

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 6
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 1890
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 60/2

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

185

P. W. D. குறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	1426	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	26 - 5 - 1891	198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

2/10

3. sheets

1426
1
20TH MAY 1891, No. 440, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—again G.O., dated 28th April 1891, No. 378, Revenue.

ABSTRACT.—Resolving to direct that, in view of the injunction issued by the District Court, North Arcot, no further action need be taken in connection with the proposed loan to the Zemindar of Kālahasti under the Land Improvement Loans Act.

READ—again the following G.O., dated 13th May 1891, No. 2882, Mis., Revenue :—

Read—the following G.O., dated 2nd May 1891, No. 291A, Financial :—

Read—endorsement of the Revenue Department, dated 27th April 1891, No. 2585, on Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 22nd April 1891, No. 2827, Mis., submitting application of the Collector of North Arcot for a further allotment of Rs. 50,000 for expenditure under the Land Improvement Loans Act; and requesting sanction for an additional allotment of Rs. 1,00,000 to meet this and similar applications which may be made by Collectors of other affected districts.

Order—dated 2nd May 1891, No. 291A, Financial.

The following telegram will be despatched to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce :—

“Please sanction additional allotment (1) one lakh for Land Improvement Loans owing to scarcity in North Arcot and adjacent districts. Kālahasti loan abandoned.”

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Revenue Department, with two enclosures.
„ the Accountant-General.

Order—dated 13th May 1891, No. 2882, Mis., Revenue.

Communicated to the Commissioners of Land Revenue.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue.

READ—also the following telegram from M.R. Ry. B. RAGOONATH ROW, Dewan of Kālahasti, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 1st May 1891 :—

Chittoor Judge could not yet issue injunction as he fixed 1st July for hearing petition praying for injunction after giving notice to Government. Rajah therefore craves reconsideration of G.O., 28th April, No. 378.

READ—also the following demi-official letter from M.R.Ry. R. RAGOONATH ROW, Dewan of Kalahasti, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Mylapore, 30th April 1891 :—

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your note of the 28th instant informing me that, on account of the injunction issued by the District Court of North Arcot in Civil Miscellaneous Petition No. 161 of 1891, the Government was unable to grant the loan promised in G.O., dated 2nd February 1891, No. 66A. The facts of the case are that the aforesaid petition came on for hearing on the 10th April, on which day it was adjourned to the 1st July 1891; that no order on the petition has yet been passed, and therefore no injunction could have been issued prohibiting the grant of the loan. All that the Judge could have done was to issue a notice to Government that such a petition had been received, that it would be heard on a particular date, and that the Government might instruct their vakil to represent its views to the Court if it would be pleased to do so. I need not say that the estate would suffer much if the irrigation works be at once stopped, and that, according to the opinion of sound lawyers, the suit which has been brought by the plaintiff and his conduct of it have been most vexatious and litigious.

2. The Rajah Sahib is extremely sorry that, owing to the misconduct of his brothers, he is compelled to trouble the Government thus, and hopes that it may suspend the carrying out of the aforesaid order till the petition is disposed of in the Chittoor Court, and to confirm it if the Court should issue an injunction as prayed for by the plaintiff.

P.S.—I beg to enclose copy of a telegram received to-day from the estate vakil from Chittoor in reply to one from me.

ENCLOSURES.

Telegram from M.R.Ry. R. RAGOONATH ROW, Mylapore, to M.R.Ry. SUBRAMANYA REDDIER, Vakil, Chittoor.

Government say Judge issued April 16th not to lend money. Ascertain and wire. Send copies Proceedings 10th, 16th. Who Judge now?

Telegram from M.R.Ry. SUBRAMANYA REDDIER, Vakil, Chittoor, to M.R.Ry. R. RAGOONATH ROW, Mylapore.

Notice alone issued. Copies will be sent.

READ—also the following telegram from T. M. HORSFALL, Esq., District Judge of North Arcot, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 3rd May 1891 :—

By mistake Sheristadar, Chittoor, sent injunction in Kalahasti petition instead of notice. Letter recalling injunction follows.

READ—also the following letter from T. M. HORSFALL, Esq., Acting District Judge of North Arcot, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Kodaikanal, 24th May 1891, No. 5 :—

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF NORTH ARCOT.

Original Suit No. 12 of 1890.

Plaintiff.

Owadai Rajah Rajai Damara Cumara
Tinsma Nayani Bahdur Varu.

Defendants.

Owadai Rajah Rajai Damara Cumara
Muddu Venkatappa Nayani Bahdur
Varu, and others.

With reference to the order of injunction in Miscellaneous Petition No. 161 of 1891 on the file of this court in Original Suit No. 12 of 1890 sent for service on you

through the Madras Court of Small Causes, I have the honour to request you will be good enough to return the same to this court. The petition praying for an order of injunction stands adjourned for 1st July next. In lieu of a notice to show cause why an injunction should not be granted, the order in question was prepared erroneously. I have the honour to request you will be good enough to return the same to this court.

ORDER—dated 20th May 1891, No. 440, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The notice of injunction received from the District Court of North Arcot will be returned to that Court, as requested by the Acting District Judge in the last of the papers read above.

2. The orders issued in paragraphs 1—3 of G.O., dated 28th April 1891, No. 378, will, however, continue in force, pending orders of the Government of India on the letter referred to in paragraph 3 below. In the meantime, the Board of Revenue will be requested to expedite the report called for in G.O., dated 16th March 1891, No. 201.

3. Ordered that in modification of paragraph 4 of G.O., dated 28th April 1891, No. 378, the following letter be addressed to the Government of India with reference to paragraph 3 of G.O., dated 24th March 1891, No. 209, Financial.

(Here enter No. 441, dated 20th May 1891.)

(True Extract.)

Chatterjee
Secretary to Government.

To the District Judge of North Arcot (paragraph 1 only)
with injunction order returned.

„ the Financial Department.
„ the Public Works Department.
„ the Board of Revenue (Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture).
„ the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).
„ the Collector of North Arcot.
„ the Collector of Nellore.
„ the Dewan of Kalahasti.
„ the Accountant-General.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

120 Copies.

P. W. Current 56 50/91

1426 N 4 26. 5. 91

Govt. of {1891} Madras.

REVENUE.

Regd. no. 15000-91

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 20th May 1891, No. 440.

MAY.

Kalahasti Loan.

Ordering that the notice of injunction received from the District Court, North Arcot, in the matter of the — be returned to that Court as requested by the Acting District Judge, and that a letter be despatched to the Government of India explaining the circumstances under which this Government agreed to grant the loan.

This is a duplicate
attached to the
original
S.P.

1426
9 Revenue Department.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following:—

- (i) again G.O. dated 28 April 1891 No. 378.
- (ii) again G.O. dated 13 May 1891 No. 2882 Communicating to the Commissioners of Land Revenue G.O. Financial, requesting the Government of India by telegram to sanction additional allotment of one lakh for Land Improvement Loans owing to scarcity in North Arcot and adjacent districts and reporting that the Kalahasti Loan has been abandoned.
- (iii) Telegram from R. Ragunatha Rao Dewan of Kalahasti dated 1 May 1891 praying for reconsideration of orders dated 28 April 1891 No. 378.
- (iv) S.O. letter from the Dewan of Kalahasti dated 30 April 1891 regarding the same.
- (v) Telegram from the District Judge of North Arcot dated 3rd May 1891 stating that by mistake his Sheristadar sent an order of injunction instead of notice.
- (vi) Letter from the District Judge of North Arcot dated 4 May 1891 No. 5 requesting to return the injunction order in Mis: P No. 16 of 1891 on the file of his Court sent by mistake.

Order thereon: 20 May 1891 No. 440.

The notice of injunction received from the District Court of North Arcot will be returned to that Court, as requested by the acting District Judge in the last of the papers read above. 2. The orders issued in paras 1-3 of G.O. dated 28 April 1891 No. 378, — will, however, continue in force pending orders of the Government of India on the letter referred to in para 3 below. In the meantime the Board of Revenue will be requested to expedite the report called for in G.O. dated 16 March 1891 No. 201.

3. Ordered that in modification of para 4 of G.O. dated 28 April 1891 No. 378 the following letter be addressed to the Government of India with reference to para 3 of G.O. dated 24 March 1891 No. 209 Financial.

True Extracts/

To P. W. Marks sent to

Secretary to Government

Communicated to
Comm. meeting to
the Supply Reg. IV Circle
the Supply Reg. IV Circle
in continuation of
in continuation of
S.O. 1214 Wd/12th May 1871
S.O. 1214 Wd/12th May 1871

For 23/5/91

490795