

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 12
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 12/89
(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் long

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D துறை

50

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	57	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	7-1-1891	198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

6 Sheets

ஆவண. கர-1-18,008-15-6-87.

சுரு

Office of the Suptg. Engineer

Perujar Project

Perujar, 5th November 1890.

N^o. 1946 PR

7-6-

From,

57

Major D. Mc Neil Campbell R.E.

Superintending Engineer

Perujar Project.

To,

The Chief Engineer,

Public Works Department.

Encls: 1-

Sir,

I have the honor to state that, in the Miscellaneous Objectionable Item letter on the accounts of N^o 1 Perujar Division, the Examiner Public Works Accounts, asked ^{me} to quote the authority under which the rate of rent for the quarters occupied by the Accountant has been fixed, and in my letter to the Examiner P.W. A. N^o 1681 P.R. dated, 27th September 1890 I pointed out that the rate of rent has been sanctioned

in G. O. No 2148 W of 9th August 1889.

In his reply No 6455, dated, 31st October 1890, copy of which is herewith forwarded, the Examiner P. W. A. informs me that the Government Order referred to does not definitely sanction the payment of rent by Accountant at the rate fixed for an overseer & that it is nowhere laid down in the Code that the Accountant should be treated in the same manner as overseers in the matter of assessing rents and that, if any reduction of rent is considered necessary in this particular case, the sanction of the Government of India should be applied for through the Local Government, vide Code, para 1041, rules II & IV.

2.

I therefore request that you will

will be so good as to take such steps as you may consider necessary in the matter.

57

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant,

J. H. Russell Major R.E.

Superintending Engineer

Periyar Project.



2

P.W. Code 10829

No. 1946.P.R.

From

The Supg Engineer
Periyar Project

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

Madras

Station. Periyar

Dated 5th
Desps 6th
Recd 11th } November 1990
Enc - 1

Rent for the Quarters
occupied by the Accountant
of Dro I Periyar Division:-

Requests to take
such steps as the Chief
Engineer P. W. D. may
think necessary regarding
the fixing of —.

3

51

Letter from Fr. Morrison Esquire
Officiating Examiner of P. W. Accounts
Madras. to the Superintending Engi-
neer Periyar Project dated Madras
31st October 1890 No. 6455-

With reference to para 1 of your
letter No. 1681.P.R. of 27th September/90
I have the honor to inform you
that the G. O. you refer to does
not definitely sanction the
payment of rent by accountant
at the rate fixed for an
outset, and that it is nowhere
laid

laid down in the code that
accountant-should be treated
in the same manner as out-
seers in the matter of assessing
rents.

2. If any reduction of
rent is considered necessary in
this particular case, the sanction
of the Government of India
should be applied for through
the Local Government, vide
code para 1041 rules II + IV.

/ True Copy /

John Campbell Major RE

Superintending Engineer
Periyar Project

5/11/90



No. 4878-C

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 25 November, 1890.

OFFICE NOTE.

From

W

To

C

Letter from the S. E.
Periyar Project No. 1946 PR
of 5.11.90.

In favour of
Remarks -

The statement for-
warded by the Super-
intending Engineer Periyar Project
with his letter No. 1122 PR
dated 8th July 1889 was
forwarded to the
Report, and you saw
no objection to the
proposals - vide your
office note No. 10524

4

dated 25.7.89. In the statement it was distinctly stated that the rate of rent proposed for overseers included the Accountant and also the Treasury. Please say why the rate of rent charged to the Accountant is now objected to. Code para 21 moreover classes accountants with upper subordinates.

W
25.11.90. W
No. 7388 d/13.12.90.

To W.

Apparently the note (d) in the statement forwarded by the Superintending Engineer Periyar was not understood as referring to the rents chargeable, & I do not think it can be said that the statement "distinctly" showed that the accountant was to pay rent at the same rate as an overseer.

2. It is true that under code para 21 accountants rank with upper subordinates, but there is nothing to show that they should pay the same rents, moreover there is no scale of rent fixed for upper subordinates as such; there are specific rates for specific grades of upper subordinates.

3. If you require any further explanation, please let me have the whole file for re-perusal.

57 13/12

C.

W
13/12
(Euc2)

CNC 12029

No. 57 W 477 Jan'y 1891.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

51

Read the following

From the Suby. Engr. P. Project. A. No. 1946 P. R. of 5th Nov 1890
From the Engineer, P. District. No. 7380 of 13th Dec 1890

G. O. No. 2148 W
of 9th August 1889 was
intended to convey
sanction to the payment
of the rents shown in the
list forwarded by the
Suby. Engineer Periyar
Project with his letter No.
1122 P. R. of 8th July 1889
in which list the rent
to be paid by the account-
ant was entered at the
same rate as that to be
paid by onecees, the latter
being fixed by para 1042
of the P. W. Code.

The Govt. sees no oc-
casion for altering this
decision.

To the Subd. of Works
No. 1 Periyar P. Project

6.9.89-2479

No. 57 W 477 Jan'y 1891

Wm

He to the for

(6)

Spence

No. 57 Wd/7th Jan'y 1891.

*HE. 16. 2. 90
25. 91*

22c 10/11.

2-15/1-