(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 50

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 28.6.90

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(113)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P. W. D துறை

அரசாணை எண்.	1342	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.		பலவகை

நாள் : 14 - 5 - 1891 19 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

25-Sheets

ஆணை. எ7-1—18,000—15-6-87.

Copy of letter from the Executive Engineer Cuddapah Division to the Supdy Engineer No 14 of 12/4/90

1342/4/1

A

Cuddapah
1st March 1890

The Executive Engineer
Cuddapah Division

thro

The Overseer in charge of
Cuddapah P. Dep

I beg to report that I am in a state of dangerous position. My mind is rather in a puzzled state. My brain seems to have been affected. All this owing to my ill health and misfortune. I was suffering from fever and cold several times, which your honor notices occasionally. My father-in-law died

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
Dy. No. 5711
14 APR 91
TIRUCHIRAPALLI

B

1348/N

Bangalore
Date 26th March/90

To

The Executive Engineer
Cuddapah Division

thro

The Overseer in charge
Cuddapah Taluq

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
Dy. No. 1067
14 APR 91
III CIRCLE, BELLARY P.W.D.

Honored Sir

I beg to report that I am not in a good state of health to attend to my duty from the 2nd of the month. I am under medical treatment in St. Martha's Hospital Bangalore City. I request that you will kindly write to the Doctor to give me sick certificate for the days I

died 5 days ago at Bangalore and my wife is now alarmingly ill, She is left there helpless. I therefore cannot help going there at once for her relief & protection. I have therefore left Cuddapah this day by Mail Train for Bangalore in anticipation of your sanction of leave for 1 month on forfeiture of full pay.

I therefore humbly request your honor will be good enough to approve of my action in this urgent affair.

I beg to remain
Your most obedt & humble
Servant
Ramanand Sastry Clerk E.W.

I still have to remain &c
his treatment.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most obedient &
humble Servant

Executive Engineer

Copy of letter from the Executive Engineer Cuddapah
Division to the Supdy Engineer No 14/se dt 12/1/90

From
THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
Cuddapah Division.

To C. L. Narasimha
Sastri
Overseer Clerk
Camp

Dated 29
Despatched } March 1890
Received 31/1

No. 1107/5 Enclosures

He is requested to show Cause why he should not be recommended for dismissal for leaving the District

I still have to remain under
his treatment.

I beg to remain

without permission from the under-
signed or from his immediate
superior and without producing a
telegram or any letter of urgent call.

Yours obedient
Executive Engineer

14 APR 91

Dy. No.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
ITTIGIRLE, BELLARY P.W.D.

Copy of letter from the Executive Engineer Coast
Division to the Supdy Engineer No 14/SE dated 9/12/91

D

1342/4 I have the honor to submit for orders
the accompanying two letters in original & the
kindly returned to from Clerk Narasimhadeva

2. The leave applied for is one without
pay but I beg to be informed whether
an official can take the said leave by
merely writing a letter without permission
or without submitting a telegram or such
document for taking the leave in anticipa-
tion of permission —

3 - This clerk wrote the first letter and left
the place that very evening even without
his immediate Superiors consent.

No 165
5 dated 28/8/90

Copy of the office No 1607 dated 29/8/90
to C Lakarasimhasastry
5

He is requested to show
Cause why he should not be recommend-
for dismissal for leaving the District
without permission from the undersi-

or from his immediate Superior and without producing a telegram or any letter of — urgent call —

True Copies —

Subrahmanian
Executive Engineer

28/4

Read

E. 1342/4 Copy of a letter from the Superintending Engineer 8th circle Bellary to the Executive Engineer Cuddapah Divn — No 162 of 24/4/90 —

Ref. his letter No 1st 10/4/90 - (regarding CL Narasimhasastry) —

Call on the clerk to give his reasons why he should not forfeit his appointment from date of absenting himself — —

No 1865 dt 25/4/90

Copy forwarded to clerk CL Narasimhasastry for submitting his reasons as called for by Superintending Engineer —

Copies of this office Nos 14 SE dt 12/4/90 & 1607/5 of 29/3/90 addressed to the Supdg Engineer & the clerk respectively are also herewith sent for his information.

3. The clerk should send in his explanation direct to this office as the overseer is now on leave —

Subrahmanian
Executive Engineer

Read

28/4

1342/6

F

No 76

Bangalore
D/ 15th May 1890

No

The Executive Engineer
Cuddapah Division

Sir

With reference to your No. 165/S d/ 28th April 1890 I beg to submit the following explanation.

I received a letter on 1st March last. (which is herewith forwarded for kind perusal) intimating the death of my father in-law at Bangalore and the alarming illness of my wife who was left helpless there in a very hopeless condition at that critical time.

As your honor were at Madanapalli at the time I received the letter, it was not possible for me to obtain your honor's permission and start forthwith. I had therefore no other alternative but to submit my application (copy enclosed) which I did through my immediate Superior to whom I had fully explained my circumstances and leave the place immediately in anticipation of leave being sanctioned; but I am really very sorry for not having enclosed the letter conveying the sad news and showing the

SUPERINTENDING ENGR.
Dy. No. 1479
14 APR 90
III CIRCLE, BELLARY P. W. D.

P.T. No.
4707/9

presence at Bangalore to look after my helpless wife who would have succumbed to the malady coupled with the grief for the loss of her father had I not proceeded to Bangalore at once and taken necessary steps towards her recovery. Under the circumstances explained above I think my action is excusable.

I therefore respectfully beg that your honor will be pleased to take a lenient view of my case and recommend it for favorable consideration of the Superintending Engineer.

For which act of kindness I shall ever feel thankful to you.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most obedt & humble
Servant
Ramachandra Rastry

Copy of letter from the Superintendg Engineer 3rd circle Bellary to the Executive Engineer Cuddapah
No 631 of 9th June/90

G

The appointment of Clerk Narasimhasastri is to be considered forfeited. The place will be filled up on Mr Superintendg returning to joining the Division

4th September/90 -

No 4485 S/18-6-90
Copy communicated to Clerk Cl Narasimhasastry for his information & guidance.

A Ubrzebaeri
Executive Engineer

Dy No 446 dt 14 APR 91
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
III CIRCLE, BELLARY P.W.D.

Cl 446.

Copy of letter from the Superintendg Engineer 3rd circle Bellary to the

the urgent necessity for my immediate

H.

Copy of letter from the Superintending Engineer III circle Bellary to the Executive Engineer Cuddapah Division

No 2030 of 14/10/90 — 1342/8

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
Dy. No. 1311
14 APR 91
III CIRCLE, BELLARY P. W. D.

The Superintending Engineer does not think the service book of C.L Narasimha Sastry can be given him according to the rules unless he asks to be considered as having resigned his appointment.

No 417/m of 15/10/90

Copy communicated to C.L Narasimha Sastry with reference to his petition of 13th Sept 90 -

Rec'd 25/10/90

Subordinate
Executive Engineer

17/10

Bangalore
26th October 1890

1312/10

1312/9

The Executive Engineer
Cuddapah Division
Cuddapah.

Sir

With reference to copy of the Superintending Engineer's letter No. 2030 dated 14th Oct/90 and the Exc: Engineer's No. 417 Dec dated 16.10.90. I most humbly beg to state that I have not left Cuddapah with the intention of loosing the appointment but to preserve the life of my wife who was then alarmingly ill and left helpless at Bangalore.

On receipt of the letter of urgent call from my brother I found that my presence at Bangalore was so necessary that I could not [illegible] your honor in person at your [illegible] circuit to Gooty Anantapur, so I informed my circumstances and showed the letter of urgent call to my immediate superior and requested him to permit me to leave the place.

As my superior informed me that he was not authorized to permit me to leave the place I requested him to submit my application taking on it the emergency of my leaving the District, requesting leave in anticipation of sanction.

(R.T.O)

death of [illegible] mother-in-law and of the serious illness of your Excellency's Petitioner

Such being the case I do not know the reason why my appointment is to be considered forfeited. Vide Supdg. Engineer's No. 634 7.6.90 and Executive Engineer's No. 42 of 18th June 1890.

In copy of Superintending Engineer's letter No. 2030 dated 14th October/90 it is stated that my service book cannot be given me unless I resign my appointment.

So long as I have served in the Deptt. I did not meet such difficulty as I met last.

I therefore humbly beg your honor will be good enough to consider my poor case and recommend the Superintending Engineer for his favorable consideration as to send in further orders for my rejoining the duty as I am now left quite helpless here.

In doing such act of kindness I shall ever feel highly thankful.

I beg to remain

Sir

Your most obedient Humble Servant

Executive Engineer
Cuddapah
5/5/90

The Executive Engineer
recommends his

Bangalore
30th January 1891

1342/13

210

From
THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
Cuddapah Division,

1342/10

To
C. L. Narasimha
Sastri
Clerk

Camp
Dated 8
Despatched
Received
April 90

No. 53 Enclosures

With reference to his letter dated 26/3/90 has the honor to inform him that no leave has yet been

Such being the case I do not know the
reason why my attainment is to be considered

1342/13

Bangalore
30th January 1891

Granted Leave accordingly

1342/11

2. No Pecuniary Certificate is therefore now necessary

3 He should submit a/c for explanation for absenting himself without permission called for vide Office No 1607/5 dt 29-3-90

Executive Engineer

Gundlupet Engineer

Lt
7/4

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
Dy. No. 1342
14 APR 91
III CIRCLE, BELLARY P.W.D.

1342/10

K

Bangalore
15th April 1890

To

The Executive Engineer
Cuddapah Division
through
The Overseer incharge of
Cuddapah Taluq
Sir

With reference to your docket No 53/S dated 8th instant, I beg to state that I have not received the number 1607/S dated 29th March/90 quoted in para (3).

I have no more to explain than in my application dated 1st March 1890.

(P.T.O)

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
Dy. No. 1342
14 APR 91
III CIRCLE, BELLARY P.W.D.

1342/13

Bangalore
30th January 1891

To
The Executive Engineer
Cuddapah Division
Cuddapah.

Sir,

I most humbly beg your honor will be good enough to favor me with a testimonial regarding my character and service in the Department from the year 1885 to 1890.

As I now left hellflege here the above testimonial is humbly requested.

(P.T.O.)

Bangalore
13th September 1890

1342/83

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, Dy. No. 2317 APR 91 ITTIGOLE, BELLARY P.W.D.

For the present I am in a very bad state of health, I cannot walk even a furlong without assistance.

I therefore humbly beg your honor that you will kindly write to the Doctor to grant me medical Certificate.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT 13 FEB 91 MADRAS.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most obedt & humble
Servant
Jeremiah Hartley
Clerk P.W.D.

Such being the case I do not know the reason why my allowance is to be considered

1342/13

Bangalore
30th January 1891
Despatched 10/2/91

To

His Excellency the Right
Honorable the Governor in Council
Fort Saint George

The humble memorial of V.L.
Narasimha Sastri Late Overseer's Clerk
P.W. Cuddapah Taluq Cuddapah
District, now residing at Clarks-
-pete B Civil and Military Station
Bangalore

Most humbly and respectfully
Sheweth.

That your Excellency's humble
memorialist was employed for about
6 years as an Overseer's clerk in the
Public Works Department in Cuddapa
District. In the latter part of February
1890 he received news of the sudden
death of his Father-in-law and
of the serious illness of your Excellency's
Petitioner's

For which act of kindness
I shall ever feel highly
thankful &.

I beg to remain
&c
Your most obedt Servant
V Narasimha Sastry

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
Dy.No.
1 - APR 91
III CIRCLE, BELLARY P.W.D.

Petitioner's wife and her sister and mother and presented on the 1st March 1890 an application to the Executive Engineer Cuddapah Division through his immediate Superior the overseer for one months leave on lops of full pay. vide Enclosure A.

2. As the Executive Engineer was in camp at Madanapalle 76 miles distant from the Head Quarters Cuddapah, your Excellency's petitioner was unable to wait till leave was sanctioned, as his circumstances could not permit him to remain any longer there under the above peculiar misfortune which had overtaken your humble petitioner. Besides the petitioner himself was then very seriously suffering with severe fever, Spleen and other diseases which were very peculiar to the unhealthy climate of Cuddapah. He expressed all his grievances to his immediate Superior the overseer and started immediately to Bangalore as there was then every probability of further loss of more lives

and

1342/14

and properly there having been no other male member in the house to manage the family, funeral and other affairs.

3. After coming to Bangalore the petitioner was managing some how with the assistance of his friends the family affairs although he was himself very sick at the time. Not having received any reply from the Executive Engineer for the leave application of the petitioner, the petitioner was under the impression that leave was granted to him and therefore submitted on the 26th March/90 another petition (vide Enclosure B) in which he reported the Executive Engineer that he was still very sick having been under medical treatment in the St. Marthas' Hospital Bangalore City, and requested him to write the Medical Officer in charge to examine the petitioner and grant him the requisite certificate. This request the petitioner was obliged to ask for as under the rules, Medical Officers

refuse

refuse to examine Government employes and grant them such certificates.

4. Subsequently an order no 1607 dated 29th March 1890 was sent to the petitioner (Vide enclosure C) by the Executive Engineer in which the petitioner was directed to show cause why he should not be recommended for dismissal for leaving the District without permission and without producing a telegram or any letter of urgent call. The petitioner is very sorry to state that the Executive Engineer was entirely mistaken on this point because the petitioner did show to the overseer his immediate superior the card and a letter he received from Bangalore announcing the death of the petitioner's father-in-law and other sorrowful news and laid all his grievances before the overseer. The Overseer was not the authority to grant the petitioner either permission or leave. As the petitioner explained every thing personally to his immediate superior, he

134/15

did the petitioner did not think it necessary to send the card and letter with the leave application. The Overseer was simply silent on the subject as the Executive Engineer was a very strict Officer. It is a universal fact well known to all (males females & children) that no one puts forward the plea of sorrowful death news unless the death is a fact. While such is the case, it is not understood why the Executive Engineer should have considered otherwise and refused to recommend the leave asked for in Enclosure A in which he clearly stated the peculiar urgent circumstances under which he left Cuddapah for Bangalore. It is benevolent for your Excellency to consider that no Government Servant on the earth will start so abruptly without permission

on fear of dismissal unless he is compelled by a very urgent call. The above order of the Executive Engineer was received by the petitioner on 24th April 1890.

5. Enclosure D is a letter addressed to the Superintending Engineer by the Executive Engineer in which the latter expressed a very unfavorable opinion of the petitioner under a mistaken impression that the petitioner went away on a false plea; Enclosure E is an order from the Superintending Engineer passed on the letter of the Executive Engineer. In this order the Superintending Engineer ordered that the petitioner should be called upon to give his reasons why he should not have forfeited his appointment from date of absenting himself.

6. In reply to the above the petitioner submitted an explanation dated 15th May

1342/18

May 1890 (Enclosure F) in which he simply repeated what he had already explained in Enclosures A & B.

Thereupon the Superintending Engineer passed a final order (Enclosure G) in which he ordered that the appointment of the petitioner was to be considered forfeited.

7. Enclosure H is a memo from the Superintending Engineer III Circle Bellary in which he refused to give the petitioner his service Book unless the petitioner asked himself to be considered as having resigned his appointment. The petitioner begs to draw the benevolent attention of Your Excellency to the punishment to which the petitioner was allowed to undergo by the Superintending Engineer and the Executive Engineer in refusing to give him his service Book with the necessary remark made in

While the Superintending Engineer ordered that the appointment of the petitioner was forfeited, it is not understood why the service book should not have been given to the petitioner with that remark. Moreover the petitioner simply asked a testimonial from the department with a hope of getting some employment elsewhere as gross injustice was done to him. He did not ask for the Service book at all. A testimonial too was refused to the petitioner who had borne a very good character in the department.

8. (Enclosure H) is a petition presented by the petitioner to the Executive Engineer asking him under the circumstances therein represented, to recommend the petitioner to the Superintending Engineer to reconsider his case and to pass favorable orders by restoring him to his appointment. On this petition the Executive Engineer

has

1342/17

passed an endorsement to the effect that he regretted that he could not reconsider his case. The petitioner leaves every thing to your Excellency to consider why the heart of the Executive Engineer should not have still been left unmoved for a simple mistake which the petitioner committed under a great perplexity caused by the sudden death of his father in law and the hopeless condition of his wife and other members of the family.

9. As to the request of the petitioner referred to in para 3 of his memo asking the Executive Engineer to grant him permission to produce a medical certificate from the medical officer at Bangalore City, the Executive Engineer replied in para 2 of his memo No. 53/5 dated 8th April 1890 (vide Enclosure J) that no medical certificate was necessary. On receipt of this memo, the petitioner submitted another petition to the Executive Engineer explaining again the circumstances under which he left Cuddapah, reporting that he was then very sick and requesting

requesting him to write to the Doctor in charge of the Hospital at Bangalore to examine the petitioner and grant him a medical certificate (vide Enclosure H). To this no reply was given to the petitioner.

10. The petitioner most humbly begs to represent that it will thus appear from the above that he was very severely punished for a very slight fault in quitting Cuddapah under very special urgent circumstances. While your Excellency's Government has taken very lenient views in many serious cases and quashed many dismissals made by the different officers under its control, the petitioner does not understand why he should undergo a very harsh treatment from the hands of the Superintending Engineer upon the unfavourable recommendation of the Executive Engineer. The forfeiture of the appointment is a very heavy punishment. The petitioner has a very large family solely depending upon himself for maintenance, and has no other means of livelihood than his

1342/18

his appointment. The petitioner has already suffered a great deal nearly for the last 11 months during which time he had not only to meet all bad Calamities but also suffered much from want of money as he did not get any pay, having been treated as having forfeited his appointment.

In conclusion the petitioner humbly prays that your Excellency will graciously be pleased to feel pity towards the poor and miserable circumstances of the petitioner and reinstate him in his appointment as Surveyor's Clerk upon Cuddapah Salary in the Cuddapah District granting him 3 months' leave on forfeiture of full pay from 1st March 1890 and ordering the payment of his back pay from 1st June 1890 the date on which the above 3 months leave expired upto the date of his taking up the appointment again on your Excellency's benevolent order.

For this gracious act of justice the petitioner ever prays the Almighty

Almighty to bless your Excellency
with longevity and every prosperity.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most obedient & humble
Servant

C. L. Narasimha Sastry
Late Overseer's Clerk D.P.W.
Cudapah Zilla.

Address of the petitioner.

C. L. Narasimha Sastry
Late overseer's Clerk D.P.W.
Cudapah, now residing
at Clark's Pett B, C & M. Station
Bangalore

1342/19

P. W. Dept.

To be returned with reply.

No. 950 C of 20 Feb 1891

Referred to the Supdg. Engineer III circle for remarks.

W. W. Badderley

Major R.E.
under Secy to Govt P.W.D.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
Dy. No. 3/11
1 APR 91
III CIRCLE, BELLARY P.W.D.

1891.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 18

From

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
Dy. No. 11
23 FEB 91
CIRCLE, BELLARY P.W.D.

C. L. Narasimha Sastri.

Late Overseer's clerk D.P.W.
Cuddapah.

ENCLOS.— 12

No. -

Dated 30 January 1891

Received 18 February 1891

SUBJECT.

Appealing against his dismissal & praying to re-instate him in his late appointment.

DISPOSAL.

No. dated

Referred

Copy of the letter from overseer Mr. A. Coomaraswamy acharry to the Executive Engineer Cuddapah Division No. 205 of 3rd March 1890.

1342/20

I beg to report that my writer C. Narasimha Sastry went away to Bangalore on 1st instant by mail train reporting that his wife is dangerously ill there. His letter to that effect is herewith enclosed. I beg for permission to appoint a substitute on Rs 15 per mensem. I am not in the present state of health to do clerk's work as well.

True copy

A. Subbarao

Executive Engineer

1/4

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
Dy. No. 2
14 APR 91
III CIRCLE, BELLARY R. W. D.

Copy of the letter from Overseer
Mr. A Boomarabswamy acharry to
the Executive Engineer Cuddapah Division
No 236 of 14th March 1891.

1342/21

Refer: Your receipt no
2162 of 6/3/91.

I beg to report that
I did not permit the appelant to leave
the place (Cuddapah) though he
showed me telegram & other letters
of death re addressed to him, but he
went away on his own accord reporting
the circumstances in a letter which
was forwarded in with my letter
No 205 dated 8th March 1890.

True Copy

A Subbachary

Executive Engineer

8/4

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
Dy. No.
1 APR 91
III CIRCLE, BELLARY P. W. D.

Copy of a letter No. 25/Ck dated 13th April 1891
from M. R. Ry. P. Subraya Chariar Avergal
B.C.E. Rao Bahadur Exc. Engineer Cuddapah
Dn. to the Supdg. Engr. 3rd Circle. —

1342/92

I have the honour to return the accompanying Petition of C. L. Narasimhasastry late Overseer Clerk Cuddapah forwarded with your No. 3363 dated 2nd Instant and to submit this report.

2. He served under Overseer Mr. A. Comara sawmy Achary and copy of his present No. 236 dated 14th March 1891 stating that he did not permit the petitioner to leave the place although he had seen the telegram &c. is herewith submitted. Copy of his Original No. 205 dated 3rd March 1890 forwarding his Clerk's application is also submitted in which it will be seen that he made no mention of the telegram &c. which he had seen nor did he recommend the leave. The Overseer himself went away on Head Quarters came before my return & to that I had no opportunity of a personal talk with him in the matter and had to take action as things appeared on papers submitted to me.

3. In my No. 14 S.E. dated 12 April 1890 I forwarded the Clerk's 2 pleas and asked your instructions whether the petitioner could take his own leave without permission even if it were without pay. Thereupon you were pleased to direct me to call upon the clerk to give his reasons why he should not forfeit his appointment from date of absenting himself Vide your No. 162. dated 24th April 1890

4 I forwarded his explanation thereto with my No. 56 S.E. dated 21st May 1890 upon which you were pleased to order (Vide your No. 631 9/6/90) that his appointment is to be considered forfeited. This order I communicated to him in this Office No. 448 S.E. dated 18th Idem.

5. I heard nothing further from him until 22nd September 1890 when he sent me 6 give him a testimonial regarding his character and service. Thereupon I asked your instructions in my No. 219 dated 3rd Oct. 1890 whether his service Report could be returned to him. In reply thereto I was pleased to order that it could not be returned to him unless he asks for it. Vide your No. 2030 4th/10/90.

6. This order I duly communicated to him in my No. 417 dated 18th October 1890. He asked me in reply thereto to reconsider your order No. 631 9/6/90 in which it was considered that he had forfeited his appointment and this I declined to reconsider Vide my No. 455 attached as an enclosure to his petition. 1342/93

7. From the above correspondence it will be seen that my complaint was that he had left the place without permission even of his immediate superior and these were no satisfactory documents for the urgency of his departure produced by him then with his first report to. The overseer his immediate superior did not report to me when forwarding his letter of departure that he solicited himself as to the urgency of his request nor recommended the leave

8. It will also admitted that a clerk of six years standing ought to know that he could not leave his station without permission or without the and there submitting reasons

document that necessitated his immediate departure. His illhealth too was not certified to by a Medical Officer and I was all the while in the camp which prevented any personal investigation into the matter. Again his removal was all agreeably to orders received from you.

9. Now as to his character and qualification. He is an unpaged candidate, his work was badly done and I had to fine him more than once and his handwriting too not satisfactory and my experience of him on the whole was unfavourable. I enclose the accompanying report made by Sub Engineer Mr Elliot when this clerk worked under him.

No 200

Forwarded to the Ch[ief] Engineer
Public Works Department
with reference
to his No 950 C of 20th Febry 1891.
The undersigned does not consider the
clerk has made out a case for
his reinstatement.

Supdg Engrs Office
Bellary April 91.

H Sandilands C.E.
Supdg Engineer

3 Encls

Enclosures.
1 Brief count No 950 C dt 20 Febry 1891 with its enclosures.
2 Copies of Government Letters.
1 Brief Letter No 367 C dt 9/6/85.

1342/24

From

1342/25

The Supt. Engineer
3 Circle
Bellary

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Dept.
Madras

Dated 21 April 1891
Rec'd 30 April 1891

No. 200.

Submitting report of the Executive Engineer of Cuddapah Division on Narasimhachary's appeal to Govt against his dismissal.

1/5.34.5
How 5.5.91

News No. 950 dt 30.2.91

Read Cons 1870 dt 1707

Petitioner is informed that the Government decline to interfere in his favour.

No. 1342 W dt 14-5-91.

DWB
2-5-91

A.G.

I think, three of the main steps in time dismissal - two every 2 years - must be brought to notice, the time limit of employ being limited to suspension

DWB
Secy

To, the Petitioner
with 12 enclosures
" S. E. III Circle
with 3 enclosures

1/2/5

No. 1

1342/25

PROCEEDINGS OF E MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

1342 D / 14-5-91

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

1342/25

1342 D / 14-5-91 UNDER date the 14th May 1891.

READ the following

Petition from C. L. Narasimha Sastri, clerk P.W.D. Cuddapah, dated 30th January 1891

From the S.E. III Circle

S.E. No — dt 30th January 91

S.E. No 200 dt 27th April 91

The Govt. considers that dismissal from the service is too severe a punishment for the offence committed by the clerk. Supposing this statement, which is not disproved, to be true, he will therefore be restored to his appointment, the time of employ being treated as suspension.

A.G.

D 1/5 1/5

To
The S.E. III Circle
Exr P.W. Acc

10-5-13 ✓
31-7-13 II ✓

1842 ✓
14-5-91

No. 1342 W. A. 14 May 1891

Obs 34 ✓
U.S.V.91

S&C 22/5

(23)

S&C 22/5 J 23/5