

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 6
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 1.6.90.
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் [Signature]

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(7)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P. W. D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	1218	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	2-5-1891	198.

மடிக்கலோ கண்டபடி கையாளலோ கூடாது

சி. வி.

3. sheets

ஆவண, 88-1-18,006-15-6-87.

12/8

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
IRRIGATION BRANCH.

27/4/91

Read the following:—

FOR ORDERS.

Letter 184 W 20/4/91
 from Sup^r L^r 5th
 Circle recommending
 that the bill (No 511)
 of the Sakil who
 conducted the defence
 paid in S.O No 4758
 28/9/89 should be paid.
 (2) The fee claimed is Rs 100.
 but according to the
 Collector (see his letter 1863
 9/3/91) all that could
 be claimed would be
 Rs 2-8-0 !! plus
 travelling expenses. The
 Collector says however that
 he considers Rs 25 a
 day would be fair less
 some deduction for losing the case!
 (3) It is said that the
 pleader had to attend

Considering that this
 has been since days
 I don't think Rs 100 too
 much. W/O
 W/O

the 27.4.91

FOR ORDERS.

The Privy Council
"either himself or instruct
the pleader to attend
for him on 9 days"

For

The order drafted
on the C. Ho can
issue.

A. W.

Nov. 27. 11. 91
9. 28. 11. 91
11. 29. 11. 91

1218/2

No. 184 W

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,

V Circle, Madras,

Chepak, 20th April 1891.

From

Major D. McNeill Campbell R.E.,

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer
P.W. Department

256 J. H. M. & Co. Sir,

4. Enclosures.

1. Bill.

1. Judgment.

1. Decree.

1. Collector's

letter N^o 1853

dated 7.3.91.

in original.

In continuation of Endorse-
ment of the Superintending Engineer
Sixth Circle N^o 999 J. H. dated
16th August 1890, I have the honor
to forward a Bill from the
Government Pleader, who con-
ducted the defence of the Suit
in question for Rs. 111. Ru-
pees one hundred being the
amount of his fees and Rs. eleven
the amount of charges incurred
on account of Stamp paper.
Jukka Hire & Co. The judgment
in the case having been given
against Government, the Govern-
ment Pleader is entitled to
only half fees under G.O. N^o.
2358 W of 17th September 1886 - The
question

question was referred to the
Collector whose reply is herewith
Sent in which he recommends
that the Plead be paid Re-
sues two & half on account of
fees, that a Daily allowance
of Rs. twenty five would be
a fair Remuneration if he
had won the Case. and that
a deduction should be
made as he lost it. The Exce-
-utive Engineer writes

"On enquiry, I find that the
"Plead had to attend
"the Firuwadi Munsiff's
"Court either himself or
"met with other pleaders to
"attend for him, on 9 days,
"and even if he is allowed
"half the daily allowance
"recommended by the
"Collector, his claim of Rs. 100
"is below it and I would
"recommend it to be paid."

I recommend that the amount
of the enclosed Bill may be sanc-
-tioned.

I have the honor to be
Your Most Obedient Servant

J. W. Campbell Major R.E.
Supg Engineer & District

PV.

1218
3

From

The Supy Engineers
& Circle

To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. Deptt.

Dated 20th } April 1891.
Recd

Enc. 4.

No. 184 W.

Muthian Thidal Suit.

Forwarding for
sanction a bill of
Rs 111 being the fee
for conducting
the — in the District
Munsiff's Court.
Invoyed by the
Government Pleader.

Rs. Bus 1587 W 15. 6. 86
" " 2358 W 17. 9. 86
" A 4569 22. 2. 90

No. 1215 W 22 May 1891
From order

Payment of the
bill of Rs 111 is
sanctioned.

0013
25.4.91

Ad.

S.E. I Arle
with 4 enclosures

E.P.W.A. ✓

22/4 490 5/5
28/5

2/5/91

Old 163. W
2/27. W 91
490 5/5
28/5

(23)