

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 18
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 7.5.99
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் [Signature]

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

(10)

P. W. D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	182	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	20-1-1891	198.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

British sheet no 7

9 sheets

ஆவண. கா-1-18,006-15-6-87.

(2)

(C. No. $\frac{1201}{8}$)

GOVT. }
OF MADRAS. }

1890.

{ P. W.
DEPT. }

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

Madras, 4 December 1890.

OFFICE NOTE No. 7174.

dated

189 .

To

W.

With reference to the second para of G.O.No.2122 W.dated 5th.August 1890, I would suggest that the following be inserted as a note to code para 1821 B.

"Claims which are to be paid by the Executive Engineer himself should as a rule be similarly checked by the accountant. In exceptional cases when there are special reasons for immediate payment, a payment not exceeding 80 per cent of the claim may be made by the Executive Engineer before check of the claim by the accountant; but such payments must be made only in cases in which the circumstances are really exceptional".

2. If the above is approved of by Government a standing order will be drawn up accordingly.

C.

P.W. Ccts. 11.6.70

Ad 5/12/90

W/
Sunder
5-12-90



182

No. 5134-C

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 10 December 1890.

OFFICE NOTE.

From

W

To

O

Yours office note

N^o. 7174 dated 4th December
1890.

2. I am not prepared
to ask Government to
adopt the rule as worded

3. For the last portion
from "~~for~~^{on} exceptional
cases" to the end, I would
substitute "when the
Executive Engineer con-
=siders that to wait for
the accountants check

J

would cause hardship
to the claimant, pay-
ment may be made
on his own responsibility
before such check - or
words to that effect.

4. The object is to
give a wide discretion
to Executive Engineers
in such cases.

No. 185 of 12-1-91

To W.

I would suggest the proposed note
to run as follows:-
"In exceptional cases where the Ex-
ecutive Engineer considers that post-
ponement of payment until after check by
the accountant would cause serious hard-
ship to the payee, he may pay before such
check, on his sole responsibility, an
amount not exceeding 80 per cent of the
claim apparently admissible."

2. My reason for entering a limit is
that Government from the tenor of their
order (No. 2122 W. dated 5th. August 1890.)

182
apparently contemplated some definite
limits; but if Government now think any
limitation unnecessary, the 80 per cent
limit can be omitted.

W. C.

12/1

PWC No 406

2122 May 58-90

R/L 1-91

No 182 May 20-1-91

W/ J. J. J. 1431-91

No. 5134C

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 10 December 1890.

OFFICE NOTE.

From

W

To

C

Your office note
No 7174 of 4 December 190.

2. I am not prepared
to ask Govt. to adopt
the rule as worded.

3. For the last portion
from "for ⁱⁿ exceptional
cases" to the end, I
would substitute "when
the Executive Engineer
considers that to wait
for the accountants
check would cause
hardship to the claimant,
payment may be
made on his own

272

responsibility before such
check - or words to
that effect.

4. The object is to
give a wide discretion
to Executive Engineers
in such cases.

8/10/12 (J. J. P.)
W

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Read the following:—

Office note from the Exr. P. W. Accounts, No. 7174 dated
4th December 1890.

Office note to the Exr. P. W. Accounts No. 5134C dated
10th December 1890


Office note from the Exr. P. W. Accounts No. 185 dated
12th December 1891.

FOR ORDERS.

The undersigned con-
siders the wording proposed
in his note of the 10th ultimo
preferable to that suggested
by the Examiner.

Very well.
Wms
17/12 It is, as a matter of fact,
only in exceptional cases
that such payments as
those under consideration
are made, and in those
cases there is no occasion
to limit the Executive Engineer
to 80 per cent of the claim

L
W.



182

6

FOR ORDERS.

over

No. 182 W of 20-1-91

Nov. 16. 1. 91
R19

C. J. W.

For orders - Nov. 16. 1. 91

The undersigned
considers the working
proposed in his note
of the 10th ult.
preferable to that
suggested by the
pensioner.

It is, as a matter
of fact, only in ex-
ceptional cases that
such payments as
are made in consideration
of work, and in those
cases there is no occasion
to limit the percentage
given to 80 per cent.
of the claim. The
object is to avoid
the payment of

payment may be
made

1891 Jan 20 7174
G. 1891 Jan 20 7174

I am not prepared
to enter upon B
adoption the rule as
would -

Intend of For the last
portion from "In
exceptional cases" to the
end, I would substitute

When the President
Gives considers
that it is in the
the interests of the
8

Ms. 16.1.91
R19

payment may be
ma

would come from steps
to the statement, payment
may be made on his
own responsibility before
reaching the "or words
to that effect -

The object is to give
a wide extension to
the Govt in such cases -

S. J. K.

Ms. 16.1.91
R19

No. 182 of 20 Jan 1891

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

office Note from the
Examiner P. W. Assets } H.E. No 7174 of 4 Dec 1890

— Do — Do — H.E. No 185 of 12 Jan 1891

With reference to
para 2 of G.O. No 2122 W
dated 5 August 1890, the
following note will be
added to para 1621 B,
P.W. Code :-

"Claims which are
to be paid by the Exec.
Engineer himself should
as a rule be similarly
checked by the account-
ant. When the Executive
Engineer considers that
to wait for the accountant's
check would cause
hardship to the payee,
payment may be
made"

made on the Executive
Engineers own responsi-
-bilty before such check.

L

19.1

No. 182 Wd/20 July 189

9

To.

The Examiner

P. W. Hicks.

Prof.

Ch
are 20/11
Prof.