

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 6

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் Nov - 1890

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

(204)

P. W. D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். 1727
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 26 - 6 - 1891

198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

3. Sheets

வண. கா-1-18,006-15-6-87.

2+1 bottle etc.

சு

1727
The Chief Engineer
with the Government
of Madras

Sir,

Referring to your N^o 1861 C dated
3rd April 1891, promising careful considera-
-tion to any scheme I may submit for the
purification of the boom; I have the honor
to forward herewith 6 copies of a printed
Memorandum on the subject and to state,
that should the views therein enunciated
be favorably received I would be glad
to undertake the elaboration of the scheme,
or a practical demonstration of its
feasibility, as you may decide.

The diversion of the sewage is
not the least of the difficulties connected
with the purification of the River. I
have not entered into any details on this
matter, as to make it intelligible, surveys and
levels

Sent to Mr. G.
on 16.4.91

P. W. Current 6 198

levels will be necessary

I am entitled to leave in my present Service, and could undertake any work in connection with the boom should you be willing to permit my doing so.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Mysore
June 1891

Your most obedient servant

[Signature]

Recd 9-6-91
Enc 1 (x 650)

[Faint handwritten text, mostly illegible]

0.24 76/6

10-6-91

No. E.
Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Ootacamund, 16th June 1891

OFFICE NOTE.

From *[Signature]*
To *[Signature]*

I return Mr. Staudish Lee's forum scheme with the following remarks

(1) The diversion of the forum through the Kungumbakam Tank and the Inyapora (Long) tank to the Adyar would not be a difficult matter, but it must be remembered that the portion between St. Mary's Bridge and the Grant-Duff Bridge must be retained in some form for navigation and that if the flood waters of the forum be added to those of the Adyar, the valuable riparian properties near the mouth of the latter

will be seriously injured,
even now they often
suffer from inundation.

(2) The scheme supposes
the entire exclusion of
sewage from the course
of the foam, but if
such exclusion were
carried out there would
be no necessity to divert
the foam which is
not itself at fault;
it is a nuisance because
it is an open sewer; keep all
sewage out of it, give it
a channel of such section
that it would no longer
have extensive shallow
foreshores, sometimes
only just awash or
even exposed, and it
would cease to be a
nuisance to man.

(3) And here I may say
that though the scheme
for admission of sea
water by means of a
canal from the harbour
will doubtless improve
the appearance of the river
during the hot months,
and will have some
effect in lessening the
intensity of stinks which
at that time of year
results from exposed
flats and foreshores
impregnated with sewage
matter, I do not believe
that it will result in removing

out the already accumulated sewage matter or even in
contaminating it. Carrying away such sewage as may continue
to be poured into the foam and the Cochrane's Canal -
There is no way of keeping the foam decently sweet other
than keeping all sewage out of it.

C. J. G. G. G.
After 30th Nov 1891

1727
3
No. 1727 W. 26 June 1891

(3)

OR ORDERS.

see of June 1891

from Mr Standish
see note from Chis

of 16th

1727/13

Please see
with Mr Standish
plan for sweeten
the Coorum. His
scheme seems to
beg the whole
question and provide
in a sketchy manner
disposing of the
wage by pipes
and adds to the
cost thereof the
total obliteration
the Coorum within
Madras limits!

J.H.

W

2/12/10

30/10/13 ✓

17-12

11/10

B

10/1727

10/1727 10/26 Jan

Mr Lee with his
for the outline of
Scheme proposed
him for diverging
the Coom, and in
excellency the
in Council is no
prepared to San