

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 20
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் oct - 30
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

(229)

P. W. D துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	1752	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	29 - 6 - 1891	198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

10. Sheets

ஆவண. கா-1-18,006-15-6-87.

[Signature]

1752
1

29TH JUNE 1891, No. 1752 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following paper:—

From J. C. LARMINIE, Esq., Executive Engineer, Coimbatore division, to the
Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, dated Coimbatore, 8th June 1891,
No. 3499.

In connection with the Ootacamund water-supply from Marliamund reservoir, I have the honour to bring the following circumstances to notice and to request either approval of my proposals or such other instructions as may suggest themselves.

2. Amongst provisions of the estimate (Rs. 1,71,365) was one for wire fencing around the reserved catchment area of the reservoir, the so-called objectionable portions of that catchment having first been eliminated, but this latter course was not followed and the catchment remains as formerly, so, why the wire fencing was ever put down or what good result could have been expected from it, it is not easy to imagine.

3. As laid down, it has been placed simply as a fence to various private properties, chiefly to Tudor Hall and Mr. Wapshare's; at every entrance, or crossing of roads or bridle-paths, gates have been placed (these have invariably been noticed open or loose).

4. Since the fixture of the wire fence it has been steadily deteriorating, particularly the portion round the Tudor Hall estate; one would have supposed the owners would have found it to their interest to take care of it; but, on the contrary, passages through it have been formed in many places, even close to gates, the iron keys have been stolen, iron standards deliberately broken off or wrenched from their places, and the wires broken and twisted to such an extent that, if the fencing is left as it is, in a few years there will be none of it left; these remarks chiefly apply to the fence around the Tudor Hall estate.

5. But that the inutility of the fence has been already admitted is shown by the sanction in G.O. No. 1664 W., of 16th June 1890, for the removal of some 8,000 feet on the eastern boundary of the catchment and its transfer to the fencing in the waterspread of the reservoir.

6. This, of course, leaves so much of the catchment fence entirely open, but this is of no consequence, for, as already noted (para. 3), the remainder simply forms a fence to various properties and inside this fence are dwelling houses, and cultivation and manuring of the soil, feeding of cattle, &c., go on extensively!

7. In connection with the removal of the 8,000 feet of fencing other circumstances have now to be noticed and definitely settled. When the fence was being put down, it was proposed to run it across a portion of Mr. Wapshare's property; he objected to this division of his land and suggested a deviation of the line on a longer alignment, he (Mr. Wapshare) paying for the extra length involved; this was agreed to and Mr. Wapshare actually paid Rs. 345.

8. Now, by the removal of 8,000 feet of fencing, the value of the Rs. 345 is completely lost to Mr. Wapshare, as his property is in consequence left quite open and unfenced on the east and north sides.

It is of course true that, for the expenditure of Rs. 345, he secured a costly fence completely round his property, with convenient and suitable gates; but I am bound to observe that this portion of the fencing had not suffered or deteriorated.

9. I am not qualified to offer a legal opinion on the aspects of the case, but it seems to me that Mr. Wapshare having paid Rs. 345 on the understanding that he would have a complete fence all round his property, it is not now fair to remove that fence and thus to all intents and purposes subject him to a loss of Rs. 345, for, although the extra length of fencing, for which he paid, has not been removed, still it is now quite useless and to complete it would cost him far more than he ever would probably have contemplated spending for such a purpose.

10. Apparently one of two courses should be followed, either refund Rs. 345 to Mr. Wapshare or restore the fencing.

11. The latter course will quite satisfy him and will involve less *actual* (present) expenditure, for, with reference to remarks on the fencing round the Tudor Hall estate, I would propose to completely remove it when far more than will be required to restore Mr. Wapshare's fence will become available.

12. But, on the other hand, if Mr. Wapshare be paid Rs. 345, the *whole* of the fencing will become available and can doubtless be utilised elsewhere; a portion can at once be made use of for enclosing the Jail Hill property, now open to encroachment on all sides.

13. In conclusion, I would particularly draw attention to the fact that, so far as the Marliamund reservoir is concerned, the fencing never was, nor will be, of any possible value and the sooner it is removed the less will be the deterioration.

14. A letter received from Mr. Wapshare on the subject of his claim is forwarded herewith.

No. 320 W.

Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, for favour of obtaining the orders of Government on the subject.

2. The repayment of the sum of Rs. 345 to Mr. Wapshare appears to be the simplest way out of the difficulty.

3. It is considered that the enclosing of the actual waterspread of the reservoir, as ordered in G.O., No. 1664 W., of 16th June 1890, should, as therein decided upon, be carried out.

R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.

16th June 1891.

ORDER—dated 29th June 1891, No. 1752 W., Public Works.

The wire fencing should be taken up and the sum of Rs. 345 contributed by Mr. Wapshare refunded to him.

It is understood that the Superintending Engineer has already been called on by the Chief Engineer to explain the delay in carrying out the orders given in G.O., No. 1664 W., dated 16th June 1890.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. A. D. Xavier.
Spare Copies

1752
2

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of (1891) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated June, 29th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 29th June 1891, No. 1752 W.

Marliamund Reservoir

Ordering that the wire fencing around the catchment basin of the — be taken up and Rs. 345 contributed by Mr. Wapshare towards the fencing refunded to him.

1752 W
H. Larmine Esq.
Executive Engineer
Cumb. Dist. Division
Gayton Park: Ottacumund
June 14th 1891
3812 m

Sir:
I have the honor to bring in writing the following to your notice.

Some time during the months of May, June or July 1889, a Wire Fence was being erected by your Department along the so called "Catchment Basin" of the Marliamund Reservoir: & that time of Fencing came along part of my Property overlooking that Reservoir on its Eastern side. At a point on that Property the Line of Fencing was diverted from ^{my} Boundary along which it before ran; and it was proposed ~~to~~ to erect the Fence across my land which would have cut it into two Blocks; to this I naturally objected, & urged, that the Fence should be continued along my entire Boundary: Mr de Winston demurred to this on the grounds that the length of the Fencing would be much increased & of course more expensive. After some consultation with Mr de Winston I agreed to pay for the extra length of Fencing, the erection of the same & the purchase & putting up of an Iron Gate: both Fencing & Gate were erected as suggested by me for which

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 11th inst. in relation to the fence on the Eastern side of the Reservoir. It was valuable as a whole, and not as a part as it now stands, for you removed the fence along the line by my Boundary, which at once rendered the price paid for by me useless; but, not only so; it has removed the greater part of the fence, and the Gate paid for by me, which was altogether my Private Property, which I had long ago paid your Department for.

I accompanied you a few days ago, and pointed out the matter personally to you so that it is needless that I should write further on the subject except that I desire a reimbursement of the money disbursed by me on the re-erection of the Fence as requested by you viz along the line of my Boundary to where existing Wire Fence now stands by the Eastern shore of the Marlhamund Reservoir.

The Receipt for the Rs. 345 is with my Accountant in Madras. I can procure it for you should you wish to inspect it.

I have the honor to be
 Sir,
 Your obedient servant
 Henry Wapshare

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 11th inst. in relation to the fence on the Eastern side of the Reservoir. It was valuable as a whole, and not as a part as it now stands, for you removed the fence along the line by my Boundary, which at once rendered the price paid for by me useless; but, not only so; it has removed the greater part of the fence, and the Gate paid for by me, which was altogether my Private Property, which I had long ago paid your Department for.

I accompanied you a few days ago, and pointed out the matter personally to you so that it is needless that I should write further on the subject except that I desire a reimbursement of the money disbursed by me on the re-erection of the Fence as requested by you viz along the line of my Boundary to where existing Wire Fence now stands by the Eastern shore of the Marlhamund Reservoir.

The Receipt for the Rs. 345 is with my Accountant in Madras. I can procure it for you should you wish to inspect it.

I have the honor to be
 Sir,
 Your obedient servant
 Henry Wapshare

Marliamund Reservoir
 Ordering that the wire fencing around the catchment basin of the — be taken up and Rs. 345 contributed by Mr. Wapshare towards the fencing refunded to him.

1752/5
No 3499

Executive Engineer's office,
Coimbatore Division,
Coimbatore, 8th June 1891.

From,

J. C. Lammie, Esq.,
Executive Engineer,
Coimbatore Division,

To, The Superintending Engineer,
Fourth Circle,
Coimbatore.

Sir,

In connection with the Cotacamund Water Supply from Marliamund reservoir, I have the honor to bring the following circumstances to notice and to request either approval of any proposals or such other instructions as may suggest themselves.

2. Amongst provisions of the Estimate Rs 1,71,365, was one for wire fencing around the reserved catchment area of the reservoir, the so called objectionable portions of that catchment having first been eliminated, but this latter course was not followed and the catchment remains as formerly; so why the wire fencing was ever put down or what good result could have been expected from

it, it is not easy to imagine.

3. As laid down, it has been placed simply as a fence to various private properties chiefly to Tudor Hall and and Mr. Wapshare's, at every entrance, or crossing of roads or bridle paths, gates have been placed, (these have invariably been noticed open or loose).

4. Since the fixture of the wire fence, it has been steadily deteriorating, particularly the portion round the Tudor Hall Estate; one would have supposed the owners would have found it to their interest to take care of it; but on the contrary, passages through it have been formed, in many places even close to gates, the iron keys have been stolen, iron standards deliberately broken off or wrenched from their places, and the wires broken and twisted, to such an extent that, if the fencing is left as it is, in a few years there will be none of it left, these remarks chiefly apply to the fence around the Tudor Hall Estate.

5. But that the inability of the fence has been already admitted is shown by the sanction in G.O. No. 1664 of 16 June

1758/6

June 1890 for the removal of some conflict on the eastern boundary of the catchment and its transfer to the fencing in, the dissemination of the reservoir.

6. This, of course, leaves so much of the catchment fence entirely open, but this is of no consequence, for as already noted (para 3) the remainder simply forms a fence to various properties and in ~~side~~ this fence, are dwelling houses, and cultivation and manuring of the soil, feeding of cattle &c, goes on extensively.

7. In connection with the removal of the 8000 feet of fencing other circumstances have now to be noticed and definitely settled. When the fence was being put down, it was proposed to run it across a portion of Mr. Wapshare's property he objected to this division of his land and suggested a deviation of the line on a longer alignment, he (Mr. Wapshare) paying for the extra length involved, this was agreed to and Mr. Wapshare actually paid Rs 34.5.

8. Now, by the removal of 8000 feet of fencing the value of the Rs 34.5 is completely lost to Mr. Wapshare as his property is in consequence

left quite open and unfenced on the East and North sides.

It is of course true, that for the expenditure of Rs 345 he secured a cord by fence completely round his property, with convenient and suitable gates, but I am bound to observe that this portion of the fencing had not suffered or deteriorated.

9. I am not qualified to offer a legal opinion on the aspects of the case, but it seems to me that Mr. Wapshare having paid Rs 345 on the understanding that he would have a complete fence all round his property, it is not now fair to remove that fence and thus to all intents and purposes subject him to a loss of Rs 345, for although the extra length of fencing for which he paid has not been removed, still it is now quite useless and to complete it would cost him far more than he ever would, probably, have contemplated spending for such a purpose.

10. Apparently one of two courses should be followed, either refund Rs 345 to Mr. Wapshare or restore the fencing.

11. The latter course will quite satisfy him and will involve less actual

+ present

say on on the fence round the under Hall Estate, I would propose to completely remove it when far more than will be required to restore Mr. Wapshare's fence will become available.

1758/7

12. But, on the other hand, if Mr. Wapshare be paid Rs 345, the whole of the fencing will become available and can doubtless be utilised elsewhere, a portion

* Required to restore Mr. Wapshare's fence 3225 ft. 1 pair 9 foot gates and posts 1 single gate and posts, cost to remove and repair Rs 200. As with the value of the fence required the cost will far exceed Rs 345 as claimed which if paid we shall recover the portion of fence remaining.

can at once be made use of for enclosing the Jail Hill property, now open to encroachment on all sides.

13. In conclusion, I would particularly draw attention to the fact, that so far as the Marlborough Reservoir is concerned the

fencing never was, nor will be of any possible value and the sooner it is removed the less will be the deterioration.

14. A letter received from Mr. Wapshare on the subject of his claim is forwarded herewith.

I have &c,
Edw. J. E. Lammie,
Executive Engineer.

Copy Forwarded to the Chief
Engineer, P.W. Department, for favor
of obtaining the orders of Govern-
ment on the subject.

Enclos

2. The repayment of the sum of
Rs 345 to Mr. Wapshare appears
to be the simplest way out of the
difficulty.

3. It is considered that the enclosing
of the actual water spread of the
Reservoir as ordered in G.O. No 1061 of
16 June 1890 should, as there decided
upon, be carried out.

President Buchanan,

Lt Colclt,

Aspy. Engineer, 4 Circle.

Satisfy him and return

20

1752/8

From

The Subg Engr
4 Circle

Dated 16 June 1891
Despd 17
Rd 18-6-91

A 320
W

Encl 1

Water Supply Marla -
mural reservoir coty
Forwarding copy of letter
from the Ex. Engr. Coimbatore
on bringing to notice
certain circumstances in
connection with the
fencing.

18/6

22.6.91

will involve less actual

0013
18.6.91

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Read the following:-

in the S. E. IV Circle No 320 W of 16. VI. 91.

FOR ORDERS.

It is suggested
that Mr Wapohare
be repaid the sum
of Rs 345 expended
by him on the wire
fencing.

2. It is not understood
why the orders contained
in G.O. No 1664 W dated
15th June 1890 have
not been carried
out. The Subg Engr
has been called on
to explain.

At

W

~~1752~~
~~1752~~
 from orders
 40

B & P
 civil works

FOR ORDERS.

No. 1752 of 29 June 1891

The wire fencing
 should be taken up,
 and the sum of Rs 345
 contributed by Mr.
 Wapsham refunded to
 him.

It is understood
 that the Suptg. Engr.
 has already been called
 on by the Chief Engineer
 to explain the delay
 in carrying out the
 orders given in G.O. 1664 W
 d/16 June 1890.

S. E. to Circle
 Ex. P. W. A.

S. E.
 10/12/91
 11/22/91
 12/25/91

1752
 10

31

satisfy him in

6550

Govt. of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

Recd

Encl.

G.O. 29th June 1915 No. 1752 W.

Masilamuni Reservoir

Ordering that the wire
fencing around the
Catchment basin of the
be taken up & Rs 345
Contributed by Mr. Wapshere
towards the fencing
expended to him.

(10)

10
3

490 30/10

2 30/10