

Govt. of {1890} Madras.
FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 27th Nov. 1890, No. 873.

BK 5158 7-1-91

NOVEMBER.

Budget Estimates.

Accepting, as modified in the Revenue Department, the — of receipts and charges of the Canal and Ferry Fund for 1891-92.

for 1889-90; but the figures under this head, as well as the revised estimates, have been, wherever necessary, taken to the nearest hundred, in accordance with the principle laid down in G.O., dated 3rd December 1889, No. 975:—

Districts.	Balance at the beginning of 1890-91.	Revised estimated receipts during the year 1890-91.	Sanctioned estimate of receipts, 1890-91.	Total of columns 2 and 3.	Revised estimated expenditure during the year 1890-91.	Sanctioned estimate of expenditure, 1890-91.	Balance.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1. Arcot, South	Rs. 5,000	Rs. 1,300	Rs. 950	Rs. 6,300	Rs. 25,200	Rs. 23,730	Rs. 6,300
2. Canara, South							
3. Cuddapah		25,200	23,730	25,200	25,200	23,730	
4. Godavari		4,100	830	4,900	200	180	4,700
5. Kistna		1,69,300	31,100	2,00,400	71,100	1,12,860	1,29,300
6. Madura		27,600	6,000	33,600	14,100	7,500	19,500
7. Malabar			100	100			
8. Tanjore			66,500	66,500	66,400	61,000	100
9. Tinnevely		3,400	7,000	10,400	6,400	6,100	4,000
10. Trichinopoly		1,200	100	1,300		20	1,300
Total	2,12,200	1,50,300	1,41,330	3,62,500	1,94,500	2,22,490	1,68,000

4. The revised estimate of receipts for the current year amounts to Rs. 1,50,300, and exceeds the sanctioned figures by Rs. 8,970, the following districts contributing to this result:—

Arcot, South	Rs. 350	Cuddapah	Rs. 30
Canara, South	+ 1,470	Kistna	1,500
Godavari	+ 1,000		
Madura	+ 100		
Malabar	+ 5,500		
Tanjore	+ 880		
Trichinopoly	+ 1,200		
Total	+ 10,500	Total	1,530

The increase in Malabar is reported to be mainly due to the receipts expected to be realized from the Payoli lock, brought within the operation of the Act under G.O., dated 12th August 1889, No. 694. The estimate in South Canara is increased with reference to the result of the sale of the farms for three years ending 31st March 1893. In Trichinopoly and Kistna, the estimate is fixed with reference to the current year's rental and the outstanding arrears expected to be recovered during the year. In Godavari, the increase is expected from the new ferry steamer at Rajahmundry.

5. Charges.—The probable charges are estimated at Rs. 27,990 less than the sanctioned figures. The variations occur in the undermentioned districts:—

Godavari	Rs. 41,760	Canara, South	Rs. 1,470
Tinnevely	20	Cuddapah	20
		Kistna	6,600
		Malabar	5,400
		Tanjore	300
Total	41,780	Total	13,790

The falling off in Godavari is very large, and the particulars are detailed below, in comparison with the sanctioned estimates:—

	Sanctioned estimate.	Revised estimate.
	RS.	RS.
1. Establishment and working charges for the ferry steamer at Rajahmundry	9,000	7,500
2. Construction of bridges across irrigation channels and drains	12,000	1,730
3. Construction and repair of ferry boats	12,000	12,000
4. Do. do. of rest-houses	500	500
5. Do. of an aqueduct over the Chollanghi river	3,500	2,500
5. Improvement of landing places	500	500
7. Construction of a steam launch for the Narsapur ferry	25,000	
8. Construction of an iron girder bridge over the Matlapalem salt creek	30,850	25,000
9. Construction of three ballacuts to be kept in stock	1,500	1,500
10. Maintenance of Kovur-Munjair junction canal	5,000	1,800
11. Repair of ferry boats on do.	200	250
12. Clearing silt in the old loading channel at Dowlaisweram	100	100
13. Construction of a steam launch for the Rajahmundry ferry		10,000
14. Establishment and miscellaneous charges	100	100
15. Cost of supervision and tools and plant	12,605	7,627
	1,12,855	71,107
	Or 1,12,860	71,100

Item 1.—The difference is due to the fact that the sanctioned estimate of expenses connected with the ferry steamer is revised with reference to the probable actual requirements of the vessel and to the reductions in the establishment proposed by the Collector in his letter disposed of in G.O., dated 23rd September 1890, No. 765.

Item 2.—The estimate has been reduced at the instance of the Superintending Engineer to the amount which he is able to spend during the year.

Item 5.—The decrease represents the difference between the original and the revised estimates sanctioned for the work—vide G.O., dated 31st March 1890, No. 1031.W.A.

Item 7.—The amount sanctioned has been held over for expenditure next year pending preparation of plan and estimates.

Item 8.—The figures shown under the revised estimate represent the amount which the Local Fund Engineer, to whom the work is entrusted for execution, is expected to spend within the year.

Item 10.—The outlay is estimated at Rs. 3,600 against Rs. 5,000 sanctioned therefor. A moiety only of the revised figure, viz., Rs. 1,800, is debitable to this fund—vide G.O., dated 1st April 1889, No. 243.

Item 13.—A provision of Rs. 10,000 is made as the estimate for the steam launch will be shortly received from the Deputy Director, Indian Marine, Calcutta.

Item 15.—The decrease follows the reduction of expenditure on works proposed to be carried out through the agency of the Public Works Department and Local Fund Engineer.

The increase in Kistna is due to the provision of Rs. 6,570 for expenditure in the year out of the Rs. 11,570 sanctioned for the construction of a bridge over Ryves' canal at Bezvada—vide G.O., dated 10th July 1889, Mis. No. 4309. In Malabar and South Canara, the increased expenditure mainly consists of contributions to Local Funds fixed with reference to the probable available assets.

6. The Board notes that the grant for the construction and repair of ferry boats has been repeated by the Collector of Godavari in revising the estimates for the current

year. The construction of three ballacuts having been separately provided for (*vide* item 9), the Collector is requested to report whether the allotment now made does not require modification with reference to the remarks made by the Board in paragraph 3 of its Proceedings, dated 11th December 1889, No. 881. Of the several works enumerated in paragraph 5, items Nos. (2), (5), (10), (11), (12) and (13) are proposed to be executed through the agency of the Public Works Department, and the usual allotment on account of the cost of supervision, tools and plant, which comes to Rs. 1,502, is included in item (15).

BUDGET ESTIMATE FOR 1891-92.

7. The receipts and charges during the year are estimated by the Collectors at Rs. 1,50,100 and Rs. 2,01,900, respectively, or Rs. 200 less than, and Rs. 7,400 in excess of, the revised estimates, as under :—

	Receipts.	Charges.
	RS.	RS.
1. Arcot, South	1,300	...
2. Canara, South	25,200	25,200
3. Cuddapah	800	300
4. Godáviri	31,100	79,500
5. Kistna	6,000	12,500
6. Madura	100	...
7. Malabar	66,500	66,500
8. Tanjore	7,000	6,400
9. Tinnevelly	100	...
10. Trichinopoly	12,000	11,500
Total ...	1,50,100	2,01,900

8. The receipts vary by only Rs. 200 from the regular estimate, and do not call for remarks.

9. *Charges.*—The probable charges are put down at Rs. 2,01,900 and exceed the regular estimate by Rs. 7,400, which is the result of variations in the following districts :—

	RS.		RS.
Cuddapah	+ 100	Kistna	— 1,600
Godáviri	+ 8,400		
Malabar	+ 100		
Trichinopoly	+ 400		
	+ 9,000		

The increase in Godáviri is principally caused by the greater provision made for the steam launch for the Rajahmundry ferry, as also by the amount allotted for a steam launch at Narsapur, which is not provided for in the revised estimate. A sum of Rs. 25,000 was sanctioned by Government on account of a steam launch for the Rajahmundry ferry. The Collector has provided Rs. 10,000 in the revised estimate of the current year, and Rs. 20,000 in the estimate of 1891-92, thus exceeding the sanction by Rs. 5,000. This requires explanation. The Board further finds that the Collector has made a provision of Rs. 2,000 for the construction of another wharf, as the one sanctioned for Rajahmundry is nearing completion; but when sanctioning the latter, the Board remarked that similar projects should be deferred until the first was finished and experience gained. The wharf at Rajahmundry is not yet open for public use and it will be too soon to express any opinion. The provision will, however, be pending further report from the Collector regarding the Rajahmundry wharf. As regards the decrease of Rs. 1,600 in Kistna, it is due to a provision of Rs. 5,000 for the bridge over Ryves' canal at Bezvada, as against Rs. 6,570 in the revised estimate submitted to Government, and that if it should be sanctioned, the provision will have to be increased by Rs. 5,610.

10. The amount proposed to be contributed to Local Funds during 1891-92 is compared below with the revised grant on the same account in the current year :—

	Revised.	Budget.
	RS.	RS.
1. Canara, South	20,960	20,560
2. Kistna	1,000	1,000
3. Malabar	65,200	65,300
4. Tanjore	6,000	6,000
5. Trichinopoly	11,000	11,400
Total ...	1,04,160	1,04,260

The amount entered against Malabar includes also the usual contributions to the Cochin Sirkar and the Municipality.

11. The annexed statement exhibits the estimated receipts and charges of the fund for 1891-92 :—

Districts.	Balance at the beginning of 1891-92.	Receipts during the year.	Total.	Expenditure during the year.	Balance at the end of 1891-92.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
1. Arcot, South	6,300	1,300	7,600	...	7,600
2. Canara, South	...	25,200	25,200	25,200	...
3. Cuddapah	4,700	800	5,500	300	5,200
4. Godáviri	1,29,300	31,100	1,60,400	79,500	80,900
5. Kistna	19,500	6,000	25,500	12,500	13,000
6. Madura	100	100	200	...	200
7. Malabar	100	66,500	66,600	66,500	100
8. Tanjore	4,000	7,000	11,000	6,400	4,600
9. Tinnevelly	1,300	100	1,400	...	1,400
10. Trichinopoly	2,700	12,000	14,700	11,500	3,200
Total ..	1,68,000	1,50,100	3,18,100	2,01,900	1,16,200

The year is expected to close with a balance of Rs. 1,16,200 or Rs. 51,800 less than the amount with which it is expected to commence. The bulk of the balance appertains to Godáviri, where it amounts to Rs. 80,900. This will still further be diminished if all the works sanctioned in G.O., dated 17th October 1889, No. 847, are fully carried out.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. A. SIM,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

„ Collector of South Arcot.
„ „ of South Canara.
„ „ of Cuddapah.
„ „ of Godáviri.
„ „ of Kistna.
„ „ of Madura.
„ „ of Malabar.
„ „ of Tanjore.
„ „ of Tinnevelly.
„ „ of Trichinopoly.
„ „ of Vizagapatam.
„ Accountant-General.
„ Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

APPENDIX.

ESTIMATE of expenditure of Canal and Ferry Fund for 1891-92.

Districts.	Items.	ALLOTMENT FOR 1891-92.		
		By Public Works Department.	By Revenue Department.	Total.
Canara, South ..	Construction and repair of boats and platforms ..	RS.	RS.	RS.
	Do. of ferry and jangar sheds ..	..	2,000	..
	Contribution to Local Funds ..	..	1,500	..
	Establishment and Miscellaneous charges ..	..	20,560	..
Cuddapah ..	Cost of boats at crossings and purchase of a boat for the Mydakur ferry ..	..	1,140	25,200
	Establishment and working charges for the Rajahmundry ferry steamer ..	..	300	300
	Construction and repair of ferry boats ..	..	7,500	..
	Do. of rest-houses ..	..	8,000	..
Godávári ..	Improvement of landing places ..	..	200	..
	Construction of a landing ghát at the steam ferry landing place at other place than Rajahmundry ..	..	450	..
	Construction of an iron-girder bridge over the Mattalapaalem salt creek including the cost of supervision, tools and plant ..	..	2,000	..
	Purchase of a steam launch for Rajahmundry ferry ..	20,000	5,855	..
	Do. Narsápur ferry ..	20,000	..	..
	Construction of bridges across irrigation channels and drains ..	10,000	..	..
	Maintenance of Kovur-Munjair junction canal ..	1,800	..	..
	Repair of ferry boats on Kovur-Munjair junction canal ..	250	..	..
	Clearing silt in the old loading channel at Dowlaishweram ..	100	..	..
	Cost of supervision, tools and plant ..	2,915	..	..
	Establishment and Miscellaneous ..	..	420	79,490
	Construction and repair of ferry boats ..	..	6,000	..
Kistna ..	Do. of a bridge over the Ryves' canal at Bezvada ..	..	5,000	..
	Contribution to Local Funds ..	..	1,000	..
	Establishment and Miscellaneous ..	..	500	12,500
Malabar ..	Contribution to the Cochin Sirkar ..	..	1,500	..
	Do. to the Cochin Municipality ..	..	2,200	..
	Do. to the Local Funds ..	..	61,600	..
	Compensation to ferry contractor ..	..	200	..
Tanjore ..	Establishment and contingent charges ..	..	1,000	66,500
	Construction and repair of boats ..	..	300	..
	Contribution to Local Funds ..	..	6,000	..
Trichinopoly ..	Establishment and Miscellaneous ..	..	100	6,400
	Contribution to Local Funds ..	..	11,400	..
	Establishment, &c. ..	..	100	11,500
Grand Total ..		..	..	2,01,890
Or ..		..	..	2,01,900

* By Local Fund Engineer.

Office Note from the Public Works Department, dated Chépák, 11th November 1890, No. 4643-C.

(Your office note No. 50 of the 3rd instant.)

The only works under Canal and Ferry funds proposed for execution by the Public Works Department during 1891-92, and brought forward by Superintending Engineers in their budget estimates for that year, are —

(1) Maintenance of the Kovur-Munjair junction canal ..	RS.
(2) Repair of ferry boats on the Kovur-Munjair junction canal ..	1,800
(3) Clearing silt in the old loading channel at Dowlaishweram ..	250
	100

2. The marginally-noted works* proposed for execution by the Public Works Department in the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue received with your office note under reply have not been included in the budget estimate submitted by the Superintending Engineer, I Circle. If, however, it is intended that the Public Works Department should carry out the works, the necessary grants may be placed at the disposal of this department.

	RS.
(1) Purchase of a steam-launch for the Rajahmundry ferry ..	20,000
(2) Purchase of a steam-launch for the Narsápur ferry ..	20,000
(3) Construction of bridges across irrigation channels and drains ..	10,000

3. With reference to G.O., No. 914, Revenue, dated 16th November 1889, a sum of Rs. 25,000 was provided in the current year's Public Works budget for the construction of a steam-launch for the Narsápur ferry. As this grant appears to have been withdrawn in the revised estimate of charges for 1891-92, please say whether the amount may be resumed and replaced at the disposal of the Revenue Department.

4. The Proceedings of the Board of Revenue received with your office note are returned herewith.

ORDER—dated 22nd November 1890, No. 949, Revenue.

With the first paper read above the Board of Revenue submits the revised estimate of receipts and charges of the Canal and Ferry Fund for the current year and the budget estimates for 1891-92.

2. *Revised Estimate.*—The receipts are estimated at Rs. 1,50,300, or Rs. 8,970 in excess of the sanctioned estimate. The increase is fully explained in paragraph 4 of the Board's Proceedings. The charges are estimated at Rs. 1,94,500, or Rs. 27,990 less than the sanctioned figure. In the Godávári district there is a falling off of Rs. 41,760, which is due to the withdrawal of Rs. 25,000, being the provision made for the construction of a steam-launch for the Narsápur ferry, and to the surrender of a portion of the grants by the officers entrusted with the other works. The increases in Kistna, South Canara and Malabar are explained in paragraph 5 of the Board's Proceedings.

3. *Budget Estimate.*—The estimated receipts differ from the revised estimate by only Rs. 200, and call for no remark. The charges are estimated at Rs. 2,01,900, which must be raised to Rs. 2,07,510 with reference to G.O., dated 18th November 1890, No. 7043. There will thus be an increase of Rs. 13,010 over the sanctioned estimate. This appears chiefly in Godávári (Rs. 8,400) and Kistna (Rs. 4,010), and is fully explained in paragraph 9 of the Board's Proceedings and the statement appended thereto.

	Receipts. RS.	Charges. RS.	
† Revised estimate ..	1,50,000	1,95,000	The estimates † as above modified will be forwarded to the Financial Department, the figures being taken to the nearest thousands with reference to G.O., dated 3rd December 1889, No. 975.
Budget ..	1,50,000	2,08,000	

5. With reference to paragraph 2 of the second paper read above, the Public Works Department will be informed that the three works noted on the margin thereof are intended to be carried out by that department. The query in paragraph 3 is answered in the affirmative.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue.
 „ Public Works Department.
 „ Financial Department.
 „ Accountant-General.

ORDER—dated 27th November 1890, No. 873, Financial.

The estimates as modified in the Revenue Department are accepted.

2. Application should be made by the Public Works Department in due course for whatever funds are required in 1891-92.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Revenue Department.
„ Public Works Department.
„ Accountant-General.

Gobt. of {1890} Madras.
FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 27th Nov. 1890, No. 873.

NOVEMBER.

Budget Estimates.

Accepting, as modified in the Revenue Department, the — of receipts and charges of the Canal and Ferry Fund for 1891-92.

11TH DECEMBER 1890, No. 1974, JUDICIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From Colonel J. M. SMITH, Acting Inspector-General of Jails, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Ootacamund, 24th October 1890, No. 341.

I have the honor to reply to paragraph 3 of G.O., dated 20th March 1890, No. 526, Judicial, on the subject of the Párvatipur Hill Jail.

2. On receipt of the Government order, I, on 20th April, sent for measurements to show the capacity of the jail. I only received the answer on the 10th October, and the Superintendent of the Jail explained that, after repeated reminders, he only got the measurements from the Executive Engineer on the 20th September.

3. Government remarks that, from the papers before it, the actual capacity of the Párvatipur jail cannot be ascertained. The jail was built originally to hold 105 prisoners. Mr. Grimes, in his letter, No. 162, dated 4th June 1886, stated that, though the jail was built to hold 105 prisoners, he had increased the accommodation by putting 5 more men into each of the 4 main wards and 18 men into two work-sheds. This only makes a total of 143, but he began with showing accommodation for 140. How he got that room, there is nothing to tell me in this office. In Statement A of the report for 1887, accommodation was shown for 204. This accommodation was got by erecting temporary sheds for prisoners, and the number was reduced in the report for 1888 to 143 by the removal of temporary sheds. In the statement of 1889, I find 143 as the number for which there is accommodation.

4. I attach a copy of a statement received from the Superintendent which shows accommodation for 207 prisoners. It shows also the superficial area and cubical contents of each building. The jail proper consists of four blocks to hold 25 prisoners each, one hospital to hold 8, solitary cells to hold 6, and one female ward to hold 10. This gives a total accommodation for 124. The other 83 prisoners are accommodated in the three work-sheds, one quarantine shed, and three temporary sheds. The quarantine shed and one temporary shed are outside the jail walls.

5. It is contrary to sanitary principles to have convicts sleeping in sheds they have been working in during the day, and I think the temporary sheds are also objectionable. The jail proper was originally built to hold 105. In Mr. Grimes' letter referred to above, he explains that the cubical capacity of the 4 blocks after they were built allowed for 5 prisoners more being put into each; this 20 added to 105 gives room for 125 prisoners in the jail proper. I have shown in paragraph 4 that the Superintendent's statement shows room for 124 in the jail proper.

6. There is certainly not room enough in this jail for the number of Hill prisoners sent to it, and temporary sheds have per force been erected. Government approves in the Government order I am answering of permanent buildings being substituted, and I would suggest, what I think is perfectly feasible, that each of the four blocks should be doubled in length. There is ample room for this, if I am to trust the ground plan. This would give us room for 100 more prisoners, raising our capacity to 224. The hospital is to be doubled in capacity, which gives room for 8 more or a total of 232. This accommodation is not sufficient. I think, therefore, in the yard where the present office stands, I would erect a block for 25 prisoners. Thus the jail would hold 257 prisoners. I attach a rough plan (which please return) of the jail as it is prepared by the jailor and not in proper proportion. I have marked on it the extensions I propose. The present office is to be built over the main gate.

7. Estimates are being prepared for carrying out Colonel Porteous' proposals in paragraph 9 of his letter contained in the Government order under reply, viz., (1) lowering the outer-wall to 9 feet, (2) removing the present office and locating it over the main gate and guard room, (3) doubling the size of the hospital. I hope shortly to submit them to Government.

8. If Government will sanction estimates being made for my suggestions in paragraph 6, I will call for them.

ENCLOSURE.

STATEMENT showing the cubical contents and superficial area of the jail buildings and also the number of prisoners to each block, with its present condition.

Name of building.	Superficial area.	Cubical contents.	Number of prisoners.	Remarks and condition of building.
Quarantine shed	744	8,252	13	Requires thatching.
No. 3 temporary shed	736	7,928	13*	Requires repairs to roof; roof falling in.
" 2 work-shed	494	4,816	8*	Used as a weaving shed by day.
" 2 temporary shed	829	8,624	14	In fair order, require re-thatching.
Female block	829	6,348	10	
No. 1 temporary shed	900	8,000	13	Requires repairing and re-thatching.
Solitary cells	583	7,377	6	
Hospital ward	354	6,779	8	In fair order.
Office	492	6,320	25	
No. 3 block	827	14,995	25*	Under repairs by Public Works Department.
" 1 "	827	14,995	25	
" 2 "	827	14,995	25	In fair order.
" 4 "	827	14,995	25	
" 1 work-shed	482	6,873	11	In fair order and require more ventilation.
" 3 "	482	6,873	11	
Total number of prisoners	..	..	207	

Cubical contents allowed for each prisoner, 600 cubic feet, Jail Code, section 1163; in blocks and in hospital, 800 cubic feet, Jail Code, section 1219; and in solitary, 1,000 cubic feet, Jail Code, section 1164.

* Not used for locking up at night.

PARVATIPUR,
23rd September 1890.

(Signed) S. C. WHITE,
Superintendent, Hill Jail.

ORDER—dated 11th December 1890, No. 1974, Judicial.

The Inspector-General's proposals are approved and the estimates awaited. The Inspector-General has not stated whether estimates are under preparation for constructing a verandah along one side of each barrack—a proposal appearing in paragraph 9 of Colonel Porteous' letter read in G.O., dated 20th March 1890, No. 526, Judicial.

2. The delay in replying to the G.O., dated 20th March 1890, No. 526, is not satisfactory. The Superintendent should have been able to measure the buildings himself, and should have done so instead of calling in the aid of the Executive Engineer.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector-General of Jails.
" Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.
" Agent to the Governor, Vizagapatam.
" Public Works Department.

Exd. A. Raitt,

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 11th Dec. 1890, No. 1974.

DECEMBER.

Parvatipur Hill Jail.

Approving, with remarks, the Inspector-General's proposals regarding extension of buildings in connection with the — and awaiting estimates.

No 149 Wd / 7 Jan'y 1891
Comd to the S.E. I Circle
in contra of G.O. No.
1084 W dated 11 April 1890

1st J.P.
W.

S.E. I Circle

11TH DECEMBER 1890, No. 1974, JUDICIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From Colonel J. M. SMITH, Acting Inspector-General of Jails, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Ootacamund, 24th October 1890, No. 341.

I have the honor to reply to paragraph 3 of G.O., dated 20th March 1890, No. 526, Judicial, on the subject of the Parvatipur Hill Jail.

2. On receipt of the Government order, I, on 20th April, sent for measurements to show the capacity of the jail. I only received the answer on the 10th October, and the Superintendent of the Jail explained that, after repeated reminders, he only got the measurements from the Executive Engineer on the 20th September.

3. Government remarks that, from the papers before it, the actual capacity of the Parvatipur jail cannot be ascertained. The jail was built originally to hold 105 prisoners. Mr. Grimes, in his letter, No. 162, dated 4th June 1886, stated that, though the jail was built to hold 105 prisoners, he had increased the accommodation by putting 5 more men into each of the 4 main wards and 18 men into two work-sheds. This only makes a total of 143, but he began with showing accommodation for 140. How he got that room, there is nothing to tell me in this office. In Statement A of the report for 1887, accommodation was shown for 204. This accommodation was got by erecting temporary sheds for prisoners, and the number was reduced in the report for 1888 to 143 by the removal of temporary sheds. In the statement of 1889, I find 143 as the number for which there is accommodation.

4. I attach a copy of a statement received from the Superintendent which shows accommodation for 207 prisoners. It shows also the superficial area and cubical contents of each building. The jail proper consists of four blocks to hold 25 prisoners each, one hospital to hold 8, solitary cells to hold 6, and one female ward to hold 10. This gives a total accommodation for 124. The other 83 prisoners are accommodated in the three work-sheds, one quarantine shed, and three temporary sheds. The quarantine shed and one temporary shed are outside the jail walls.

5. It is contrary to sanitary principles to have convicts sleeping in sheds they have been working in during the day, and I think the temporary sheds are also objectionable. The jail proper was originally built to hold 105. In Mr. Grimes' letter referred to above, he explains that the cubical capacity of the 4 blocks after they were built allowed for 5 prisoners more being put into each; this 20 added to 105 gives room for 125 prisoners in the jail proper. I have shown in paragraph 4 that the Superintendent's statement shows room for 124 in the jail proper.

6. There is certainly not room enough in this jail for the number of Hill prisoners sent to it, and temporary sheds have per force been erected. Government approves in the Government order I am answering of permanent buildings being substituted, and I would suggest, what I think is perfectly feasible, that each of the four blocks should be doubled in length. There is ample room for this, if I am to trust the ground plan. This would give us room for 100 more prisoners, raising our capacity to 224. The hospital is to be doubled in capacity, which gives room for 8 more or a total of 232. This accommodation is not sufficient. I think, therefore, in the yard where the present office stands, I would erect a block for 25 prisoners. Thus the jail would hold 257 prisoners. I attach a rough plan (which please return) of the jail as it is prepared by the jailor and not in proper proportion. I have marked on it the extensions I propose. The present office is to be built over the main gate.

7. Estimates are being prepared for carrying out Colonel Porteous' proposals in paragraph 9 of his letter contained in the Government order under reply, viz., (1) lowering the outer-wall to 9 feet, (2) removing the present office and locating it over the main gate and guard room, (3) doubling the size of the hospital. I hope shortly to submit them to Government.

8. If Government will sanction estimates being made for my suggestions in paragraph 6, I will call for them.

ENCLOSURE.

STATEMENT showing the cubical contents and superficial area of the jail buildings and also the number of prisoners to each block, with its present condition.

Name of building.	Superficial area.	Cubical contents.	Number of prisoners.	Remarks and condition of building.
Quarantine shed	744	8,252	13	Requires thatching.
No. 3 temporary shed	736	7,928	13*	Requires repairs to roof; roof falling in.
" 2 work-shed	494	4,816	8*	Used as a weaving shed by day.
" 2 temporary shed	829	8,624	14	In fair order, require re-thatching.
Female block	329	6,348	10	Requires repairing and re-thatching.
No. 1 temporary shed	900	8,000	13	
Solitary cells	583	7,377	6	
Hospital ward	354	6,779	8	In fair order.
Office	492	6,320	25	
No. 3 block	827	14,995	25*	Under repairs by Public Works Department.
" 1 "	827	14,995	25	In fair order.
" 2 "	827	14,995	25	
" 4 "	827	14,995	25	In fair order.
" 1 work-shed	482	6,873	11	In fair order and require more ventilation.
" 3 "	482	6,873	11	
Total number of prisoners	..	..	207	

Cubical contents allowed for each prisoner, 600 cubic feet, Jail Code, section 1163; in blocks and in hospital, 800 cubic feet, Jail Code, section 1219; and in solitary, 1,000 cubic feet, Jail Code, section 1164.

* Not used for locking up at night.

PARVATIPUR,
23rd September 1890.

(Signed) S. C. WHITE,
Superintendent, Hill Jail.

ORDER—dated 11th December 1890, No. 1974, Judicial.

The Inspector-General's proposals are approved and the estimates awaited. The Inspector-General has not stated whether estimates are under preparation for constructing a verandah along one side of each barrack—a proposal appearing in paragraph 9 of Colonel Porteous' letter read in G.O., dated 20th March 1890, No. 526, Judicial.

2. The delay in replying to the G.O., dated 20th March 1890, No. 526, is not satisfactory. The Superintendent should have been able to measure the buildings himself, and should have done so instead of calling in the aid of the Executive Engineer.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector-General of Jails.
" Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.
" Agent to the Governor, Vizagapatam.
" Public Works Department.

Exd. A. Raitt,

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 11th Dec. 1890, No. 1974.

DECEMBER.

Parvatipur Hill Jail.

Approving, with remarks, the Inspector-General's proposals regarding extension of buildings in connection with the — and awaiting estimates.

27TH NOVEMBER 1890, No. 873, FINANCIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

G.O., dated 22nd November 1890, No. 949, Revenue.

Read—the following papers :—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 21st October 1890, No. 640 :—

Read—the following papers :—

From the Collector of Arcot, South,	dated	3rd Sept.	1890, No. 1199.
" " of Canara, South,	"	1st "	" " 3285.
" " of Cuddapah,	"	2nd "	" " 4891.
" " of Godavari,	"	17th "	" " 448.
" " of Kistna,	"	15th "	" " 6687.
" " of Madura,	"	20th "	" " 380.
" " of Malabar,	"	25th Aug.	" " 3406-R.
" " of Tanjore,	"	6th Sept.	" " 306.
" " of Tinnevely,	"	3rd "	" " 726.
" " of Trichinopoly,	"	30th Aug.	" " 374.
" " of Vizagapatam,	"	6th Sept.	" " 2903.

Resolution—dated 21st October 1890, No. 640.

The Board resolves to submit to Government the revised estimate of receipts and charges of the Canal and Ferry Fund for the current year and the budget estimate for the ensuing year.

2. The Act was in force in nine districts ; and during the year, it was extended to the Madura and Vizagapatam districts under the orders of Government, dated 27th January 1890, No. 75, and 14th March 1890, No. 200, respectively. The Collector of Vizagapatam has, however, submitted no estimates for the reason that the Marripenta ferry cannot be leased out this year, and will be transferred to the Local Fund Board before next year. He apparently means to make an application for the transfer under section 11 of Act II of 1890.

REVISED ESTIMATE FOR 1890-91.

3. The subjoined abstract exhibits in one view the revised estimate of the current year as compared with sanctioned figures. In the absence of the Accountant-General's figures, the opening balance has been adopted from the Special Fund report

for 1889-90; but the figures under this head, as well as the revised estimates, have been, wherever necessary, taken to the nearest hundred, in accordance with the principle laid down in G.O., dated 3rd December 1889, No. 975 :—

Districts.	Balance at the beginning of 1890-91.	Revised estimated receipts during the year 1890-91.	Sanctioned estimate of receipts, 1890-91.	Total of columns 2 and 3.	Revised estimated expenditure during the year 1890-91.	Sanctioned estimate of expenditure, 1890-91.	Balance.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1. Arcot, South	Rs. 5,000	Rs. 1,300	Rs. 950	Rs. 6,300	Rs. 25,200	Rs. 23,730	Rs. 6,300
2. Canara, South							
3. Cuddapah							
4. Godavari							
5. Kistna							
6. Madura							
7. Malabar							
8. Tanjore							
9. Tinnevely							
10. Trichinopoly							
Total ..	2,12,200	1,50,300	1,41,330	3,62,500	1,94,500	2,22,490	1,68,000

4. The revised estimate of receipts for the current year amounts to Rs. 1,50,300, and exceeds the sanctioned figures by Rs. 8,970, the following districts contributing to this result :—

Arcot, South		Rs. 350	Cuddapah		Rs. 30
Canara, South		1,470	Kistna		1,500
Godavari		1,000			
Madura		100			
Malabar		5,500			
Tanjore		880			
Trichinopoly		1,200			
Total ..		10,500	Total ..		1,530

The increase in Malabar is reported to be mainly due to the receipts expected to be realized from the Payoli lock, brought within the operation of the Act under G.O., dated 12th August 1889, No. 694. The estimate in South Canara is increased with reference to the result of the sale of the farms for three years ending 31st March 1893. In Trichinopoly and Kistna, the estimate is fixed with reference to the current year's rental and the outstanding arrears expected to be recovered during the year. In Godavari, the increase is expected from the new ferry steamer at Rajahmundry.

5. Charges.—The probable charges are estimated at Rs. 27,990 less than the sanctioned figures. The variations occur in the undermentioned districts :—

Godavari		Rs. 41,760	Canara, South		Rs. 1,470
Tinnevely		20	Cuddapah		20
			Kistna		6,600
			Malabar		5,400
			Tanjore		300
Total ..		41,780	Total ..		13,790

The falling off in Godavari is very large, and the particulars are detailed below, in comparison with the sanctioned estimates :—

	Sanctioned estimate.	Revised estimate.
	RS.	RS.
1. Establishment and working charges for the ferry steamer at Rajahmundry	9,000	7,500
2. Construction of bridges across irrigation channels and drains	12,000	1,730
3. Construction and repair of ferry boats	12,000	12,000
4. Do. do. of rest-houses	500	500
5. Do. of an aqueduct over the Chollanghi river	3,500	2,500
5. Improvement of landing places	500	500
7. Construction of a steam launch for the Narsapur ferry	25,000	..
8. Construction of an iron girder bridge over the Mat-talapalem salt creek	30,850	25,000
9. Construction of three ballacuts to be kept in stock	1,500	1,500
10. Maintenance of Kovur-Munjair junction canal	5,000	1,800
11. Repair of ferry boats on do.	200	250
12. Clearing silt in the old loading channel at Dow-laisweram	100	100
13. Construction of a steam launch for the Rajah-mundry ferry	..	10,000
14. Establishment and miscellaneous charges	100	100
15. Cost of supervision and tools and plant	12,605	7,627
	1,12,855	71,107
	Or 1,12,860	71,100

Item 1.—The difference is due to the fact that the sanctioned estimate of expenses connected with the ferry steamer is revised with reference to the probable actual requirements of the vessel and to the reductions in the establishment proposed by the Collector in his letter disposed of in G.O., dated 23rd September 1890, No. 765.

Item 2.—The estimate has been reduced at the instance of the Superintending Engineer to the amount which he is able to spend during the year.

Item 5.—The decrease represents the difference between the original and the revised estimates sanctioned for the work—vide G.O., dated 31st March 1890, No. 1031. W.

Item 7.—The amount sanctioned has been held over for expenditure next year pending preparation of plan and estimates.

Item 8.—The figures shown under the revised estimate represent the amount which the Local Fund Engineer, to whom the work is entrusted for execution, is expected to spend within the year.

Item 10.—The outlay is estimated at Rs. 3,600 against Rs. 5,000 sanctioned therefor. A moiety only of the revised figure, viz., Rs. 1,800, is debitable to this fund—vide G.O., dated 1st April 1889, No. 243.

Item 13.—A provision of Rs. 10,000 is made as the estimate for the steam launch will be shortly received from the Deputy Director, Indian Marine, Calcutta.

Item 15.—The decrease follows the reduction of expenditure on works proposed to be carried out through the agency of the Public Works Department and Local Fund Engineer.

The increase in Kistna is due to the provision of Rs. 6,570 for expenditure in the year out of the Rs. 11,570 sanctioned for the construction of a bridge over Ryves' canal at Bezvada—vide G.O., dated 10th July 1889, Mis. No. 4309. In Malabar and South Canara, the increased expenditure mainly consists of contributions to Local Funds fixed with reference to the probable available assets.

6. The Board notes that the grant for the construction and repair of ferry boats has been repeated by the Collector of Godavari in revising the estimates for the current

year. The construction of three ballacuts having been separately provided for (*vide* item 9), the Collector is requested to report whether the allotment now made does not require modification with reference to the remarks made by the Board in paragraph 3 of its Proceedings, dated 11th December 1889, No. 881. Of the several works enumerated in paragraph 5, items Nos. (2), (5), (10), (11), (12) and (13) are proposed to be executed through the agency of the Public Works Department, and the usual allotment on account of the cost of supervision, tools and plant, which comes to Rs. 1,502, is included in item (15).

BUDGET ESTIMATE FOR 1891-92.

7. The receipts and charges during the year are estimated by the Collectors at Rs. 1,50,100 and Rs. 2,01,900, respectively, or Rs. 200 less than, and Rs. 7,400 in excess of, the revised estimates, as under:—

	Receipts.	Charges.
	RS.	RS.
1. Arcot, South	1,300	...
2. Canara, South	25,200	25,200
3. Cuddapah	800	300
4. Godávári	31,100	79,500
5. Kistna	6,000	12,500
6. Madura	100	...
7. Malabar	66,500	66,500
8. Tanjore	7,000	6,400
9. Tinnevely	100	...
10. Trichinopoly	12,000	11,500
Total	1,50,100	2,01,900

8. The receipts vary by only Rs. 200 from the regular estimate, and do not call for remarks.

9. *Charges.*—The probable charges are put down at Rs. 2,01,900 and exceed the regular estimate by Rs. 7,400, which is the result of variations in the following districts:—

	RS.		RS.
Cuddapah	100	Kistna	1,600
Godávári	8,400		
Malabar	100		
Trichinopoly	400		
	9,000		

The increase in Godávári is principally caused by the greater provision made for the steam launch for the Rajahmundry ferry, as also by the amount allotted for a steam launch at Narsápur, which is not provided for in the revised estimate. A sum of Rs. 25,000 was sanctioned by Government on account of a steam launch for the Rajahmundry ferry. The Collector has provided Rs. 10,000 in the revised estimate of the current year, and Rs. 20,000 in the estimate of 1891-92, thus exceeding the sanction by Rs. 5,000. This requires explanation. The Board further finds that the Collector has made a provision of Rs. 2,000 for the construction of another wharf, as the one sanctioned for Rajahmundry is nearing completion; but when sanctioning the latter, the Board remarked that similar projects should be deferred until the first was finished and experience gained. The wharf at Rajahmundry is not yet open for public use and it will be too soon to express any opinion. The provision will, however, be allowed to stand on the understanding that the work will not be taken in hand, pending further report from the Collector regarding the Rajahmundry wharf. As regards the decrease of Rs. 1,600 in Kistna, it is due to a provision of Rs. 5,000 for the bridge over Ryves' canal at Bezváda, as against Rs. 6,570 in the revised estimate for the current year. The Collector reports that a revised estimate has been submitted to Government, and that if it should be sanctioned, the provision will have to be increased by Rs. 5,610.

10. The amount proposed to be contributed to Local Funds during 1891-92 is compared below with the revised grant on the same account in the current year:—

	Revised.	Budget.
	RS.	RS.
1. Canara, South	20,960	20,560
2. Kistna	1,000	1,000
3. Malabar	65,200	65,300
4. Tanjore	6,000	6,000
5. Trichinopoly	11,000	11,400
Total	1,04,160	1,04,260

The amount entered against Malabar includes also the usual contributions to the Cochin Sirkar and the Municipality.

11. The annexed statement exhibits the estimated receipts and charges of the fund for 1891-92:—

Districts.	Balance at the beginning of 1891-92.	Receipts during the year.	Total.	Expenditure during the year.	Balance at the end of 1891-92.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
1. Arcot, South	6,300	1,300	7,600	...	7,600
2. Canara, South	...	25,200	25,200	25,200	...
3. Cuddapah	4,700	800	5,500	300	5,200
4. Godávári	1,29,300	31,100	1,60,400	79,500	80,900
5. Kistna	19,500	6,000	25,500	12,500	13,000
6. Madura	100	100	200	...	200
7. Malabar	100	66,500	66,600	66,500	100
8. Tanjore	4,000	7,000	11,000	6,400	4,600
9. Tinnevely	1,300	100	1,400	...	1,400
10. Trichinopoly	2,700	12,000	14,700	11,500	3,200
Total	1,68,000	1,50,100	3,18,100	2,01,900	1,16,200

The year is expected to close with a balance of Rs. 1,16,200 or Rs. 51,800 less than the amount with which it is expected to commence. The bulk of the balance appertains to Godávári, where it amounts to Rs. 80,900. This will still further be diminished if all the works sanctioned in G.O., dated 17th October 1889, No. 847, are fully carried out.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. A. SIM,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Collector of South Arcot.
" of South Canara.
" of Cuddapah.
" of Godávári.
" of Kistna.
" of Madura.
" of Malabar.
" of Tanjore.
" of Tinnevely.
" of Trichinopoly.
" of Vizagapatam.
Accountant-General.
Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

APPENDIX.

ESTIMATE of expenditure of Canal and Ferry Fund for 1891-92.

Districts.	Items.	ALLOTMENT FOR 1891-92.		
		By Public Works Department.	By Revenue Department.	Total.
		RS.	RS.	RS.
Canara, South	Construction and repair of boats and platforms	..	2,000	..
	Do. of ferry and jangarsheds	..	1,500	..
	Contribution to Local Funds	..	20,560	..
	Establishment and Miscellaneous charges	..	1,140	25,200
Cuddapah	Cost of boats at crossings and purchase of a boat for the Mydakar ferry	..	300	300
	Establishment and working charges for the Rajahmundry ferry steamer	..	7,500	..
	Construction and repair of ferry boats	..	8,000	..
	Do. of rest-houses	..	200	..
	Improvement of landing places	..	450	..
	Construction of a landing ghāt at the steam ferry landing place at other place than Rajahmundry	..	2,000	..
Godavari	Construction of an iron-girder bridge over the Mattalapalem salt creek including the cost of supervision, tools and plant	..	..	..
	Purchase of a steam launch for Rajahmundry ferry	20,000	5,855	..
	Do. Narsapur ferry	20,000	..	..
	Construction of bridges across irrigation channels and drains	10,000	..	..
	Maintenance of Kovur-Munjair junction canal	1,800	..	..
	Repair of ferry boats on Kovur-Munjair junction canal	250	..	..
	Clearing silt in the old loading channel at Dowlaishweram	100	..	..
	Cost of supervision, tools and plant	2,915	..	..
	Establishment and Miscellaneous	..	420	79,490
Kistna	Construction and repair of ferry boats	..	6,000	..
	Do. of a bridge over the Ryves' canal at Bezvada	..	5,000	..
	Contribution to Local Funds	..	1,000	..
	Establishment and Miscellaneous	..	500	12,500
Malabar	Contribution to the Cochin Sirkar	..	1,500	..
	Do. to the Cochin Municipality	..	2,200	..
	Do. to the Local Funds	..	61,600	..
	Compensation to ferry contractor	..	200	..
	Establishment and contingent charges	..	1,000	66,500
Tanjore	Construction and repair of boats	..	300	..
	Contribution to Local Funds	..	6,000	..
	Establishment and Miscellaneous	..	100	6,400
Trichinopoly	Contribution to Local Funds	..	11,400	..
	Establishment, &c.	..	100	11,500
	Grand Total	..	..	2,01,890
	Or	..	..	2,01,900

* By Local Fund Engineer.

Office Note from the Public Works Department, dated Chépák, 11th November 1890, No. 4643-C.

(Your office note No. 50 of the 3rd instant.)

The only works under Canal and Ferry funds proposed for execution by the Public Works Department during 1891-92, and brought forward by Superintending Engineers in their budget estimates for that year, are —

(1) Maintenance of the Kovur-Munjair junction canal	RS.
(2) Repair of ferry boats on the Kovur-Munjair junction canal	1,800
(3) Clearing silt in the old loading channel at Dowlaishweram	250
	100

2. The marginally-noted works * proposed for execution by the Public Works Department in the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue received with your office note under reply have not been included in the budget estimate submitted by the Superintending Engineer, I Circle. If, however, it is intended that the Public Works Department should carry out the works, the necessary grants may be placed at the disposal of this department.

(1) Purchase of a steam-launch for the Rajahmundry ferry 20,000
 (2) Purchase of a steam-launch for the Narsapur ferry 20,000
 (3) Construction of bridges across irrigation channels and drains .. 10,000

3. With reference to G.O., No. 914, Revenue, dated 16th November 1889, a sum of Rs. 25,000 was provided in the current year's Public Works budget for the construction of a steam-launch for the Narsapur ferry. As this grant appears to have been withdrawn in the revised estimate of charges for 1891-92, please say whether the amount may be resumed and replaced at the disposal of the Revenue Department.

4. The Proceedings of the Board of Revenue received with your office note are returned herewith.

ORDER—dated 22nd November 1890, No. 949, Revenue.

With the first paper read above the Board of Revenue submits the revised estimate of receipts and charges of the Canal and Ferry Fund for the current year and the budget estimates for 1891-92.

2. *Revised Estimate.*—The receipts are estimated at Rs. 1,50,300, or Rs. 8,970 in excess of the sanctioned estimate. The increase is fully explained in paragraph 4 of the Board's Proceedings. The charges are estimated at Rs. 1,94,500, or Rs. 27,990 less than the sanctioned figure. In the Godavari district there is a falling off of Rs. 41,760, which is due to the withdrawal of Rs. 25,000, being the provision made for the construction of a steam-launch for the Narsapur ferry, and to the surrender of a portion of the grants by the officers entrusted with the other works. The increases in Kistna, South Canara and Malabar are explained in paragraph 5 of the Board's Proceedings.

3. *Budget Estimate.*—The estimated receipts differ from the revised estimate by only Rs. 200, and call for no remark. The charges are estimated at Rs. 2,01,900, which must be raised to Rs. 2,07,510 with reference to G.O., dated 18th November 1890, No. 7043. There will thus be an increase of Rs. 13,010 over the sanctioned estimate. This appears chiefly in Godavari (Rs. 8,400) and Kistna (Rs. 4,010), and is fully explained in paragraph 9 of the Board's Proceedings and the statement appended thereto.

	Receipts.	Charges.	
	RS.	RS.	
† Revised estimate ..	1,50,000	1,95,000	
Budget ..	1,50,000	2,08,000	

The estimates † as above modified will be forwarded to the Financial Department, the figures being taken to the nearest thousands with reference to G.O., dated 3rd December 1889, No. 975.

5. With reference to paragraph 2 of the second paper read above, the Public Works Department will be informed that the three works noted on the margin thereof are intended to be carried out by that department. The query in paragraph 3 is answered in the affirmative.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue.
 " Public Works Department.
 " Financial Department.
 " Accountant-General.

ORDER—dated 27th November 1890, No. 873, Financial.

The estimates as modified in the Revenue Department are accepted.

2. Application should be made by the Public Works Department in due course for whatever funds are required in 1891-92.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Revenue Department.
„ Public Works Department.
„ Accountant-General.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

G.O., dated 22nd November 1890, No. 949, Revenue.

Read—the following papers :—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 21st October 1890, No. 640 :—

Read—the following papers :—

From the Collector of Arcot, South,	dated	3rd Sept.	1890, No. 1199.
" " of Canara, South,	"	1st "	" " 3285.
" " of Cuddapah,	"	2nd "	" " 4891.
" " of Godavari,	"	17th "	" " 448.
" " of Kistna,	"	15th "	" " 6687.
" " of Madura,	"	20th "	" " 380.
" " of Malabar,	"	25th Aug.	" " 3406-R.
" " of Tanjore,	"	6th Sept.	" " 306.
" " of Tinnevely,	"	3rd "	" " 726.
" " of Trichinopoly,	"	30th Aug.	" " 374.
" " of Vizagapatam,	"	6th Sept.	" " 2903.

Resolution—dated 21st October 1890, No. 640.

The Board resolves to submit to Government the revised estimate of receipts and charges of the Canal and Ferry Fund for the current year and the budget estimate for the ensuing year.

2. The Act was in force in nine districts; and during the year, it was extended to the Madura and Vizagapatam districts under the orders of Government, dated 27th January 1890, No. 75, and 14th March 1890, No. 200, respectively. The Collector of Vizagapatam has, however, submitted no estimates for the reason that the Marripenta ferry cannot be leased out this year, and will be transferred to the Local Fund Board before next year. He apparently means to make an application for the transfer under section 11 of Act II of 1890.

REVISED ESTIMATE FOR 1890-91.

3. The subjoined abstract exhibits in one view the revised estimate of the current year as compared with sanctioned figures. In the absence of the Accountant-General's figures, the opening balance has been adopted from the Special Fund report