(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 30

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் அடிகளார் 1989

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பொதுபணித் துறை

அரசாணை எண். M99W

மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 23-4-1890 19 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

ஆவண கா-1—18,000—15-6-87.

1/1199

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following papers:—

From Lieut.-Colonel A. C. SMITH, R.E., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Kodaikánal, 1st March 1890, No. 2590 T.J.

Para. 864, note 1 of the Public Works Code, modified by S. O. No. 473 of 31st August 1888, requires that "the Executive Engineer in charge of a division should himself attest and re-attest entries in service books of all members of the Divisional establishment whether employed directly under himself or not." I have the honor to submit, for the consideration of Government, the advisableness of modifying this rule.

2. In other departments the above duty is assigned to the heads of offices concerned, as a careful reference to the rules in the Civil Service Regulations and the instructions in G.O., No. 717, of the 29th June 1888, in the Pension Department * shows, in fact, the duty of attesting service books devolves on the Collector, Sub-Collector, Head Assistant Collector, Deputy Collector, Tahsildars and even Deputy Tahsildars in the Revenue Department. I see no reason why this duty should not be entrusted to Sub-divisional officers in the Public Works Department; nor do I see any advantage, but only unavoidable delay, in its being restricted to the Executive Engineer in charge of the division.

* Circulated with G.O., No. 2231 W., of 21st July 1888.

3. Sub-divisional officers are generally Assistant Engineers (in some cases even Executive Engineers) and upper subordinates of standing, and when it is considered that they are held responsible for more arduous work and extensive monetary transactions, it appears somewhat anomalous that they should not be entrusted with this simple duty in question.

4. I do not mean that the verification of any service book should be carried out by any Sub-divisional officer; this should certainly be done by the Executive Engineer; but attestation and re-attestation can more easily and conveniently be made by the former in the case of all members in their respective sub-divisions, the Executive Engineers in charge of the division seeing or ascertaining during his tours that this duty is being regularly performed.

5. Now, for instance, in the Tanjore division there are 201 service books maintained, while in the Tinnevelly and other divisions † the numbers of books are not insignificant, the greatest portions of these numbers being of inferior servants ‡ or lascars of the Petty and Conservancy establishments employed under the immediate control of the Sub-divisional officers. I can see no objection to these officers attesting these books.

† Tinnevelly	... ...	130
Negapatam	... ...	75
Trichinopoly	... ...	63
Madura	... ...	71
‡ Tanjore,	inferior servants ...	... 157
Negapatam,	do. ...	... 52
Trichinopoly	do. ...	... 48
Madura	do. ...	... 32
Tinnevelly	do. ...	... 96

6. I take also the opportunity of bringing to the notice of Government, for their orders, another point. In G.O., No. 80 W., of 14th January 1889 (*vide* memoranda, Pension Department, printed therein), it is laid down that Account officers should not be saddled with the duty of verifying service books of officers before their retirement, and that verification of past service of subordinates in the Public Works Department should be made from copies of the pay bills. In the verification now being made in some of the divisions, it is

found that in some cases copies of pay bills are wanting to prove the services of subordinates even in superior grades for comparatively recent periods, that is subsequent to 1863 and prior to 1879. This must probably be owing to frequent changes in the department and the consequent transfers, &c., of records. In these cases the audited pay bills in the Examiner's office or his audit registers may furnish the required information, and the Examiner should, I think, have no objection to furnish the required information when applied to. It does not appear that it is the intention of the rules on the subject that, to complete the initial verification in these cases, affidavits and evidence of contemporary servants should be taken, where authentic records are in existence in the Account or Audit office. Much labor and time are spent in some cases, without the desired result, in hunting after old records. This is one of the reasons for the backward state or slow progress in the verification of service books in some divisions and in this office, whereas the required information may probably be ready to hand in the Audit office.

Office note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 10th April 1890, No. 2027.

Your office note No. 1252 C. of 7th March 1890.

Please see my office note No. 1478, dated 12th May 1888, and G.O., No. 1761 W., dated 14th June 1888.

If service books are to be kept in Divisional offices, I do not see how the re-attestation can be conveniently done by the Sub-divisional officer; and as the Superintending Engineer himself says that the verification should be done in the Divisional office, it seems necessary, even from his point of view, that the service book *shall* be kept in Divisional offices; and I may add that if they are kept any where else any check of verification by inspecting accounts officers, such as is required by Pension Department G.O., No. 1385, of 18th November 1889 (G.O., No. 3324 W., of 22nd November 1889) and subsequent orders, will be almost, if not quite, impossible.

2. Attestation is only required once in five years, and the cases must, I think, be very few in which, with a little management, the Executive Engineer will not once in five years have an opportunity of re-attesting the entries in any service book; but in order to do this it seems desirable that there should be kept in each Divisional office a register of attestations and re-attestations so that it may be easy to see at a glance what service books are due for re-attestation in any given year, quarter, month or other convenient period. This is what I do in my own office, and it is, I think, the only way of securing the object.

3. But since the re-attestation is to be done once in five years, and since when the time for re-attestation comes it may be a long while before a convenient opportunity occurs, it seems desirable that every service book should be considered due for re-attestation immediately on expiry of the fourth year from last attestation.

4. In the course of re-attesting entries in the service books of members of my own office, and of the Accounts branch, including of course service books in which the original entries were made and attested in all sorts of offices, I have been much impressed with the necessity of great care in the matter and of the inexpediency of leaving the matter to subordinates. I have found mistakes, and serious mistakes, in the matter of personal marks of identification to be exceedingly common. I have found again and again marks on the cheek-bone called marks on the temple; marks on the belly called marks on the chest; the forearm called the hand; the buttock called the thigh; and so on; and even in such a simple matter as the height I have found mistakes of an inch or an inch and a half to be common, and in two cases I have found the height wrongly stated by six inches.

5. I do not know to what extent these personal marks, as recorded in service books, are really relied upon by Treasury officers for identification of pensioners; but I am disposed to think that, *if they are meant to be of real use*, it might be worth while to have pasted inside the cover of each service book sketches (to be prepared in the Medical Department) of the human body, back and front, and side view also, if necessary, showing the proper nomenclature of the different regions, and giving brief

2/1199

instructions for the description of marks and for their more exact localization with reference to certain lines or points on the surface of the body. It seems to me that, as entries are now made, a "scar on the chest" (so-called in a service book), to take a common description, may be any sort of mark (raised or sunk or mere discolouration), of any size (from the size of a pin's head to the size of a man's hand), situated any where on the front part of the trunk of the body between the neck and the navel, or even several inches below the navel; and I do not think this can be of much use for purposes of identification.

6. If, on the other hand, no real use is made of these marks, it seems hardly worth while to make a fuss about their periodical re-attestation.

7. With regard to the Superintending Engineer's proposal to modify the orders already passed regarding verification of services by the Examiner, unless there is any specific point on which Government desires further information, I have nothing to add to what I have already stated, when the orders already passed were under consideration.

Enclosure.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 14th June 1888, No. 1761 W., Public Works.

Read—the following paper:—

Office note from Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 12th May 1888, No. 1478.

Adverting to my remarks against item 31 of the summary on the inspection report of the Tinnevelly division forwarded to you with my office note No. 1362, dated 18th April 1888, I beg that you will obtain the orders of Government as to who should attest entries in service books of lascars and other establishment employed under Sub-divisional officers.

2. The Executive Engineer has always been considered the "head of the office" (Code para. 864, line 9) as regards all employés of the division, and he is the only person competent to give an order necessitating an entry in the service book. Also Government has already sanctioned the issue of a standing order which requires the retention of service books in the Divisional offices.

3. The entries on folios 1, 2 and 3 of the service books—the entries by which the identification of the individual is secured—have only to be re-attested once in five years (*vide* Code para. 864, note 1): there should therefore seldom be any difficulty in having the re-attestation done by the Executive Engineer, either bringing the servant to the Divisional office for the purpose, or doing it when the Executive Engineer is on tour or inspecting the sub-divisional office. If, now and then, there should be any difficulty, the matter seems to be of sufficient importance to justify the enforcement of the rule, even at the expense of a little trouble in getting over the difficulty.

Order—dated 14th June 1888, No. 1761 W., Public Works.

The Executive Engineer in charge of the division should attest entries in service books of lascars and other establishment employed under Sub-divisional officers.

2. The Examiner, Public Works Accounts, will take steps for the issue of a standing order accordingly.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. L. C. BADDELEY, Captain, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 18th November 1889, No. 1385, Pension.

Read—the following paper:—

From the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated 29th October 1889, No. 4912 C.

Abstract.—Forwarding a consolidated return for the quarter ending 30th June 1889 showing the progress made in the verification of service books.

Order—dated 18th November 1889, No. 1385, Pension.

Recorded.

2. Inspecting account officers should satisfy themselves that the verification has been properly carried out, and in the case of inferior servants whose service cannot be verified from records that affidavits and statements of contemporary employés are attached to the service books.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. A. STUART,
Under Secretary to Government.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 22nd November 1889, No. 3324 W., Public Works.

Order—dated 22nd November 1889, No. 3324 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, for information and guidance.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. L. C. BADDELEY, Captain, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

ORDER—dated 23rd April 1890, No. 1199 W., Public Works.

It being necessary that the service books of members of divisional establishments should be kept in the divisional office for the purposes of the annual verification prescribed in G.O., No. 2231 W., dated 21st July 1888, and for the check of such verification by inspecting account officers—*vide* G.O., dated 18th November 1889, No. 1385, Pension,—it follows that attestation and re-attestation of service books will most conveniently be carried out by the Executive Engineer in charge of the division. The Government does not consider it desirable, therefore, to authorise any modification of note 1, para. 864, Public Works Code, as amended by Standing Order No. 473 of 1888.

2. The suggestions of the Examiner in paras. 2 and 3 of his note above recorded, with respect to the maintenance of a register of attestations, seem judicious, and should be adopted in all Public Works offices in which service books are kept.

3. The remarks in paras. 4 to 6 of the same note will be considered in the Pension Department.

4. With reference to para. 6 of the Superintending Engineer's letter, the Government sees no reason to modify the instructions issued in the Proceedings marginally noted. As stated in Pension Department Memo. No. 100, dated 26th November 1888, printed with G.O., No. 80 W., dated 14th January 1889, any proposal to impose on Account officers the duty of verifying the service books of Government servants before their retirement would be opposed to the spirit of the provisions of the Civil Service Regulations.

No. 80 W., dated 14th January 1890.
„ 574 W., „ 21st February „

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Ag. Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
„ Consulting Engineer for Railways.
„ Chief Engineer, Periyár Project.
„ Presidency Architect.
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
„ Examiner, Railway Accounts.
„ Pension Department.

Exd. A. Aubert.
Spare Copies

3/1199

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1890} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated April, 23rd.

Read. *Encl.*

G.O., 23rd Apr. 1890, No. 1199 W.

APRIL.

Service Books

Passing orders regarding the attestation and re-attestation of — of members of divisional establishments, and directing the maintenance of a register of such attestations in all Public Works offices.

No 2590 dy. Supdg: Engineer's office
Cuddapah 2nd March 1890

From
Lt-Col: Pechuid RE
Supdg: Engineer 11 circle

To
The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

Sir,

Para 154 note 1 of the P.W. Code modified by G.O. No. 478, of 31st August 88 requires that "the Executive Engineer in charge of a division shall keep himself attested and re-attest entries in divisional service books of all members of the establishment whether employed directly under himself or not." I have the honor to submit for the consideration of Government the accompanying note proposing this rule.

2. In other departments the attestation duty is assigned to the heads of offices concerned, as a simple reference to the rules in the first three paragraphs of the Code and the instructions in G.O. No. 717 of the 29th June 1888, in the Revenue department shows, in fact the duty of attesting service books and devolves on the Collector, but Collectors

Circulated with G.O. No. 2230 W of 31st July 88.

Head Assistant-Collector, Deputy Collector, Tahsildars and even by Tahsildars in the Revenue Department - I see no reason why this duty should not be entrusted to sub-divisional officers in the P.W. Department; nor do I see any advantage, but only unavoidable delay, in its being restricted to the Executive Engineer in charge of the Division.

3. Sub divisional officers are generally Assistant Engineers (in some cases even Executive Engineers) of upper subordinates of standing; when it is considered that they are held responsible for more arduous work and extensive monetary transactions, it appears somewhat anomalous, that they should not be entrusted with this simple duty in question -

4. I do not mean that the verification of any Survey book should be carried out by any sub divisional officer, this should certainly be done by the Executive Engineer, but attestation and re attestation can more easily and conveniently be made by the former in the case of all the members in their respective sub-divisions; the Executive Engineer in charge of the Division seeing or ascertaining during his tours that this duty is being regularly performed -

5. Now for instance, in the Tanjore District there are 201 Survey books maintained, while in the Tuticorin and other divisions, the numbers of books are not insignificant; the practical portion of these numbers being of inferior Servants or Coolies of the Petty and Conservancy Establishments employed under the immediate control of the sub divisional officers, I can see no objection to these officers attesting these books -

6. I take also the opportunity of bringing to the notice of Government for their orders another point. Sec 9.

* Tuticorin - 130.
Nagapattinam - 75
Tuticorin 63.
Madura - 71

+ Tanjore Nijamis Survey - 157
Nagapattinam - do - 62
Tuticorin - do - 48
Madura do - 32
Tuticorin - do - 96.

1899

No. 80 of 14th January 1879 (cont'd)

memoranda Revision Department printed therein / it is laid down, that account officers should not be saddled with the duty of verifying Service books of officers before their retirement, and that verification of past Service of subordinates in the Pay Dt should be made from copies of the pay bills - for the verification, now being made in some of the divisions, it is found that in some cases copies of pay bills are wanting to prove the Services of subordinates even in Superior grades for comparatively recent periods, that is subsequent to 1863 & prior to 1879. this must probably be owing to frequent changes in the department & the consequent transfers of records In these cases the audit pay

bills in the Examiners office & his audit register may furnish the required information, and the Examiner should, I think, have no objection to furnish the required information when applied to - It does not appear that it is the intention of the rules on the subject that to complete the initial verification in these cases, affidavits and evidence of contemporary documents should be taken, where authentic records are in existence in the account or audit office. much labor and time are spent in some cases without the desired result, in hunting after old records - this is one of the reasons for the backward state or slow progress in the verification of Service books in some divisions / in this office; whereas the required information may probably be ready to hand in the audit

offre -

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obed. Hble
A. Smith Lieut.
Sup'g. Engineer in Chief

AAS

P.W. C dº 2247.

1394

8 MAR 1890

From

The Supdg: Engineer
of Cuddapah

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

Nº 2590-7.

Dated 1st
Recd 3d } March/90.

Nº 1252. Cdy 7th March/90

To C.

In favour of
remarks.

6.3.90

W
Read
6.3.90

Service Books

Proposes certain modification of the rule regarding the attestation & re-attestation of the entries in the —

Nº 939 W dy 22-3-90
1252 Cdy 7-3-90
1761 W dy 14-6-88
80 W dy 14-1-89
2988 W dy 24-9-89
2231 W dy 21-7-89

[C. No. 8.] 8/1199 40

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1890. { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 10th April 1890.

OFFICE NOTE No. 2027.

To W.

Your office note No.1252 C.of 7th.March 1890.

Please see my office note No. 1478 dated 12th. May 1888 and G.O. No. 1761 W.dated 14th.June 1888.

2. If service books are to be kept in divisional offices I do not see how the re-attestation can be conveniently done by the Sub Divisional Officer;and as the Superintending Engineer himself says that the verification should be done in the divisional office,it seems necessary,even from his point of view that the service book shall be kept in divisional offices; and I may add that if they are kept any where else any check of verification by inspecting accounts officers,such as is required by Pension Department G.O.No.1385 of 18th. November 1889 (G.O.No.3324 W.of 22nd. November 1889) and subsequent orders , will be almost if not quite impossible.

2. Attestation is only requiredonce in five years,and the cases must , I think,be very few in which,with a little management,the Executive Engineer

1761 W dy 14.6.88

KEEP-WITH Genl. No.

dated 189

17-1-90.

will not once in five years have an opportunity of re-attesting the entries in any service book; but in order to this it seems desirable that there should be kept in each divisional office a register of attestation & re-attestation, so that it may be easy to see at a glance what service books are due for re-attestation in any given year, quarter, month or other convenient period. This is what I do in my own office, and it is, I think, the only way of securing the object.

3. But since the re-attestation is to be done once in five years, & since when the time for re-attestation comes it may be a long while before a convenient opportunity occurs, it seems desirable that every service book should be considered due for re-attestation immediately on expiry of the fourth year from last attestation.

4. In the course of re-attesting entries in the service books of members of my own office, and of the accounts branch, including of course service books in which the original entries were made and attested in all sorts of offices, I have been much impressed with the necessity of great care in the matter and of the inexpediency of leaving the matter to subordinates. I have found mistakes, & serious mistakes, in the matter of personal marks of identification to be exceedingly common. I have found again & again marks on the cheek bone called marks on the temple; marks on the belly called marks on the chest; the forearm called the hand; the buttock called the thigh; and so on; and even in such a simple matter as the height I have found mistakes of an inch or an inch & a half to be common, and in two cases I have found the height wrongly stated by six inches.

9/199 50

5. I do not know to what extent these personal marks, as recorded in service books, are really relied upon by Treasury Officers for identification of pensioners; but I am disposed to think that, <u>if they are meant to be of real use</u>, it might be worth while to have pasted inside the cover of each service book sketches (to be prepared in the medical department) of the human body, back and front, and side view also if necessary, showing the proper nomenclature of the different regions, & giving brief instructions for the description of marks and for their more exact localization with reference to certain lines or points on the surface of the body. It seems to me that, as entries are now made, a " scar on the chest "(so-called in a service book), to take a common description, may be any sort of mark (raised or sunk or mere discolouration), of any size (from the size of a pin's head to the size of a man's hand), situated anywhere on the front part of the trunk of the body between the neck & the navel,

or even several inches below the navel; and I do not think this can be of much use for purposes of identification.

6. If on the other hand no real use is made of these marks, it seems hardly worth while to make afuss about their periodical re-attestation.

7. With regard to the Superintending Engineer's proposal to modify the orders already passed regarding verification of services by the Examiner, unless there is any specific point on which Government desires further information, I have nothing to add to what I have already stated, when the orders already passed were under consideration.

C.

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT. OF MADRAS. 1888. P.W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 12 May 1888.

OFFICE NOTE No. 1478.

To

—

W.

Adverting to my remarks against item 31 of the summary on the inspection report of the Tinnevelly Division forwarded to you with my office note No. 1362 dated 18th. April 1888, I beg that you will obtain the orders of Government as to who should attest entries in service books of lascars and other establishment employed under sub-divisional officers.

2. The Executive Engineer has always been considered the "head of the office" (code para 864, line 8) as regards all employes of the division, and he is the only person competent to give an order necessitating an entry in the service book. Also Government has already sanctioned the issue of a standing order

KEEP-WITH Genl. No.

dated 188 .

1,000—7-2-88.

which requires the retention of service books in the divisional offices.

3. The entries on folios 1, 2 and 3 of the service books — the entries by which the identification of the individual is secured — have only to be reattested once in five years (vide Code para 864, note 1) : there should therefore seldom be any difficulty in having the re-attestation done by the Executive Engineer, either bringing the servant to the divisional office for the purpose, or doing it when the Executive Engineer is on tour or inspecting the sub-divisional office. If, now and then, there should be any difficulty, the matter seems to be of sufficient importance to justify the enforcement of the rule, even at the expense of a little trouble in getting over the difficulty.

PWC 1-4502

(22)

R 14.5.88

No 1761 dt 14th June 1888

Public Works Department.

11/1199

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 12th June 1888

Read the following

Office Note from the Examiner P.W. Accounts. A.G. No. 1478 dt 12th May 1888.

The Executive Engineer in charge of the division should attest entries in service books of lascars and other establishment employed under Sub divisional officers. The Examiner P. W. Accounts will take steps for the issue of a standing order accordingly.

WCM 6.6.88

JM 7/6/88

To The Examiner P.W. Accts

Answer returned Inspection notes returned to PW 12.5.88

WCM 15.5.88

BM

C. No.

P/1199 Pension Department.

8/20

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following paper

From the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, d/29th October 1889 No 4912 C, forwarding a consolidated return for the quarter ending 30.6.89 showing the progress made in the verification of pension cases.

Order. 18th November 1889 No 1385

Recorded.

2. Inspecting account Officers should satisfy themselves that the verification has been properly carried out, and in the case of inferior servants whose service cannot be verified from records that affidavits and statements of contemporary employés are attached to the service books.

[True Extract]

H. A. Stuart

Under Secretary to Govt.

To

The Secretary to Govt.
P. W. Dept.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
21 NOV 89
P. W. DEPARTMENT, MADRAS

No 4912 C 29.10.89
232 B. 28.5.89
21) W - 28-1.89
36) W - 14.3.89
80 W — 16-1.89.

P.W.Cos 1053

920

Communicated to
the Govt P.W. Accts for
their information & guidance

Recd 21.11.89
Read 22.11.89

Govt

R.A.S

Ex. Dia a 25/11.
Ex Rod

See 26/11 27/11

1199 dt 23 April 1890

13/1199

1000

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

READ the following

Letter from the Supg Engr Nilgiris, No 2590 Jg. dt 1st March 1890

Office note from the Examr P.W.Accts No 2027. dt 10th April 1890.

Proceedings of Govt. Dated 16 June 1888. { Exam P.W. Accts. Genl Circ No 1478 dated 13 Aug 1888. G.O. No 1781 dt 16th June 1888.

Proc. of Govt. Public Department No 1385. dt 18th November 1889.

Do P.W. Department No 3324 W dt 22nd November 1889.

It being necessary that the service books of members of divisional establishments should be kept in the divisional office for the purposes of the annual verification prescribed in G.O. No 2231 W. dated 21st July 1888, and for the check of such verification by inspecting account officers, — vide G.O. dated 18th November 1889. No 1385 Pensions; — it follows that attestation and re attestation of service books

Secs wd fr

books will most conveniently be carried out by the Executive Engineer in charge of the division. The Government does not consider it desirable therefore to authorise any modification of note 1 para 862 P.W. Code, as amended by standing order No. 473 of 1888.

2. The suggestions of the Examiner, in paras 2 and 3 of his note above recorded, with respect to the maintenance of a register of attestations, seem judicious, and should be adopted in all P.W. offices in which service books are kept.

3. The remarks in paras 4 & 6 of the same note will be considered in the Pension department.

4. With reference to para 6 of the Supdg. Engrs. letter, the Govt. see no reason to modify the instructions issued in the Proceedings marginally noted. As stated in Pensn

No. 8044 W. of 14-1-90.
" 5744 W. of 21-2-90.

Pension 14/99 Department 1100

Memorandum No. 100 dated 26 November 1888, printed with G.O. No. 8044 W. of 14-1-89, any proposal to impose on account officers the duty of verifying the service books of Government servants before their retirement would be opposed to the spirit of the provisions of the Civil Service Regulations.

Pres. M
16-4-90

Ch
17/4

To Supdg: Engineers. ✓
Ch: Supt. for Irrigation ✓
Cmag: Supt. for Railways ✓
Ch: Supt. Temporalities ✓
Presidency Architect ✓
Exam. P.W. Accounts. ✓
Exam. Railway Accounts. ✓ 8 6/15
Pension Dept. ✓

Cir

3548.

Govt. of 18 | Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated 24/10/90

Read. Encl.

G.O., 23rd April 90 No. 1199 W.

Service Books,

Drawing out rules regarding the attestation treatment of — Officers of Divisional Establishments & Upwards

The maintenance of a register of such attestation in all Public Works Offices

220/12

2874 Jan/4