

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 12
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 1190
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(17)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	<u>1504</u>	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	<u>1-6-1891</u>	198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

6-Sheets

ஆவண. கா-1-18,006-15-6-87.

FOR ORDERS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

IRRIGATION BRANCH.

Find the following:—

1504

No 164 Dd/b 1/10/11

Office note

FOR ORDERS.

No 164 Dd/b 1/10/11

An officer (C.P.W.) has been requested by the Court of Wards to report on & value a property then proposed purchasing & has been offered the usual fees (1 1/2 % on valuation) by the Court

Can he accept such fees under P.W.C. para 654. Note I clause (1)? Does the Court of Wards come under the definition "Court" in this clause.

Dd/b 6/5

Ald.

6/5 W

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Ootacamund, 6th May 1891.

OFFICE NOTE.

From W

To

C.

An officer (D.S.W.) has been requested by the Court of Wards to report on and value a property they propose purchasing and as has been offered the usual fee (1/2% on valuation) by the Court.

Can he accept such fees under P.W. Code para 664 sub I clause (1)? Does the Court of Wards come under the definition "court" in this clause.

[Handwritten signatures and initials]

no Examiner of accounts para 665 E which seems to apply to this case; the funds from which the fee would be paid being "private" funds.

Paras 664 & 665 quoted by C refer to an "honorarium" sanctioned by Govt. from public funds. And not to a "fee" given by a private person or body from private sources, e.g. the Court of Wards who administer the funds of private individuals.

It would be well if the officer in question submitted an official application under para 665 E. He should state whether the fee is likely to exceed Rs 500. In this case, the

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Ootacamund, 6th May 1891.

OFFICE NOTE.

From W

To

An officer (D.S.W.) has been requested by the Court of Wards to report on and value a property they propose purchasing and has been offered the usual fees (1/2% on valuation) by the Court.

Can he accept such fees under P.W. Code para 664 note I clause (1)? Does the Court of Wards come under the definition "court" in this clause.

W

Sanction of
G.O. to be made
in the necessary
form.

MS

14.5.91

Yes, I think S.O. 1357
covers the case &
we have had better be
asked to submit an
aff. application stating
the probable amount of
fees & with reference
to S.O. 1357

ALS

13/5

1504
2

No. 2696 of 9-5-91

P.W. Code

To W.

According to what I understand to be the true intention of the rule, I think acceptance of the fee is permissible, provided the Chief Engineer P.W.D. is in a position to give the certificate required by Code para 664; but it does not seem permissible according to the letter of the rules.

2. The funds administered by the Court of Wards are neither Imperial, nor Provincial nor Local and therefore according to the letter of the rule, Code para 664, does not apply in this case, but I think this is the result of a mistake and that the "Local" in Code para 664 is intended to be the same as the "Local or other" of para 665 in which case, Code para 664 would apply to the Court of Wards.

46
C.

No. 216 D of 15 May 1891

Copies of the above forwarded to the Consulting Architect who is requested to submit an official application under para 665 E of the Public Works Code which would seem to be

17d 11-5-91

Standing Order 1357 of 1890

① But para 665 E does?
R.P.

1504
11

more applicable to the case than the rules quoted by the Examiner. The probable amount of the fee should be stated.

MS

EW

No. 1640 of 6-5-91

P. W. D.

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
Chempauk, Madras,
17th May 1891.

No. 671

From

Henry Swin, Esq., C.I.E.,
Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

1504
5

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department
Madras

Sir,

Enclosures.

With reference to your Memorandum No. 216 D dated 15th May 1891, I have the honor to request permission to report on and value a property for the Court of Wards which they propose to purchase, and to accept a fee for the same under para 665 E of the P. W. Code.

The amount of the fee I should charge would be in accordance with the scale of charges authorised by the L. J. B. A. The charge throughout is 1 percent on the first £1,000 or (10,000) and half percent on the remainder up to £10,000 (1,00,000) below £1000 and above £10,000 by Special arrangement. These charges do not include travelling expenses, nor attendance before Juris Arbitrators &c.

Yr

Obst.

The charge for estimating dilapidations is 5 per cent on the estimate and in no case less than two guineas (£2.2)

What the valuation may amount to I cannot yet say. But will probably under £50,000 and there may be an estimate required for dilapidations amounting to 3 or 4,000 Rupees. The total fee will be under £500.

My undertaking this work will in no way interfere with my official duties.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Henry Jones
Consulting Architect to Govt

Public Works Department.

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

THE Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

No. 671

Dated 19th May 1891
Received 20

No. of ENCLOSURES.

No. 1504 W & F - 6 - 91

Subject.

Requesting permission for accepting fees for valuing a property proposed to be purchased by the Court of Wards.

164 Dd 6. 1891
216 Dd 15. v. 91
60. 165 29 1890

For orders whether the acceptance of a fee not exceeding Rs 500 may be sanctioned under para 665E of the S. W. Code, the Chief Engineer giving the assurance required by clause 2 of the rule which he hereby does.

Aldrich A.C.E.
A.C.E. & P. Secty
P. W. D.

Standing Order No 1357 of 1890.

Dis 1018
for 26. v. 1. 6. 91

B
Dom. sec.

No. 150413 d/1st June 1901

His Excellency the Governor
in Council, sanctions,
in Council, sanctions,
under para 665E of the
Public Works Code, the
acceptance by the
Consulting Architect,
of a fee not exceeding
Rs 500 for a report and
valuation of property
on behalf of the Council
of Wards, the provisions
of the rule quoted
having been com-
plied with.

A.S.

The C.A. to Govt
The C.A. to Govt
Ed. P.W. Secy
in P.W.

RS

(6)

5/6

M. 20/5/91

5/6