(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 34
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் Oct. 1990
(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(164)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். / மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 1674-5 அச்சகம் / பலவகை

நாள் : 22-6-1891 ~~198~~

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

17. Sheets

ஆவண. கா-1—18,000—15-6-87.

28th October 1889, Nos. 3029, 3030 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

Read—the following paper :—

Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 16th October 1889, No. 2471.

From W. H. WELSH, Esq., Acting Collector of Madura, to the Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Madura, 30th September 1889, No. 259.

In forwarding herewith copy of a letter from the Deputy Collector and Manager, Ramnad, proposing to employ Mr. Taylor, Sub-division Officer, Ramnad, as Estate Engineer, I have the honor to request that the proposal may be submitted for the orders of Government which would be required for placing the services of that officer at the disposal of the minor zemindar. Mr. Taylor is reported to be willing to accept the post on a salary of Rs. 400 *plus* the usual pensionary contribution.

2. I request that the early orders of Government may be solicited, as it is necessary to secure an Engineer for the estate as early as possible.

3. The Manager has communicated to Mariappa Mudali that his services will not be needed. It is requested that the Court will be pleased to inform the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, with reference to his letter No. 835 D., dated 9th September 1889, to the Court.

Enclosure.

From T. RAJARAM ROW, Deputy Collector and Manager, Ramnad Estate, to the Collector of Madura, dated Ramnad, 25th September 1889, No. 155.

In continuation of my letter No. 140, dated 10th instant, I have the honor to inform you that Mr. Mariappa Mudaliar has not yet turned up, and the time allowed him for joining the appointment has already expired. I hear from the Executive Engineer of the Ganjam district that Mariappa Mudaliar was not permitted by the Superintending Engineer to accept the appointment of the Estate Engineer. I therefore, at the suggestion of the minor zemindar, gave a notice to Mariappa Mudaliar cancelling the offer made to him.

2. I consulted the minor zemindar regarding the applications (returned herewith) received with your letter No. 3293, dated 19th instant. He observes that all the applicants, except Vaikuntamayyar, belong to the northern country; that they are apparently ignorant of the vernacular of the district and of the manners and customs of the people of the estate; that their experience in the execution of the irrigation works seems to be limited; and that their services will not therefore be of much use to the estate till two or three years at least, or until they get some experience in the estate. The minor zemindar also observes that Vaikuntamayyar, though belonging to this part of the country, has evidently little or no experience in connection with the

execution of the irrigation works. He prefers the appointment of an officer who has already been in charge of the estate works, if his services can conveniently be secured. He likes to have as the Estate Engineer Mr. Taylor, the Sub-division Officer in charge of the Estate works, making some special arrangements for carrying on the works in the temples. Mr. Taylor is willing, if the Government permit him, to take up the appointment on a salary of Rs. 400 *plus* the usual pensionary contribution and allowance. The minor zemindar requests that steps may be taken to secure, on the terms proposed by him, the services of that officer before the 20th proximo, if possible, and that if there is any difficulty in obtaining the permission of the Government for transferring Mr. Taylor to the estate service, an offer may be made to Vaikuntamayyar, referred to, for the appointment of the Estate Engineer on the salary of Rs. 250 a month with usual allowance.

3. As it is absolutely necessary that an Engineer should be secured as soon as possible, I beg that you will be pleased to take the necessary steps for complying with the request of the minor zemindar at an early date.

Reference, dated 7th October 1889.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, for favor of very early remarks. Information is also requested as to the length of Mr. Taylor's qualifying service.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

No. 4619-C.

Returned with the remark that the Chief Engineer has no objection to Mr. Taylor's services being made available for the purpose stated, but it is pointed out that in accordance with article 806 (ii) of the Civil Service Regulations the consent of both the Local and Supreme Governments would be necessary to the appointment of Mr. Taylor as Engineer of the Ramnad Zemindari on a salary of Rs. 400 per mensem.

2. Particulars of Mr. Taylor's service in the Public Works Department are noted in the margin.

Appointed	Taluk Overseer ...	...	1871
,,	Overseer, 3rd grade	...	1872
,,	do. 2nd ,,	...	1874
,,	do. 1st ,,	...	1876
,,	Supervisor, 2nd grade	...	1881
,,	do. 1st ,,	...	1886

CHEPÂK,
12th October 1889.

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Ag. Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

Resolution—dated 16th October 1889, No. 2471.

The papers read above will be submitted, through the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, for the orders of Government.

2. The conditions prescribed by the Civil Service Regulations are fulfilled in this case, and the Court recommend that Mr. Taylor's services may be lent to the Zemindar of Ramnad for two years. It will be a great advantage to the zemindar if he can obtain, at the commencement, the services of an Engineer who has had considerable experience of irrigation works.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

ORDER—dated 28th October 1889, No. 3029 W., Public Works.

The Government has no objection to the services of Mr. J. M. Taylor, Supervisor, First-grade, Public Works Department, being placed at the disposal of the Zemindar of Ramnad for a term of two years on the usual condition regarding the payment of pensionary contribution.

2. The Government of India will be addressed.

(Here enter No. 3030 W., dated 28th October 1889.)

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Ag. Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Court of Wards.
,, Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
,, Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

LETTER—to the Secretary to the Government of India, Foreign Department, dated Fort St. George, 28th October 1889, No. 3030 W.

I am directed to forward copy of the marginally-noted Proceedings, and, with reference thereto, to request the sanction * of the Government of India to Mr. Taylor's services being lent to the Zemindar of Ramnad for two years on the usual conditions.

G.O., No. 3029 W., dated 28th October 1889.

* Under Articles 806 (ii) and 820 of the Civil Service Regulations.

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Ag. Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

Exd. J. Moses.
Spare Copies.

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1889} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated October, 28th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 28th Oct. 1889, Nos. 3029, 3030 W.

OCTOBER.

1/Rw. 4 15/9/91

Mr. J. M. Taylor, Supervisor

Forwarding copy of Proceedings regarding employment of — and requesting sanction to his services being lent to the Zemindar of Ramnad for two years.

2/Govt 22/8/90

Rev 2/7356 of 90

No. 986

1674/3

Vridachellam
3rd September 1890.

From

Mr. J. M. Taylor
Supervisor P.W.D.

To

The Chief Engineer and
Secretary to Government P.W.D.
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to G.O. No. 1454 W 23rd May 1890 I beg to request that as the Government of Madras do not object to my drawing the salary of Rs 400, while in the service of the Zemindar of Ramnad, my letter dated 4th May 1890 may be forwarded to the Government of India for favorable consideration.

2. In that letter, I did not mention that the Government of India appear to have sanctioned a salary of Rs 1000 to the Deputy Collector, who has been permitted to take the place of Dewan of Ramnad. In his case, he gets with travelling allowance of Rs 10 per diem

Cover

even while staying for, more than 10 days in a comfortable place like the town of Madura, about 3 times his allowance under Government; so that I do not think the Government will object to my drawing Rs 400, which is only about 1 3/4 times my salary under Government. I therefore request that this circumstance also be brought to the notice of the Government of India.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
M. Taylor
Supervisor P.W.D.

1624 5/4

P.W.D. No ~~88/54~~
203 26
6155/91

U.D. Cir. 8874

R. 19-9-90

No 2863

Forwarded to the
Chief Engineer, [illegible]
Public Works Department.

W H Burton Col R.E.
Supdg Engineer [illegible] Circle
16th September 1890.

408 D of 11-vii-90 file
3581 of 27.6.90 ✓
P. Rno 1454 W of 23.5.90 ✓
" " 1336 W of 12.5.90 ✓
" A " 3027-3040 of 20-10.87 ✓
" " 3587 W of 17-12.87 ✓
" " 793 W of 31-3-88 ✓

Chs 61-H
23-5
24-5

28/1/10
1/10

No 604

forwarded the
the Supdg Eng
Ambassa

11/15/9

From 9/2/90
Depd 13th

Mr. J. M. Tay
Supervisor

To

The Chief Engine
Secy to Government
Mads

Dated 3rd } September
Recd. }

No 986

Submitting an appl
regarding the pay of
to be drawn by the
in Ramnad Zemin

Rev

Hw 6-X-90

1674/5

Estate Engineer's office
Ramnad
Dated 27th June 1890.

No. 689

From
The Estate Engineer
Ramnad

To
The Dewan of
Ramnad

Sir;

With reference to your letter No 181 dated 24th Instant, I have the honor to inform you that I inspected the work done in the palace yesterday, but was unable to examine every portion of it because some portions were in a very filthy condition and to other portions I could not get access. I wrote to the manorerty Agent the day before telling him that I wanted to inspect the work from 8 to 10 A.M. I stayed in the palace from 8 to 9.30' A.M, but nearly half that time was wasted in waiting for admission into different parts of it; and I came away at 9.30'; because I was told I would have to wait for half an hour, if I wanted to inspect one portion of it that required particular inspection. My inspection therefore cannot be said to be complete; but as this is not the contractor's fault, and as he has already had to wait a long time for his money through delay by the overseer in preparing his bill, and a further delay in the issuing of the cheque from your office, I have given him

him his cheques.

2. It would appear that the delay on the part of the Overseer in preparing the bill, was due to his not being able to get access to the work so as to measure it.

3. Maistry Rengasamy Pillay who was hitherto employed on the work, has made certain complaints of bad work and wrong measurements. On examination I find that the work is good; a few faults in it have to be rectified. The Overseer's measurements were however wrong in some places and I wish to check the whole of the measurement. But before I do so, it will be necessary that better arrangements be made, so that I may check the work. The portions of the building where repairs are being done should be vacated altogether till the repairs are completed as is done in Government Buildings. I cannot be expected to wait for admission to my own work. If the Zemindar had not broken his word to me in the matter of the salary of Rs 400, I would not mind inconveniences myself on his account. But as he has broken his word in that and other matters I consider that he has forfeited the respect I was willing to pay him.

4. The Maistry has been dismissed, as I consider he was actuated by a bad motive in making the charges he has made viz, revenge for not having received some money that he asked of the contractor. I enclose a copy of my order on the Subject.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) J. M. Taylor
Estate Engineer

Ramnad

(True copy)

Dewan

1674-5/6

Hw 6-x-90

See No 2

No 2 Letter

From
The Collector
Madura

No 522

Dated 10 - 12 - 90.

Rec 5/1/91
Pw 6/1

2/ G.R. 4242/90

Copy of the letter of the
Estate Engineer
Ramnad

3/ Rev. 4159/91

Hmb-x-90.

No 284

1674/7

Ramnad, Dewan's Office
Ramnad
10 December 1890.

From
Dewan Bahadur T. Rajaram Row Avl.
Dewan of Ramnad Samasthanam

To The Collector of
Madura.

Sir, With reference to your letter dated 3rd
Instant, I have the honor to state that
the Rajah does not see his way to grant
the pensionary contribution also to Mr Taylor
on the balance of Rs 400 consented to be
paid to him as per this office letter No
269 dated 28th ultimo.

2. In this Connection, I think it necessary
to forward for such notice as the higher authori-
ties may deem fit to take copy of Mr
Taylor's letter to me No 689 dated 27th June
last. It is well known to you that I wrote
on behalf of the Rajah recommending a
salary of Rs 400 to Mr Taylor but the
higher pay was refused by the Government
of India. Under these circumstances, I
leave it to you and the higher authorities
to judge whether Mr Taylor was justified
in losing his temper and charging the
Zemindar with breaking his word in the
letter

letter referred to.

3. I also request to be informed whether pensionary Contribution on behalf of Mr. Taylor for the period he was on employ in the Estate should be calculated on his permanent pay under Government or on the temporary pay he was getting or that he is to get from the Estate. If it be the former, pensionary Contribution on Rs 200 which was his permanent pay under Government has already been paid, exclusive of the salary and allowance and therefore nothing more will be due to him on that account.

I have &c
(Signed) T. Rajaram Row
Dewan

(True Copy)

E Turner
Collector

1
GR 4242/90
PW 29/6156/91
Enc No 1.

Recd a letter from the Collector Madura
No 522
Dated 10 - 12 - 90.

P.A.

4/
Rev. 4157/91

Copy of the letter of the Dewan of Ramnad

No. 522

Madura Collector's office
10th December 1890
4242 90

1674/5 / 8

From
E. Turner Esquire,
Collector of Madura

To
H. A. Sim Esquire,
Acting Secretary to the Commissioner of Land Revenue
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to Board's Proceedings dated 3rd November 1890 No. 1724 Miscellaneous, I have the honor to state that the Dewan of Ramnad has communicated to me the Zemindar's consent to pay to Mr. Taylor the higher rate of pay at Rs 400 per mensem for the period of his employment on the Ramnad Estate if the Government has no objection to it. The pay due to him at this rate from 1st October 1889 (the date of his appointment) up to the 15th July 1890 (on which date he left the Estate service) amounts to Rs 3793-8-9 and the amount already drawn by him during the period is Rs 2655-7-9 leaving a balance of Rs 1138-1-0. This sum, the Zemindar agrees to pay to Mr. Taylor in two instalments

presumably includes
entr. on Mr. T's assumed
alary —

viz Rs 500 in January 1891 and Rs 638-12-0 in July next. But the Zemindar is not inclined to pay anything towards pensionary Contribution in addition to what has already been paid to him on that account vide also para 1 of the Dewan's letter (copy enclosed).

2. In this connection, I beg also to submit in original copy of Mr Taylor's letter to the Dewan forming enclosure to the above letter. The wording of Mr Taylor's letter appears to be objectionable.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient St

J Thomas
Collector

83/
PW 6155/91

5/
Rev 4159/91

No 70.

Ramnad Setupati's office
Ramnad
23rd March 1891.

From
Rajah M. Bhaskara Setupati
Zemindar of
Ramnad

To
The Collector of
Madura

1624/9

Sir,

With reference to your letter no 174 of the 29th January last, I have the honor to report on the Board's reference no 4242/1890 dated 22nd Idem as follows:-

2. The sum of Rs 2655-7-9 referred to in para 1. was arrived at by the following Calculations.

(1) Mr Taylor's pay from 1st October 1889 up to 15th July 1890 (viz 9 months and 15 days) at Rs 250 per mensem Rs 2370-15-6

(2) His Subdivision allowance at Rs 30 as the pay (Rs 250) was considered insufficient, Rs 284-8-3

Total Rs 2655-7-9

The amount of Rs 474-3-2 claimed on account of Pensionary Contribution payable to Government at 1/4th of Rs 200 was not included in the total sum given before.

3. As regards para 2, a perusal of the Dewan's letter no 269/28-11-90 to you will show that he had already reported "I am, however, willing to pay Mr Taylor the balance of pay x x due at Rs 400 per mensem x x" and again in his subsequent letter no 284 dated 10th December 1890, reported "the pensionary Contribution on Rs 200 which was his permanent pay under Government has already been paid exclusive of the salary and allowance and therefore nothing more will be due to him on that account". You will thus observe that the pay of Rs 400 is Consolidated. Besides, the loss of Rs 400 alleged to have been sustained by fire was during Mr Taylor's service under the Imperial D. P. W.

4. For purposes of Comparison, I have the honor to give below the details of the pay &c of Mr Taylor according to the rates sanctioned by Government and those rates at which he drew from the Estate.

For the whole period of 9 months & 15 days

	As sanctioned by Government				As already drawn				As now asked for				As agreed finally			
Pay	250	2370	15	6	250	2370	15	6	400	3793	8	9	400	3793	8	9
Pensionary Contribution	1/8 of pay	237	1	7	1/4 of pay	474	3	2	1/8 of pay	237	1	7	-	-	.	.
Sub Division allowance	..	..	..	..	30	284	8	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	.	.
Total	..	2608	1	1	..	3129	10	11	..	4030	10	4	..	3793	8	9

5. It will be seen from the above that a Consolidated payment of Rs 3793-8-9 has been finally agreed to against a sum of Rs 2608-1-1, as per rates sanctioned by Government with an excess of Rs 1185-7-8

6. Thus it is clear that (Rs 3793-8-9 minus Rs 3129-10-11) Rs 663-13-10 is still due to Mr Taylor. This shall be paid on hearing from you again.

7. In the Board's reference (Para 2) the amount that was already drawn from the Estate by Mr Taylor both on account of his pay and pensionary Contribution is shown as Rs 2608-1-1 while his actual receipt for pay, Sub Division allowance and pensionary Contribution (at Rs 250, 30 and 50 per mensem) amounted to Rs 3129-10-11, as detailed in the above statement, and the balance due has been entered as Rs 1422-9-3 while the actual due is only Rs 663-13-10. There is thus a difference of Rs 474-3-2 in the former and of Rs 758-11-5 in the latter. The difference in the receipt is the amount of pensionary Contribution paid to Government at the instance of and through Mr Taylor at Rs 50 or 1/4 of the original pay, while that in the balance due is because Mr Taylor who is given a Consolidated pay of

Rs 400 per mensem is not entitled to the Sub Division allowance at Rs 30 and pensionary Contribution of Rs 50.

I have &c &c
(Sd) Rajah K. Bhaskarasetupati
Zemindar.

BOARD'S GENL. REG. No. 1202 OF 91
LAND REVENUE

No 129

(True Copy)

Submitted to the Acting Secretary to the Commissioner of Land Revenue, Madras, with reference to the Correspondence ending with Board's Proceedings No 867[x] Routine dated 28th March 1891.

x Commg to Collr O.M. No 39 R d/23-3-91

2. It will be seen from the Zemindar's letter that the amount actually drawn by Mr Taylor for the period of 9 months and 15 days is Rs 3129-10-11 as the amount of Rs 474-3-2 paid as pensionary Contribution to him at $\frac{1}{4}$ of Rs 200 for the period of his employment in the Ramnad Zemindari was not included in the amount reported in the letter to the Board, dated 18th October last, No 522, to have been drawn by Mr Taylor. The amount which the Zemindar had, through his Dewan, previously consented to pay to Mr Taylor, was Rs 4030-10-4. From his letter now submitted, however, it will appear that he is not willing to pay Mr Taylor more than the consolidated rate of Rs 400 per mensem nor any pensionary Contribution. The sum now offered amounts to Rs 3793-8-9 and deducting what has already been drawn by Mr Taylor (viz Rs 3129-10-11) there remains due, a sum of Rs 663-13-10 which the Zemindar now says he will pay on hearing further.

3. There are no records in my office to show how and by whom, Mr Taylor was authorised to draw the Sub Division allowance of Rs 30 per mensem and the pensionary Contribution at $\frac{1}{4}$th of his salary of Rs 200.

1674/5
11

Madura Collr's
Office
4th April 1891

P Ramachandra Row
Ag Sub Collector in ch.

Compd
M028

PW 2/6155/91

6/Rev 4159/91

1624-5/12

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue).

Dated 26 May 1891.

No. 3641 Mis

The Hon: Mr. H. W. Bliss
Mr. G. Mc Watters.

READ again Boards' Proceedings dated 3d November 1890 No. 7024 Mis communicating to the Collector of Madura Government order referring certain papers for favor of enquiry whether the Zemindar of Ramnad is willing to pay the higher rate of salary to Mr. Taylor for the period of his employment on the Estate.

Read letter from E. Turner Esquire, Collector of Madura dated 18 December 1890 No. 522, and the following reference thereon dated 22d January 1891

It should be explained how the sum of Rs. 2655-7-9 said to have been already paid to Mr. Taylor has been calculated

2. From the Dewans letter of the 10 December 1890 No. 284, it appears that the Zemindar of Ramnad intends to pay Mr. Taylor, for the period of 9 months and 15 days during which he was on estate duty, Rs. 400 p.m. besides the pensionary contribution of Rs. 25 p.m which has already been paid. If this be so, the amount still due to Mr. Taylor will be Rs. 1375-2-7 as shown below, not Rs. 1138-1-0 as stated by the Collector.

Amount due

Pay for 9 months and 15 days at Rs. 400 p.m	Rs. as. p 3793-8-9	
Pensionary Contribution for 9 months and 15 days at 1/8 of Rs. 200 p.m	237-1-7	
	4030-10-4	A

Amount that should have been drawn at the old rates.

Pay for 9 months and 15 days at Rs. 250 p.m	Rs. as. p 2370-15-6.	
Pensionary Contribution for 9 months and 15 days at Rs. 200/8 p.m.	237-1-7	
Total	2608-1-1	B
Balance due (A-B)	1422-9-3.	
Deduct amount which appears to have been paid in excess viz. (2655-7-9) minus (2608-1-1)	47-6-8	
Amount still due . . .	1375-2-7	
Amount reported to be due by the Collector	1138-1-0	

3. The Collector is requested to report further on the matter, at a very early date making a reference to the Dewan,

if

if there is any doubt.

4. With reference to para 3 of the Dewan's letter of the 10th ultimo, No. 284, it is to be observed that under Article 808 (b) (1) of the C. S. Regulations Mr. Taylor has to pay Contribution only on the pay of the grade in which he is viz. Rs. 200 per mensem.

Read Endorsement of Ramachundra Row Acting Sub Collector in Charge Madura District dated 4 April 1891 No. 129 on letter from Raja M. Bhaskara Setupati Zemindar of Ramnad dated 23rd March 1891 No. 70

Resolution

Submitted to Government with reference to its order dated 17 October 1890 No. 6373 Mis.

1624-5/14

2. It is observed that the Zemindar of Ramnad is willing to pay Mr. Taylor a Consolidated salary of Rs. 400 a month including pensionary contribution. Mr. Taylor will thus be entitled to Rs. 3793-8-9 for the 9 months and 15 days from 1st October 1889 to 15 July 1890 during which period he was employed under the Zemindar. Mr. Taylor having already drawn from the estate funds Rs. 3129-10-11 as noted on the margin he is entitled to the balance of Rs. 663-13-10 which the Zemindar agrees to pay.

1 Pay at Rs. 250 a month -	Rs. 2370-15-6
2. Pensionary contribution above fourth on Rs. 200 a month	474-3-2
3. Sub division allowance at Rs. 30 a month	284-8-3
Total	3129-10-11

3. The Board is not aware of the

authority

authority under which Mr. Taylor drew pensionary contributions at one fourth instead of at one eighth under Article 809 (a) (ii) of the Civil Service Regulations, and sub division allowance of Rs. 30 a month.

4. The Board agrees with the Collector in considering that the wording of Mr. Taylor's letter to the dewan dated 27 June 1890 No 689 is objectionable.

(True Copy and Extract,)

Ag. Secretary

To The Secretary to Government R. D. / with 2 enclos: in Govt. Regr. No. 2288/90 returned and 2 letters & 2 enclosures in original to be returned

Copy to the Collector of Madura.

6155

(1891.)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

~~Current Number~~ 4159

From Board of Revenue
Land Revenue

Dated 26.5.91
Received 28.5.91
Enclosures 6

No. 3641 Mis

Rd 8-6-91

SUBJECT.

Submg: to Govt: with reference to its order dated 17-10-90 No: 6313 mis Copy of the Ramnad Zemindar's letter re: the highest rate of pay of Mr: Taylor for the period of his employment in the Ramnad zemindari as Estate Engineer

OLS 13/6

18/6/91

ABSTRACT.

Endorsement No: 3288

Transferred to the Public Works Department with reference to its memo dated 10th October 1890 No: 4109 C

[illegible]
Asst Secretary

Ootacamund
4th June 1891

No. 1674-5 W. d/ 22-6-91.
at Madras

S. Anr. 793 W d/ 31-3.85
" " " 3029-30 W d/ 28.10.89
" " " 3557 W d/ 17.12.89.
" B " 1326 W d/ 12.5.90
" " " 1452 W d/ 23.5.90
memo No 358 D d/ 27.6.90
" " 408 D d/ 11-7.90
" " 4109 C d/ 16.10.90
" " 4332 C d/ 24.10.90
" " 5113 C d/ 9.12.90
" " 2517 D d/ 15.5.91

0/17/6

No. 1674 W d/ 22nd June 1891

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

B

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

1674-5/16

READ the following

Endorsement from the Supg Engineer V Circle No 2863 dated 16-9-90 on letter from Mr. J. M. Taylor Supervisor P.W.D. No 986 dated 2-7-90

Pros of the Board of Revenue No 3641 dated 26th May 1891.

Endorsement in the Revenue Depart No 3288 dated 4th June 1891.

Ordered that the Govt of India be addressed.

2. Mr Taylor will be informed that the tone of his letter No 689 dated 27 June 1890 to the Dewan of Ramnad is objectionable & he will be directed to submit through the Supg Engr V Circle an apology to the Zemindar.

S. E. V Circle
Revenue Depart

H. E. No 1675 W 22 June 1891

To the Secretary to the Govt of India — Foreign Depart

Sir,

With reference to your

letter No 2096 G. dated 4th Decr 1889, I am directed to request that Mr J. M. Taylor Supr P.W. Depart: may as a special case be permitted to draw pay from the Zemindar of Ramnad at the rate of Rs: 400 per mensem, inclusive of pensionary contribution, for the period from 1st October 1889 to 15th July 1890, during which he was employed as Estate Engineer Ramnad Zemindari.—

2. It will be seen from the papers forwarded with this office letter No 3030 W dated 28th October 1889 that the Zemindar was willing to engage Mr Taylor's services on Rs: 400 per mensem, & the latter joined the appointment in the expectation of receiving that salary. Your letter No 2096 G d/4 Decr 1889 however restricted his pay to that of the next grade above that which he held permanently in the British Service, & as his permanent pay as Supr 1st grade was Rs: 200 per mensem, his salary under the Zemindari was limited to Rs: 250 per mensem or the pay of a Sub Engr 3rd grade—

1674-5
17

3. The Zemindar has now expressed his willingness to disburse to Mr Taylor the difference between Rs: 250 allowances) & Rs: 400 (consolidated, per mensem for the period of 9½ months during which the latter served as Estate Engineer, & the sanction of the Govt of India to this payment is requested—

4 - Mr Taylor's connection with the Zemindari ceased on the 15th July 1890.

WB
12.6.91

A.G.

Rr 6/6/91

No. 1674 - SW2/22 June 1891

~~After [illegible]~~

(17)

Rec 23/6

Ad 13/6

25/6

h. 6/6/91