(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 16

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 21/8/89

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பொதுப்பணித் துறை

அரசாணை எண்.

மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 12.79(W) அச்சகம் மலவனை

நாள் : 5-5-1890 ஆண்டு.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

ஆவண. கா-1—18,000—15-6-87.

1279/1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Military Works.
Account.

READ—the following paper:—

From Captain W. J. B. BIRD, Officiating Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department, to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated Simla, 25th April 1890, No. 1645 D. (Sanitary).

In reply to your letter, No. 605 W., dated 26th February 1890, I am directed to say, for the information of the Governor in Council, that the charge for the actual demolition and removal on sanitary grounds of the buildings in the vicinity of the regimental lines at Trichinopoly is a military works charge. The payment of any compensation due to the owners of the buildings removed would be a military charge payable by the cantonment fund of the station.

ORDER—dated 5th May 1890, No. 1279 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, and to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with reference to G.O., No. 604 W., dated 26th February 1890; also to the Military Department for information.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Military Department, with copy of G.O., No. 604 W., dated 26th February 1890.

Exd. A. Aubert.
Spare Copies

MILITARY WORKS.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1890} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated May, 5th.

Read. *Encl.*

G.O., 5th May 1890, No. 1279 W.

MAY.

Buildings in the vicinity of the regimental lines at Trichinopoly

Letter from the Government of India stating that the charge for the actual demolition and removal of the — and the payment of compensation is a military works charge, communicated to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

Ellis 0056 W
of 5.5.90. W J
Hamilton
1279 W
05-5-90.

D.C.
m

12-29/7

You will now
see that our letter
to India No 608 W
of 26-2-90 was
after all received
in the S of S. We have
now replied to
his letter No 1645
D
of 25-4-90 —
we have wired
to India to the
above effect for
sure -

B should now
drive at S. of S.
telegram No 986 dated
of 28.4.90 in the
light of G. of I. letter
No 1645 above quoted.
D

Telegram to
note - 16/5/90 Note Ruggles
must be more careful
in future to mark

Telegram from India
No. 981 M.W. 3/28.4.20

S.A.W.

12/3

This telegram should be forwarded to B.P. for despatch.

Should he wish to deal with it in connection with the final issue of the Military Works Budget which is now in the hands, but it will be undesirable to issue a separate G.O. on this in connection with the Budget order.

Govt. of India's letter No 605 W/3 of 26.2.20 has not been received. There is no note on the draft to show that either the G.O. or the letter to India was issued, although

date prior to disposal. If the last answer in the case of No. 605 W, W would not have been sent in fault. Rupee's cause is always "oversight", but such oversights are too frequent.

C.A.
3.5.20

P.S. The copy of the papers made for India will be sent to Military Dept with the issue of the G.O. and the telegram order No 604 W/3 of 26.2.20 mentioned in the collection.

C.A.

warned the despatcher
to be careful about
noting date of issue on
originals - If the des-
patcher's books show
that the paper was
not issued, H.C.
must submit an
explanation for his
orders -

Meanwhile a
fresh copy of the Cattle
cancels should be
prepared for his
signature without
delay, to go with a
short covering letter
explaining either
that the paper was
not ready for issue,
or that on our books
now that it was
duly despatched
on such & such a
date.

Ch
29.4.20

WW 12/29/4

Instructions to Headm.
offices on the use of telegram
forms Indian, Telegraphic
for renewal -

Ch
29-4-20

✓ Rec'd
29.4.

C.S.O.

12/9/15

I beg to submit that letter no 605 W of 26-2-20 and no 599 W were sent to the Govt of India in the Military Dept on the 26th July 20. in the same cover. I regret to say that by a oversight the original was placed on to the Records for reference without noting the date of dispatch.

Clerk

Shown carried the above from the entry in the Rough Book 1/5770

1279/6

To

The Secy to the
Govt of India
Mily Dept.

Sir,

With reference to
your telegram No 981 dated
7th April 1890, I
am directed to forward
copy of my letter No 605M
of 26th Feb/90 regarding
incidence of the cost
of sanitary works in
connection with the
Regimental lines at
Trichinopoly, and to
state that the books
of this Office show that
the original letter was duly des-
patched to Calcutta on the 26th Feb/90

I have
3.5.90

Ez

Sanitary

GOVE

MILITAR

It is requested that the above heading, with number and date of this communication, may be quoted in any subsequent correspondence on the subject.

To

THE SECRETARY TO

In reply to

that charge

and removal of

buildings in the

lines at Saidapet


charge. The pay

due to the owner

would be a ma

the cantonment

1239
1/8



4193.

Cost. of 18 | Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

6/5

Dated

Rcvd. Encl.

G.O. 5th May 1890 No. 1279 W.

Buildings in the vicinity of the regimental lines at Richmond Poly.

Letter from the Govt Shahnipthat the charge for the actual demolition & removal of the — & the payt of compensation is a truly works charge Cannot be the Govt Auth

90
1/4

J.H.

Rec 6/5