

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 22
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 4/89
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 6/89

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W துறை

அரசாணை எண்.	933 W	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.		பலவகை
நாள் :	21. 3. 1890	~~198.~~

மடிக்கலோ கண்டபடி கையாளலோ கூடாது

100A

ஆவண. கர-1-18,008-15-8-87.

933
1

21st MARCH 1890, No. 933 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

READ—the following papers:—

From Colonel T. K. GUTHRIE, Inspector-General of Police, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 23rd January 1890, No. 375.

I have the honor to state that, under G.O., No. 2021, dated 25th September 1888, Judicial Department, a guard of 1 head and 6 men was specially enlisted by the Superintendent of Police, Madura, for the Periyár Project and stationed at Periyakulam in readiness to escort money whenever required to do so by the Executive Engineer, who objects to paying the whole cost of the guard without the sanction of Government on the ground that they were employed only for a few days in each month.

2. The guard was entertained for a special purpose, provided with Government arms and ammunition, and was never employed on any general police duty because at any moment it might have been required by the Periyár Project authorities. The enclosed statement shows the actual number of days in each month they were engaged in escorting treasure, and, although it will be seen that for many days they were unemployed, still it would have been quite out of the question to have discharged and re-entertained men whenever an escort was required.

3. Government having decided in their Order No. 983, dated 24th April 1888, Judicial Department, that the cost of extra police hereafter required should be borne by the Project, I beg to request that orders may be issued directing payment of the cost of the guard in full.

Referred to the Public Works Department for favor of remarks. The case seems to be governed by G.O., dated 24th April 1888, No. 983, Judicial Department (copy enclosed).

FORT ST. GEORGE,
5th February 1890.

(Signed) A. G. CARDEW,
Under-Secretary.

ENCLOSURE.

G.O., dated 24th April 1888, No. 983, Judicial.

Read—the following letter from the Inspector-General of Police, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 5th April 1888, No. 1799.

With reference to G.O., No. 37, dated 10th January 1888, Judicial Department, communicating G.O., No. 3177 W., dated 23rd November 1887, on the subject of the establishment of a Public Works treasury at the Periyár works and the provision of a suitable police guard, I have the honor to state that the Superintendent of Police, Madura, has ascertained from the Executive Engineer (*vide* copy of his letter enclosed) that the Superintending Engineer does not approve of the establishment of the above treasury, and that he is addressing Government to allow the present system to continue.

2. I therefore request permission to renew my application for increasing the police force at Uttamapoliem, made in my letter No. 5734, dated 21st November 1887, which was recorded in G.O., No. 38, dated 10th January 1888, Judicial Department. In this letter an increase of 1 head constable and 4 constables was requested for two reasons:—

(1) For providing a Magistrate's orderly and a guard with suitable officering and reliefs for the cash chests of the Deputy Tahsildar, Public Works Department, and Post office and the sub-jail.

(2) For supplying a police guard in connection with the Periyár Project works.

3. Now that the establishment of a Public Works treasury at the Periyár works is in abeyance, I request that an increase of 1 head constable (fourth grade) and 2 constables (one first-class and one second-class) may be sanctioned for the first purpose only.

4. Proposition statement is enclosed.

Order—dated 24th April 1888, No. 983, Judicial.

Sanctioned. If extra police are hereafter required for escort duty, the project should pay the cost.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Chief Secretary.

From Colonel J. PENNYCUICK, R.E., Chief Engineer, Periyár Project, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Thekady, 12th February 1890, No. 290 P.R.

With reference to your No. 704 C. of 8th instant (herewith returned), I have the honor to state that the decision appears to depend upon the question whether or not the police guard referred to were, or by proper organisation could be, employed upon other duties during the period for which they were not employed in connection with the Periyár project.

2. If the police establishment of the district, without the extra men, was fully sufficient for all requirements, and the extra men, when not employed on work for the project, could not be used for any other work, then undoubtedly it would appear legitimate to charge their whole cost to the Project, or rather to "Establishment" in connection therewith.

3. It appears, however, from the correspondence that preceded the issue of G.O., No. 983 of 24th April 1888, Judicial Department, that the District Superintendent did not consider his establishment to be sufficient for all purposes, a view which recent events seem to confirm, and, if this be so, the services of six men for twenty-two days in each month must have been of substantial value to the Police department, and I fail to see why this department should pay for providing an addition to the police force of the Madura district.

4. Practically it seems a matter of indifference which department bears the charge, as, if debited to the Public Works Department, it will presumably be an "Establishment," i.e., a Provincial charge, the duty of guarding Government property being part of the ordinary administration work which the 23 per cent. on "Works" is supposed to cover.

Office note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 8th March 1890, No. 1285.

[Your office note No. 1012 C., dated 25th February 1890.]

The Government of India have decided that the cost should be charged to the estimates of the project, and Code para. 1633 seems to imply that when a guard is chargeable to the department the cost should be debited to the work (if any) concerned. Apparently therefore the charge should not be an establishment charge.

ORDER—dated 21st March 1890, No. 933 W., Public Works.

The Government observes that the extra police were not an addition to the Madura district force, and were necessarily always held in readiness for escort duty to the Periyár; and that it is not a matter of indifference whether their cost is charged to the project or not, as it is not an establishment charge. The cost of the extra police must be charged to the Periyár project.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Chief Engineer, Periyár Project.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Judicial Department.

Exd. L. Passaigne.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1890} Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March, 21st.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 21st Mar. 1890, No. 933 W.

MARCH.

Police Guard employed on the
Periyar Project

Deciding that the cost of the — should
be charged to the project.

No. 375

Just 6. or. 264

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, 933/3

Madras, 23rd January 1890.

FROM

Colonel J. H. Guthrie.

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,

TO

THE Chief Secretary to Government.
Fort Saint George.

SIR,

Enclosures.

I have the honor to state that —
under G.O. No. 2021 dated 25th September 1888
J.D. a Guard of 1 Head and 6 men was
specially enlisted by the Superintendent of
Police, Madras for the Periar Project and
Stationed at Periakulam in readiness to escort
money whenever required to do so by the
Executive Engineer who objects to paying the
whole cost of the Guard without the
Sanction of Government on the ground
that they were employed only for a few
days in each month —

2. The Guard was entertained for a
Special purpose, provided with Government
Arms

Arms and ammunition and was never employed on any general police duty because at any moment it might have been required by the Periar Project authorities. The enclosed statement shows the actual number of days in each month they were engaged in escorting treasure and although it will be seen that for many days they were unemployed, still it would have been quite out of the question to have discharged and reentered men whenever an escort was required -

3. Government having decided in their order no 983 dated 24th April 1888 J. D. that the cost of extra police hereafter required should be borne by the Project I beg to request that orders may be issued directing payment of the cost of the Guard in full. -

I have the honor to be,
Sir
your most obedient Servant.

W. P. Puttina Col.

Superintendent of Police.

Sir R.

3128 1/2 5-10-88
733 1/2 3-3-88
CS 3177-78 23-1-89

Dated 5 February 1900.

Referred to the P.W.D. for favour of remarks. The case seems to be governed by G.O. 24 Apr 1888 No 983 J.D. (copy enclosed)

J. G. Candor,
Under Secy.

98 31/1



J. 298 FR
12/2/90

No 704. C of 8 July 1900

Referred to the
Chief Engineer Periar Project
for favour of remarks.

Quedrah. Buchanan
Locals.

As Joint Secy to Govt.
Mod

W. B.
7.2.90

115
C. No. 264
Jud (1890.) DEPARTMENT.

P. 44. C. 12. 7213
1623/90
10-1-88 27-1-88 72/40

FROM

Colonel J. K. Guthrie.

INSPECTOR GENERAL

of Police.

No 375

Dated 23rd

Received 24th

11th 6 1900.
Encl. 2

January 1890.

No.

CHIEF SECRETARY
SUBJECT, 24 JAN 90
GOVERNMENT, C.

Requests that orders of Government may be issued for payment in full of the cost of the Guard employed on the Periar Project, Madras District.

Referred

[25]

Judicial Department.



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper:—

From the Inspector General of Police,
dated 5th April 1888, No 1799.

With reference to G. O. No 54 dated 10th January 1888 J. D. communicating G. O. No 317 N. dated 23rd November 1884 on the subject of the Establishment of a Public Works Treasury at the Periar works and the provision of a suitable Police guard, I have the honor to state that the Superintendent of Police, Madras, has ascertained from the Executive Engineer (vide copy of his letter enclosed) that the Superintending Engineer does not approve of the establishment of the above Treasury and that he is addressing Government to allow the present system to continue.

I therefore request permission to renew my application for increasing the Police force at Uttampolliem made in my letter No 5434 dated 21st November 1884 which was recorded in G. O. No 38 dated 10th January 1888

J. D.

27/2/1890

J. A. - In this letter an increase of 1 Head Constable and 4 Constables was requested for two reasons. -

1. - For providing a Magistrate's orderly and a guard with suitable officering and reliefs for the Cash Chests of the Deputy Tahsildar, D. P. W. and Post office and the Sub Jail. -

2. - For supplying a Police guard in connection with the Periyar Project Works. -

Now that the establishment of a Public Works Treasury at the Periyar works is in abeyance, I request that an increase of 1 Head Constable (1st Grade) and 2 Constables (one first class and one second class) may be sanctioned for the first purpose only. -

Proposition Statement is enclosed. -

Order, dated 24th April 1888, N. 903.

Sanctioned. If extra police are hereafter required for escort duty, the project should pay the cost. -

(True Extract)

J. C. Stokes

Chief Secretary

2-18

Office of the Chief Engineer
Periyar Project Works
Camp Thekady 12th Feb 90
D/14 23/6

From

Colonel J. Pennington R.E.
Chief Engineer, Periyar Project

To

The Chief Engineer,
P. W. Department.

Sir,

No: 1.

With reference to your No 704 C of 8th instant (herewith returned) I have the honor to state that the decision appears to depend upon the question whether or not the Police guard referred to were, or by proper organisation could be, employed upon other duties during the period for which they were not employed in connection with the Periyar Project.

2. If the Police establishment of the District, without the extra men, was fully sufficient for all requirements, and the extra men, when not employed

on work for the Project could not be used for any other work, then undoubtedly it would appear legitimate to charge their whole cost to the Project, or rather to "Establishment" in connection therewith.

3 It appears however from the correspondence that preceded the issue of G. O. No 983 of 24 April 1888 (Judicial Department) that the District Superintendent did not consider his establishment to be sufficient for all purposes, a view which recent events seem to confirm, and if this be so the services of six men for twenty two days in each month must have been of substantial value to the Police Department, and I fail to see why this department should pay for providing an addition to the police force of the Madras District.

4. Practically it seems a matter of indifference which department bears the charge, as if debited to the P. & D. it will be presumably be an "Establishment," i.e. a Provincial charge, the

the duty of guarding Government property, being part of the ordinary administration work which the 23 per cent on Works is supposed to cover.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obt. servant

J. B. S. - Colonel R.

Chief Engineer, Secy. Dept.

No. 3128W. 4/5-10-88

" 733W. 3-3-88

" 3177-8W. 23-11-87

" 3128W. 5-10-88

" 733W. 3-3-88

" 592W. 20-2-88

" 340C. 26-1-88

" 59C. 7-1-88

" 3979C. 9-11-87

" 3436C. 11-10-87

" 498J. 13-8-87

" 401W. 13-2-86

" 3179W. 23-11-87

P.W. C. No. 1625

24/7/90

1157 1890

P.W. C. No. 1625

From,

The Chief Engineer
Punjab Project

To,

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

Dated 12th February 1890

Recd 18.3.90

Encls: 13

No 290 P.R

Police guard employed
on the Punjab Project
works - Offers re-
marks in regard to
the payment of the
cost of the -

15.2.90

I have written to him from the
Exam. whether it would be
an establishment charge in any case

W.S.
21 Feb

No 1012 C d/ 25 July 1890

To
C

Please reply to the

H. W. S. inquiry

Quid
W. 24.2.90

Aug. 20-2-90 R 24-2-90

[C. No. 8.]

237/4

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

1890

P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras,

March

1890.

OFFICE NOTE No. 1285

To

W.

Your office note No. 1012 C. dated 25th.
February 1890.

KEEP WITH

Genl. No.

dated

189

+ 50 in 592 W 120.2.88

The Government of India have de-
cided that the cost should be charged
to the estimates of the project, & code
para 1633 seems to imply that when a
guard is chargeable to the department
the cost should be debited to the work
(if any) concerned. Apparently there-
fore the charge should not be an estab-
lishment charge.

C.

P.S.

(Encl 4)

P 10. Cir 2475

Rd 15-3-90

Enc 4x

H. M.

Submitted for order
with reference to the H. M.
remark on Current No 16

Oned

14.3-90 W

The extra police were not
an addition to the regular
district force. There was no
showing in numbers for
rent down to the Mayor, and
it is not matter of indifference
whether they are charged. The
project is not as they are
not an establishment charge.
They must be charged to the
project.

W

16 March

15-3-90 R 17-3-90

No. Judicial DEPARTMENT.
OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM. a33/a
Reference on THE Secretary to
C. No. 264 dated 5 February 1890 Post, O. M. Dept.
Subject. to be paid
Payment of the full cost of the guard employed on the Prison, Madras. is requested to submit an early reply to the communication noted in the margin.
Fort St George }
8 March 1890 }
A. G. Cardew
Under Secretary.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 21st March 1920

Read the following

From the Inspector of Police No 375-7 23. 1. 20
 Sent in the first Sept 5. 2. 20.
 From the Ch. Engrs P. Project - No 290 P.R. 12. 2. 20.
 " " Engrs Project - No 1285-7 8. 3. 20.

The Govt. observes
 that the extra police
 were not an addition to
 the Madras district force
 and were necessarily
 always held in readiness
 for escort duty to the
 Periyar; and that
 it is not a matter
 of indifference whether
 their cost is charged
 to the project or not,
 as it is not an estab-
 lishment charge -

East India Service Post Card

The address only to be written on this side
 and official designation of the sender must
 be given (see reverse)

The Secretary to
 Government,
 Public Works Dept.
 C. P. M.



The cost of the extra police
must be charged to
the Pension Project.

W/3

W/3

10.3.90

18.7.90

19/10/90

To the Hon. the Chief Sec.
for the Pension Project

W/3

To the Ch. Sec. P. Proj.
" Ch. Sec. for Pension
" Sec. for Pension
" Sec. for Pension

Cu

10.3.90

2475. 2496.

Govt. of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd.

Encl.

20th March 1900 No. 9381W.

Police Guard employed
on the Penang Project.

Deciding that the
cost of the Project should
be charged to the Project.

110

22/3-
22/3-