

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 8
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 29 - 1990
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 600

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

(221)

P. W. D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	<u>1744</u>	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	<u>29 - 6 - 1891</u>	198

மடிக்கலோ கண்டபடி கையாளலோ கூடாது

4 sheets

ஆவண, கா-1-18,006-15-6-87.

600

(8)

1744
In the Court of the District Magistrate of Madras and

Local Sudder Case No. 74 of 91.

Name of Complainant: Rangachari

Name of the accused: (1) Lalai Boyan

(2) Perumal Boyan (3) Kuppan (4)

Omuthra Boyan (5) Rangaboyan

(6) Thasa Boyan (7) Patniala

(8) Linnia Boyan (9) Manthai (10)

Alagis Boyan (11) Rangaboyan

Offence: Voluntarily obstructing a

Public Servant Section 186 of the Indian

Penal Code and

353 of the Indian Penal Code.

Finding: Guilty.

Sentence: Each 7 days rigorous

imprisonment and 2 Rs fine in

default. Today's similar imprison-


ment in addition.

Judgment: The Complainant Rangachari is a D. M. Subordinate in the

Revenue Section and his Complainant

Correction 2. is

I recommend that his request
be acceded to.



B. O. R.
29 MAY 91

Copy applied for 25-5-91
Paper & C. Prod used 11-5-91
Copy ready 17-5-91
Copy delivered 18-5-91
Dords. 326.

W. M. M. M. M.
L. C. D. R. S.

Encls No 1 to SEB No 239m2
11-6-91

Copy of letter from the Executive Engineer, Madurai division to the Supty. Engineer S. Circle No 912 of 3rd June 1891

1744/3 Sub-overseer K.R. Rengachari reported last month that he had been obstructed in the measurement of certain work by the coolies and requested permission to prosecute them. As it did not appear from his report that the obstruction had gone beyond threats he was given leave to prosecute on his own responsibility and has done so successfully. Enclose the Magistrate's judgment.

The Sub-overseer reports that he has incurred the following costs and requests to be repaid -

Vakial fee	Rs 7-0-0
Vakalat	0-8-0
Total	7-8-0

I recommend that his request be acceded to.

239 m d 2/11 June 1891
5-12/-

Copy submitted to the Chief
Engineer P. W. D. for orders of Govt.
The Executive Engineer appears
to have authorized the pro-
secution under para 412 of
the P. W. Code -

Encls: 1
Judgment

11/9/91
L. W. Martin
offg. Supt. Engineer
P. W. D.

6402

17/8/91
9

From

The Supt. Engineer
P. W. D.

For orders

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

A. L.
W.

No 239 m d

Dated 11/3 June 1891

Desp. 12/3

Rd 15-6-91

Sec 1.

Case of assault against
Sub-overseer Pungadharin

submits for orders
letter from the Sec: Govt
Madras on reporting on
the — by certain losses
in the execution of his
work and recommend-
ing that the cost of pro-
secution be paid to him -

(2)

16.6.91

The prosecution ought not to have
been instituted. The wheel man
has been employed. If the overseer
wanted to answer himself with
criminal cases, he must bear the
cost.

16/6/91

17/4/91 2/29 June 1891

The prosecution
was instituted on the
losses incurred on the
Sub-overseer's own
responsibility and he
must himself bear the
costs. There is nothing
in the case to justify
in the case to justify
the cost.

17/6 H. W. V. 11/10 VI
22/6

of public funds.
Public funds -

S. C. W. Circle Ad
S. E. VI circle
RS
Aug 24/6

~~1244~~
4

~~490~~
171

~~1244~~
4