(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 18

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 24.7.90

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(203)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	1447	அச்சகம் பலவகை

நாள் : 26-5-1891 19

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

அல

9. Sheets

ஆவண. எ1-1—18,000—15-6-87.

1447/1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following papers :—

From the officer in charge of Chidambaram Subdivision, dated 18th April 1888, No. 193.

ABSTRACT.—Requesting sanction for taking ponies and tents from Nellore to Porto-Novo.

No. 768.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

2. The Executive Engineer, South Arcot, remarks that it was necessary that the Assistant Engineer should have joined fully equipped, for at the time there was no subdivisional officer in Chidambaram.

BANGALORE,
22nd May 1888.

(Signed) H. M. VIBART, Colonel, R.E.,
Superintending Engineer, V Circle.

Office Note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 7th June 1888, No. 1621.

If Government are satisfied that Assistant Engineer Subrahmanya Aiyar was required to proceed ready equipped for urgent duty, &c., it may sanction the charges incurred under Section 12 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code.

2. I take this opportunity of suggesting a definite ruling as to the authority who should sanction charges preferred under Section 12 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code in the case of officers and subordinates transferred from one circle to another, and as to whether such sanctions should be accorded before or after the journey is undertaken.

(Signed) C. H. P. CHRISTIE, Major, R.E.,
Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

ORDER—dated 9th July 1888, No. 2064 W., Public Works.

It appears that Mr. S. A. Subrahmanya Aiyar left Nellore the day before the Christmas holidays. There was no reason whatever why his tents and ponies should not have been marched to Madras and sent on thence by rail, when they would have arrived at Porto-Novo in ample time for his work. The Examiner will pass the proper charges for the conveyance of his horses and camp equipment by rail from Madras to Porto-Novo only.

2. With reference to para. 2 of the Examiner's Note, the Government are pleased to rule that the Superintending Engineer of the Circle to which an officer or subordinate is transferred shall be the authority to sanction charges preferred under Section 12 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code. It is he alone who can judge of the necessity.

3. Prior sanction is not required, but should be obtained when practicable.

4. Similar charges incurred in transfers within circles will be dealt with in accordance with G.Os., No. 2471 W. of 23rd September 1882, and No. 2984 W. of 13th November 1882.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. O. HASTED, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.
Spare Copies

1447/2

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1888} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated July, 9th.

Read. *Encl.*

G.O., 9th July 1888, No. 2064 W.

JULY.

Carriage of tents and horses

Authorising the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, to pass the proper charges for the conveyance of the horses and camp equipment belonging to Mr. S. A. Subrahmanya Aiyar, Assistant Engineer, by rail from Madras to Porto-Novo only; and passing a definite ruling as to the authority who should sanction charges for the —, preferred under Section 12 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code in the case of officers and subordinates transferred from one circle to another.

1447/3

6th April 1891, No. 956 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

Read—the following papers:—

From J. W. MARTIN, Esq., Officiating Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Trichinopoly, 7th March 1891, No. 1591 T.C.

In forwarding copy of letter No. 735 S.E., dated 6th March 1891, from the Executive Engineer, Trichinopoly division, asking me to sanction the carriage of his horse and camp equipage by rail from Trichinopoly to Kumbakónam, Tanjore district, I have the honor to explain that I cannot give my sanction, for Kumbakónam is now outside the limits of this circle, but I recommend that the carriage of the horse and camp equipage by rail should be sanctioned by you, for it is a long and tedious journey to march by road from Trichinopoly to the Lower anicut chiefly on account of it being necessary to cross unbridged rivers and drainage streams. In fact, in the wet weather, or if there is heavy rain, it is almost impossible to make the journey by road.

2. Under these circumstances, I would suggest that special permission should be given to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to sanction journeys by rail to the stations of the South Indian Railway convenient to the works in the Trichinopoly division lying along the left or north bank of the Coleroon river, and that the Superintending Engineer himself should be permitted to travel by rail when he requires to inspect works in the same locality.

Enclosure.

Copy of letter from the Executive Engineer, Trichinopoly division, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 6th March 1891, No. 735 S.E.

I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to accord your sanction, under para. 546 of Public Works Code, for carrying my horse and camp equipage by rail from Trichinopoly to Kumbakónam with a view to my inspecting works about the Lower anicut in Udayárpaliam taluk.

From the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 24th March 1891, No. 1818.

There is no objection to Government giving a general sanction as regards journeys between Trichinopoly and Lower anicut *via* Kumbakónam, but it might perhaps save trouble if the Government of India were moved to rule that in all such cases, *i.e.*, when without unnecessary halts (which would be checked by the controlling officer) an officer merely crosses a tongue of a district beyond his jurisdiction, the movement beyond jurisdiction might be ignored.

ORDER—dated 6th April 1891, No. 956 W., Public Works.

The general question raised in the Examiner's note will be submitted for the consideration of the Government of India, pending whose orders the proposal in para. 2 of the Superintending Engineer's letter is sanctioned.

(Here enter No. 957 W., dated 6th April 1891.)

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

ENDORSEMENT—dated 6th April 1891, No. 957 W., Public Works.

Copy of the above forwarded to the Government of India for consideration and orders.

(By Order.)

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

Exd. S. J. Pereira.
Spare Copies

1447/4

GENERAL,
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1891} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated April, 6th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 6th April 1891, Nos. 956 W., 957 W.

APRIL.

Journeys beyond Jurisdiction

Submitting, for consideration, the question raised by the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, regarding —.

1447
5
647 W/91

1447

No. under Act 1894
the Local Govt can dele
-gate the authority to
which
Read Letter from G.I.
do
Under Letter referred to
the above under the au-
-thority conferred in para
90 94 C.S.R. the Chief Engin
has been authorised to sanction
to authorised to grant
such charges in fustoo, but
in cases like that under
consideration as the journey
beyond the limits of his Dis-
-trict by an E.E. a special sanction
being to be given by the G.G. in
order to avoid unnecessary
reference & correspondence

A.G.I
14/5

W

Before this draft
is finally copied for sub-

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

mission to the N. W. P. I beg to submit the following remarks for your consideration.

The Govt. of India are opposed to a general ruling of the kind suggested - and, as they point out, the question of jurisdiction would not ordinarily arise. The Chief King already has the power to sanction charge of the kind referred to, in individual cases, under Article 1094 of the C.S.R. It is therefore not necessary to re-confer this power as proposed in the draft. This power extends to the whole Presidency within Public Works limits. Such limits being within the C.C's jurisdiction. That draft order is not also proposed to confer on the Chief Engr authority to give a general sanction; but this seems to be what the Govt of India disapprove

CAN 1942

Note

There are limits on the interpretation of the words "general ruling". I take this The order of the G. of I was to the effect that a general ruling should not be made to include every & every case that may arise throughout the Province. It would be convenient ~~I think I must to do so~~ in in the particular case under consideration to give general permission to both Thompson or Ed. Fairly & Grant as requested when he has occasion to do so without special reference in each instance to the C.E. he shd. refer only to the S.E. who might be recorded authority to permit such borings whenever required. I can put this above in a note for

A

1642/7

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

READ the following

Letter from the Government of India, P. W. D. } H.E. No. 1217 G dy 8th May 1891.

Under the authority conferred in para 1094 C.S. Regulations, the Chief Engineer has been authorised to sanction such charges, and in cases like that under consideration, viz: the frequent obligatory journeys beyond the limits of his Division by an Executive Engineer, a general sanction may be given by the Chief Engineer to the Superintending Engr. concerned in order to avoid unnecessary reference and correspondence. The Superintending Engineer will exercise due control over such journeys.

A.C.

S.Es
Exs P.W. Accts.

Exs S.M.

P. W. D

1447/8

Note.

The order of the Govt of India is taken to mean that a general ruling should not be made to include any and every case that may arise throughout the Presidency. It would be convenient in the particular case under consideration to give general permission to Col: Thompson or Ex: Engr Trichinopoly to travel as requested whenever he has occasion to do so, without special reference in each instance to the Chief Engineer. He should refer only to the S.E. who might be accorded authority to permit such tours. It is only about 40 miles

9 W

+ The Local Govt are authorised to delegate their powers of sanction and doing so - Article 1094 of the C.S.R. is a reproduction of Fuller I Article 1094 really refers to Section 14 of the T.A. Code - and the words "this Section" therefore in Article 1093 corresponding with Section 14 of the T.A. Code - But this fact does not apparently alter the main contention of the 4th. in para 1 of this note. This was the view suggested originally by Mr. [illegible]

1. The Govt ruling does not authorise the draft.

2. Art 1093 does not authorise Govt to delegate the power of sanctioning changes pre-existing of Camp Equipage classes by rail but only the power of fixing the maximum weights &c. Under Art 1093 special sanction of Local Govt is required for such changes; & the Govt of India decline to allow a general order on this point.

The only general permission to the Ex. Eng. to take the route to Thanjavur may be given & such permission will authorise the E.E. to draw his ordinary travg. allce if he want to draw the special allce provided under Art 1093, Govt sanction must be obtained. In ordinary cases the E.E. can send his horses & camels by road to Kumbakonam

Ok 23.V
Hm 15.V

such journeys whenever required. The draft order submitted provides for this.

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary.

No. 1217 G.

1462/9

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

To,

The Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras (Revenue Department)

Public Works Department

Simla, the 8th May 1891

Sir,

In reply to your No. 957 W. dated 6th April 1891, I am directed to point out that as under Article 1093, Civil Service Regulations the Local Government alone can sanction such charges the question of jurisdiction would not ordinarily arise. In cases where, in consequence of the delegation of the authority under Article 1094, it may arise it is considered that the Local Government should be referred to, and

5668

there is no necessity for a general
g of the kind suggested.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obdt servant

G Walker

Under Secretary to the Govt of India
P.W.D.

No. 1947 w&s dated 26th May 1892

Communicated to the Supdg Engineer & Circle and the Examiner Public Works Accounts with reference to G.O. 956 w&s dated 6th April 1892 the general permission given in which will entitle the Executive or Supdg Engineers to ordinary travelling allowances, but if he wishes to receive the allowances admissible under Article 193 of the C.S. Regulations special sanction must be obtained in each case.

S. Ex Circle
Ex P.W.D.
K.S. 27/6

Arguments according:
- Nil -

G.O. No 2471 w&s dated 23.9.88
" 2982 w " 12.11.88
" 2064 w " 9.7.88
" 958 7 w " 6.4.91

(9)

With order