(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 26
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 11/90
(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

186

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். — 1956
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 16. 7. 1891 ~~198~~.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

13. Sheets

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

1956
1

16th July 1891, No. 1956 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following papers :—

From the Executive Engineer, Trichinopoly division, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 9th March 1891, No. 743 S.E.

With reference to para. 2 of your endorsement No. 1020 T.C. of 7th December 1890, calling for a revised estimate for the improvements to the Central Jail, Trichinopoly, sanctioned in G.O., No. 1124 W. of 16th April 1890, I have the honour to inform you that, when the revised estimate in question was about to be submitted, the Inspector-General of Jails suggested further alterations in his letter No. O/100 of 2nd February 1891, herein enclosed, and the plans and estimate have accordingly been prepared and are submitted herewith for your approval and sanction.

2. The revised plan and estimate were forwarded to the Superintendent of Jails with an inquiry as to whether he would undertake to carry out the work by the Jail Department as stated by the Inspector-General of Jails. In reply, the Superintendent states that the work being emergent, he cannot undertake it either before or after 31st March as he has much work on hand.

3. The work has been ordered to be carried out on the lines of the revised estimate in anticipation of your sanction with a view to utilise fully the reduced allotment of Rs. 1,000 granted for this year.

No. 1621 T.C.

The Executive Engineer's action, as reported in para. 3 of his letter, is approved, as it is observed that the revised estimate has been prepared in accordance with the suggestions of the Inspector-General.

(Signed) J. W. MARTIN,
Offg. Suptdg. Engr., VI Circle.

10th March 1891.

No. 1622 T.C.

Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, for information. The revised estimate will be submitted as soon as it is checked in this office.

(Signed) J. W. MARTIN,
Offg. Suptdg. Engr., VI Circle.

10th March 1891.

From Colonel ROSS THOMPSON, R.E., Executive Engineer, Trichinopoly Division, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 30th April 1891, No. 59 S.E.

In continuation of my letter No. 743 S.E. of 9th March 1891, submitting revised plan and estimate for the improvements to the District Jail at Trichinopoly, I have the honour to inform you that the Superintendent of Central Jail has written to say that he could carry out the work by the jail labour and requests to know if I have any objections to his commencing the work at once. I request, therefore, you will be so good as to accord your sanction for carrying out the work by the Jail Department in anticipation of sanction to the revised estimate.

2. Work to the extent of Rs. 515-1-2 has been executed by this department up to the end of March 1891.

No. 185 T.C.

The undersigned sees no objection to the work being proceeded with in anticipation of sanction to the revised estimate, which appears to have been prepared in accordance with the suggestions of the Inspector-General of Jails and which was forwarded to that officer for transmission to Government with this office No. 1629 T.C. of 14th March 1891.

2. In this office No. 1621 T.C., dated 10th March 1891, the Executive Engineer was authorised to proceed with the work.

2nd May 1891. (Signed) J. W. MARTIN,
Offg. Suptdg. Engr., VI Circle.

No. 186 T.C.

Copies forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, for information in continuation of this office No. 1622 T.C., dated 10th March 1891.

2nd May 1891. (Signed) J. W. MARTIN,
Offg. Suptdg. Engr., VI Circle.

G.O., dated 19th June 1891, No. 1206, Judicial.

Read—the following papers:—

From the Inspector-General of Jails, dated 12th May 1891, No. 163.

Government sanctioned in its Order No. 1124 W.,* dated 16th April 1890, an expenditure of Rs. 3,000 on the District Jail buildings attached to the Central Jail at Trichinopoly. During my inspections of that jail last year and this I thought it was not advisable to have two main entrances, one to the Central Jail and one to the District Jail, partly because it afforded two loop-holes for escape in case of an outbreak and also because it necessitated extra guarding. I thought it better to close the outer gate of the District Jail and to make a gate through the Central Jail wall into the District Jail yard and a gate through the wall dividing the District Jail from the close prison yard. A separate store-room in the District Jail yard I also thought was unnecessary. The fastenings of the doors of the new close prison cells I also found most insecure. I suggested these alterations to the District Engineer who was carrying on these, the work of building the dividing wall between the close prison and the District Jail and the drainage of the District Jail. I have the honour to submit the Superintending Engineer's estimate for these alterations for the sanction of Government. It will be noticed that there is a saving of Rs. 1,100 on the original estimate.

* Judicial No. 799, dated 8th May 1890.

From the same officer, dated 15th May 1891, No. 168.

I have the honour to forward, for the sanction of Government, an estimate, amounting to Rs. 1,000, for repairs to the new close prison attached to the Central Jail at Trichinopoly.

2. This building is quite new and has not yet been formally taken over from the Public Works Department, though, during a short outbreak of cholera in January last, 77 cells were occupied.

3. During my inspection of the jail in February last, my attention was drawn to the very insecure fastening of the doors. I attached a copy of a letter * on the subject from the Superintendent and a copy of my letter † to the Superintending Engineer.

* No. 213, dated 11th February 1891.

† No. O/133 „ 16th „ „

4. The iron plate now proposed to be rivetted on will, I think, perfectly answer the purpose and will accord with Drs. Walker and Lethbridge's suggestion that locks should be so placed that prisoners will not be able to reach them from inside. I think this plan should be adopted in all jails. The ventilation will be very slightly affected as there is plenty of air and space above and below.

Order—dated 19th June 1891, No. 1206, Judicial.

The estimate, amounting to Rs. 1,900, received with the Inspector-General's letter, dated 12th May 1891, No. 163, is approved and will be transferred to the Public Works Department in supersession of that approved in G.O., dated 20th March 1890, No. 525, Judicial. It is, however, observed that the recommendations made in the first instance by the Superintendent and the Inspector-General must have been submitted without sufficient inquiry. It should have been ascertained before that a cook-room was unnecessary.

2. The necessity for repairs of the new close prison and the facts brought to light in the Inspector-General's letter of the 15th May 1891, No. 168, indicate a carelessness of workmanship which will be made the subject of inquiry in the Public Works Department. The Government is unable to understand how iron doors of so flimsy a character as to allow of their being bent up could have been designed or constructed and the cracking of the arches and shifting of the "muttay" stones seem to denote very bad work. In the report accompanying the estimate for repairing the close prison it is remarked that the wrenching off of the staples and the bending up of the doors cannot have been done without tools in the former instance and accomplices in the latter; but there is nothing to show that this remark has attracted the attention of the Inspector-General and that any inquiry into the matter has been held. The necessary inquiry will now be made by the Inspector-General. Meanwhile, the estimate for repairs, amounting to Rs. 1,000, must be approved and will be transferred to the Public Works Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

ORDER—dated 16th July 1891, No. 1956 W., Public Works.

The estimates are returned to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, for sanction.

2. The Executive Engineer having reported (*vide* his letter No. 59 S.E., dated 30th April 1891) that convict labour can be employed in carrying out the improvements to the jail, the estimated cost of such labour should be entered at the foot of the abstract estimate in accordance with para. 937 of the Public Works Code.

3. The Superintending Engineer should report on the bad workmanship and design alluded to in para. 2 of the Proceedings above recorded, and, in doing so, the original plans and estimate should be forwarded.

(True Extract.)

Major, R E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, with eleven enclosures.
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
„ Judicial Department.

Exd. A. D. Xavier.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1891} Madras
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated July, 16th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 16th July 1891, No. 1956 W.

JULY.

Central Jail, Trichinopoly

Estimates for repairs and improvements to the — returned, with remarks, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, for sanction.

1956

Letter from the Executive Engineer, Trichinopoly Division to the Superintending Engineer VI Circle No. 743 S.E. d/9th March/91.

1 With reference to para 2 of your endorsement No. 1020 T.C. of 7th December 1890 calling for a revised estimate for the improvements to the Central jail, Trichinopoly sanctioned in G.O. No. 1124 W of 16-4-90, I have the honor to inform you that when the revised estimate in question was about to be submitted, the Inspector General of Jails suggested further alterations in his letter No. 7/100 of 2-2-91 herein enclosed, and the Plans and estimate have accordingly been prepared and are submitted herewith for your approval & sanction.

2 The Revised Plan and estimate were forwarded to the Superintendent of Jails with an inquiry as to whether he would undertake to carry out the work by

by the Jail Department as stated by the Inspector General of Jails. In reply the Superintendent states that the work being emergent he cannot undertake it either before or after 31st March as he has much work in hand.

3. The work has been ordered to be carried out on the lines of the Revised estimate in anticipation of your sanction with a view to utilise fully the reduced allotment of Rupees 1000 granted for this year.

No. 1621 TC d/ 11th March 1891

The Executive Engineers action, as reported in para 3 of his letter is approved, as it is observed that the revised estimate has been prepared in accordance with the Suggestions of the Inspector General

Sd. J W Martin
Offg. Supdg. Engr. VI Circle

No. 1622 TC d/ 11th & 12 March 1891

Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer D. P. W. for information. The revised estimate will be submitted as soon as it is checked in this office

1956/18

2

J W Martin
Offg. Supdg. Engineer
VI Circle

P.W.C. No 2914

From

The Supdg Engineer
VI Circle
(34)

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

No 1622 VC

Dated 11 } March 1891
Despd. 12 }

Ry 14-3-91

Central Jail Trichinopoly

Forwards copies of correspondence on the subject of the question of the improvements to the being proposed to be carried out by the Supt of Central Jail Trichinopoly

Await the revised Estimate

O.V.B.
16.3.91

8.161

a 10.7.91

0/10/7

---

3 Pieces

Copy of letter from Col. Ross Thompson, Executive Engineer, Trichinopoly Dn, to the Supdg Engineer VI Circle

No 59 AL
30.4-91

Ry 56/35

In continuation of my letter No 743 AL of 9.3.91 submitting Revised Plan and Estimate for the improvements to the District Jail at Trichinopoly, I have the honor to inform you that the Superintendent of Central Jail has written to say that he could carry out the work by the Jail labor and requests to know if I have any objection to his commencing the work at once – I request therefore you will be so good as to accord your sanction for carrying out the work by the Jail department in anticipation of sanction to the Revised Estimate.

2. Work to the extent of Rs 515-1-2 has been executed by this Department up to the end of March 1891 –

No

No. 185 T.C.d/ 2d May 1891

The undersigned sees no objection to the work being proceeded with in anticipation of sanction to the revised estimate, which appears to have been prepared in accordance with the suggestion of the Inspector General of Jails & which was forwarded to that office, for transmission to Govt, with this office No. 1629 T.C. of 14.3.91.—

2. In this office No. $\frac{1621 \text{ T.O.}}{10.3.91}$ the Executive Engineer was authorised to proceed with the work—

Signed: J. W. Martin

To the Exec: Engineer
Lucknow P.D. & Dn.

Offg. Supdg. Engineer
6th Circle.

No. 186 T.C.d/ 2d May 1891

Copies forwarded to the Chief Engineer P.W.D. for information in continuation of this office No. $\frac{1622 \text{ T.O.}}{10.3.91}$

Ca. 2911

J. W. Martin
Offg. Supdg. Engineer
2/5/91 6th Circle.

From

The Supdt. Engineer
VI Circle.

To

" The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

No. 186 C
Dated 2d }
Despd. 4 } May 1891

Forwards copies of correspondence, giving permission to carry out the improvements to the District Jail Trichinopoly by the Jail Department.

10/7 R 16-7-91

Judicial Department.

1956
1209

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
MADRAS.

G.O., dated

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following papers:—

From the Inspector General of Jails, dated 12th May 1891, No. 163.

Judl. No. 799
dated 8th May 1890.

Government sanctioned in its order No. 1124 dated 16th April 1890 an expenditure of Rs 3,000/- on the District Jail buildings attached to the Central Jail at Trichinopoly. During my inspections of that jail last year and this I thought it was not advisable to have two main entrances, one to the Central Jail and one to the District Jail, partly because it afforded two loopholes for escape in case of an outbreak, and also because it necessitated extra guarding. I thought it better to close the outer gate of the District Jail and to make a gate through the central jail wall into the District Jail yard, and a gate through the wall dividing the District Jail from the close prison yard. A separate store-room in the District Jail yard I also thought was unnecessary. The fastenings of the doors of the new close prison cells I also found most insecure. I suggested these

these alterations to the District Engineer who
was carrying on these the work of building the
dividing wall between the close prison and
the District Jail and the drainage of the
District Jail. I have the honor to submit
the Superintending Engineer's estimate for
these alterations for the sanction of Government.
It will be noticed that there is a saving of
Rs 1100 on the original estimate.

From the Same Officer, dated
15th May 1891, No 168.

I have the honor to forward
for the sanction of Government an estimate
amounting to Rs 1,000 for repairs to the new
Close Prison attached to the Central Jail
at Trichinopoly.

2. This building is quite new
and has not yet been formally taken
over from the D.P.W. though during
a short outbreak of cholera in January
last 77 cells were occupied.

3 During my inspection of the
Jail in February last, my attention was
drawn to the very insecure fastening of
the doors. I attached a copy of a letter* No* 213
on the subject from the Superintendent
and a copy of my letter* to the Superintending No* 0/133
Engineer.

1956/6 8

4 The iron plate now proposed to be
rivetted on will I think perfectly answer
the purpose and will accord with Drs Walker
and Lethbridges' suggestion that locks should
be so placed that prisoners will not be able
to reach them from inside. I think this
plan should be adopted in all Jails. The
ventilation will be very slightly affected
as there is plenty of air and space above
and below.

Order 19th June 1891, No 1206.

The estimate amounting to
Rupees 1,900 received with the Inspector
General's letter dated 12th May 1891 No 163
is approved and will be transferred to the
Public Works Department in supersession
of that approved in G.O. dated 20th March
1890 No 525 Judicial. It is however observed 1124 W.D. 16.4.90
that the recommendations made in the first
instance by the Superintendent and the
Inspector

Inspector General must have been submitted without sufficient enquiry. It should have been ascertained before that a cookroom was unnecessary.

2. The necessity for repairs of the new close prison, and the facts brought to light in the Inspector General's letter of the 15th May 1891 No 168 indicate a carelessness of workmanship which will be made the subject of enquiry in the Public Works Department. The Government is unable to understand how iron doors of so flimsy a character as to allow of their being bent up could have been designed or constructed and the cracking of the arches and shifting of the "Muttay" stones seems to denote very bad work.

In the Report accompanying the estimate for repairing the close prison it is remarked that the wrenching off of the staples and the bending up of the doors cannot have been done without tools

tools

1956/89

tools in the former instance, and accomplices in the latter. But there is nothing to show that this remark has attracted the attention of the Inspector General and that any enquiry into the matter has been held. The necessary enquiry will now be made by the Inspector General.

J Price

Meanwhile the estimate for repairs amounting to Rupees 1,000 must be approved and will be transferred to the Public Works Department.

(True Extract)

J W Bodley

Major R.E.

Under Secretary to Govt. PWD

for Chief Secretary

J. F. Price

Chief Secretary

To

The Public Works Department
with all enclos;

" Inspector General of Jails.

[illegible]

1956/10

[6] 6969 G. O. No 1124 W d/ 16-4-90

Govt. of 18 Madras

To

The Public Works Deptt

Rd 29-6-91
Rec 11 (& 9 plans + 1 sketch)

Proceedings, dated 19 June 1891.
No. 1206.

Subject.

Approving and transferring with remarks to the P.W. Deptt estimate amounting to Rs 1900 for works connected with the Trichinopoly Jail

Circ 30-6-91

J.

c/7 R 16-7-91

Sanction.

As the S.E. states that jail labour can be employed, a foot note in accordance with para 437 P.W. Code should be made.

The S.E. should report on the bad workmanship & design alluded to, and in doing so should transmit the original plans.

Leslie

R

1956 W

Public Works Department.

Current No. 6969 1956/11
Letter No. 1206
Dated 19th June/91
From the Judicial Department

NOTE OF REMARKS.

The estimates under review for the following works in the Central Jail at Trichinopoly have been submitted for sanction.

		Rs
A.	Repairing the cells for male convicts in the new District Jail at Trichinopoly -----	1000
B.	Revised estimate for alterations & improvements to the Central Jail at Trichinopoly is submitted for sanction in supersession of the estimate for Rs 3000 sanctioned in G. O. No 1124, W d/ 16-4-90 ----	1900

The necessity for repairs is explained in the report for Estimate A. ——

The saving effected viz Rs 1100 in estimate B is due to many of the items struck out in the original estimate & these omissions are clearly shown in the Comparative Statement.

The rates are fair.

Please see Judicial Dept G.O. No 1206 d/ 19th June 1891 in which certain points are brought forward regarding failure of the close prison.

Without the original plans no proper conclusion can be arrived at.

In a foot-note of the revised estimate under review it is stated that "Value of convict labour to be employed - Nil - vide Jail Superintendent's letter No 327 d/ 6-3-91" which is not in the file; but by the Exe: Engr's letter No 59 S.E. d/ 30-4-91 it is stated that if approved the convict-labour can be employed on this work in anticipation of sanction, in which case the estimate may be returned to the S.E. VI Circle to — make the necessary foot note in the estimate & to state the amount of convict-labour so employed.

The plans & estimates have been countersigned by the I.G. of Jails & approved in the Judl. Deptt & transferred to this Deptt with remarks referred to in G.O. No 1206 d/ 19-6-91 quoted above.

4.7.91

No. 1956 W. d/ 16th July 1891.

B.P. Civil Works

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

1956/12

A/

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Print

From the Supdg. Engineer VI Circle No: 1622 J.C. d/ 11th March 1891

——— do ——— do ——— No: 186 J.C. d/ 2nd May 1891

Proceedings of Govt. Judicial Department No: 1206 d/ 19th June 1891

9 P

10 Pica

[illegible] 13/July

The estimates are returned to the Supdg. Engineer VI Circle for sanction.

2. The Executive Engineer having reported, vide his letter No: 59 S.E. d/ 30th April 1891, that convict labour ~~would~~ can be employed in carrying out the improvements to the jail, the estimated cost of such labour should be entered at the foot of the abstract estimate in accordance with para 937 of the P.W. Code.

3. The Supdg. Engineer should report on the bad workmanship and design alluded to in para 2 of the Proceedings above recorded, and in doing so, the original

plans and estimate should be forwarded.

OVB
10.7.91

S
10.7

J-Sh.
J/SDy 16-7-91

S
23/7

To

The S. E. VI Circle with vouchers

" Examiner P. W. Accts

" Judicial Department

0/10/7
Cm

Maj

Ohs 75. VII
Hm 13. VII
M 14. VII

1956/13.

10

6969

Govt. of 18 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated 16/7

Recd   Encl

G.O. 16 July 1891 No. 1956 W.

Central Jail.
Trichinopoly

Estimates for repairs & improvements to the — recd with remarks to the S.E. VI Circle for sanction.

13

Rec 16/7   16/7