(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 6

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் அக்டோபர் - 1989

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

வைகை அணைத் துறை

அரசாணை எண். —
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 1184 W

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 22-4-1990

1988

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

ஆவண. கா-1—18,000—15-6-87.

tending Engineer's Office

No. 256

II Circle

Dated 27th

Segwada

1884

From

Superintending Engineer

II Circle.

2/
1184

He did not measure or check-
measure the work, because, he says
it is impossible for him to check-
all works.

The piece worker says that he
applied several times for payment,
but Mr Wood says there is no record
in his office of such applications.
There is very little doubt that the
piece worker did apply more
than once during the 2 years
for payment. And it is a piece
of gross carelessness on Mr Wood's
part not to have had the pay-
ments made during all this time.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servt

J Hamman

Supt Engineer

H. Neild

2629.

3/1184

OF MADRAS. 1890. P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 4 April 1890.

OFFICE NOTE No. 2038.

To

W.

Genl.

the

asked

ng Ex

aterials rec

en-dr

12.

The I ive Engineer

as

ed to has act been re

discrepancy in accounts re

The ive I

Sir Engineers
Nilgiris
No. 1 Circle

Forward for the Supdg Engr
No. 1362 K. dated 19th inst
for perusal and

Dated 27th March/90

(13 2566

By General's
Notes of
Audit Office
explanation
required item 20 of the

in which there ought to be a time clause and security etc. should be drawn up in piece work form which provides for none of these things; the proper course apparently is to termi - nate these piece work agreements(which are terminable without any notice) and to make proper contracts.

(5) Item 20.

The Superintending Engineer states that Mr.Wood is apparently responsible for the delay in settling the claims.

(6) Item 21.

Further report after recovery of the value of the second ticket is awaited.

(7) Item 27.

The promised report is awaited.

(8) Item 29.

The use of a separate form for each individual is clearly indispensable.

2. The Executive Engineer has not noticed or replied to the remarks made against items 1,3,8,11 and 30.

3. Other items call for no remarks.

C.

P W C No. 3589.

Ed 12-4-90
Enc 6

No 1184 W&F 22D April 1890

Ex. C. No 21061
3589.

The Examiner
has to communicate
to the Supdg Engineer
4th Circle for the Execu-
-tive Engineer's guid-
-ance.

Mr. Wood is only
entitled to blame for
not settling the piece
worked claim

16.4.90

Supdg Engr 4th Circle Rmsn
Exr. P.W. Accts 17.4.90

23/4/90