

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 20
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 24-1-90
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

169

J. S. D

துறை

அரசாணை எண். 1994 அச்சகம்
 மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 1994 பலவகை

நாள் : 24. 7. 1891 198.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

34

10 Sheds

ஆவண, கா-1-18,006-15-0-87.

Boitel Shetaw

162

1994
24TH JULY 1891, No. 1994 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

READ— the following papers:—

From W. JOPP, Esq., Officiating Superintending Engineer, II Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Bezvada, 29th May 1891, No. 76 G.L.

With reference to G.O., No. 3389 W., dated 19th December 1890, I have the honour to forward herewith, for final approval of Government, two statements showing revised rates of rents for buildings, calculated in accordance with Standing Order No. 1320, of 18th August 1890, in the Nellore and Kistna Eastern Divisions, with the following remarks.

2. *Nellore*.—The Executive Engineer has been directed to serve notices on all occupiers, except the Sub-Collector at Ongole, to the effect that the revised rates will come into force from date of the receipt of the notice. The rent fixed by Government of India in G.O., No. 3488 W., of 7th December 1889, for the Sub-Collector's bungalow at Ongole is Rs. 60, but the rate arrived at by the present remission is Rs. 50, and, pending approval of Government, no notice will be served on the occupier that the rate will be reduced to Rs. 50.

3. *Kistna Eastern*.—Value of site is not given in the case of items Nos. 7 and 8; the Executive Engineer has been directed to ascertain it from the Collector without delay, and, when the information is received, a revised statement of calculations will be submitted; but to save delay the present statement is submitted without it.

4. In the case of the Executive Engineer's and Assistant Engineer's quarters at Bezvada, items Nos. 5 and 6, the rates work out at Rs. 55 and 33, respectively, whilst the rents hitherto paid were Rs. 60 and Rs. 50, it having been taken at 10 per cent. of the occupant's salary as it was assumed the calculations would bring it out at a higher figure than that. The former was occupied from 9th February 1890 and the latter from 3rd November 1890. I therefore recommend that sanction of Government may be obtained to refund the difference of Rs. 5 and Rs. 17 to the officers occupying them from the dates named.

Office-note from the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 22nd June 1891, No. 3446.

Your office-note No. 2746 C., dated 2nd June 1891.

Returned with the following remarks:—

Statement of buildings in Nellore Division—(1) *Item 4*.—The figure in column 7 against this item has been incorrectly entered as Rs. 105; 10 per cent. on Rs. 10,548, the cost of construction of the building (column 6), is Rs. 1,054 and not Rs. 105 as entered. The capital cost of the building (column 9) will therefore be Rs. 11,837 and the normal rent (column 16), calculated on the basis of the capital cost as revised above, is Rs. 54 per mensem.

(2) The cost of repairs against this item has been entered only at Rs. 169 (*vide* column 13), *i.e.*, at about $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. on construction cost, whereas the actuals in case of other three items of similar class of buildings vary from 4 to $7\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. Apparently

the average has been taken on too short a period. The rent to be charged for the building has, however, been correctly entered at Rs. 60 per mensem, which rent has been fixed by the Government of India (*vide* letter No. 460 B. of 28th November 1889, printed with G.O., No. 3488 W., dated 7th December 1889).

(3) *Items 5 to 8.*—Particulars of capital outlay, cost of repairs and normal rent have not been given in the case of these buildings. This information is required for the compilation of the capital and revenue accounts of buildings for submission to Government (*vide* Code para. 2061 A) and should now be furnished.

Statement of buildings in Kistna Eastern Division.—(4) *Items 1 and 4.*—In the case of these buildings also, the estimate for repairs entered in column 11 of the statement is low, being only $\frac{1}{2}$ and 2 per cent. on construction cost, respectively. Apparently the period of possession has been too short to admit of properly estimating cost of repairs on the basis of an average of actuals.

(5) The rent to be charged for item 1 has been fixed by the Government of India at Rs. 150 per mensem (*vide* letter No. 239 B. of 21st June 1889, printed with G.O., No. 1806 W., of 8th July 1889). The figures in columns 18 and 19 should be corrected accordingly.

(6) *Items 5 and 6.*—The estimate cost of repairs, as entered in column 14 in the case of these buildings, is about 1 per cent., whereas in the case of similar class of buildings the repairs have been entered at 2 per cent. (*vide* item 4 of the statement). The Superintending Engineer might be asked to state whether he is fully satisfied that in a series of years the repairs, in the case of these buildings as well as items 1 and 4, can be carried out for the sums stated against each.

(7) *Items 9 to 25.*—Particulars of capital outlay, cost of repairs and normal rent have not been given in the case of these buildings. This information is required for the compilation of the capital and revenue accounts of buildings for submission to Government (*vide* Code para. 2061 A) and should now be furnished.

ORDER—dated 24th July 1891, No. 1994 W., Public Works.

The statements submitted by the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, are approved with the following remarks and exceptions:—

(1) *Nellore Division.*—The rent hitherto paid for the Collector's residence at Nellore is Rs. 110 per mensem, but the rate according to the revised rules of the Government of India is Rs. 140 per mensem. In his letter to the Executive Engineer, No. 3583, dated 7th October 1890, the Collector appealed against the enhancement of rent; but, considering the value of the property and the rent paid elsewhere by officials of similar standing, the Government does not consider the rate excessive. The increased rent will be recovered from the date on which notice of the same was served on the Collector.

(2) *Sub-Collector's house at Ongole.*—As pointed out by the Examiner, the normal rent of this building is Rs. 54 per mensem, not Rs. 50 as entered in the statement. The rent was fixed by the Government of India on the recommendation of this Government at Rs. 60 per mensem on the understanding that the rate calculated according to rules was Rs. 80 per mensem. As there is no reason to charge a rate in excess of that arrived at by the rules, the normal rent of Rs. 54 per mensem will be charged and any excess payment made will be refunded.

(3) The detailed calculations in the cases of items 5 to 8 should be submitted as early as possible in a supplemental statement.

(1) *Kistna Eastern Division.*—The rent of the Collector's residence at Masulipatam should, in accordance with G.O., No. 1806 W., dated 8th July 1889, have been entered as Rs. 1,800 per annum and Rs. 150 per mensem in columns 18 and 19 of the statement. The necessary corrections will now be made.

(2) The revised statement including the value of site in the cases of items 7 and 8, promised in para. 3 of the Superintending Engineer's letter, is awaited.

(3) The rents in the cases of items 5 and 6 will be charged at the correct rates, Rs. 55 and Rs. 33 per mensem, respectively, and the excess amounts recovered will be refunded.

(4) With regard to items 9 to 25, it has been recommended to the Government of India (*vide* G.O., No. 1606 W., dated 13th June 1891) that in the preparation of capital and revenue accounts, the calculation of normal rents for petty rent-free buildings occupied by Irrigation and Navigation establishments may be omitted. No action need therefore be taken with respect to these items, pending receipt of the orders of the Government of India.

2. The attention of the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, is drawn to the remarks in paras. (2), (4) and (6) of the Examiner's note above recorded, and a further report should be submitted as to whether the rate for repairs in the cases of the buildings mentioned has been arrived at on sufficient data.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, II Circle.
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with two lists for note
and return to the Superintending Engineer.
„ Revenue Department.

Exd. J. Henrious.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1891} Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated July, 24th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 24th July 1891, No. 1994 W.

JULY.

Rents

Approving, with remarks, the statements submitted by the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, showing revised rates of — of buildings in the Nellore and Kistna Eastern Divisions.

No. 769.L

Superintending Engineer's Office

II CIRCLE, *Belgaum*

Dated 29th May 1891.

From,

W. Japp Esquire,

Offg. Superintending Engineer,

II Circle.

To,

The *Chief Engineer* *18*
Pr. Department

Sir,

With reference to G.O. No. 3389 W of 19th December 1890 I have the honor to forward herewith for final approval of Govt. 2 statements shewing revised rates of rents for building calculated in accordance with S.O. No. 1320 of 18th August 1890 in the Nellore and Kistna Eastern Divisions - with the following remarks:

1. Nellore - The Engr. has been directed to serve notices on all occupying except the Sub Collector at Ongole, to the effect that the revised rates will come into force from date of the receipt of the notice. The rent fixed by Govt. of India in G.O. No. 3488 W of 7th Decr 1889 for

for the Sub Collector's bungalow at Ongole is Rs. 60/- but the rate arrived at by the present remission, is Rs. 50/-, and pending approval of Government, no notice will be served on the occupier that the rate will be reduced to Rs. 50.

3. Krishna Garden. Value of site is not given in the case of items 10, 11 & 12; the Executive Engineer has been directed to ascertain it from the Collector, without delay and when the information is received, a revised statement of calculations will be submitted - but to avoid delay the present statement is submitted without it.

4. In the case of the Asst. Engineers and Asst. Engineer's quarters at Bezvada, items 13 & 14 the rates work out at Rs. 55 and 33 respectively, whilst the rents hitherto paid were Rs. 60 & Rs. 50; it having been taken at 10% of the occupants' salary as it was assumed the calculations would bring it out at a higher figure than that. The former was occupied from 9th Feb 1890 and the latter from 3rd November 1890. I therefore, recommend that sanction of Govt. may be obtained to refund

the

the difference of Rs. 5/- and Rs. 17/- to the officers occupying them from the dates named -

1994
28

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

L. Datta

Offg Assty Engr,
" Circle

H.

253.91

No. 2746 C dated 2 June 1911

To C.

In favour of
Verification & remarks
if necessary

DOB
2.6.91

len

From,

The Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To,

The Chief Engr. Mys.

Dated 29 May

1891

Received

Enclosures. 2

No. 7696.

SUBJECT.

Building - forwarding
for final approval of Govt.
2 statements showing revised
rates of rents of — in the
Nellore and Krishna Eastern
Divisions -

KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

dated

189

GOVT.
OF MADRAS. }

189

{ P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 22 June

1891.

OFFICE NOTE No. 3446.

To

W.

Your office note No. 2748 C. dated 2nd.
June 1891.

Returned with the following re-
marks:-

Statement of buildings in Nellore Divn.

(1) Item 4 - The figure in column 7
against this item has been incorrectly
entered as Rs. 105 -- 10 per cent on Rs.
10,548 the cost of construction of the
building (column 8) is Rs. 1,054 and not
Rs. 105 as entered -- The capital cost
of the building (column 9) will therefore
be Rs. 11,837 and the normal rent column
16 calculated on the basis of the capi-
tal cost as revised above is Rs. 54 per
ensem.

(2) The cost of repairs against this
item has been entered only at Rs. 169
(vide column 13) i.e. at about 1 per
cent on construction cost, whereas the
actuals in case of other 3 items of si-
milar class of buildings vary from 4 to
7 1/2 per cent. Apparently the average has
been taken on too short a period. The

rent to be charged for the building has however been correctly entered at Rs. 60 per mensem, which rent has been fixed by the Government of India vide letter No. 460 B. of 25th. November 1889 printed with G.O. No. 3488 W. dated 7th. December 1889.

(3) Items 5 to 8 - Particulars of capital outlay, cost of repairs and normal rent have not been given in the case of these buildings. This information is required for the compilation of the capital and revenue accounts of buildings for submission to Government (vide code para 206I A) and should now be furnished.

Statement of buildings in Kistna Eastern Division.

(4) Items I and 4 - In the case of these buildings also, the estimate for repairs, entered in column II of the statement, is low being only $\frac{1}{2}$ and 2 per cent on construction cost respectively. Apparently the period of possession has been too short to admit of properly estimating cost of repairs on the basis of an average of actuals.

(5) The rent to be charged for item I has been fixed by the Government of India at Rs. 150 per mensem vide letter No. 289 B. of 21st. June 1889 printed with G.O. No. 1806 W. of 31st. July 1889. The figures in columns 18 & 19 should be corrected accordingly.

1994/12
(6) Items 3 and 8 - The Estimate cost of repairs as entered in column 14 in the case of these buildings is about 1 per cent, whereas in the case of similar class of buildings the repairs have been entered at 2 per cent vide item 4 of the statement. The Superintending Engineer might be asked to state whether he is fully satisfied that in a series of years, the repairs, in the case of these buildings as well as items I & 4 can be carried out for the sums stated against each.

(7) Items 9 to 25 - Particulars of capital outlay, cost of repairs and normal rent have not been given in the case of these buildings. This information is required for the compilation of the capital and revenue accounts of buildings for submission to Government (vide code para 206I A) and should now be furnished.

W. C.
W. C.
W. C.
(Rue 3)

R. Ans 2981 w 22.9.88 +
 " 8741 w 27.11.88 +
 " 2480 w 30.1.89 +
 " 1367 w 22.5.89 +
 " 1668 w 28.6.89 +
 " 1806 w 2.7.89 +
 " 3076-77 w 21.10.89 +
 " B. 1185 w 22.4.90 +
 Memo no 4534 c 25.11.90 +
 R. Ans 2417-aw 28.9.90 +
 " 2676-7 w 14.10.90 +
 " 3389 w 19.12.90 +
 " 648 w 6.3.91 +
 Memo no 2746 c 2.6.91 +
 R. Ans 1606 w 12.6.91 +
 " 1607 w 13.6.91 +
 1024 w 31.3.90 +
 3488 w 7.12.89 +
 3095-6 w 1.11.89 +
 2530 w 12.9.89 +

6750

Rd 23-6-91
 Enc 3

2/7 22.7.91



No. 1994 W 24 July 1891
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
 1999

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Letter from the Supply Engineer Circular H.E. No 46 S.D. 29 May 1891
 office Note from the Exr. P.W. Archt. 3446 22 June 1891.

The statement submitted by the Engineer in Charge is approved with the following remarks and exceptions:—

Nallore Division

(1) The rent hitherto paid for the Collector's residence at Nallore is Rs 110 per mensem, but the rate according to the revised rules of the Govt. of India is Rs 140 per mensem. In his letter to the Executive Engineer No. 3583 dated 7 October 1890, the Collector appealed against the enhancement of rent, but considering the value of the property, and the rent paid elsewhere

HCS
 24 July

by officials of similar standing, the Govt does not consider the rate excessive. The increased rent will be recovered from the date on which notice of the same was served on the Collector.

(2) Sub Collector's house at Ongole - As pointed out by the Examiner, the normal rent of this building is Rs 54 per mensem, not Rs 50 as entered in the statement. The rent was fixed by the Govt. of India on the recommendation of this Govt at Rs 60 per mensem on the understanding that the rate calculated according to rules was Rs 80 per mensem. As there is no reason to charge a rate in excess of that arrived at by the rules, the normal rent of Rs 54 per mensem will be recovered from the date of the order.

(3) The detailed calculations

G.O. No 348804/7-12-87.

Why should not the benefit of the reduction in amount be done in favour of items 5, 6 & 7?

The draft has been altered accordingly. The

above recorded

in the cases of items 5 to 8 should be submitted as early as possible with a supplemental statement.

Kistna Eastern Division

(1) The rent of the Collector's residence at Masulipatam should be in accordance with G.O. No 1806 W dated 8 July 1889, have been entered as Rs 1800 per annum and Rs 150 per mensem in columns 18 & 19 of the statement. The necessary corrections will now be made.

(2) The revised statement including the value of site in the cases of items 7 and 8, promised in para 3 of the Supply Engineer's letter, is awaited.

(3) The rents in the cases of items 5 and 6 will be charged at the correct rates, Rs 55 and Rs 33 per mensem respectively, and the excess

by officials of similar standing, the Govt does

amounts recovered will be refunded.

(4) With regard to item 9 to 25, it has been recommended to the Govt. of India (vide S.O. No. 1606 W dated 13 June 1891) that in the preparation of capital and revenue accounts, the calculation of normal rents for petty rent free buildings occupied by Irrigation and Navigation establishments may be omitted. No action need therefore be taken in the respect to these items pending receipt of the orders of the Govt. of India.

2. The attention of the Supdg. Engineer II circle is drawn to the remarks in paras (2)(4) and (6) of the Examiner's note also

above recorded, and a further report should be submitted as to whether the rate for repairs in the cases of the buildings mentioned has been arrived at on sufficient data.

over
hit

CL S. J.
9/7

To.

The Supdg. Engr II circle
" Engr. P. W. Sects with 2
lists for note and
return to the S.E.
" Revenue Dept.

9/6/91

CR

~~Am~~

~~20-11-91~~

Ra Sea to the
for garden of country
interior

~~SS~~

On the vii. (hand. vii)
Rs. 2 vii. (ma. p. 20. vii)
m. 20 vii

0/17

10
~~1994~~
10

8

6750

17-2-21 B.II

Govt. of (18) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

27/7

Dated

Recd.

Encl.

G.O. 24 July 91 No. 1994 W

Reurds

Approving, with remarks,
the statements submitted
by the Supdy. Engineer,
II circle, showing revised
rates of — of buildings
in the Nellore & Kistna
Eastern Divisions.

10

80
4

27/7

1-8

27/7