

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 812
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 31-3-1989
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் கருக்கொப்பம் 10/3/89

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பொதுப்பணித் துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	<u>1018 W</u> <u>1013 W</u>	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	<u>31-3-1890</u>	<u>198</u>

10/3

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

1013

31st MARCH 1890, No. 1013 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

READ—the following papers:—

Office note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 20th August 1889, No. 1203.	
" " Chief Engineer for Irrigation " 27th November " " 347.	
" " Examiner, Public Works Accounts " 23rd December " " 1842.	
" " Chief Engineer for Irrigation " 6th March 1890, " " 27.	
" " Examiner, Public Works Accounts " 19th " " " 1533.	
" " Chief Engineer for Irrigation " 20th " " " 53.	

ORDER—dated 31st March 1890, No. 1013 W., Public Works.

The following modifications will be made in para. 909, Public Works Code:—

"In the eighth line, before the words "sluices" insert "banks, channels."
"In the thirteenth line, omit the words "one-fifth of."

2. The requisite Standing Orders will be issued in due course.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
Chief Engineer, Periyár Project.
To Superintending Engineers.
To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with one enclosure.

Exd. G. A. Lewis.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of (1890) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March, 31st.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 31st Mar. 1890, No. 1013 W.

MARCH.

Public Works Code

Modifying para. 909 of the —.

KEEP-WITH

dated

Genl. No.

188

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

2/10/3
1889.

P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 20 August 1889.

OFFICE NOTE No. 1203

To

W.

I beg to forward herewith in original letter No. 1208 A. dated 25th. July 1889 from the Executive Engineer Nellore Division and to request the orders of Government as to the intention of the last sentence of code para 909.

2. I believe the general understanding is that if the estimate exceeds 1/5 of the total revenue of the last five years the work should be classed under "original works", and this seems reasonable; but the code rule as it stands certainly puts the limit at 1/25 of the total of the last five years.

3. If the general understanding is correct, the code rule will require modification.

Subd. 1/8

Enc. 1

C.

2/10/3

No. 3798 c of 23 Aug. 1889

To
E.I.
R193-
For favour of
remarks.

Wm
22.8.89
D.W.

P.O. No 347
S. W. 11/12/89
The code rule is
undoubtedly what was
intended viz the
Standard for deciding what
is a new work - it is plain
to be of the Annual
Revenue of the provision
of 5 years - see para 9 of
the Code - report with P.O. 1504
17/6/76 & para 6 of P.O. Res
2069 11/8/76 - it is
quite certain that it
has been commonly taken
as 1/2 of total revenue
of the provision 5 years -
(2) As fund can be transferred
between the funds for
original work & repairs -
the matter is not of much
moment as regards finally
arranging funds - but
I must say that I consider
it absurd that if repairs
of a tank cost Rs 50
whilst the average annual

Revenue of the tank is Rs 1000
the work should be cleared as
"original" instead of being shown as
repairs -
What it amounts to is - see repairs -
I consider the provision of Code para 909
quite sufficient to meet it - the Code -
I am not sure and I am not sure if
he will be able to add "and repairs" after the
word "reconstruction" and to add "and
Channels" before the word "drain".
J. P. 27/1/90

11448
P.W. 7830
19/9/90

I don't mind, if you can do without any rule on the subject
* But by some rule is necessary; any rule must be made
when ordinary things sometimes about; and the only
rule is to be made
on good in any way
the character of
can be cleared

No. 1535 of 19.3.90.

I.
R42

The rule is apparently based on
the analogy of a somewhat similar rule
regarding buildings, see P.W. code para
909, which again is based on the Govern-
ment of India Code rule, volume I, chap-
ter VII, para 2; but there is no spe-
cial rule in the Government of India
Code regarding irrigation works.

2. The object of both rules proba-
bly is to put a limit on the power of
subordinate authorities to sanction re-
pairs. But for the limit thus imposed
by removing certain cases from the cat-
egory of repairs, there is no limit
whatever (except budget limit) to the
power of a Superintending Engineer, for
instance, to sanction repairs.

10/3/90
No 53
Very well then perhaps some
arbitrary limit is necessary
will be for more sensible of it
at the disposal of the previous
5 years & not at 1/2 of that or more
and, moreover, that the Code
contains

3/10/13 No. 5784 C.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 10 - December 1889.

OFFICE NOTE.

From
W
To
C

With reference to
the enclosed official letter,
please forward me with
your opinion whether
there is any objection
to the modification of
Code para 909 in the
manner suggested
by E.I.

Wm
9.12.89
D.W.

No. C.N. 12298

287 24/29-3-86

1584 - 17-6-76

2069 - 11-8-76

No. 12.89. 2
No. 1842 of 23-12-89

L
W.

No. 1160. C of 4 March 90

To S.I. 226.

I see no objection to
the proposal of the Chief Engineer
for Irrigation, if sanctioned
by Government.

For further consi-
deration, with reference
to the above remarks.

20/12/89
For B.

Quell
J.3.89 W

23/12
(Enc 2)

No 27 2

To S.I. C.

Seen. I think the
Chon Meen has shown all
the papers for information
of the Board, before we
proceed further.

Before I deal with
this can you find out
for me any reason for the
rule, or do you stand - it
is possible that conditions
of account - or financial
leads - or something of
that kind of thing, has obtained
£10/6 which no longer
will be a matter for stand
the affairs as usual in
calling a "repair" account
but a "repair" account
which is cost -

24/1/90
J.

6/3/90

For orders.

See in E. 18. 226.

Wes
20 Jan

Quell
8/1/90
W

H.W. 9-1-90. R 11-1-90

Whilst the advantage accum

188 90

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 3029

From

The Chief Eng^r for Irrigⁿ

ENCLOS. -

No. 53

Dated 20-3-90.

Received 24-3-90.

SUBJECT.

The modification of Code
Para 909 - Furnishing
certain remarks regard-
-ing the -

10/34

DISPOSAL.

No.

Dated

Am. 28-3-90 R 37-3-90

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Note from the E.P.W.A. (H.E. No 1203 d/20.8.89)
" from the C.E. for Irrigⁿ (" 347 d/27.11.89)
" from the E.P.W.A. (" 1842 d/23.12.89)
" from the C.E. for Irrigⁿ (" 27 d/6.3.90)
" from the E.P.W.A. (" 1535 d/19.3.90)
" from the C.E. for Irrigⁿ (" 53 d/20.3.90)

The following
modifications will be
made in para 909

P.W. Code :-

MS
In the eighth line, before
the words "sluices" insert
"bank, channel."

In the thirteenth line,
omit the words "one-
fifth of."

The

2. The requisite
Standing Orders will
be issued in due
course.

WCM
27-3-90

Quell
27-3-90
28/3/90

Ch. Engr for I. ✓
Ch. Engr P. Project ✓
Supt. Engineers ✓
E.P.W.A. with our ends. ✓

Done
10/4/90

for
10/4/90

Cu

Am. 28-3-90
Am. 28-3-90

3029.

Govt. of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

Recd.

Encl.

31st March 1890 No. 101310

Public Works Code.

Anticipating para
909 of the —

190
14

22/3/31 31/3-