

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 42

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள்

(3) ஆதரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 11/9/25

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

மொதில்பண்ணி துறை

அரசாணை எண். 1328 W அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். பலவகை

மொதில்பண்ணி

நாள் : 10 - 5 - 1890 498.

சி. பாண்டி

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

செப்பனிருபவர் பெயர் ஜி. மாண்பு
செப்பனிருபதற்காக எடுத்த
கொள்ளப்பட்ட தாள் இலக்கு 95
செப்பனிரு எடுத்த தாள்
இலக்கு 95
சுரபுரம்
சு. டி. என். சுவாமிநாதன்
தெய்வாபுரம்
தெய்வாபுரம்

Journal of Occupation for the Month

1st 4-4th

84.

Inf-Mt-Brig^d. Genl Commanding
Bangalore at the New Pioneer
Lines, with a view to considering
what is to be done in the event
of the Indian Cavalry Regiment
taking over the Pioneer Lines.

9th

(ch.)

11-11-

12.13.13

164

15-11

16 11.

140.

1842

Left Madras for Bangalore 18th Continued

Arrived Bangalore 19th 28

Bangalore. Office work 20th to 26th 11-

Left Bangalore for Madras 27th

Is Mr. J. de Winton with
a view to handing over charge
of the Division to him.

28th

Arrived at Madras, met
Mr. de Winton at Central Station and with him
inspected works at General

Hospital at, and Comm. Improvements, also

inspected office of Sub. Divisional

Officer at Civil works at
Chennai.

29th

Inspected with Mr. de Winton
works in Fort St. George - also

the office of Mr. Sub. Div. Officer

Military works. Left

Madras for Bangalore with

Mr. de Winton in order to hand

over charge to him on the

1st of July. as per order &

to do.

Sunday. Arrived at Bangalore 30th

21 AUG 89

Claims for Travelling
Allowance for June 1889

1st to 13th Bangalore 13th 2 mil

14th Bangalore to Madras } Rs
Double First-Class } 42

15th Arrived Madras - mil

16th & 17th Halting allowance 10

18th Left Madras for Bangalore
Double First-Class } 42

19th Arrived Bangalore - mil

20th to 26th Bangalore - mil

27th Bangalore to Madras } 42
Double First-Class }

28th Arrived Madras - mil

29th Left Madras for Bangalore } - 42

30th Arrived Bangalore - mil

Rs 178

Total Claim = Rs 178.

21 AUG 89

BANGALORE,
No. 816/20 18th Oct. 1889.

From *Enc: one*

1328
3

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PRESIDENCY DIVISION,

To

Colonel Pop Thompson
Executive Engineer
Nilgiri Dist.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward extract from his List No 33 of 10.10.89 on T.A. Bill of this Division for June, 1889, and to request that the information called for by the Examiner may be furnished at an early date for communication to the Examiner.

Yours &c.

B. M. Smith
Ex. Eng. Pres. Div.

2238
12 APR 1890



4/12/20
13/3/90

C.9
M/4000/9

No 2473 GL no 2936
21.10.89
Recd on letter no 816 13-10-89
from the Ex: Engr Treas Dr
forwarding an Extract from
Dir: let. no 33 of 10-10-89 on his
I.a. bill for June 89 and
requesting to be furnished
with the information therein
required - re: conveyance of a
horse from Madras to Bangalore by Col Ross
Thompson to

1328/4

I did not charge for the
Conveyance of a horse from
Bangalore to Madras for the
simple reason that I did not
Convey one.

I am unable to state the
date on which the horse was
purchased in Madras as
I did not purchase the horse
in Madras.

(Sd) Ross Thompson -
Col R.E.
Ex: Engr.

2235
12 APR 1899

130-218 of 1899
for 1st class
and 2nd class
and 3rd class
and 4th class
and 5th class
and 6th class
and 7th class
and 8th class
and 9th class
and 10th class

130-218 of 1899
for 1st class
and 2nd class
and 3rd class
and 4th class
and 5th class
and 6th class
and 7th class
and 8th class
and 9th class
and 10th class

130-218 of 1899
for 1st class
and 2nd class
and 3rd class
and 4th class
and 5th class
and 6th class
and 7th class
and 8th class
and 9th class
and 10th class

Form 1041 and 1042

[P. W. D. Form No. M 102, Special.]
O. No. 1028.

Disallowance List No. 30 dated 10th September 1899.

IV Circle Nilgiri Division or Office. List of Disallowances
from the Travelling Allowance Bill for July 1899.

Name and Rank.	Amount disallowed.	DISALLOWANCE OR OTHER ACTION.		Explanation by Executive and Superintending Engineers.
		Nature.	Reason.	
1	2	3	4	5
	RS.	A.	P.	
(1) Colonel Ross Thompson R.C. Executive Engineer 1 st Grade. Carriage of 2 horses by rail from Bangalore to Mello/Calicut Rs 53-1- Railway fare for 2 grass bottles from do to do Rs 4-6-10 Carriage of Camp equipment (1500 lbs) from do to do Rs 7-4-1 7562 D. 16 and 41				1328 5 S.E. N. 162 215-5-89 Sanctioning the conveyance of horses and camp equipment is sent herewith
(2) Colonel Ross Thompson R.C. Executive Engineer				Here deduction of Rs 149-2-10 on account of travelling allowance advanced Advance sanctioned in No 162 dated 16/9/99 of Rs 24 1/2. Copy of which, appended
Carried forward	7562			

N.B.—A number entered in the fourth column indicates that the reason of disallowance will be found on reference to the correspondingly numbered printed remark under "Grounds of disallowance."

30-19-8-99.

Items in Original Disallowance List.	Remarks by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.	Replies and remarks by Executive and Superintending Engineers.
1	2	3



12 APR 1890

No further remarks being required this Disallowance List is returned for record in the Division or Office named in the heading.

Major, R.E.
Examiner of P.W. Accounts, Madras.

N.B.—If any further remarks by Executive and Superintending Engineers, &c., are required they should be given on this paper, the one on the other in the column provided for the purpose, additional sheets of plain paper being added if necessary.

Madras, 1941 AND 1943. 8 NOV 89

(C. No. 1040
235.)

Rejoinder No. 30 dated 5 November 1889.

IV Circle, Nilgiris

Division or Office, List of Disallowances.

from the Travelling Allowance Bill for

Rejoinder by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

Items in Original Disallowance List.	Remarks by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.	Replies and remarks of Executive and Superintending Engineers, &c.
1	2	3
1	N.B.—The only remarks requiring attention are those against which original Disallowance List items are quoted in column 1. Finally disallowed being passed in bill for September 1889. Finally disallowed, disallowance not being disputed. Finally disallowed from this bill, and may be claimed again in arrears. Finally disallowed under G.O. No. of 18 Finally disallowed with reference to Superintending Engineer's remarks. Finally disallowed from this bill, but may be claimed again when proof of title is complete. Finally admitted title being now completely proved. Finally admitted with reference to G.O. No. of 18 Noted and admitted in bill for Call for no remarks. Noted, should be retrenched in next bill. Sanction of Government should be obtained for the admission of the charge. Sanction of Government is awaited. Sanction of the Chief Engineer is awaited. Sanction of the Superintending Engineer is awaited. Chief Engineer's approval of the amount of security is awaited. The agreement of deposit is awaited. The information required is awaited. Stamped voucher is awaited. Life certificate or the required intimation of personal payment is awaited. Declaration required by Code para. 133 is awaited. Medical certificate is awaited. Health and vaccination certificate is awaited. First appointment certificate is awaited.	
2	Awaits disposal of item in Disallowance List No. 18 on Bill for	

No further remarks being required, this Disallowance List is returned for record in the division or office named in the heading.

For Major, R.E.,
Examiner of P.W. Accounts, Madras.

10 NOV 89

N.B.—If any further remarks by Executive and Superintending Engineers, &c., are required they should be given on this paper, the one under the other in the column provided for the purpose, additional sheets of plain paper being added if necessary.

11/10/89

Items in Original Disallowance List.	Remarks by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.	Replies and remarks by Executive and Superintending Engineers.
1	2	3

22 APR 1890



No further remarks being required this Disallowance List is returned for record in the Division of Office named in the heading.

Major, R.E.
Examiner of P.W. Accounts, Madras.

N.P. - If any further remarks by Executive and Superintending Engineers, &c., are required they should be given on this paper, the one the other in the column provided for the purpose, additional sheets of plain paper being added if necessary.

No. 6534.

Fifth Circle. P.W.D.

1328

Supg. Engineer's office Note. 8

To Colonel Ross Thompson late Ex. Engr. Presidency Div.

Questions & Answers, information &c.	Reprimands, final instructions, &c.
Date	Date
<p>10 January 1900</p> <p>Reference - your letter No. 3108 of 5 June 89 to Supg. Engineer 5th Circle was as follows:-</p> <p>"with reference to section 12 of the Civil Travelling allowance Code I have the honor to request your sanction to the carriage of my horse from Madras to Bangalore on 1st June 89</p> <p>In my No. 1606 of 8 June 1889 my sanction was given under section 12 C.T. & Code</p>	

and Co. No: 20844
 279 July 1888 to
 the conveyance
 of your horse
 by rail - Madras
 to Bangalore on
 1st June 1889.
 Please state
 for my further
 information.

1. Where the horse was purchased by you?
2. When it was purchased by you?
3. Did you use the horse on departmental duty between the 20th and 30 June at Bangalore.
4. If so, on what dates & how?
5. How many axes and grass cutters accompanied the horse by rail on 1st June

I certify that the animal in question was and is, bonafide my own property, procured by me to enable me to carry out my public duties and that it has been, and is being maintained by me, at my own risk and cost for such purpose from 1st June 1889 up to this date.

1889 Pass Thompson
 By: Mr. D. D. D.
 January 20, 1890
 Oct 4.

P.S. One eye certainly accompanied the animal from Madras to Bangalore. I cannot remember whether a grass cutter accompanied it or not.

1889 Pass Thompson
 By: Mr. D. D. D.
 Jan. Dec 1/90.

No. 6533 Supd Engineer's Office.
 V file Madras.
 Chepauk, 10 January 1900.

From, Col. W. H. Burton, R.E.
 Supd. Engrs. 5 file.
 To, The Supd Engineer
 IV file.
 1328
 9

Sir,
 I have the honor to request you to call on Col. Thompson, R.E. late Ex-Engineer Presidency Division to give replies to my office note of this date and to return the same.

The information called for is needed in order that the propriety of the charge for carriage of a horse and axes by rail from Madras to Bangalore on 1st June 89 may be considered.

I have etc
 1889 W. H. Burton, Col. R.E.
 Supd Engineer's file.

No. 2227 of 16-1-90
 Forwarded to the Ex-Engineer, Bangalore, for compliance.
 1889 D. McNeil, Campbell, Major R.E.
 Supd Engineer's file.

(Camp R. D. D.)
 16 January 1900.
 To be returned

GC No: 4213

12 APR 1890

Est
4213
R

Vide 5106
R

6/24/252 8/11/252
E4
M/400

8 FEB 90

No. 7105.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,

V Circle, Madras,

3 February 1890.

From Colonel W. A. Burton Q.C.

1328
10

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To THE Quartermaster

P. W. Accounts.

Sir,

Enclosures.

With reference to your letter no 935 of dated 23^d December 1889 I have the honor to inform you that my sanction No 1606 of 8th June for the journey of the horse by rail was given on Colonel Thompson's own application of which I enclose a copy.

2. On the 8th June I was under the impression that he had recently purchased the horse whilst at Madras previous to 1st June and wanted it at Bangalore for use of departmental duties between that date and the end of the month when he was to be transferred to the Nilgiri Division.

3. As Colonel Thompson has, when called upon by me for further information regarding the necessity for the horse being at Bangalore for public purposes between 2-30th June 1889, failed to give any and has declined to state when & where the horse was purchased

I must ask you to consider my sanction
No 1606 of 8th June 1889 as cancelled.

4 The fare for a horse from Madras to
Bangalore Rupees 27-6- includes that of
Oye.

5 I return the paper sent to me with your
letter under reply.

I have the honor to
be

Signed W. H. Burton Col. R. L.
Super. Engineer 5th Circle

No. 7106.

To the Permanent Engineer, Mysore
Division through Super. Intending Engineer
IV Circle.

W. H. Burton

Col. R. L.

Super. Intending Engineer 5th Circle

3rd Feb/89 No 81
N. 1

W. H. Burton

7. Feb/90

1300
W

2211
12 APR 1890

SUPD ENGINEER'S OFFICE
NO. 37
6 FEB 90
P. W. D.
FOURTH CIRCLE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE
NO. 5106
27 MAR. 91
NILGIRIS

91/12/252
31-3-90

83
27/4/90

87 of 7-2-90

No 4484

N.B.—The number and date of this letter should be quoted in any future communications on this subject.

3. 11. 10.

NILGIRI DIVISION OFFICE,
STATION *Coilacammund* 27th Mar 1890

From *Colonel Ross Thompson, R.E.*
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
NILGIRI DIVISION,

ENCLOSURES. 8-

To
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
FOURTH CIRCLE,
COIMBATORE.

1328
12

SIR,

I have the honor to bring to your notice, that, at the instance of the Supg. Engineer & Circle, the Examiner of P.W. Accounts has retrenched the sum of Rs. 27-6-0 from me on account of carriage by rail of a pony from Madras to Bangalore, on the grounds that I have not given information as to "where & how the pony was purchased" and how and when I used it at Bangalore.

2. I request that you will submit my case if necessary to Government for orders, as I fail to see why I should be retrenched the sum of Rs. 27-6-0, in face of the information given by me

me in my certificate dated 20 January 1890 herewith.

3. The simple facts of the case are - or were as follows:-

I had but one horse at Bangalore an excellent animal for a draught, but not handy for riding about, here, there, and every where on works.

I heard of a pony at Bellary which would suit me, and had it sent at my own risk & cost to Madras.

I sought and obtained sanction for the conveyance of this pony from Madras to Bangalore under Code rules from the Superintending Engineer.

Subsequently ~~for~~ some occult reasons, the Examiner of P. W. Accounts and the Supg. Engr. V first desired to know:-

I why I did not charge as well for the conveyance of the animal from Bangalore to Madras.

II. On what date did I purchase the animal at Madras.

To question No. I, I replied as

as follows:-

"I did not charge for the conveyance of a horse from Bangalore to Madras for the simple reason that I did not carry one."

To question II, I replied,

"I am unable to state the date on which the horse was purchased in Madras - as I did not purchase the horse in Madras."

I am puzzled myself, to know where I really bought the Pony.

I was at Bangalore when I first heard of it. I telegraphed to Bellary to the owner that I would take it.

I telegraphed again - from Madras - to send it to Madras and I was at Madras when I sent the owner a cheque for the pony and cost of carriage to Madras. It would require a lawyer to decide when and where the purchase was actually concluded; but I am inclined to think he would agree with me, that I did not purchase the pony at Madras in the ordinary acceptation of the word.

The Examiner of P.W. Accounts
and the Superintending Engineer
V firele not being satisfied
with these replies, the following
Examination paper regarding
the animal was set by the Supg.
Engineer V firele.

No. I. Where the horse was pur-
chased by you?

" II When it was purchased
by you?

" III. Did you use the horse
on departmental duty
between the 2^d and 30th
June at Bangalore?

" IV. If so, on what dates
and how?

" V. How many eyees and
grass cutters accompanied
the horse by rail on 1st June?

In reply to these questions,
I submitted the following certificate
under the impression that it would
cover all of them.

"I certify that the animal in
question

" question, was, and is, bona fide
" my own property, procured by
" me to enable me to carry out my
" public duties, and that it has been
" and is being maintained by me, at
" my own risk and cost for such
" purposes from 1st June 1889 up to
" this date - viz. January 20th 1890.
" one Dyce certainly accompanied
" the animal from Madras to Bang-
" lore - I cannot remember whether
" a grass cutter accompanied it
" or not.

1328
14

(Sig) Ross Thompson, C.E. R.E.
Genl Engr Bangalore
20-1-90.

This certificate is apparently
not enough - as the Superintending
Engineer V firele in his letter to the
Examiner of P.W. Accounts No. 7105
of 3^d February 1890 para requests
the Examiner to cancel his can-
-tion for the conveyance of my
pony. This the Examiner has
done and I am consequently
a loser to the extent of Rs. 27-6-4,
although I have solemnly certi-
fied that I bought the pony.

to enable me to perform my public
duties and maintained it for that
purpose from the first of June
1889 to the 20th January 1890. I
enclose for reference - and favour
of return when done with, the
original papers connected with
this retrenchment.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,
Yours most obd^t serv^t

John Thompson
Col. R.E.
Executive Engineer.

Ap 13/1
E.W.

Submitted to the Chief Eng^r.
P.W.D. for disposal through
the Supg. Eng^r & Circle
Muz.

Sainbaton Mr. Russell Major R.E.
31st March 90 Supg. Eng^r & 4th Circle

1328
15

Q.L. 72282
31-3-90

From,

The Ex. Engineer,
Nilgiri Div.

To, The Supergr
4th Circle

Dated 27. } March 90
Desp 27. }

No. 4484
En. 89

Col. Ross Thompson
Mr. Ex. Engineer

Brings to notice
the circumstances
under which a
retrenchment of
of Rs. 27-6- was
made from -

2237
APR 1890

From

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
NILGIRI DIVISION.

To

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
4TH CIRCLE.

Dated

Despatched

Received

188

No.

Enclosures.

Subject.

1328
16

Chennai

From
The Supg. Eng^r
V Circle

2238
12 APR 1890

Dated 31st } March/90
Desd 31st }

Ch 131
E.W.

Encls. 9

Col Ross Thompson R.E.
Executive Eng^r

Submitting letter in
original from the Execu-
tive Eng^r, Kilgus
bringing to notice the
circumstances under
which a retrenchment
of Rs 27-2 was made
from —

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,

V Circle, Madras,

No. 149

1328
17

Chopak, 11 April 1890.

From

Lyell M. Clarke, R.E.

Off^r SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer P.W. Dept^t
The Examiner P.W. Dept^t

Sir,

Enclosures.

At the request of the Superin-
tending Engineer V Circle I
forward for disposal a pile
of papers connected with
the claim of Colonel Ross
Thompson for carriage of a
horse from Madras to Ban-
galore on 1 June 1889 —

Subsequent to my sanction
for conveyance of the animal
given on the 8 June 1889, at
1606, I heard nothing con-
firming it until receipt of
Examiner's letter & its enco-
sures 935 dated 23 December
1889 from which it ap-
peared that the Examiner
had failed to obtain
certain

$$\begin{array}{r} 1328 \\ \hline 18 \end{array}$$

Leicester Leicester Falls, N.H.
Off. of Superintendent of Eng'g.
5th Floor.

2288
12 APR 1890

3
244008

[C. No. 8.]
1328
19
1890
GOVT. OF MADRAS }
P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 25th April 1890.

OFFICE NOTE No. 2367

To
E.W.

Letter from the Superintending Engineer,
5th Circle, No. 140 dated 11th April 1890

Forwarded with the following remarks:-

KEEP WITH
Genl. No.
dated 189

*Please put up the
note with the original
No 2064 of 9-7-88
JL
29-4-90*

2. Sometimes an officer buys a horse at some place at which he happens to be on duty and then charges for its carriage to his head quarters, although it may not really have been required, and even may not have been used at all, at the place at which it is bought. Such claims have always been held to be inadmissible, and when there is any reason to suppose that a claim is made in such circumstances, some question is asked with a view to eliciting the actual facts of the case. The claims are of course made in good faith as are many other inadmissible claims, but that does not make them good claims, and it is necessary to get at the facts before finally disposing of the claim.

3. This happened in Col. Thompson's case, and some simple question was asked

the claim being in the meanwhile passed provisionally. There was some difficulty in getting anything except negative information from Col. Thompson, and the Superintending Engineer's attention was drawn to the matter, with the result that (for reasons not communicated to the Examiner) the Superintending Engineer cancelled the sanction originally given by him for the carriage of the horse to Bangalore, whereupon the charges (previously passed provisionally) were necessarily disallowed and retrenched.

4. As far as the Examiner is concerned this was the end of the matter, & nothing more was heard of it until receipt of the Superintending Engineer's endorsement now under disposal. This is mentioned because para 3 of Col. Thompson's letter seems likely to suggest that his explanation beginning "I am puzzled" and his subsequent certificate were communicated to the Examiner, and that the Examiner is in some degree responsible for the Superintending Engineer's action in cancelling the sanction. The facts were however precisely as stated above.

5. With regard to the merits of the case, in the opinion of the undersigned the only question is whether, taking into account the consequent cost to the State for carrying the horse away afterwards, it was necessary that Col. Thompson should bring a horse or a pony to

Madras for the performance of his duties on the occasion in question, instead of making use of hired means of conveyance. It is not supposed to be the intention of the rules that an officer should on all occasions be allowed to carry his own horses about with him by rail, regardless of expense to the State, when he can arrange to perform his duties by ordinary means of conveyance obtainable at the place in which his work lies.

1328
20

W. C.

c.

25/4

25/4
(EUC)

No. 2064 w of 9.7.88
 Memoirs 19940 of 25.5.88
 No. 29845 of 13.11.82
 2471 w of 23.9.82
 1838 w of 13.4.78
 804 w of 28.9.77
 803 w of 28.9.77
 3310 of 7.12.76
 3142 of 22.11.76
 2321 of 31.8.76
 2320 of 31.8.76
 1350 of 30.8.76
 1085 of 1.5.76
 2810 of 29.9.75
 2252 of 4.8.75
 2251 of 4.8.75
 4341 of 16.7.75
 2117 of 20.8.73
 1899 of 28.7.75
 6638 of 11.3.72

O/C No 4008

228.4.90

No. 1328 w of 10.5.79

0 30/4 2 10-5-90

No. 1328 w of 10 May 79

PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT

1328/21

Read the following

Letter from S.E.V circle H.E. No 149 of 11 April 189
 Office Note from the Ex. P.W. Dept H.E. No 2361 of 25 April 18

It is desired with having the
 from Madras, Sub-Station
 brought in at Bangalore,
 & for the carriage to Madras,
 it is desired to take the animal
 from Madras to Bangalore
 on the return of the

+ the date of arrival
 Madras is 11th June, for
 it was previous to 1st June
 Col. Painter's proposal on
 whether the pony
 could be further proposed
 Bangalore between 2nd
 3rd June, and as horse
 the point, as under it
 was necessary to have
 the animal to Madras from
 Bangalore, the claim for
 carriage on the return journey
 would be unaffected
 by any subsequent work of the

It does not appear
 would have been
 that it was necessary
 for Colonel Thompson
 bring a
 to have brought a
 horse to Madras for
 the performance
 of his public duties,
 on the occasion in
 question; and the
 Govt therefore agree
 with the S.E.V circle
 in considering that
 the claim for the
 carriage of the
 pony from Madras
 to Bangalore on

et

missible.

Wm
30.4.90

Russon
2.5.90

No. 132 R W 10 May 18

To

The Supply Engr IV circle

V

Enr. P. W. A. 18

9/19/5

9/19/5