

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 16
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் செப்டம்பர் 90
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10-2

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

201

P. M. D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். 2034 அச்சகம்
 மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். பலவகை

நாள் : 28.7.1981 1981

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

S. Shree

சு.

ஆவண. கா-1-18,000-15-6-87.

N^o 21502

From

Executive Engineer's Office

Trichinopoly 30th June 1891

of 1/7

Colonel Prof Thompson R.E.

Executive Engineer

Trichinopoly

To

The Superintending Engineer

6th Mile

Trichinopoly

Sir,

Enclosures Two.

P.C. List N^o 3527C
3-6-91

D^o D^o N^o 4893C
22-6-91

With reference to your endorsement No 352 TC of $\frac{3}{4}$ June 1891, I have the honor to request that the case may be laid before Government, as there was no mention or question about my only being permitted to draw one increment when my 1st Increment was granted.

I would draw attention to the fact that code para 505A did not exist when I was granted the 1st of the two increments I had been led to hope that I might attain to.

My 1st increment was granted from May 1st 1887. The date of issue of Code para 505A is apparently 12th February 1890. It would I think be somewhat hard to give this rule retrospective effect in my case.

I have the honor to be

Sir
Your most Obedient servant

30 JUN 91
Prof Thompson Col. R.E.
Executive Engineer L.E.

over

Mso/b.

Ne 550 Tc d/3^d July 1091
-4/

Forwarded to the Examiners
P. W. Accounts, with reference to his
No 3021 of the 29th May/91. The
matter should be referred
to Government for orders, as
requested by Col. Thompson.

3937

2034

From



The Engineer-in-Charge
Trichinopoly Division

To
The Superintending Engineer
6th Circle

CP No 21502

Encls 2

Dated 30th

Despd 1/7

Received

June 1891

Subject

Colonel Ross Thompson &c.

Wishes that the
Application of - for his 2nd
Increment be forwarded for
the orders of Government

D. 352.75, 42975, 7

2039

Copy of letter No 3021 dated 29th May
1891 from the Examiner, P.W. Accounts, Madras
to the Superintending Engineer, 6th Circle.

With reference to your Encls No 21502
No 301 T.C dated 22nd May 1891. I have the honor
to state that P.W. Code para 505 A, applies
to Colonel Thompson as the grant of the
second increment of Rs 50 per mensem would
have the effect of bringing his salary up to
Rs 1350 per mensem, the maximum salary of
a Superintending Engineer 3rd Class on the Staff
Scale of pay. I have therefore the honor
to inquire whether under the circumstances
you still wish the application forwarded
for the orders of Government.

(True copy)

Sgt. Patton

Superintendent,
Correspondence Branch.

20/7

27/7/91

2034

2034
4

13 MAY 91

18 MAY 91
Mts. Incubation beginning local dis

189

189/

{ P. W.
{ DEPT.

Madras, 9 July

OFFICE NOTE No. 3878

W

for Offg.C.

Agg
7.
Agg/7
(Euc3)

mems no 1449 0724.57
R.R. no 109408 21.4.57

CURRENT 736

2d 10
Enc 6

Cms 7360 CM
Hm?

2034
In order 6

The claim is District
Number 505 A
P.W. Colo, but as stated
by Col. Thompson, this
rule was introduced
after he resigned his
claim to further pro-
motion & accepted the
first increment.

Col. Thompson's
request cannot now
be granted without
reference to the Post-
office.

Cs

11-7-91

It is apparently

undecided under
Rules. 0004
11-7-91

W

27.7.91

1/11

mems no 1459 of 24.4.57
 13.12.20 109458 of 21.4.57

22 miles -

The claim is clearly inadmissible without the special sanction of the Govt of India, but as the para (505 A) which bars it was introduced after the date when Col. Thompson resigned his claim to further promotion by accepting the first increment, it appears unjust to give it retrospective effect -

It is suggested that a reference be made to the Govt of India on the subject -

D 207

P. W. S.

Read the following:-

Office note from the Examiner P.W. accounts, Madras, No 3875 of 9.11.91

For orders

2037
 7

The claim is clearly inadmissible without the special sanction of the Govt of India, but as the para (505 A) which bars it was introduced after the date when Col. Thompson resigned his claim to further promotion by accepting the first increment, it appears unjust to give it retrospective effect.

It is suggested that a reference be made to the Govt of India on

I don't think there is a shadow of a claim or of grounds for going to India. Col. Thompson's claim to the increment was not absolute. They were only to be granted to officers who are deemed worthy of a further recognition of services in consequence of their efficiency as officers and soldiers. Appointed service is essential. Col. Thompson has not rendered such service. Appointed promotion thousands of men being allowed the pay of a Capt. Superior while in hypothesis not worth it in payment. I would not forward or entertain this application. He can see the memorialize. Hls 21 July

2/11

22.7.91

Hmc

FOR ORDERS.

The subject.

S

W

207

*Obs 77. vii
Hm 20. vii*

B

No. 2034 W of 28 July 1891

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

2034

Read the following

From the Supltg Engineer W Circle No. 301 J.C. of 22nd Aug 1891

Office note from the Ex P.W.A. (No. 3875 of 9. vii. 91)

*Recd
23 Aug*

*His Excellency
the Governor in
Council does not
consider that
Colonel Thompson
has established
any claim to a
relaxation of the
provisions of para
505A of the P.W. Code.*

Sr.

S. E. Circle. 20 27/7