(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 50

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் மே 1989

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பொதுப்பணி துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 1017 W

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 31-8-1890 ~~198~~ .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

ஆவண, சா-1—18,000—15-6-87.

Ranipet dated 15 March 90

1/1017

To.

The Secretary to Government
General Branch
P. W. Department
Madras

Honored Sir

With most respect and humble submission I (late Supervisor in ch of Palar Anicut North Arcot District) beg to bring to your honor's notice that I made an appeal for my dismissal on the 10 January 1890 I received no reply from your honor to that effect

As I have a large family containing 7 souls it is very difficult to provide my dependents and myself since May 1889 and I am without any source of income; I therefore earnestly solicit that your honor will be graciously pleased to consider my pitiable circumstances and

to

provide me anyhow. At present
y circumstances are inexpressable
I am entirely dependent on your
onor

For which act of kindness I
hall ever pray for your honour's
y life and prosperity.

I beg to remain
Honoble Sir
your most obedient servant
Loappoosamy Naidoo
Lake Shabor [illegible]
Superintendent

P.W.C No. 2722

(61) Rd 17-3-90

228 C B 15-1-90

Lie over till reply to Memo. No. 22 P.C. of 15th Jany/90 is recd.

17.3.90

D. W.

Abstract of the Tamil petition

From Sub [illegible] Arni

Explains that on the 4 instant he went to Inspect Kalambur tank whence he went to [illegible] to see the Overseer and to take instructions from him to prepare Water discharge Calculation etc for [illegible] there returned in the Evening to [illegible] and met the Overseer

20/3/89

From
The Overseer of [illegible]
Polur Taluqa

To
The Executive Engineer
Ranipet Sub Divn.
[illegible] Arni

Dated 7th March 1889

No. 777

Sir,

I beg to bring to your notice that I went to Alikad [illegible] on the 4th Evening to take measurements to prepare the Estimate ordered by you. On enquiring of the Superintendent the Lascars informed me that he went to Ranipet through Arni that morning. On my return I met

P. W. C. No.

the Superintendent at Santhavasal at 7. P.M. who informed me that he went to Arni in search of me as he wanted some stationery - The water registers at the aniculs were filled up only up to 3rd morning - I warned him to send his lascar if he wanted stationery and to fill in the registers punctually.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
(Sd.) P. Punchapakesaiyer
Overseer D. W.

(True copy)

5/Rev-1 8089
22-3-90

Memo. is recd.

Madras Dated December 20, 1

3/1017

To.

The Secretary to Government
General Branch
P. W. Department
Madras.

Most respected Sir.

Having been very much disappointed on receipt of Memo No. 4380 C dated October 1, 1889, I beg respectfully to submit the following additional explanations with a request that they may be taken into your merciful consideration.

2. All the explanations that I may furnish will not be of any avail against the representations of my Superior Officers but I earnestly request that the following points may be favourably considered before confirming the dismissal ordered by the Executive and Superintending Engineers.

3. The ground on which I was dismissed is absence without leave on the 4th March 1889 and nonsubmission of the explanation called for. It will be observed from para 5 of my petition dated July 5, 1889 and the explanation submitted by me through the Overseer that I was not absent from duty on the said date and that I did submit my explanation through the Overseer which is the proper channel. I was compelled to adopt this course as I was fined on a previous occasion for writing direct to the Executive Engineer. The explanation was forwarded by the Overseer to the Sub Divisional Officer who did not transmit it to the Executive or Superintending Engineer and no action seems to have been taken on the explanation either by the Executive Engineer or the Superintending Engineer. Copies of my explanation and Overseer's Endorsement thereon obtained from the Sub Divisional Office

Office

Office are herewith submitted and they will show that I was not absent on the specified date. Under these circumstances my dismissal on the plea of absence and nonsubmission of the explanation called for is unjust and too severe. It is submitted for your merciful consideration whether one who earned good character for a period of 16 years would commit four offences within such a short period as 7 months viz. from September 1888 to March 1889.

4. As I find that the previous charges against me have tended to a great measure in confirming my dismissal on the plea of absence, I beg to submit below the various offences that I have been charged with and the explanations therefor.

5. The petitioner was reduced from Rs 12 to Rs 10 and transferred to the Allahabad Circuit for not inspecting

the Ronnay Channel while in charge of these Channels. The reimposition of complaints of the Channels situated within the limits of the territories of the Rajah of Nagiri and under orders to the jurisdiction of the Public Works Department. The reduction of Salary ordered on the occasion was altogether through a misapprehension as I submitted myself to the punishment as my voice could not stand against that of my Superiors. I may however add that no damage was caused to the Channels during the tenure of my appointment while much loss was sustained by the Government during the time of other Superintendents who held the appointment before and after myself.

The non-submission of Medical certificate while ordered to go to the Hospital at Vellore. I did repeatedly present myself before the District Surgeon who in accordance with the rules which I was not aware of, refused

5/1017

refused to grant me certificate in the absence of a formal requisition to him from my Superior Officer. I wrote four lines for the letter to the Surgeon, but I was never favoured with one though I was repeatedly asked for the Certificate without the necessary letter. I however continued as an outpatient in the Hospital and submitted the discharge Certificate. This offence against me would have been avoided if I had been favoured with a letter to the Surgeon.

Absence on the 15th, 16th and 17th February 1887 without leave. My child at Ranipett 30 Miles from the Annicut was attacked with Cholera and as soon as I received the information I ran to the Overseer to obtain leave from him, but as he was not at home, I left a letter at his place requesting him to grant the leave applied for. As the turn of the illness of the Child was such that it would affect even the lives of a stranger, I was obliged to leave the place and returned within two days bringing back my child. It would take one full day to go to Ranipett and another day for return. It will thus be observed that I did not absent myself on any false ground and that too not without any report to my superiors.

The above statements are quite true but my misfortune led my superiors to consider them in an unfavourable light. I have earned good character from the several officers under whom I served and I solicit a kind reference to the testimonials submitted with my previous petition. It is specially to be considered whether a man who did good service for upwards of 16 years without any complaint of absence or fine or neglect of duty would within such a short period as seven months from September 1886 to March 1887, acquire a bad reputation.

6/1017

7. In conclusion I earnestly request that I may be given at least another trial to redeem my character in consideration of my approved service of 16 years, of my large family which is depending upon me for support and of the starvation which I have already suffered for the last eight months without employment. As I am already in the most miserable condition possible and as the deprival of the appointment will make me go about from door to door after a long service under Government, I beg that this petition may be favourably considered and that I may be reinstated in my appointment.

For which act of kindness I shall ever feel thankful and pray to God for your honour's long life and prosperity.

I beg to remain
Most respected Sir
Your most obedient servant
[illegible]
Late Superintendent
[illegible] Annexe
North Arcot District

7-1-1890

Received 11.1.90

Reg. No 1106

No. 228 C d/ 15th Jany/90

Forwarded to the Supdg. Engineer V. Circle for favour of report as regards the new facts brought forward in the present petition.

[illegible] Lt Col. R.E.
Ag. Jt. Secy. to Govt. P.W.D.

11.1.90

---

27 JAN. 90
NORTH ARCOT

2/1017

(No copy need be retained.)

FIFTH CIRCLE—P. W. D.

Superintending Engineer's Office Note.

To the Overseer Panchapakesa Iyer, Aliabad.

Questions, &c.	Answers, information, &c.	Rejoinders, final instructions, &c.
Date 22 January/90	Date 17th March 90	Date
Do you remember going to Aliabad on 4th March 1889.	Went to Alliabad [illegible] on the 4th March 1889 at about 3 p.m.	
II State what you ascertained that day from the Anicut lascars concerning the Anicut Superintendents' absence	The Anicut lascars told me that the Supdt. has gone early that morning with his family to [illegible]	Statements made by the two lascars who were at the Anicut are herewith. Nos 6. They were taken separately by the Sub. officer & the overseer. [illegible] same thing [illegible] with me. Overseer 17.3.90
III What did you do?	I inspected the painting work that was being done for the Anicut repairs and took measurements to be placed in the estimate ordered by the Ex. Engineer.	
IV Did the late Executive Engineer Mr Whitely ask for any explanation about 20 to 27 March 1889 concerning C. Kooppooswamy's absence?	I remember the explanation was asked then by Ex. Engineer in his No 1559/8.3.89. This was submitted with my No. 866/20.3.89. The explanation that is referred to [illegible] was asked direct from Ex. Engineer's office.	
V Did he give any?	See above. The [illegible] Officer [illegible] Executive Engineer	

Questions &c	Answers information, &c	Rejoinders, furth instructions, &c
7/ What opinion did you form concerning the absence of C. Coopoosawmy from Aliabad Aniout on 4 March 1889?	[illegible] water.	
11 Did you think he was shirking his work at the aniout?	Yes he did not [illegible]	
or did you think he was obliged to be absent at Arni fetching stationery.	No. he asked [illegible] lascar.	
Is it usual for your aniout Superintendents to go away to fetch stationery	No.	
	[illegible] 17-3-90	

1/866 VH
30th 90

Washington
CoLLRE
Supdg. Engineer

No. 1559 A

8/1017

D. P. W.

From [illegible]
The ~~Sub Divisional Officer~~
Polur [illegible] ~~Sub~~ Division.

To
The Executive Engr
Ranipet [illegible]

Station [illegible]
Dated 7th March 1889
Received

No. 777

Enclosure

His No. Dated

The Aniout Supdt must send in a full explanation before the 14th or he will be reported to the Supdt' Engineer.

J. J. Whatley
8-3-89
(Johereta)

No 754

To Supdt for explanation

J. [illegible]

No 466
submitted Supdt's explanation overleaf
11/3/89.

[illegible] 30/3/89

I beg to bring to your notice that I went to Aliabad aniout on the 4th evening to take measurements to prepare the estimate ordered by you. On enquiring of the Supdt the lascars informed me that he went to Ranipet

[illegible] Engineering [illegible] sent 8-3-89 the reason viz that the Superintendent never goes to this work. I therefore would suggest that the Superintendent may be transferred to the Aliabad Anicut as a punishment & lesson to the rest of the Channel Superintendents in this Division. The Superintendent of Aliabad Anicut has frequently petitioned for a transfer, I believe it is fully time he had a change. The head quarter of the new Superintendent should be at Kodacal Village. Since writing the above I have inspected the Sholunghur Channel and find that the ~ Superintendent has also neglected to inspect it —

I have &c

(Sd) F.W. Ashpitel
Asst: Engineer

True copy

20/3/90 Major R.E.
Executive Engineer

E

12/1017

Changes affecting personal during week ending 10th November 1888.

Arcot & Polur Taluq

Superintendent of Aliabad Anicut ~ (Cooppusawmi Naidu) went to Vellore for Medical treatment handing over charge of the Anicut to Lascar Sountheau on the afternoon of the 6th Instant.

Out Divisional Officers No 1045 of 29-10-88.

(Sd) I Punchapakesa Iyer
Overseer
12-11-88

True copy

20/3/90 Major R.E.
Executive Engineer

F

Civil Surgeon's Office
Vellore 28th Decr. 18

No 193. 13/1017

This is to certify that Cooppusawmy Naidoo Superintendent of Alabad Anicut who was admitted as an out-patient for Dysentry on the 29 November 1888 is this day discharged to duty.

(Sd) John Lancaster
Surgeon Major
Dist: Surgeon Vellore

True Copy

20/3/90 Major R.E.
Executive Engineer

4

G

14/1057

Memo

No 1495
23-2-89

The Aliabad Anicut Superintendent will be considered as absent from duty on 15th, 16th, 17th without leave and will lose his pay for these days - If he commits the same fault again he will be reported to the Superintending Engineer -

(Sd) J.J. Whitely
Sub Divl: Officer
Ranipet

True Copy

Major R.E.
Executive Engineer

6

---

e you will a
ran may be c

H ~~10~~ 15/1017

From

The Sub Divisional Officer
Ranipet Sub Division

To

The Executive Engineer
North Arcot Dn.

Dated 26th August 1888.

No 696

Sir,

Reference your No 534 of 21st Instant I have the honor to inform you that having had Kuppusawmy Naidoo under me before, I regret to have to state that he is unfitted to have charge of an Anicut and Channels connecting it notwithstanding that the Aliabad Anicut is a small concern and the pay of the Superintendent small; the responsibilities are I think, greater than the supervision of Channels which I believe you will agree with me. The man may be changed

to

20/3/90

6 Executi

to Mukkur, instead of the present incumbent who left sick, he will also be better looked after at Mukkur than at Aliabad.

I have &c

(Sd) W.L. West Captain
Sub Divisional Officer

True copy

C.S. Lemon Major R.E.
20/3/90
Executive Engineer N.A.

13

I.

Statements made by Lascars of the Aliabad Anicut who were doing duty there on the 4th March 1889.

16/1057

Lascar Coopoosamy states:—

I was at the Aliabad Anicut on the morning of the 4th March, 1889. I mean I was working in the Anicut channel. I saw Superintendent Coopoosamy Naidu on the bank at about 7 A.M. He told he was going to the Anicut and would return to Santavassel, and then go to Rampett with his family. At about 9. A.M. I returned to Santavassel and found that he and his family had gone. The same evening I again saw the Superintendent and the Overseer near the Santavassel Bridge.

[illegible]
Lascar.

Lascar Yellapen states

I was watching the Stores at Aliabad Anicut about 7 A.M on the 4th March, 1889 when the the Superintendent Coopoosamy Naidu came there. He then told me that he was going to Arnee to take leave from the Overseer to go to Ranipett, with his family, as some relatives were expected. 17/101 I did not see him again untill about the same time on the morning of the 5th inst. 7. A.M. [illegible]

Lascar

Made and signed in my presence, at Arnee, this day.

R. M.
S. D. Officer
14-3-90

[illegible]
22-3-90
P.W. [illegible]
[illegible]

Statement of late lascar Inasee of Ahilad anicut

K, 18/1017

I was working on the channel bank on the 4th morning March 1889. I was working near the bridge. Supt Coopoosamy Naidu called me that morning from the bridge & told me that he is going to Ranipet with his family as he has some business there & went away. I met the overseer that day at 3 P.M on the channel bank & asked me about the Supt. I told him that he has gone to Ranipet. He then went to the anicut and returned about 6 P.M that evening. He then told lascar Arokiam to go & see in the village for the Supt. He returned with the Supt who was talking with the ~~Supt~~ overseer at the bridge on Vellore-Polur Road.

Chyar anicut
17-3-90

[illegible]
lascar.

Taken by me
[illegible]
Overseer
17-3-90

L.

Statement from late lascar Arokium
of Abibad anicut

19/1017

I was working on the channel bank on the 4th morning March 1889. Supt Cooposamy Naidu came over the channel bank that morning after seeing my work told me that he is going to Ranipet that morning with his family through Arni, as he expects some relatives there & left the place. The overseer came there at about 3 P.m that day & went to the anicut. He asked me about the Supt and I told him that he went to Ranipet with his family that morning. The overseer returned from the anicut at about 7 P.m & told me to go & see in the village for the Supt again. I went & found him in the village & took him to the overseer who was at the bridge. They were then talking.

Cheyar anicut
17th March 90.

[illegible]
Lascar.

Taken by me

J. Vanclesp
overseer
17/3/90

1

2/[illegible]
22 3 '90

D.W. Recd
No 854/90

No 854 SE

North Arcot Dn Office
20th March 1890
Desp'd 21st

From

Major C.C. Rawson R.E. 20/(1017)
Executive Engineer
North Arcot Dn

To

The Superintending Engineer
V Circle

Sir,

Enclos: 13
1. Petition to the Secy: to Govt with Enclo: bearing No 228C/15-1-90 with 1 Enclosure
1. Office Note
Enclos marked a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, k & l

With reference to your No 6861 dated 22d January 1890 on Chief Engineer's Memo No 228C dated 15th January 1890 I have the honor to inform you that no new facts have been brought forward by the late Anicut Supdt. C. Coppusawmy Naidoo.

2. Petitioner sends in a copy of overseer's letter No 777 dated 7th March 1889 and on that copy he writes a translation of his explanation to the overseer, but he omits the date of the explanation. I send herewith the original overseer's letter and the original explanation marked A & B

you will see that the explanation is dated 23.3.89 and was sent by the Overseer to the Sub Division Officer on the 30th and received by the Sub Division Officer on 1st April 1889.

3. It should be noted that the Sub Division Officer Ranipet at this time was the Executive Engineer himself as Captain West had left the Sub Division and Subconductor Ward had not arrived.

4. Mr Whitely (as Executive Engineer) sent the charges direct to the Superintendent on the 27th March 1889 with his No 1610 S.D. or four days after this explanation was written – Copy enclosed marked C and to this letter the Superintendent never made any reply, therefore on the 7th April 1889 with his No 225E the Executive Engineer recommended

Coppusawmi

Coppusawmi Naidu's dismissal.

5. The Superintendent could not possibly suppose that his explanation dated 23rd March was a reply to the charges made against him on the 27th March.

6. Going through the petition to the Secretary to Government Public Works Department para by para – in para 3 petitioner states that he was not absent from duty on the 4th March, this is untrue the Superintendent started with his family to go to Ranipet, on reaching Arni he found the Overseer was not there, so he hurried back to the anicut to find that the Overseer was at the Anicut and had found him absent. The Superintendent also states that the Sub Division Officer did not forward his explanation to the Divisional Officer petitioner must know quite well

that Mr. Whitely at that time held charge of both the Sub Division and Divisions. In his explanation the overseer Coppusawmi states that he went to get stationery from the overseer this was quite unnecessary as he could have sent a lascar for it he also states that he went for instructions to prepare water discharge calculations, this is absurd the man is quite uneducated and knows nothing about this calculations, moreover it is not clear why he took his family.

7. Para 5 of the Petition is untrue from beginning to end. Copy of Mr. Ashpitel's letter No 160 of 7th May 1888 is put up herewith marked D. the Poiney Anicut Channal below the Thodakal Tank passes thro' one Zemindari Village only and the whole of this Channal was under the charge of the Superintendent. The Superintendent left the Aliabad Anicut

Anicut on 4th November 1888 to go to Vellore for medical treatment (copy of post card from overseer reporting this herewith marked E); he was admitted as an out patient at the Civil Hospital Vellore on the 29th November (copy of certificate signed by Civil Surgeon herewith marked F. Petitioner does not explain what he was doing between the 4th and the 29th Absence on 15th 16th and 17th February was not considered as absence without leave××. The Superintendent was granted leave without pay for these days but was warned not to go away again in a similar manner Copy of Mr. Whitely's No 1495 dated 23rd February 1889 marked G put up herewith.

×× ie it did not carry till it lps of [illegible].

8. The petitioner in para 6 of his letter writes about his 16 years of approved services as if he had given the utmost satisfaction to every officer under whom he had

served. I enclose copy of Captain West's letter No 696 dated 26 August 1888 marked H protesting against Cooppusawmy's appointment even to the Alliabad Anicut on Rs 10/- on the ground that he had known Cooppusawmy before.

9. Statements of the four lascars regarding the absence on 6th March 1889 marked I. K. L are put up with your Office note.

10. I do not consider that Cooppusawmy Naidu deserves to be treated with any consideration after having written his petition dated 5th July 1889 to His Excellency the Governor in Council in para 12 of that petition in order to try and make his own case better - he deliberately brings false charges against the Head Clerk of the Division and the man who succeeded him

23/1017

him at Alliabad Anicut neither of these people having ever done him the least harm. The correspondence connected with petitioner's case is signed by the following Officers. Mr Ashpital, Mr Peters, Mr C. Smith Captain West, Major Langly and Mr Whitely and not a single one of these Officers can say a word in his favor.

I have the honor &c,

Sir,

Your Most Obed! Servant

20-3-90 Major RE

Executive Engineer

From

The Executive Engineer

North Arcot Divn

Dated 20 } March 1890
Despd 21 }

No. 854 E

Enc: 13

Subject

Late Superintendent Kuppuswami Naidoo

Submits reports on the points raised in the Superintdg Engineer's No. 6861 of 22d January 1890.

24/1017

No. 8094

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V Circle, Madras,
Chepâk, 24 March 1890.

From

Colonel W H Burton R.E.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer
P. W. Department.

SIR,

Enclosures.

With reference to your memo: No 228 C dated 15 January 1890 I have the honor to forward the report of the Executive Engineer North Arcot on the new facts brought forward by the late Superintendent of Aliabad anicut Coopoosawmy Naidu

2 From this & enclosures it will be seen that the late Superintendent left the anicut with his family on the 4th March 1889 for the purpose of going, as announced by him to his lascars, to Ranipett he would when leaving the anicut go via Santavassel (3 miles) & Arnie (11 miles from the anicut) the latter place was outside the range of his duties = he passed Santavassel

previous

ours to 9 A.M. but finding hen he arrived at Arni that his uperior Officer Overseer Panchapa-kesa Iyer had passed by that place en route for Aliabad, the uperintendent immediately counter-marched himself to within the imits of his duties and announced to the overseer he was going to Arni to get Stationary &c on hich to make hydraulic calculations, which was no part f his duties

3 He duly explained this to Mr Whitely and it was the only xplanation Mr Whitely obtained nd this (B°) was not accepted by the late Executive Engineer at the time as being either on genuine lescription or proper cause of the Superintendents absence.

not very clear

4 I must still submit that as the Superintendent had commenced & completed the act of being absent without leave, gave no proper reason on the occasion in question for his absence that his dismissal should be upheld = It is true he

did

did not get as far as Ranipet whither he intended going but none of the P.W. Officers had anything to do with that (it was but for the overseers unexpectedly turning up en route) which prevented the late Superintendent continuing his journey to Ranipett.

5 It is not always that the conservancy Establishment men can be clearly & concisely convicted of the very frequent phase of neglect of duty & this man had so frequently erred on previous occasions & offence more or less condoned that it seemed impossible to do otherwise than dismiss him

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt Servt

W. A. Burton Col RE
Supdg Engineer V Circle

There can be no doubt that the man was an habitual offender in the matter of absenting himself from duty; & his dismissal should have a beneficial effect on the Irrigation Conservancy establishment in the N. Arcot district.

21.2.90

P.W.C. No. 3089 ✓

From

The Supdg. Engineer

5th Circle

To

The Chief Engineer

P. W. Department.

Dated 24th }
Recd 25 } March 1890.

Encls. 14.

No. 228C d/ 15-1-90
" 4380C " 1-10-89
" 714D " 19-8-89
" 532D " 17-7-89

A. 8094.

Cooposawmy Naidoo
late Superintendent
of Aleabad Aniut.

Forwards Report of
Executive Engineer North
Arcot Division ——

M. 14th order

From

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

V CIRCLE,

To

THE

Dated }
Recd. } 18

M. 1017 W. d/ 31st
Enclosures March 1890

No.

Having carefully inquired into the circumstances of the Petitioner's case the Govt. declines to interfere with the action of the Supdg: Engineer.

26.3.90

27.3.90

To.
The Petitioner ✓ D.