

Jud 22-674 113746
Jud 12-1-84 7346
" 27-12-86 1365

PRY/C No 1359
DEPT NO. 440
Judicial (1837) DEPARTMENT.

FROM Col. J. H. Guthrie
INSPECTOR GENERAL
of Police,

7/18 Feb 1887

Transferred to the Public
Works Dept. The proposal to
~~place~~ hand over the bungalows
and to that Dept. is approved.
(Beyond)

No. 406.

Dated 14th February 1887
Received 19.2.87

H. A. Stuart
Under Secretary
No 519 Wd/22 February 87.

No.

Communicated to the
S. I. Circle, in view to the
execution of the necessary
repairs. In future, the
buildings will be maintained
by the P.W. Dept. & the rent
collected under P.W. Code
rules.

CHIEF SECRETARY
2 FEB 87
DEPARTMENT OF LANDS

SUBJECT.

Requesting Special Sanction
for Rs 238- from the
Sources mentioned within
for the repair of the Asst
Supt's Bungalow at
Parvatipore and for the
handing over of the Bungalow
and Apothecary's quarters
to the D.P.W.

13/2/87
S. I. Circle
Ex: P.W. accts
Jud. Dept. 22/2/87
2/3/87

2. and A
General Department.

No. 400.

Chief Office of the Inspector General of Police,

Madras, 1st February 1887.

FROM

Colonel J. K. Guthrie

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,

TO

THE Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort Saint George.

SIR,

Enclosures.

I have the honor to state that the Assistant Superintendent's Bungalow at Parvatipore was purchased for Rupees 700-0-0 in 1874 by Order of Government No. 1137 dated 22nd June 1874 J. D. This purchase money as well as funds for the periodical repairs of this Bungalow were met from the Police Lodging Fund. The rent Rupees 30-0-0 per mensem paid

paid by the Assistant Superintend-
-ent was Credited to the Same
fund but Since the abolition of
that fund (Vide G. O. No 73 dated
12th January 1884 J. D.) the rent
is being treated as a Police Credit
and funds for the repair of the
building have been found from the
annual allotment made for the
ordinary repairs of petty works
of the District, but in the Current
year owing to a heavy monsoon and
two Cyclones, great damages have
been done to many Police buildings
and Consequently no money could
be spared from the annual
repair grant of the District for
the repair of this Bungalow
which is also emergent. I therefore
request

request that as a Special case -
Rupees 238-- (the estimated sum
for the repair of the Bungalow)
may be Sanctioned either from the
D. P. M. lump allotment for the
District for repairs or from the
resumed grants which are Credited
to the D. P. M. Reserve and the
sum made over to the Police Depart-
-ment. If this source is not avail-
-able I would request that the
amount / Rupees 238-- / may be
Sanctioned from the general
Savings of the Police Budget.

2 I also request permission to
hand over this building as well as
the Police Apothecary's Bungalow
to the D. P. M. with a view to future
repairs ~~year~~ being made and the rent
recovered

recovered by that Department.
The annual grant for the District
is too small to meet the require-
ments of these buildings as regards
repairs.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. servant

T. D. Cutler
Insp. Genl of Police

Mr.
D. K. W.
Capt. D.

Jud 22-674 1137 cl
Jud 12-1-84 7346
" 27-12-86 1365

P.W.C. No. 1359
CURRENT NO. 440

Judicial (1887) DEPARTMENT

FROM Col. J. K. Guthrie
INSPECTOR GENERAL
of Police,

103
7/18 Feb 1887

Transferred to the Public
Works Dept. The proposal to
place hand over the bungalows
and to that Dept. is approved.
(By order)

H. Allman
Under Secretary

No. 519 Wd/22 February 87.
13/2/87
cl works

Communicated to the
Sd. Circle, in view of the
execution of the necessary
repairs. In future, the
buildings will be maintained
by the P.W. Dept. and the rent
collected under P.W. Code
rules.

13/2/87
Sd. Circle
Ex. P.W. Dept.
Jud. Dept. 22/4/87
2/3/87

No. 406.

Dated 14th February 1887.
Received 19.2.87

No.

SUBJECT.

Requesting Special Sanction
for Rs 238- from the
Source mentioned within
for the repair of the Asst.
Supt's Bungalow at
Parvatipore and for the
handing over of the Bungalow
and Apothecary's quarters
to the D.P.W.

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of (1887) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated February, 22nd.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 22nd Feb. 1887, No. 519 W.

FEBRUARY.

Assistant Police
Superintendent's bungalow
at Parvatipur

Superintending Engineer, I Circle, to
execute the necessary repairs to the —; and
the building to be maintained and the rent
collected by the Public Works Department
in future.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following letter from Colonel T. K. GUTHRIE, Inspector-General of Police, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 1st February 1887, No. 406:—

I have the honor to state that the Assistant Superintendent's bungalow at Párvatipur was purchased for Rs. 700 in 1874 by order of Government, No. 1137, dated 22nd June 1874, Judicial Department. This purchase-money as well as funds for the periodical repairs of this bungalow were met from the Police Lodging Fund. The rent, Rs. 30 per mensem, paid by the Assistant Superintendent was credited to the same fund, but since the abolition of that fund (*vide* G.O., No. 73, dated 12th January 1884, Financial Department) the rent is being treated as a police credit, and funds for the repair of the building have been found from the annual allotment made for the ordinary repairs of petty works of the district, but in the current year, owing to a heavy monsoon and two cyclones, great damages have been done to many police buildings, and consequently no money could be spared from the annual repair grant of the district for the repair of this bungalow which is also emergent. I therefore request, that as a special case, Rs. 238 (the estimated sum for the repair of the bungalow) may be sanctioned either from the Public Works Department lump allotment for the district for repairs or from the resumed grants which are credited to the Public Works Department reserve and the sum made over to the Police department. If this source is not available, I would request that the amount (Rs. 238) may be sanctioned from the general savings of the police budget.

2. I also request permission to hand over this building as well as the Police Apothecary's bungalow to the Public Works Department with a view to future repairs, &c., being made and the rent recovered by that department. The annual grant for the district is too small to meet the requirements of these buildings as regards repairs.

Transferred to the Public Works Department. The proposal to hand over the bungalows to that department is approved.

(By Order.)

(Signed) H. A. STUART,
Under Secy., J.D.

18th February 1887.

ORDER—dated 22nd February 1887, No. 519 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, in view to the execution of the necessary repairs. In future, the buildings mentioned in paragraph 2 of the Inspector-General's letter will be maintained by the Public Works Department, and the rent collected under Public Works Code rules.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, I Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Judicial Department.
Exd. P. L. Pereira.
Spare Copies

No. 152. Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel C. S. HEARN, Inspector-General of Police, to the Honourable D. F. CARMICHAEL, Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Madras, 16th June 1874, No. 2296.

I have the honour to submit a letter from Major Tennant, late Deputy Inspector-General of Police, Northern Range, regarding the bungalow occupied by the Assistant Superintendent of Police at Purvatipur in the Vizagapatam District.

2. This bungalow has hitherto been purchased at a fixed price of Rupees 700 by successive Assistant Superintendents. The present acting officer does not wish to purchase. The arrangement seems objectionable, and there is risk of losing the bungalow (a merchant was very near becoming the owner a few years ago), in which case there would be no house accommodation for the Assistant Superintendent of Police at Purvatipur. I trust that the Government will consent to purchase it.

3. I know the bungalow. It is commodious, but built of mud walls with thatched roof, not such a building as the Department of Public Works usually wish to take charge of and keep in repair. It might perhaps be paid for out of the Lodging Fund. A monthly rent of Rupees 25 would cover the advance and provide for repairs.

No. 153. ORDER THEREON, 22nd June 1874, No. 1137.

Under the circumstances stated by the Inspector-General of Police, the Governor in Council sanctions the purchase of the bungalow referred to for Rupees 700.

2. The charge will be met from the Police Lodging Fund.
3. The rent of the bungalow is fixed at Rupees 25 per mensem as suggested.

(True Extract.)

Acting Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector-General of Police,
with enclosure returned.
.. Accountant-General.

Exd. J. H. Burns.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—
No. 1906.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The Inspector-General of Police is requested to report how and when the Police Lodging fund was formed, and on what system the deductions from pay, of which it consists, are made.

2. From a financial point of view, it is desirable to reduce the number of Special funds as much as possible; the Inspector-General will therefore be so good as to state whether the abolition of the fund as a *Special fund* is, in his opinion, desirable and feasible.

3. Before replying, it is suggested that the Accountant-General should be consulted; and it might be ascertained whether a similar fund exists in other provinces, and if not, how its place is supplied.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
1st October 1883.

(Signed) E. F. WEBSTER,
Acting Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector-General of Police.

From Lieutenant-Colonel T. K. GUTHRIE, Acting Inspector-General of Police, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 10th December 1883, No. 6335.

In reply to official memorandum, No. 1906 (Financial), dated 1st October 1883, I have the honor to submit the following information.

Enclosure one.

2. Upon the establishment of the present Police system in 1859, it was found necessary that the men should be housed near the police stations to which they were attached. Lines of huts were therefore constructed in each district from State grants, and, in order to provide for their repair, it was directed in G.Os., dated 10th January 1860, No. 33, and 7th June 1860, No. 723, that rent should be levied from the pay of the occupants at the rates noted in the margin. The deductions commenced in June 1862. The income derived from this source goes to form the Police Lodging fund, which has thus been in existence for the last 21 years.

Head constables		AS.
Deputy do.		8 each.
First-class constables		6 "
Second and third class constables		4 "
		3 "

The administration of the fund was left entirely in the hands of the Inspector-General (vide paragraphs 5 and 7 of the memorandum embodied in the above-quoted Government Order). This arrangement being confirmed by G.O., No. 1048, 13th July 1864, power, however, was made subject to the general control of Government and it so comes up to the present day. An account of the condition of the fund has been annually rendered to Government from the year 1874 (vide G.O., 2nd October 1874, N1843). In the early years of its existence the fund's expenditure was inconsiderable owing to the comparatively small number of lines and the recent date of their construction. It resulted, therefore, that the income for a time outbalanced the expenditure and in 1875 it had advanced so far as to display a credit balance of

21-6-06

Recd. of 1874
JUDICIAL.

To THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,
Secy., Secy., Secy.

Dated June, 22nd.

G.O., 22nd June 1874, No. 1137.

JUNE
Nos. 152-153.

Vizagapatam District Police.—The purchase of a bungalow for the Superintendent of Police at Puratipur, for Rupees 700, sanctioned, the charge being met from the Police Lodging Fund, and the rent of the bungalow fixed at Rupees 25 per month as suggested.



Rs. 65,000. In 1875-76 Government directed the allotment of more than Rs. 55,000 of this amount to the construction and completion of new works (*vide* G.O., No. 1688, dated 18th August 1875, Financial department). This left an available balance of only Rs. 12,000, which annually increasing demands gradually reduced to nothing.

4. The average receipts and charges for the last 6 years stand as follows:—

						Receipts. RS.	Charges. RS.
1882-83	..	..	..	..	..	21,772	26,555
1881-82	..	..	..	..	..	22,381	32,237
1880-81	..	..	..	..	..	23,306	22,703
1879-80	..	..	..	..	..	22,436	20,401
1878-79	..	..	..	..	..	22,113	36,028
1877-78	..	..	..	..	..	22,430	20,427
Total ..						6)1,34,438	6)1,58,351
Average ..						22,406	26,390

5. According to the Accountant-General's statement, the unspent balance of the Lodging fund available on the 31st March last was only Rs. 1,300. It is proper here to state that this sum must not be regarded as a surplus standing to credit after meeting actual requirements; there was ample scope for its utilisation, but it was retained in reserve in accordance with G.O., No. 2024, dated 23rd December 1882, Financial department, in which it is directed that there shall always be some closing balance in hand.

6. In considering the advisability of abolishing the fund, attention is respectfully invited to the following points. It is essentially a Repair fund, and its operation is in constant action in every quarter of the presidency throughout the year. Trivial as in many cases the demands upon it are, it is all important that they should be complied with as soon as the occasion arises; for in tenements of the unsubstantial nature of the majority of our police huts, deterioration when it has once set in proceeds very rapidly. The repairs which the fund is designed to meet are generally of a description which requires little or no engineering skill, and which can be thoroughly well accomplished by departmental agency. If the necessity is urgent, the superintendent is empowered to put the work in hand at once, adjusting the advance from his cash-chest by a bill countersigned by the Inspector-General; but if time admits of the slight delay occasioned by a reference to the chief office, a rough estimate and Lodging fund receipt are submitted for sanction and countersignature. It is solely owing to this power of prompt action possessed by the district officers that our numerous lines (containing in the aggregate 7,320 huts) are maintained in a serviceable condition. The present system of management does not involve the necessity of treating all sums unspent on the 31st March as lapsed money to be at once paid into the treasury, and thus much detrimental delay is obviated.

7. The abolition of the fund would entail increased correspondence and possibly augmentation of establishment, while the delay which would necessarily arise in obtaining sanction to emergently required expenditure would, in many cases, lead to the tenements becoming irretrievably impaired before work could be commenced.

8. I have placed myself in communication with the Inspectors-General of Police of the North-West Provinces, the Lower Provinces of Bengal, the Punjab and Burma. In none of these provinces does a system obtain similar to our own. The construction and repair of the lines are carried out at Government expense. The cost to Government in the Punjab and the Lower Provinces of Bengal is considerably greater than that incurred in Madras. The annual hutting and building charges in each of these provinces average a lakh of rupees. Our budget estimates (Police and department of Public Works combined) have, during the last three years, never exceeded Rs. 72,000.

9. A memorandum by the Accountant-General is attached, upon which I have to offer the following remarks on the two views that present themselves.

10. If Government determine to abolish the Lodging fund, but still continue to recover house-rent from the constabulary, the amount to be provided in the budget should be calculated yearly on the additional hutting provided, and not be a fixed charge, as the Accountant-General suggests, calculated "on the estimated receipts now credited to Police Lodging fund." As accommodation is supplied, so do the receipts from rent advance, and until good substantial huts are provided wherever required, the receipts should, in my opinion, be the measure for expenditure.

11. In theory I consider the money taken as rent should be expended solely on the upkeep of the hutting provided; this course has not been followed owing to State necessities, which from famine or other cause may at any time arise, and surplus funds (rents) have, as already stated, been expended in providing new huts and even station houses that but for lack of means should have been provided by Government from Provincial funds.

12. The Police Lodging fund has therefore been of very great assistance not only to the department but to Government. Until such time as our hutting requirements are fully met, I deprecate any change in the flexibility of the Lodging fund, and this is what I fear if it is to find a place in the budget, which is liable to curtailment, while the hutting of the force is still very far from completion.

13. On the other hand, if Government abolish both the fund and taking of rents that go to form it, they take upon themselves, as already shown, a heavy extra expenditure of Provincial funds that will be liable in times of pressure to serious reduction and consequent actual loss in ruined buildings.

ENCLOSURE.

MEMORANDUM.

There seems to be no reason to doubt that Government will be prepared, should the Police Lodging fund be abolished, to assign from Provincial revenues, for the repair of police buildings, an amount equal to the estimated receipts from the men, now credited to Police Lodging fund, but which would then be credited to Provincial.

2. The revenue and expenditure of what is now Police Lodging fund can be shown distinctly in the general accounts, so that one budget only should contain all items connected with provincial police.

3. There need be no delay in procuring funds for the repair of police buildings; as, within the Inspector-General's allotment for each district, superintendents can draw what is required on abstract bills to be followed in due course by countersigned detailed bills with completion certificates.

4. Under these circumstances, the retention of the fund seems unnecessary.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
23rd November 1883.

(Signed) W. DONALD,
for Accountant-General.

ORDER—dated 12th January 1884, No. 73, Financial.

The considerations urged by the Inspector-General of Police have been duly weighed, and it appears to the Government that the closing of the fund is on the whole desirable. House-rent will still be recovered from the men, the receipts being credited to the general Police budget.

2. These orders will take effect from 1st April 1884.

(True Extract.)

E. F. WEBSTER,
Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector-General of Police.
„ Accountant-General.

Exd. J. Henricus.

Govt. of {1884} Madras.
FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 12th January 1884, No. 73.

JANUARY.

Police Lodging Fund.

Communicating to the Inspector-General of Police and Accountant-General the decision to abolish the — from 1st April 1884, house-rent being still recovered from the men and credited to the general Police budget.

27TH DECEMBER 1886, No. 1365, FINANCIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From Colonel T. K. GUTHRIE, Inspector-General of Police, to the Chief Secretary to Government (through the Accountant-General), dated Madras, 2nd October 1886, No. 5026.

I have the honor to forward the budget estimate of the Police Provincial Services for 1887-88 through the Accountant-General in accordance with G.O., No. 2524, dated 3rd November 1884, Financial Department.

2. *Receipts*.—The receipts for 1887-88 are estimated at Rs. 98,500 against Rs. 1,10,500, showing a decrease of Rs. 12,000, which is arrived at as shown below :—

Items.	Increase.	Decrease.
	RS.	RS.
(1) Police supplied to Railways	25	..
(2) Do. to Municipalities (Punitive Police) ..	..	8,600
(3) Do. to Port funds	..	3,400
(4) Do. to Public departments	..	4,600
(5) Cash receipts under the Arms Act	220	..
(6) Fees, fines and forfeitures	..	100
(7) Superannuation receipts	170	..
(8) Miscellaneous	4,065	..
(9) Rent of Policemen's huts	220	..
	4,700	16,700
	..	4,700
Net Decrease	..	12,000

The increase or decrease in the several items, except (1), (2), (3) and (6), are due to the average of the past three years' actuals resulting in an increase or decrease.

3. In *item No. (1)*, the increase is arrived at by showing as the estimate the whole (525) of the cost of the South Mahratta Railway guard which is recovered from the Railway Company, while in last year Government fixed it at Rs. 500 only.

4. *Item No. (2)*.—The sums shown as receipts under this head for the current year as well as in the preceding year are the estimated cost of the Punitive police, and the decrease is the difference of those two sums.

5. *Item No. (3)*.—For the current year half the cost, Rs. 3,402, of the Marine police was shown as receipts, and the full cost, Rs. 6,804, was shown as the estimated charges. Now the decrease of Rs. 3,402 is owing to the omission to show that sum as receipts as in the estimates of charges under this head for next year, only half the cost is shown instead of the whole as was done for the current year.

6. *Item No. (6)*.—Although the average of the past three years' actuals amounts to Rs. 14,151, still, as the actuals during the last two years were as low as Rs. 7,000 and Rs. 6,426, respectively, the estimate for 1887-88 has been fixed at Rs. 7,000 only, and hence the decrease of Rs. 100.

7. *Expenditure*.—The estimated expenditure, exclusive of the requirements on account of hutting and building and stationery, which are shown separately, is Rs. 37,02,647 against Rs. 36,98,112 (which is the grant for the current year, exclusive of Rs. 59,412 which were deducted as probable savings—*vide* G.O., No. 202, dated

5th March 1886, Financial Department), resulting in a net increase of Rs. 4,535, which is accounted for as follows:—

Items.	Increase. RS.	Decrease. RS.
(1) Presidency	..	2,472
(2) Superintendence	..	27,720
(3) District executive force	34,727	..
	34,727	30,192
	30,192	..
Net Increase ..	4,535	..

(1) *Presidency*.—The net decrease under this head is arrived at as follows:—

Increase.	RS.	RS.
(a) On account of increased pay to a sowar— <i>vide</i> G.O., Mis. No. 1117, dated 30th April 1886, Judicial Department	24	
(b) The increase under the head "Secret services and Reward" is arrived at by adopting the figure, Rs. 1,500, which the Commissioner of Police has estimated to be his requirements for next year	1,150	
(c) On account of postage on official covers by calculating the average of the past three years' actuals	10	
(d) On account of Mounted police contingencies including purchase money (Rs. 3,000) for horses. The increase is due to the full complement of 40 horses with their horse-keepers and grass-cutters being maintained— <i>vide</i> G.O., Mis. No. 346, dated 8th February 1886, Judicial Department	848	2,032
Decrease.		
(e) Owing to reduction of half the cost of Marine police it being paid from Port funds	3,402	
(f) Travelling allowance and miscellaneous from calculating the average of the past three years' actuals	817	
(g) Hackney carriage contingencies	15	
(h) Marine police contingencies by taking the average of the past three years' actuals	270	4,504
Increase	..	2,032
Decrease	..	4,504
Net decrease ..	..	2,472

(2) *Superintendence*.—

Increase.	RS.	RS.
(a) Telegraph charges by adopting the average of the past three years' actuals	100	
(b) Commissioner's office contingencies— The average is only Rs. 4,400, and the sum of Rs. 800 is added to provide for a new boat required to replace the present old one	900	1,000
Decrease.		
(c) Travelling allowance by taking the actuals for 1885-86 ..	2,500	
(d) Clothing—average of three years' actuals	16	
(e) Postage—actuals for 1885-86	100	
(f) Contingencies and elephant charges— The decrease is due to the transfer of charge Rs. 31,000 on account of Railway credit notes to head District executive force according to Accountant-General's books	26,104	28,720

8. The grant, Rs. 37,004, for 1886-87 is composed of two items, viz., Rs. 31,000 for Railway credit notes, *plus* Rs. 6,004 for elephant and miscellaneous charges. As the

Actuals for elephant and miscellaneous charges, excluding Railway credit notes—

	RS.
1883-84	7,283
1884-85	8,932
1885-86	7,671
3) 23,886	
7,962	

average of the past three years' actuals for elephant and miscellaneous charges alone comes to Rs. 7,962 as shown in the margin, Rs. 7,900 has been adopted as the estimate for 1887-88, and to it has been added Rs. 3,000 for the purchase of elephants, as the Deputy Inspector-General of Police, Northern Range, has no elephants, and Rs. 31,000 have been transferred to head Travelling Allowance under District Executive Force.

Increase	RS.
.. .. .	1,000
Decrease	28,720
Net Decrease ..	27,720

(3) *District Executive Force*.—The net increase under this head is arrived at as shown below:—

Items.	Increase.	Decrease.
	RS.	RS.
(a) Rural executive	3,780	1,452
(b) Sub-jail	918	..
(c) Treasury guard	816	1,044
(d) Trigonometrical Survey guard	..	741
(e) Guard under Contagious Diseases Act	1,122	..
(f) Punitive police	36	..
(g) Medical charges	369	1,390
(h) Contingent charges or miscellaneous	38,700	6,387
Total ..	45,741	11,014
Increase	..	45,741
Decrease	..	11,014
Net Increase ..	..	34,727

Increase.

(a) *Rural Executive*.

	RS.	RS.
1. On account of hill batta for Kurnool police— <i>vide</i> G.O., Mis. No. 2295, dated 27th August 1886, Judicial Department	240	
2. On account of railway guard, North Arcot— <i>vide</i> G.O., Mis. No. 1323, dated 19th May 1886, Judicial Department	564	
3. On account of railway guard, South Arcot— <i>vide</i> G.O., No. 864, dated 3rd April 1886, Judicial Department ..	480	
4. On account of increase of one sweeper for Trichinopoly district— <i>vide</i> G.O., Mis. No. 2411, dated 12th September 1885, Judicial Department	6	
5. On account of railway guard for Madura district— <i>vide</i> G.O., Mis. No. 1712, dated 30th June 1886, Judicial Department	480	
5-A On account of one sweeper, Madura district— <i>vide</i> G.O., Mis. No. 1830, dated 12th July 1886, Judicial Department	6	
6. On account of railway guard for Salem district— <i>vide</i> G.O., No. 864, dated 3rd April 1886, Judicial Department	408	

	RS.	RS.
7. On account of one sweeper for Salem district— <i>vide</i> G.O., Mis. No. 1588, dated 16th June 1886, Judicial Department	6	
8. On account of increase of 15 constables for Coimbatore police— <i>vide</i> G.O., Mis. No. 793, dated 25th March 1886, Judicial Department	1,290	
9. On account of house-rent for one inspector at Ootacamund— <i>vide</i> G.O., Mis. No. 3347, dated 9th December 1885, Judicial Department	300	
		3,780

(b) Sub-Jail.

10. On account of increase of force for a sub-jail and lock-up in Chingleput district— <i>vide</i> G.O., Mis. No. 916, dated 9th April 1886, Judicial Department	660	
11. On account of increase of force for sub-jail at Poraiyur, Tanjore district— <i>vide</i> G.O., Mis. No. 2925, dated 31st October 1885, Judicial Department	258	
		918

(c) Treasury Guard.

12. For sub-treasury at Aska, Ganjam district— <i>vide</i> G.O., Mis. No. 1633, dated 21st June 1886, Judicial Department	636	
13. For sub-treasury at Poonamallee, Chingleput district, — <i>vide</i> G.O., Mis. No. 117, dated 3rd February 1886, Financial Department	180	
		816

(e) Guard under Contagious Diseases Act.

14. For lock hospital at Bellary— <i>vide</i> G.O., Mis. No. 996, dated 17th April 1886, Judicial Department	564	
15. For lock hospital at Cannanore, Malabar district— <i>vide</i> G.O., Mis. No. 2094, dated 9th August 1886, Judicial Department	558	
		1,122

(f) Punitive Police.

16. For one sweeper, Malabar district— <i>vide</i> G.O., Mis. No. 1734, dated 1st July 1886, Judicial Department	36	
		36

(g) Medical Charges.

17. On account of hospital servants as per estimate of the Surgeon-General	369	
		369

(h) Contingent Charges or Miscellaneous.

18. Clothing, rural, by calculating the average of the past three years' actuals	6,900	
19. Travelling allowance and Railway credit notes. By transfer of item alluded to under head "Superintendence," although the grant for last year for Railway credit notes was Rs. 31,000, the average of the past three years' actuals comes to Rs. 29,093, Rs. 30,000 in round numbers have been adopted as the estimate under this head	30,000	
20. Postage, by calculating the average of the past three years' actuals	300	
21. On account of elephant charges. The average of the past three years' actuals is Rs. 5,911, or Rs. 6,000 in round numbers, and to it is added Rs. 3,000 for the purchase of elephants for Ganjam and Vizagapatam districts	1,500	
		38,700

Decrease.

(a) Rural Executive.

	RS.	
22. On account of redistribution of the strength of Vizagapatam police— <i>vide</i> this office letter, No. 3385, dated 5th July 1886	36	
23. On account of pay of five sweepers (Salem) not required.	15	
24. On account of withdrawal of Wynaad allowance from Mr. Shortt, Coimbatore district police— <i>vide</i> G.O., Mis. No. 1999, dated 29th July 1886, Judicial Department.	600	
25. On account of redistribution of Ootacamund batta sanctioned in G.O., Mis. No. 1322, dated 19th May 1886, Judicial Department	153	
26. On account of non-provision of Ootacamund batta for two head constables transferred to the plains as per Accountant-General's letter, No. 557, dated 24th February 1886	168	
27. On account of non-provision of rent for European head constables at Wellington— <i>vide</i> G.O., Mis. No. 2382, dated 2nd September 1886, Judicial Department	120	
28. On account of reduction of horse allowance to two inspectors in Malabar district, provision having been made for ordinary horse allowance in addition to the special allowance drawn by them	360	
		1,452

(c) Treasury Guard.

29. Reduction of taluk treasury guard at Golconda and Sarvasiddhi, Vizagapatam district, owing to the provision of iron-safes, in accordance with G.O., Mis. No. 2675, dated 2nd October 1885, Judicial Department	336	
30. Reduction of taluk treasury guard at Trichinopoly and Musiri taluks in Trichinopoly district	336	
31. Reduction of guard for Pottinghi ghât works	372	
		1,044

(d) Trigonometrical Survey Guard.

32. Guard provided for six months not required	741	
		741

(g) Medical Charges.

33. On account of the reduced estimate of the pay of apothecaries as per estimate enclosed	1,390	
		1,390

(h) Contingent Charges or Miscellaneous.

34. Reduction on account of actual requirements under head Clothing, Punitive police	2,796	
35. Travelling allowance owing to the reduced average of the past three years' actuals	2,000	
36. Rewards, also owing to reduced average	250	
37. Rent do. do.	1,000	
38. Telegraph charges do.	300	
39. Office expenses (rural)	27	
40. Contingencies for Punitive police	14	
		6,387

9. The estimate for hutting and building is estimated at Rs. 68,400 according to actual requirements, against Rs. 58,000 the reduced grant for current year, the original grant having been Rs. 73,000. The reduction of Rs. 15,000, ordered by the Accountant-General, operated very injuriously, as the necessary repairs required for a large number of station-houses and the construction of some of the urgently required new works could not be carried out.

10. The estimate for stationery and printing is Rs. 5,000 against Rs. 8,000 for the current year based upon the actuals for 1885-86.

From H. F. CLOGSTOUN, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 13th November 1886, No. 3199.

Budget Department.

I have the honor to forward the budget estimate of Police Provincial Services for 1887-88. The actuals of 1885-86 have been duly verified with the books of this office and the totals agree, but there are the following differences in the distribution of items among the minor heads—(1) A sum of Rs. 1,95,227, on account of clothing, arms and accoutrements, &c., debited in my books under "District Executive Force," has been distributed by the Inspector-General of Police under "Presidency Police" (Rs. 10,677), "Superintendence" (Rs. 164), and "District Executive Force" (Rs. 1,84,400), making a total charge of Rs. 1,95,241; the excess difference of Rs. 14 being shown in my books under "Cost of European Stores" subordinate to the minor head "Superintendence."

2. The Inspector-General of Police has also included under District Executive Force a sum of Rs. 86, which in the accounts of this office stands under a distinct minor head "State Railway Police—Provincial." The sum of Rs. 37,13,700, sanctioned in G.O., Financial, No. 1574, dated 5th December 1885, as the grant for the current year, was subsequently reduced by Government in G.O., No. 170, dated 22nd February 1886, by Rs. 75,000, i.e., to Rs. 36,38,700, and this is the amount taken in the Inspector-General's budget as the sanctioned grant of the year, but the amount entered in the Imperial budget estimate sanctioned by the Government of India is Rs. 36,81,000.

ORDER—dated 27th December 1886, No. 1365, Financial.

The budget estimate of receipts under XVII, Police—*Provincial Services Proper*—for 1887-88 compares as follows with the sanctioned budget of the current year and the actuals of previous years:—

Provincial Services proper.	Actuals.						Budget estimates.	
	1880-81.	1881-82.	1882-83.	1883-84.	1884-85.	1885-86.	1886-87.	1887-88.
<i>Receipts.</i>	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
1. Police supplied to Railways.	39	1,524	301	282	633	906	500	525
2. Police supplied to Town funds.	(a) 1,41,560	(a) 1,44,044	{ (a) 31,425 (b) 53,311 }	(b) 30,475	(b) 28,632	..	(c) 28,400	(c) 19,400
3. Police supplied to Port funds.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
4. Police supplied to Public Departments, &c.	41,552	36,767	34,258	31,220	31,631	23,819	33,500	28,900
5. Cash receipts under Arms Act.	175	40	8	25	263	356	..	220
6. Fees, fines and forfeitures.	24,195	26,285	31,697	29,025	(f) 7,001	6,426	7,100	7,000
7. Superannuation receipts.	..	..	2,999	2,467	2,318	1,727	2,000	2,170
8. Miscellaneous ..	22,203	(d) 28,539	11,634	11,155	13,191	(e) 28,360	13,500	17,565
9. Rents of Police huts.	23,315	22,410	21,773	23,251	22,408	{ 21,368 1,126 }	22,500	22,720
Total ..	2,53,039	2,59,609	1,87,406	1,27,900	1,06,077	84,088	1,07,500	98,500

(a) Municipal contributions under Act VII of 1870 for Police.

(b) Recovery of the cost of Punitive Police in Salem and Trichinopoly under Act III of 1882.

(c) Estimated cost of Punitive Police in Malabar under Act III of 1882.

(d) Includes Rs. 11,275, recoveries of expenses in connection with the Rampa disturbances.

(e) Includes Rs. 21,208, the value of unserviceable arms and accoutrements returned into the Military Store depôt.

(f) Decrease from 1884-85 due to the withdrawal of the Marine Police Act (I of 1881).

The sum of Rs. 28,400, under item 2 in the original estimate of the current year, represents the anticipated collections realisable under Act III of 1882 on account of the Punitive cess in Malabar (G.Os., Judicial, Nos. 1727 and 1899, dated 1st and 21st July 1885). As a matter of grace, however, the cess has not, hitherto, been imposed, and the charges on account of the Punitive police have been borne entirely from Provincial revenues. The Mapilla fines, levied under Act XX of 1859, from which these

charges ought to have been met under G.O., Judicial, No. 844, dated 31st March 1886, have not been credited to Government up to date, but are apparently treated as magisterial deposits. The balance of the fines, exclusive of compensations to aggrieved parties, aggregates upwards of Rs. 50,000, and should be credited to Government in the

	RS.
* Punitive Police force	15,144
Clothing, Arms, &c.	1,970
Contingencies	738
Pensionary charges	1,562
Medical charges	240
Total	19,654

current year under item 6, as a set off against the charges under "20, Police." The revised estimate should be modified accordingly. The Punitive cess, under Act III of 1882, will probably be imposed with effect from 1st April 1887, and as the expenditure on account of the Punitive police, including medical charges and pensionary contributions, amounts to Rs. 19,700,* the estimate of receipts for

1887-88 under item 2 will be raised to that amount.

2. Under G.O., Marine, No. 427, dated 22nd September 1884, half the cost of the establishment under *Marine* police is at present charged to Port funds. Half the cost of clothing and contingencies (estimated at Rs. 730 for 1887-88) should also be defrayed from the same source. The whole of the charges should, in future, be debited to *Provincial*, in the first instance, and the recoveries shown on the receipt side of the account. With reference to the sugges-

	RS.
Half the cost of the Marine Police	3,402
Do. do. clothing	250
Do. do. contingencies	115
Tindals, boatmen, and servants	1,698
Total	5,465

tions of the Finance Committee, half the cost of the Boat police (estimated at Rs. 3,396 under Tindals, Boatmen, and Servants) as well as of contingencies, &c., will probably be recovered from Harbour Trust funds. The budget entries will be modified accordingly. The estimate of receipts will be raised by Rs. 5,500 in round numbers.

3. It is not clear how far the decrease of Rs. 4,600, under item 4, *Police supplied to Public departments*, is due to the transfer to the Abkari Department of the guarding of distilleries. On the other hand the increase of Rs. 4,065, under Miscellaneous (item 8), is not explained further than by a reference to average actuals. The receipts under these two heads would appear to have been over-estimated. Full details should in future be given of receipts under each minor head with explanation of variations.

4. *Expenditure—Presidency Police.*—Under Jail Guards the Inspector-General has struck out the provision of Rs. 2,004 for fifteen men in the original budget of the current year in consequence of the protective guards of the Penitentiary having been taken over by the Jail Department. No provision is made for 1887-88. The charges in connection with the Hackney carriage establishments should be met from the fees levied under the Act. The service is not pensionable, and the recoveries should be shown separately in the estimates and accounts. With reference to paragraph 1 of the Accountant-General's letter recorded above, arrangements should be made, from 1st April 1887, for exhibiting the cost of clothing, arms, and accoutrements supplied to the Presidency police apart from that of the District Executive force. Recoveries made on this account, with reference to the suggestions of the Finance Committee, should also be shown separately.

5. As suggested by the Finance Committee, the charges on account of the Commissioner of Police and his staff will be transferred from *Superintendence* to *Presidency Police*, with effect from 1st April 1887, viz.:—

	RS.
Salaries	31,800
Establishments	8,372
Contingencies	5,200
Total	45,372

6. It is observed that special provision is made in the budget of 1887-88 to the extent of Rs. 3,000 for horses, Rs. 1,000 for the Intelligence Department, and Rs. 800 for the construction of a new boat to replace the old one. These will be allowed to stand.

7. The grant under *Presidency Police*, as above modified, will stand at Rs. 2,15,000 as shown below:—

Estimate	RS.
Add Commissioner's office	1,68,124
Add half the cost of the Marine police	45,372
	3,402
	2,16,898
Deduct probable savings	1,898
	2,15,000

8. *Superintendence*.—As decided in paragraph 8 of G.O., Financial, No. 1218, dated 23rd November 1886, the charges on account of one Deputy Inspector-General of Police will be eliminated from the present estimates. The amounts are as follows:—

Salaries	RS.
Contingencies	14,400
	* 3,380
Total	17,780

* *Vide* answer to question 315 of the Finance Committee.

Deducting also the charges transferred to Presidency Police (*vide* paragraph 5), the grant under "*Superintendence*" will stand at Rs. 1,10,000 as follows:—

Estimate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	RS.	1,73,880
Deduct charges on account of	{	Deputy Inspector-General	..	17,780	}	63,880	RS.		
		Commissioner of Police and staff	..	45,372					
		Probable savings	..	728					
					Total	..			<u>1,10,000</u>

9. *District Executive Staff*.—(a) The cost of one inspector in the district staff, say Rs. 1,200, should be taken out of the budget in consequence of the abolition of one of the Deputy Inspectors-General, to whom an inspector is attached—*vide* answer to Finance Committee question 314.

(b) In the original estimate for 1886-87, the Inspector-General provided Rs. 35,088 for 334 men on account of jail guards. He now reduces the establishment, both for 1886-87 and 1887-88, to 214 men on Rs. 23,004 in accordance with the scale sanctioned in G.O., Judicial, No. 1571, dated 16th June 1886. With reference to the proposition statement submitted by the Inspector-General of Jails, with his letter, No. 301, dated 20th October 1886, which has been approved of by the Inspector-General of Police, the provision for 1887-88 should be reduced to Rs. 14,466* for 140 men. But this includes Rs. 1,260 for 12 men in the district jails of Trichinopoly and Rajahmundry since abolished. These amounts will now be struck out, and the grant fixed at Rs. 13,206 for 128 men. The charges for *clothing, arms, &c.*,

will be reduced by $(86 \times 12) = \text{Rs. } 1,032$.

(c) The provision of Rs. 2,300 on account of the contingencies of the Punitive police in Malabar includes Rs. 1,562 for pensionary contributions. This should not appear on the charge side, though it ought to be taken into account in effecting the recoveries. The amount will accordingly be struck out.

(d) Under *Elephant charges*, the additional provision of Rs. 3,000 for the purchase of elephants may remain subject to explanation and separate sanction.

(e) The cost of police reserves, as well as of establishments supplied to State Railways, Railway platforms, Public Departments, private individuals, &c., should, in future, be shown separately in the estimates.

(f) The actual expenditure under *Medical Establishments* and *Clothing, &c.*, during the last six years has been as follows:—

Years.	Medical charges.	Clothing, &c.
	RS.	RS.
1880-81	29,220	2,07,387
1881-82	28,739	2,40,225
1882-83	28,430	1,76,243
1883-84	29,418	1,75,145
1884-85	32,427	2,11,351
1885-86	33,365	1,84,400

Years.	Reduced grants.	Expenditure.	Savings.	
	RS.	RS.	RS.	
1881-82	33,33,300	32,79,835	53,465	The provision in the budget, viz., Rs. 37,147 and Rs. 1,91,370 will be reduced by Rs. 7,000 in each case; and a sum of Rs. 26,151 deducted as probable savings. The grant for <i>District Executive</i> force will then stand as under:—
1882-83	33,29,600	32,04,913	24,687	
1883-84	33,75,200	32,61,494	1,13,706	
1884-85	33,24,300	33,06,169	18,131	
1885-86	33,27,000	33,01,103	25,897	

Estimate	RS.
Deduct—	33,53,743
(a) Inspector for Deputy Inspector-General	1,200
(b) Jail guards	10,830
(c) Punitive police	1,562
(f) Medical charges	7,000
(f) Clothing	7,000
Probable savings	26,151
Net grant	33,00,000

10. The estimate, as above modified, will be entered in the consolidated budget as follows:—

	1886-87.	1887-88.
	RS.	RS.
XVII, Police	1,07,500	1,04,300
20, Police—		
Presidency Police	2,00,872	2,13,000
Superintendence	1,57,128	1,10,000
District Executive Force	33,16,100	33,00,000
Village Police	6,500	6,400
Refunds	400	400
Petty Construction and Repairs (Hutting and Building)	73,000	68,400
	37,54,000	36,98,200
30, Stationery and Printing	8,000	5,000

(True Extract.)

H. E. STOKES,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector-General of Police.
" Inspector-General of Jails.
" Port Officer, Madras.
" Chairman, Harbour Trust Board.
" Accountant-General.
" District Magistrate, Malabar.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Govt. of (1886) Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 27th December 1886, No. 1365.

DECEMBER.

Budgets.

Reviewing the budget estimate of Police
Provincial Services for 1887-88.

19TH OCTOBER 1891, No. 989, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Revenue Settlement,
Land Records and Agriculture), dated 3rd October 1891, No. 624 :—

MR. CLOGSTOUN.

Read—the following letter from W. J. H. LE FANU, Esq., Collector of North Arcot,
to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Revenue Settlement and Director
of Land Records and Agriculture, dated Pólur, 25th September 1891,
Ref. on No. 6043-Gl. Eng. :—

With reference to G.O., No. 3919, Revenue, dated 8th July 1891, communicated
with the Board's Proceedings, No. 409,
dated 11th July 1891, I have the honour
to submit that, under the rules * in the

* Sections 45, 109, 111 and 113.

Famine Code, all that the Revenue Department has to do in regard to children's
kitchens on works is to *render necessary assistance* to the Public Works Department
in procuring hutting materials, in superintending children's kitchens, and in arrang-
ing for the supply of food. Reading the orders of the Board and Government in
conjunction with the provisions of the code, I understand them to imply that, owing
to want of a sufficient staff of Public Works officers, the Revenue Department should,
instead of merely *rendering assistance* whenever needed, take the whole burden of
supervision and management on its shoulders, while the expenditure on the kitchens
concerned must be debited to the works, and the necessary returns, &c., prescribed
in section 144 of the code, should be submitted to the Chief Engineer by the Public
Works Department. With this view I asked the Executive Engineer at Wandiwash
to arrange to keep accounts of the expenditure on the kitchens and to submit the
prescribed returns to the Chief Engineer. The Executive Engineer referred the
question for the orders of the Superintending Engineer, and that officer seems to hold
that the Public Works Department has nothing to do with famine kitchens, whether
they be attached to works or not—*vide* copies of the Executive Engineer's note and
the Superintending Engineer's reply enclosed. I beg to submit for consideration
that the Executive Engineer and the Superintending Engineer ignore the provisions
of the Famine Code altogether which Government never intended to do. The Gov-
ernment Order cannot absolve the Public Works Department from the final responsi-
bility expressly imposed upon it by the code. All that it conveys is, in my opinion,
that the Revenue Department should look after the management of the kitchens for
the Public Works Department. The question of the debit of the expenditure and the
rules regarding the maintenance and submission of the accounts, which are provided
for by the code, are not affected by the order of Government in question. I request
the favour of early orders on this point.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

Letter from the Executive Engineer, No. 366-S.E. of 24th August 1891, forwarding for
orders Government Order in the Revenue Department regarding the supervision of children's
kitchen on anicut works with Collector's opinion thereon.

MEMORANDUM No. 690-Na.

The Government Order refers specially to the anient works, which is a Public Works Department work, and similarly therefore to all other Public Works Department works.

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Superintending Engineer,
IV Circle.

To the Executive Engineer, North Arcot District.

No. 729-M.

True copy forwarded to the Collector, North Arcot, for information in continuation of this office No. 667-M., dated 26th August 1891.

Copy of M. No. 366-S.E. of 24th August 1891 is also forwarded.

5th September 1891.

(Signed) C. C. RAWSON, Major, R.E.,
Executive Engineer.

No. 366-S.E.

Forwarded in original to the Superintending Engineer for orders. I can find nothing in section 101 that refers to the question.

The order of Government, No. 3919, dated 8th July 1891, appears to me to clearly lay down that the Public Works Department are not to have anything to do with famine kitchens. I therefore cannot see why under section 144 any return should be sent to the Chief Engineer.

24th August 1891.

(Signed) C. C. RAWSON, Major, R.E.,
Executive Engineer.

Resolution—dated 3rd October 1891, No. 624.

The Collector, in the Board's opinion, has correctly described the procedure as laid down in the Famine Code. It is, however, clearly the intention of Government (G.O., dated 8th July 1891, No. 3919, Revenue), that children's kitchens attached to Public Works during the current period of distress shall be managed by the Revenue Department, which has already a very considerable establishment organized mainly for the supervision and distribution of gratuitous relief, and nothing would be gained by requiring the expenditure on account of these kitchens to be borne by the Public Works Department or the statistics of numbers fed to be compiled and submitted through that department. Kitchens set up for the relief of children on Public Works Department works should, the Commissioner considers, be managed by the Revenue Department precisely as all other kitchens.

2. It seems specially desirable that the Public Works Department should be relieved of the duty of managing kitchens now that, in the proposed revision of the Famine Code (G.O., dated 19th December 1890, No. 1026, Revenue, paragraph 10), it has been determined that civil agency works should be abolished, and that all works carried on for purposes of relief should be classed as professional agency works, the staff of the Department of Public Works being strengthened for the purpose, and the Board requests the orders of Government on the question.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) P. RAJARATNA MUDALIAR,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

„ Collector of North Arcot.

Copy to the Collectors of affected Districts.

ORDER—dated 19th October 1891, No. 989, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The Government agrees with the Board.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioner of Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture.
„ the Public Works Department.

Exd. C. M. Brisson.

75 Copies.

Gobt. of {1891} Madras.
REVENUE.

2898
No 2898 by 29 Oct 1891

Comd to the Suptg
Engineers for information
and guidance.

(sd) OVB
Hm

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 19th October 1891, No. 989.

OCTOBER.

Children's kitchens attached
to Famine relief works.

Agreeing with the Board in considering that —
in charge of the Public Works Department
should be under the management of the Revenue
Department, especially in view of the decision of
Government that all relief works should be
carried out by professional agency.

SES

19TH OCTOBER 1891, No. 939, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Revenue Settlement,
Land Records and Agriculture), dated 3rd October 1891, No. 624:—

MR. CLOGSTOUN.

Read—the following letter from W. J. H. LE FANU, Esq., Collector of North Arcot,
to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Revenue Settlement and Director
of Land Records and Agriculture, dated Pólúr, 25th September 1891,
Ref. on No. 6043-Gl. Eng.:—

With reference to G.O., No. 3919, Revenue, dated 8th July 1891, communicated
with the Board's Proceedings, No. 409,
dated 11th July 1891, I have the honour
to submit that, under the rules * in the

Famine Code, all that the Revenue Department has to do in regard to children's
kitchens on works is to *render necessary assistance* to the Public Works Department
in procuring hutting materials, in superintending children's kitchens, and in arrang-
ing for the supply of food. Reading the orders of the Board and Government in
conjunction with the provisions of the code, I understand them to imply that, owing
to want of a sufficient staff of Public Works officers, the Revenue Department should,
instead of merely *rendering assistance* whenever needed, take the whole burden of
supervision and management on its shoulders, while the expenditure on the kitchens
concerned must be debited to the works, and the necessary returns, &c., prescribed
in section 144 of the code, should be submitted to the Chief Engineer by the Public
Works Department. With this view I asked the Executive Engineer at Wandiwash
to arrange to keep accounts of the expenditure on the kitchens and to submit the
prescribed returns to the Chief Engineer. The Executive Engineer referred the
question for the orders of the Superintending Engineer, and that officer seems to hold
that the Public Works Department has nothing to do with famine kitchens, whether
they be attached to works or not—*vide* copies of the Executive Engineer's note and
the Superintending Engineer's reply enclosed. I beg to submit for consideration
that the Executive Engineer and the Superintending Engineer ignore the provisions
of the Famine Code altogether which Government never intended to do. The Gov-
ernment Order cannot absolve the Public Works Department from the final responsi-
bility expressly imposed upon it by the code. All that it conveys is, in my opinion,
that the Revenue Department should look after the management of the kitchens for
the Public Works Department. The question of the debit of the expenditure and the
rules regarding the maintenance and submission of the accounts, which are provided
for by the code, are not affected by the order of Government in question. I request
the favour of early orders on this point.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

Letter from the Executive Engineer, No. 366-S.E. of 24th August 1891, forwarding for
orders Government Order in the Revenue Department regarding the supervision of children's
kitchen on anicut works with Collector's opinion thereon.

MEMORANDUM No. 690-Na.

The Government Order refers specially to the anicut works, which is a Public Works Department work, and similarly therefore to all other Public Works Department works.

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Superintending Engineer,
IV Circle.

To the Executive Engineer, North Arcot District.

No. 729-M.

True copy forwarded to the Collector, North Arcot, for information in continuation of this office No. 667-M., dated 26th August 1891.

Copy of M. No. 366-S.E. of 24th August 1891 is also forwarded.

5th September 1891.

(Signed) C. C. RAWSON, Major, R.E.,
Executive Engineer.

No. 366-S.E.

Forwarded in original to the Superintending Engineer for orders. I can find nothing in section 101 that refers to the question.

The order of Government, No. 3919, dated 8th July 1891, appears to me to clearly lay down that the Public Works Department are not to have anything to do with famine kitchens. I therefore cannot see why under section 144 any return should be sent to the Chief Engineer.

24th August 1891.

(Signed) C. C. RAWSON, Major, R.E.,
Executive Engineer.

Resolution—dated 3rd October 1891, No. 624.

The Collector, in the Board's opinion, has correctly described the procedure as laid down in the Famine Code. It is, however, clearly the intention of Government (G.O., dated 8th July 1891, No. 3919, Revenue), that children's kitchens attached to Public Works during the current period of distress shall be managed by the Revenue Department, which has already a very considerable establishment organized mainly for the supervision and distribution of gratuitous relief, and nothing would be gained by requiring the expenditure on account of these kitchens to be borne by the Public Works Department or the statistics of numbers fed to be compiled and submitted through that department. Kitchens set up for the relief of children on Public Works Department works should, the Commissioner considers, be managed by the Revenue Department precisely as all other kitchens.

2. It seems specially desirable that the Public Works Department should be relieved of the duty of managing kitchens now that, in the proposed revision of the Famine Code (G.O., dated 19th December 1890, No. 1026, Revenue, paragraph 10), it has been determined that civil agency works should be abolished, and that all works carried on for purposes of relief should be classed as professional agency works, the staff of the Department of Public Works being strengthened for the purpose, and the Board requests the orders of Government on the question.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) P. RAJARATNA MUDALIAR,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
„ Collector of North Arcot.

Copy to the Collectors of affected Districts.

ORDER—dated 19th October 1891, No. 989, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The Government agrees with the Board.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioner of Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture.
„ the Public Works Department.

Exd. C. M. Brisson.

75 Copies.

Gobt. of {1891} Madras.
REVENUE.

Order 2898 W of 29 Oct
1891.
Comd to Supy Insp for in-
formation & guidance
57000b

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

S. Supy.

G.O., 19th October 1891, No. 989.

OCTOBER.

Children's kitchens attached
to Famine relief works.

Agreeing with the Board in considering that —
in charge of the Public Works Department
should be under the management of the Revenue
Department, especially in view of the decision of
Government that all relief works should be
carried out by professional agency.