 4279.

AUGUST.
Nos. 5—9.

Retirements.

Officers of the Royal (Imperial) Engineers.—Intimation from the Government of India that the mode of calculating the Indian pension of —, who have elected for continuous service in India, proposed by the Adjutant-General, Madras, is correct and should be adopted in all cases.

No. 2168 W dy 11th Augt 1891

Communicated to Officers of the Public Works Dept. concerned for information.

(Id) J. P.
4/8

Supt'g Engrs
C. A. D. Govt
Supt of Wks P. P
Chief Engr for Irrign
Consg Engr for Rys
Exec P. W. Accts
Exec Ry Accts

2115
8

7TH JULY 1890, No. 480, EDUCATIONAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From H. B. GRIGG, Esq., M.A., C.I.E., Director of Public Instruction, to the Chief Secretary to Government (through the Accountant-General), dated Madras, 21st June 1890, No. 6364.

In submitting herewith, in original, letter from the Acting Government Agent Vizagapatam, No. 404, dated 27th March 1890, together with an enclosure containing a communication from the Acting Senior Assistant Agent, I have the honor to request that the sanction accorded in G.O., dated 28th January 1889, No. 52, Educational, may be extended to 1st January 1892, and that fresh sanction be granted for the opening of a 6th standard (Lower Fourth) class in the Government Middle school, Gunupur, tentatively for two years, and for the revision of the present scale of establishment in the way noted below, with effect from the date on which the standard of the school is raised.

2. From the Senior Assistant Agent's letter, forming an enclosure to the letter from the Government Agent, it will be seen that there are sufficient materials in the school to form a 6th standard class. There are also 8 Results schools in and near Gunupur which help to supply materials for the Lower Secondary standards. I beg to suggest that the following scale of establishment be adopted, and that the school be placed on the salary system in order that the necessary funds may be provided:—

Present scale.	RS.	A.	P.
1 Headmaster	16	0	0
1 Assistant master	12	0	0
1 Do.	8	0	0
1 Do.	6	0	0
1 Gymnastic Instructor and peon..	5	0	0
House-rent	3	8	0
Scholarships	11	0	0
Contingencies	2	0	0
Total ..	63	8	0

or Rs. 762 a year.

Add to this Rs. 711, the average results earnings for the past two years—total cost Rs. 1,473.

Proposed scale.		Average monthly cost. RS.
1 Headmaster	30 —2—40 ..	37½
1 Assistant master	20 —2—30 ..	27½
1 Do.	15 —1—20 ..	18¾
1 Do.	12½—½—15 ..	14 3/16
1 Do.	10 —½—12½ ..	11 7/16
1 Gymnastic Instructor	8 ..	8
1 Peon and sweeper	3 ..	3
Scholarships ..	11 ..	11
House-rent ..	10 ..	10
Library allowance ..	2 ..	2
Contingencies ..	3 ..	3
Total ..		147

or Rs. 1,764 annually.

4. The conversion of the school from the salary-results to the salary system is recommended by the Senior Assistant Agent. It is thought desirable because it will be easier to secure the services of efficient and passed teachers of suitable grades on high fixed salaries than on low fixed stipends supplemented by results remuneration. I think the advice of the local officers should be accepted, but if the efficiency of the school suffers, I will recommend a return to the salary-results system.

5. The building in which the school is at present located is reported by the Assistant Inspector of Schools, 1st Division, to be ill-ventilated, insufficient and quite unsuited for the purposes of a school. I have therefore raised the figure for house-rent to Rs. 10 in order that a suitable school-house may be secured. It is, however, very desirable that a suitable building should be erected for the school at the cost of Government. I beg to request, therefore, permission to call upon the Public Works Department to submit plans and estimates for a plain school-building of suitable dimensions. I look upon Gunupur as the chief centre of educational activity in the Vizagapatam Agency. No efforts should be spared to strengthen and develop the school at this locality, and for this purpose good accommodation is wanted.

6. The excess charge of about Rs. 488,* which the above proposals will involve, will be only nominal, as observed by the Government Agent, since it will be more than covered by the saving under results earnings.

* Rs. 124½, the minimum charge, *minus* Rs. 63½ or Rs. 61, excess for eight months, say from 1st July next, it amounts to Rs. 61 × 8 or Rs. 488.

7. A proposition statement, in duplicate, and the statement prescribed in rule 6, chapter 9 of the Civil Account Code, are herewith submitted.

ENCLOSURES.

From W. A. WILLOCK, Esq., Acting Agent to the Governor, Vizagapatam District, to the Director of Public Instruction (through the Assistant Inspector of Schools, Vizagapatam Division), dated Vizagapatam, 27th March 1890, No. 404.

With reference to G.O., No. 52, dated 28th January 1889, I have the honor to enclose herewith a copy of my Senior Assistant Agent's letter, No. 304, dated 16th instant, recommending that the Government Middle school, Gunupur, which is now conducted on the salary-results system, may be constituted on the salary system and its standard raised to that of a second-grade Middle school, and to state that I fully endorse his opinion.

2. The change will hardly entail any extra cost while it will amply satisfy the local needs.

3. The town of Gunupur though included in the Agency is situated below the ghâts and contains a large civilised trading population composed mostly of low country settlers.

4. Pending sanction of Government, I have authorised the Treasury Deputy Collector, Vizagapatam, to pay the establishment bills, &c., for January and February last, on the scale sanctioned prior to 1889, but I trust they will eventually receive the balance held over pending sanction.

From E. A. ELWIN, Esq., Acting Senior Assistant Agent, Vizagapatam District, to the Acting Agent to the Governor, Vizagapatam, dated Pârvatipur, 16th March 1890, No. 304-8.

I have the honor to inform you that the Treasury Deputy Collector objects to pass the pay bills, &c., of the Gunupur Middle school for the month of January last, on the ground that a 5th standard class was only opened tentatively for one year, from 1st January 1889 (*vide* G.O., dated 28th January 1889, No. 52, Educational).

2. I examined this school on 18th ultimo, and was well satisfied with the progress made by the pupils, especially by those in the 5th standard. The Director of Public Instruction remarked in his letter embodied in the above Government order that, if sufficient encouragement be given, the school might shortly develop into an efficient 1st-grade Middle school, and as there are at present ready to be promoted 15 boys in the 5th standard to the 6th standard, 9 in the 4th standard to the 5th standard, 12 in the 3rd standard to the 4th standard, and so on. I strongly recommend the opening of a 6th standard (Lower Fourth class) as soon as possible.

3. This can be arranged with very little or no extra cost to Government as follows:—

	Staff, &c., as at present. Rs. A. P.		Staff, &c., as proposed. Rs. A. P.
Headmaster	16 0 0	Headmaster	30 0 0
2nd Master	12 0 0	2nd Master	20 0 0
3rd ,, ...	8 0 0	3rd ,, ...	15 0 0
4th ,, ...	6 0 0	4th ,, ...	12 0 0
Gymnastic Instructor and peon	5 0 0	5th ,, ...	10 0 0
House-rent	3 8 0	Gymnastic Instructor	5 0 0
Contingencies	2 0 0	Peon and sweeper ..	3 0 0
Saura scholarships ..	11 0 0	House-rent	3 8 0
		Contingencies	3 0 0
		Saura scholarships ..	11 0 0
Rs. 63-8-0 a month or ..	762 0 0 a year.		
Add results grant, say ..	538 0 0 ,,		112 8 0 a month.
Total cost ..	1,300 0 0 a year.	Total cost ..	1,350 0 0 a year.

I propose the entire abolition of results grants, and the conversion of the school into a salaried one. The pay of the present four masters will be raised from Rs. 16, Rs. 12, Rs. 8 and Rs. 6 to Rs. 20, Rs. 15, Rs. 12 and Rs. 10, respectively. To teach the Lower Fourth class, the new standard to be started, an additional master on Rs. 30 a month will be required. This post may be given to an experienced matriculate, who should be a trained man if possible. I have provided a peon and sweeper on Rs. 3 a month separate from the gymnastic instructor, who cannot, properly speaking, be asked to discharge menial duties. The contingent allowance has been raised in my scheme from Rs. 2 to Rs. 3 a month.

4. I feel sure that if the status of the school is raised, as suggested, a great impetus will be given to education in Gunupur. I request that you will be good enough to forward this letter, with your remarks, to the Director of Public Instruction, through the Assistant Inspector of Schools, so as to save any delay that might otherwise occur in making a reference to the latter officer, who has, I believe recently inspected the school and will, I hope, be able to confirm my good report of its working.

5. Until the higher standard is actually started, I request that the school may be allowed to continue as it is, and therefore forward herewith the bills, &c., of the school for January and February, for payment by the Treasury Deputy Collector.

ORDER—dated 7th July 1890, No. 480, Educational.

The proposals contained in the foregoing letter are accepted. The Director of Public Instruction will call upon the Public Works Department for plans and estimates for a school-house.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
,, Public Works Department.
,, Accountant-General, with proposition statement.
,, Agent to the Governor, Vizagapatam.

Exd. P. L. Pereira.

Govt. of {1890} Madras.

EDUCATIONAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 7th July 1890, No. 480.

JULY.

Middle School, Gunupur.

Accepting the proposals of the Director of Public Instruction regarding the Government —, in Vizagapatam Agency, and permitting him to call on the Public Works Department for plans and estimates for a school-house.

No 2115 W of 2nd Aug 1890

Order thereon

Cmd to the Mily Secy

Note for information & guidance

SJ D S

S E Purle

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

Retirements.

Read the following papers :—

No. . *From Lieut.-Colonel A. C. SMITH, R.E., Acting Chief Engineer and Joint Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, to Brigdr.-Genl. A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Secretary to Government, Military Department ; dated Ootacamund, 13th May* 1891, *No.* 204-*D.*

I have the honour to enclose certain correspondence on the subject of service to pension of Royal Engineer officers who have elected for continuous service in India.

Enclosure.

1 Printed paper of correspondence, No. 569-C, of 2nd February 1891.

2. As the ruling in para. 2 of Colonel Hope's letter appeared to be only a local one, I addressed the Deputy Adjutant-General, Royal Engineers, Horse Guards, on the subject, who in reply stated that " any representation you may wish to make regarding the pensions of Royal Engineer officers who have elected continuous Indian service should be submitted through the usual channel to the Government of India."

3. I have therefore the honour to request you will be good enough to forward this letter and enclosures in order that an authoritative ruling on the question raised by me may be obtained from the Government of India.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 569-*C, dated* 2*nd February* 1891.

From Lieutenant-Colonel A. C. SMITH, R.E., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department; dated Trichinopoly, 27th January 1891, No. 1352-TC.

I have the honour to enclose copies of a letter addressed by me to the Adjutant-General, Madras, on the subject of Pensions, Royal Engineers (continuous Indian service), and that officer's reply thereto.

2. As the ruling laid down in para. 2 of the latter is not, I think, generally known to such officers, I request the same may be circulated for their information.

ENCLOSURES.

From the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Adjutant-General, Army Head-quarters, Ootacamund ; dated 5th January 1891, No. 1158-TC.

I have the honour to request information on the following points.

2. According to the Royal Warrant of 20th February 1886, an officer of the Royal Engineers who has elected for continuous service in India becomes entitled to a pension of £700 a year after 32 years' service, provided he has served not more than 3 years in England or elsewhere out of India.

3. And if such an officer has served 6 years out of India, I believe I am right in concluding that after 32 years' total service his pension will be—

$$£450 + \frac{32-3}{32} \times 250 = £450 + \frac{29}{32} \times 250 = £676\text{-}11\text{-}3.$$

4. But supposing such an officer with 6 years' British service serves on in India for 35 years, will his 3 years' extra service in India qualify him to draw the full pension of £700 instead of only the portion thereof as in para. 3 ?

5. There is no distinct ruling, so far as I am aware, on this point, but it seems only fair that the country to which he devotes the extra service should make up his pension in full; and I shall be much obliged if you will put the question before His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief for his favourable consideration and recommendation.

From Colonel H. R. HOPE, Deputy Adjutant-General, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, Trichinopoly; dated Ootacamund, 22nd January 1891, No. 177-O.

[*Reference*—His letter No. 1158-TC, dated 5th January 1891, requesting certain information as regards the pension of a Royal Engineer officer who elects for continuous service in India.]

Has the honour, in reply, to state that, under Article 11 of the Royal Warrant, dated 20th February 1886, a continuous service officer of Royal Engineers on completion of 32 years' service, of which 26 years is Indian service, is entitled to the pension of £676-11-3 as shown below :—

Twenty-six years' Indian service + 3 years' British service allowed to count = 29 years' service for Indian pension.

		£	s.	d.
Pension allowed by Royal Warrant for 32 years' service	...	450	0	0
Do. by Staff Corps Rules for 32 years' service	...	700	0	0
Difference	...	250	0	0

The pension would therefore be—

Pension by Royal Warrant for 32 years' service	... ...	450	0	0
To be added on account of Indian service $\frac{32-3}{32} \times £250$	...	226	11	3
Total Pension	...	676	11	3

2. On completion of 29 years' service in India + 3 years' service out of India (allowed to count as Indian service), or, in other words, 32 years' service for pension under Indian Rules, an officer will be entitled to the pension of £700 per annum.

Circulated to officers concerned.

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers, } for communication to Royal Engineer officers
To the Consulting Architect to Government, } concerned.
Copy to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, for information.

No. 2730.

Referred to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief for favour of any remarks His Excellency may desire to offer prior to the submission of the papers to the Government of India.

(By Order.)

OOTACAMUND, (Signed) A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Brigdr.-Genl.,
14th May 1891. *Secretary to Government.*

To the Adjutant-General.

No. . *Memorandum from Brigadier-General H. P. PEARSON, C.B., Adjutant-General, Madras Army, to Brigadier-General A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Secretary to Government, Military Department; dated Ootacamund, 22nd May* 1891, *No.* 1363-*O* (*Service Officers*).

Returned as requested with the intimation that the decision contained in this office memo. No. 177-O, dated the 22nd January 1891, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, was based on answers 6 and 7 of the Secretary of State for India, contained in the memorandum of decisions on questions in connection with the Royal Warrant of the 20th February 1886.

2. The accompanying memo. No. 617-O, dated the 7th March last, to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, having reference to the same subject, is herewith forwarded.

From Brigadier-General H. P. PEARSON, C.B., Adjutant-General, Madras Army, to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts; dated Ootacamund, 7th March 1891, No. 617-O.

[*Reference*—His letter No. 1111, dated 21st February 1891, requesting authority for Adjutant-General's opinion regarding pension of Royal Engineer continuous service officers, expressed in para. 2 of this office letter No. 177-O, dated 22nd January 1891, to the address of Lieutenant-Colonel A. C. Smith, Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.]

Has the honour, in reply, to state that, in accordance with para. 9, Royal Warrant, dated 20th February 1886, Royal Engineer officers who have elected for continuous service in India are eligible for pensions under the conditions and at the rates applicable to officers admitted to the Indian Staff Corps after the 1st July 1881, viz., after 32 years' Indian service, to the highest pension of £700 per annum (India Army Circulars, Clause 208 of 1881).

2. Para. 11 of the Royal Warrant in question has reference only to the calculation of broken periods of Indian service towards increase of pension when the actual period of Indian service carries its proportion to the Staff Corps pension and the officer allowed the difference between the Staff Corps pension and the Royal Warrant pension, subject to the condition laid down in para. 12, *ibid.*, that he shall have completed 20 years' service in all.

3. A continuous service officer of Royal Engineer of 33 years' service, of which 29 have been spent in, and 4 out of, India, counts 32 years towards Indian pension, and is entitled to the pension of £700 a year, thus:

		£
(1) Pension under the Royal Warrant	... ...	450
(2) To be added on account of Indian service, *i.e.*, $\frac{33-1}{32} \times 250$	...	250
Total	...	700

Therefore if an officer of 32 years' service has only spent 3 years out of India, he will be considered to have completed 32 years towards Indian pension and will be entitled to the highest pension of £700 a year.

4. Reference is invited to answers 6 and 7 of the Secretary of State for India, contained in the accompanying copy of a memorandum of decisions on questions in connection with the Royal Warrant of the 20th February 1886.

No. . *To the Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department; dated 8th June* 1891, *No.* 3187.

No. . *From Major-Genl. E. H. H. COLLEN, C.I.E., Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department, to Brigdr.-Genl. A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Secretary to the Government of Madras, Military Department; dated Fort William, the 14th July* 1891, *No.* 795-*G.*

Retirements.

In reply to your No. 3187, dated the 8th June 1891, I am directed to say, for the information of the Governor in Council, that the mode of calculating the Indian pension of officers of the Royal

Enclosures returned.

(Imperial) Engineers, who have elected for continuous service in India, as shown in para. 1 of the letter of the Adjutant-General, Madras, No. 177-O, dated the 22nd January 1891, is correct, and should be adopted in all cases, but his views, as expressed in para. 2, *ibid*, and para. 3 of his No. 617-O, dated the 7th March 1891, are opposed to it and to the Royal Warrant of the 20th February 1886.

No. . ORDER THEREON, August 1891, No. .

Ordered that the decision of the Government of India be communicated to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief and the Public Works Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Brigdr.-Genl.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Adjutant-General.
" Public Works Department.

Exd. J. Henricus.

Govt. of {1891} Madras.

MILITARY.

To

THE ADJUTANT-GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated August,

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 3rd August 1891, No. 4279.

AUGUST.

Nos.

Retirements.

Officers of the Royal (Imperial) Engineers.—Intimation from the Government of India that the mode of calculating the Indian pension of —, who have elected for continuous service in India, proposed by the Adjutant-General, Madras, is correct and should be adopted in all cases.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

Retirements.

Read the following papers:—

No. . *From Lieut.-Colonel A. C. SMITH, R.E., Acting Chief Engineer and Joint Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, to Brigdr.-Genl. A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Secretary to Government, Military Department; dated Ootacamund, 13th May* 1891, *No.* 204-*D.*

I have the honour to enclose certain correspondence on the subject of service to pension of Royal Engineer officers who have elected for continuous service in India.

Enclosure.

1 Printed paper of correspondence, No. 569-C, of 2nd February 1891.

2. As the ruling in para. 2 of Colonel Hope's letter appeared to be only a local one, I addressed the Deputy Adjutant-General, Royal Engineers, Horse Guards, on the subject, who in reply stated that "any representation you may wish to make regarding the pensions of Royal Engineer officers who have elected continuous Indian service should be submitted through the usual channel to the Government of India."

3. I have therefore the honour to request you will be good enough to forward this letter and enclosures in order that an authoritative ruling on the question raised by me may be obtained from the Government of India.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 569-*C, dated* 2*nd February* 1891.

From Lieutenant-Colonel A. C. SMITH, R.E., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department; dated Trichinopoly, 27th January 1891, No. 1352-TC.

I have the honour to enclose copies of a letter addressed by me to the Adjutant-General, Madras, on the subject of Pensions, Royal Engineers (continuous Indian service), and that officer's reply thereto.

2. As the ruling laid down in para. 2 of the latter is not, I think, generally known to such officers, I request the same may be circulated for their information.

ENCLOSURES.

From the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Adjutant-General, Army Head-quarters, Ootacamund; dated 5th January 1891, No. 1158-TC.

I have the honour to request information on the following points.

2. According to the Royal Warrant of 20th February 1886, an officer of the Royal Engineers who has elected for continuous service in India becomes entitled to a pension of £700 a year after 32 years' service, provided he has served not more than 3 years in England or elsewhere out of India.

3. And if such an officer has served 6 years out of India, I believe I am right in concluding that after 32 years' total service his pension will be—

$$£450 + \frac{32-3}{32} \times 250 = £450 + \frac{29}{32} \times 250 = £676\text{-}11\text{-}3.$$

4. But supposing such an officer with 6 years' British service serves on in India for 35 years, will his 3 years' extra service in India qualify him to draw the full pension of £700 instead of only the portion thereof as in para. 3 ?

5. There is no distinct ruling, so far as I am aware, on this point, but it seems only fair that the country to which he devotes the extra service should make up his pension in full ; and I shall be much obliged if you will put the question before His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief for his favourable consideration and recommendation.

From Colonel H. R. HOPE, Deputy Adjutant-General, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, Trichinopoly ; dated Ootacamund, 22nd January 1891, No. 177-O.

[*Reference*—His letter No. 1158-TC, dated 5th January 1891, requesting certain information as regards the pension of a Royal Engineer officer who elects for continuous service in India.]

Has the honour, in reply, to state that, under Article 11 of the Royal Warrant, dated 20th February 1886, a continuous service officer of Royal Engineers on completion of 32 years' service, of which 26 years is Indian service, is entitled to the pension of £676-11-3 as shown below :—

Twenty-six years' Indian service + 3 years' British service allowed to count = 29 years' service for Indian pension.

		£	s.	d.
Pension allowed by Royal Warrant for 32 years' service	...	450	0	0
Do. by Staff Corps Rules for 32 years' service	...	700	0	0
Difference	...	250	0	0

The pension would therefore be—

Pension by Royal Warrant for 32 years' service	450	0	0
To be added on account of Indian service $\frac{32-3}{32} \times$ £250 ...	226	11	3
Total Pension ...	676	11	3

2. On completion of 29 years' service in India + 3 years' service out of India (allowed to count as Indian service), or, in other words, 32 years' service for pension under Indian Rules, an officer will be entitled to the pension of £700 per annum.

Circulated to officers concerned.

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers,
To the Consulting Architect to Government, } for communication to Royal Engineer officers concerned.
Copy to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, for information.

No. 2730.

Referred to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief for favour of any remarks His Excellency may desire to offer prior to the submission of the papers to the Government of India.

(By Order.)

OOTACAMUND, *14th May* 1891. (Signed) A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Brigdr.-Genl.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Adjutant-General.

No. . *Memorandum from Brigadier-General H. P. PEARSON, C.B., Adjutant-General, Madras Army, to Brigadier-General A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Secretary to Government, Military Department ; dated Ootacamund, 22nd May* 1891, *No.* 1363-*O* (*Service Officers*).

Returned as requested with the intimation that the decision contained in this office memo. No. 177-O, dated the 22nd January 1891, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, was based on answers 6 and 7 of the Secretary of State for India, contained in the memorandum of decisions on questions in connection with the Royal Warrant of the 20th February 1886.

2. The accompanying memo. No. 617-O, dated the 7th March last, to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, having reference to the same subject, is herewith forwarded.

From Brigadier-General H. P. PEARSON, C.B., Adjutant-General, Madras Army, to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts ; dated Ootacamund, 7th March 1891, No. 617-O.

[*Reference*—His letter No. 1111, dated 21st February 1891, requesting authority for Adjutant-General's opinion regarding pension of Royal Engineer continuous service officers, expressed in para. 2 of this office letter No. 177-O, dated 22nd January 1891, to the address of Lieutenant-Colonel A. C. Smith, Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.]

Has the honour, in reply, to state that, in accordance with para. 9, Royal Warrant, dated 20th February 1886, Royal Engineer officers who have elected for continuous service in India are eligible for pensions under the conditions and at the rates applicable to officers admitted to the Indian Staff Corps after the 1st July 1881, viz., after 32 years' Indian service, to the highest pension of £700 per annum (India Army Circulars, Clause 208 of 1881).

2. Para. 11 of the Royal Warrant in question has reference only to the calculation of broken periods of Indian service towards increase of pension when the actual period of Indian service carries its proportion to the Staff Corps pension and the officer allowed the difference between the Staff Corps pension and the Royal Warrant pension, subject to the condition laid down in para. 12, *ibid.*, that he shall have completed 20 years' service in all.

3. A continuous service officer of Royal Engineer of 33 years' service, of which 29 have been spent in, and 4 out of, India, counts 32 years towards Indian pension, and is entitled to the pension of £700 a year, thus :

	£
(1) Pension under the Royal Warrant	450
(2) To be added on account of Indian service, *i.e.*, $\frac{33-1}{32} \times 250$ ...	250
Total ...	700

Therefore if an officer of 32 years' service has only spent 3 years out of India, he will be considered to have completed 32 years towards Indian pension and will be entitled to the highest pension of £700 a year.

4. Reference is invited to answers 6 and 7 of the Secretary of State for India, contained in the accompanying copy of a memorandum of decisions on questions in connection with the Royal Warrant of the 20th February 1886.

No. . *To the Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department ; dated 8th June* 1891, *No.* 3187.

No. . *From Major-Genl. E. H. H. COLLEN, C.I.E., Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department, to Brigdr.-Genl. A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Secretary to the Government of Madras, Military Department ; dated Fort William, the 14th July* 1891, *No.* 795-*G.*

Retirements.

In reply to your No. 3187, dated the 8th June 1891, I am directed to say, for the information of the Governor in Council, that the mode of calculating the Indian pension of officers of the Royal

Enclosures returned.

(Imperial) Engineers, who have elected for continuous service in India, as shown in para. 1 of the letter of the Adjutant-General, Madras, No. 177-O, dated the 22nd January 1891, is correct, and should be adopted in all cases, but his views, as expressed in para. 2, *ibid*, and para. 3 of his No. 617-O, dated the 7th March 1891, are opposed to it and to the Royal Warrant of the 20th February 1886.

No. . ORDER THEREON, August 1891, No. .

Ordered that the decision of the Government of India be communicated to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief and the Public Works Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Brigdr.-Genl.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Adjutant-General.
" Public Works Department.

Exd. J. Henricus.

Govt. of {1891} Madras.

MILITARY.

To

THE ADJUTANT-GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated August,

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 3rd August 1891, No. 427.

AUGUST.

Nos.

Retirements.

Officers of the Royal (Imperial) Engineers.—Intimation from the Government of India that the mode of calculating the Indian pension of —, who have elected for continuous service in India, proposed by the Adjutant-General, Madras, is correct and should be adopted in all cases.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

Retirements.

Read the following papers :—

No. . *From Lieut.-Colonel A. C. SMITH, R.E., Acting Chief Engineer and Joint Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, to Brigdr.-Genl. A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Secretary to Government, Military Department; dated Ootacamund, 13th May* 1891, *No.* 204-*D.*

I have the honour to enclose certain correspondence on the subject of service to pension of Royal Engineer officers who have elected for continuous service in India.

Enclosure.

1 Printed paper of correspondence, No. 569-C, of 2nd February 1891.

2. As the ruling in para. 2 of Colonel Hope's letter appeared to be only a local one, I addressed the Deputy Adjutant-General, Royal Engineers, Horse Guards, on the subject, who in reply stated that "any representation you may wish to make regarding the pensions of Royal Engineer officers who have elected continuous Indian service should be submitted through the usual channel to the Government of India."

3. I have therefore the honour to request you will be good enough to forward this letter and enclosures in order that an authoritative ruling on the question raised by me may be obtained from the Government of India.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 569-*C, dated* 2*nd February* 1891.

From Lieutenant-Colonel A. C. SMITH, R.E., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department; dated Trichinopoly, 27th January 1891, No. 1352-TC.

I have the honour to enclose copies of a letter addressed by me to the Adjutant-General, Madras, on the subject of Pensions, Royal Engineers (continuous Indian service), and that officer's reply thereto.

2. As the ruling laid down in para. 2 of the latter is not, I think, generally known to such officers, I request the same may be circulated for their information.

ENCLOSURES.

From the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Adjutant-General, Army Head-quarters, Ootacamund; dated 5th January 1891, No. 1158-TC.

I have the honour to request information on the following points.

2. According to the Royal Warrant of 20th February 1886, an officer of the Royal Engineers who has elected for continuous service in India becomes entitled to a pension of £700 a year after 32 years' service, provided he has served not more than 3 years in England or elsewhere out of India.

3. And if such an officer has served 6 years out of India, I believe I am right in concluding that after 32 years' total service his pension will be—

$$£450 + \frac{32-3}{32} \times 250 = £450 + \frac{29}{32} \times 250 = £676\text{-}11\text{-}3.$$

4. But supposing such an officer with 6 years' British service serves on in India for 35 years, will his 3 years' extra service in India qualify him to draw the full pension of £700 instead of only the portion thereof as in para. 3 ?

5. There is no distinct ruling, so far as I am aware, on this point, but it seems only fair that the country to which he devotes the extra service should make up his pension in full; and I shall be much obliged if you will put the question before His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief for his favourable consideration and recommendation.

From Colonel H. R. HOPE, Deputy Adjutant-General, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, Trichinopoly; dated Ootacamund, 22nd January 1891, No. 177-O.

[*Reference*—His letter No. 1158-TC, dated 5th January 1891, requesting certain information as regards the pension of a Royal Engineer officer who elects for continuous service in India.]

Has the honour, in reply, to state that, under Article 11 of the Royal Warrant, dated 20th February 1886, a continuous service officer of Royal Engineers on completion of 32 years' service, of which 26 years is Indian service, is entitled to the pension of £676-11-3 as shown below:—

Twenty-six years' Indian service + 3 years' British service allowed to count = 29 years' service for Indian pension.

		£	s.	d.
Pension allowed by Royal Warrant for 32 years' service	...	450	0	0
Do. by Staff Corps Rules for 32 years' service	...	700	0	0
Difference	...	250	0	0

The pension would therefore be—

Pension by Royal Warrant for 32 years' service	...	450	0	0
To be added on account of Indian service $\frac{32-3}{32} \times £250$	...	226	11	3
Total Pension	...	676	11	3

2. On completion of 29 years' service in India + 3 years' service out of India (allowed to count as Indian service), or, in other words, 32 years' service for pension under Indian Rules, an officer will be entitled to the pension of £700 per annum.

Circulated to officers concerned.

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers, } for communication to Royal Engineer officers
To the Consulting Architect to Government, } concerned.
Copy to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, for information.

No. 2730.

Referred to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief for favour of any remarks His Excellency may desire to offer prior to the submission of the papers to the Government of India.

(By Order.)

OOTACAMUND, 14*th May* 1891. (Signed) A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Brigdr.-Genl.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Adjutant-General.

No. . *Memorandum from Brigadier-General H. P. PEARSON, C.B., Adjutant-General, Madras Army, to Brigadier-General A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Secretary to Government, Military Department; dated Ootacamund, 22nd May* 1891, *No.* 1363-*O* (*Service Officers*).

Returned as requested with the intimation that the decision contained in this office memo. No. 177-O, dated the 22nd January 1891, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, was based on answers 6 and 7 of the Secretary of State for India, contained in the memorandum of decisions on questions in connection with the Royal Warrant of the 20th February 1886.

2. The accompanying memo. No. 617-O, dated the 7th March last, to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, having reference to the same subject, is herewith forwarded.

From Brigadier-General H. P. PEARSON, C.B., Adjutant-General, Madras Army, to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts; dated Ootacamund, 7th March 1891, No. 617-O.

[*Reference*—His letter No. 1111, dated 21st February 1891, requesting authority for Adjutant-General's opinion regarding pension of Royal Engineer continuous service officers, expressed in para. 2 of this office letter No. 177-O, dated 22nd January 1891, to the address of Lieutenant-Colonel A. C. Smith, Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.]

Has the honour, in reply, to state that, in accordance with para. 9, Royal Warrant, dated 20th February 1886, Royal Engineer officers who have elected for continuous service in India are eligible for pensions under the conditions and at the rates applicable to officers admitted to the Indian Staff Corps after the 1st July 1881, viz., after 32 years' Indian service, to the highest pension of £700 per annum (India Army Circulars, Clause 208 of 1881).

2. Para. 11 of the Royal Warrant in question has reference only to the calculation of broken periods of Indian service towards increase of pension when the actual period of Indian service carries its proportion to the Staff Corps pension and the officer allowed the difference between the Staff Corps pension and the Royal Warrant pension, subject to the condition laid down in para. 12, *ibid.*, that he shall have completed 20 years' service in all.

3. A continuous service officer of Royal Engineer of 33 years' service, of which 29 have been spent in, and 4 out of, India, counts 32 years towards Indian pension, and is entitled to the pension of £700 a year, thus:

	£
(1) Pension under the Royal Warrant	450
(2) To be added on account of Indian service, *i.e.*, $\frac{33-1}{32} \times 250$ ...	250
Total ...	700

Therefore if an officer of 32 years' service has only spent 3 years out of India, he will be considered to have completed 32 years towards Indian pension and will be entitled to the highest pension of £700 a year.

4. Reference is invited to answers 6 and 7 of the Secretary of State for India, contained in the accompanying copy of a memorandum of decisions on questions in connection with the Royal Warrant of the 20th February 1886.

No. . *To the Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department; dated 8th June* 1891, *No.* 3187.

No. . *From Major-Genl. E. H. H. COLLEN, C.I.E., Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department, to Brigdr.-Genl. A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Secretary to the Government of Madras, Military Department; dated Fort William, the 14th July* 1891, *No.* 795-*G.*

Retirements.

In reply to your No. 3187, dated the 8th June 1891, I am directed to say, for the information of the Governor in Council, that the mode of calculating the Indian pension of officers of the Royal

Enclosures returned.

(Imperial) Engineers, who have elected for continuous service in India, as shown in para. 1 of the letter of the Adjutant-General, Madras, No. 177-O, dated the 22nd January 1891, is correct, and should be adopted in all cases, but his views, as expressed in para. 2, *ibid*, and para. 3 of his No. 617-O, dated the 7th March 1891, are opposed to it and to the Royal Warrant of the 20th February 1886.

No. . ORDER THEREON, 3 August 1891, No. 4279.

Ordered that the decision of the Government of India be communicated to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief and the Public Works Department.

(True Extract.)

A. Kennedy Herbert
Brigdr.-Genl.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Adjutant-General.
„ Public Works Department.

Exd. J. Henricus.

8407

Govt. of {1891} Madras.

MILITARY.

To

THE ADJUTANT-GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated August, 10 sc

Issued

Recd. 7-8-91. *Encl.*

G.O., August 1891, No.

AUGUST.

Nos.

Retirements.

Officers of the Royal (Imperial) Engineers.—Intimation from the Government of India that the mode of calculating the Indian pension of —, who have elected for continuous service in India, proposed by the Adjutant-General, Madras, is correct and should be adopted in all cases.

With order
With order

204 D d/13-8-91

B No. 2,468 W d/11th Augt 1891

Communicated to
Communicated to Officers of the Public Works Dept concerned
Works Dept. Concerned
for information
for information -

S 4-8

S. Engrs with 3 spare copies

6 & 6 Govt. with 25 C

Supt of Wks P.P. with 25 C

6 & for I with 15 C

6 & for Rys with 5-0

Engr P.W.A with 25 C

Engr Ry Accts with 25 C
Ry Accts

D 15/8/91

Copies supplied

29/

Ack 17/8

Ack 5. viii
M 5. viii

2 Copies sent direct 18-8-91