

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 6
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 4/89
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/9

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	<u>631 W</u>	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	<u>28. 2. 1890</u>	<u>198</u>

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

10/9

P.W. Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government -

Read the following.

Endorsement of the Superintending
Engineer Civil Circle No 1007 M.D. 25th Nov/89
on letter from Executive Engineer Madurai
No. - No 4745-E. Dated 23rd Nov 1889.

Office note from the Examiner
P.W. Accounts No 1743 of 5th Decr 1889.

Order thereon No 3601 W. of 18th
Decr 1889.

The claim to extra charge
allowance preferred by A. Joyce
Executive Engineer is disallowed
as the case is not met by any of the
classes under Civil Service Regula-
tions Article 178(h)

(True Extract)

Sd/- W. L. C. Baddley Capt. R.E.

Under Secy. to Govt. P.W.D.

No 1126 M.D.

Copy forwarded to the
Exec. Engineer Madurai Division
for information & for Communi-
cation to Mr. Joyce Executive Engineer

Sd/- W. L. C. Baddley
25/12/89

No 939m.

Copy communicates to
Mr Joyce.

By Mr Hughes
Exec Engineer
Madura D.

(True Copy)

Exec Engineer
No. 14 II Parties
I.R.S.

Recd. Engr
1890
1785/90

711

180-1
1-2-90.

Mr Joyce sends this
to me as head of the I.R.S.
and as that sends it on
to the Chief Engineer General
and Roads & Buildings Branch
as the matter seems to
need reconsideration

C. Wall

Chief Engineer for Irrigation
I.R. Scheme

Camp Sattanapalli
27 January 1890

Dated at Madras
125 February 1890



18

Above the line for the use of receiving Office.

TANK RESTORATION SCHEME,

No. 377.C.E.

FROM

Mr. Joyce Engr
Exec Engineer No. 14 II
Parties I.R.S.

to The Chief Engineer
for Irrigation I.R.S.

Dated 14th
Despatched 16th January 1890.
Received 3-90

(Camp) Sattan
Station

ENCLOSURE (one only)

Reference

Sir, I have the honour
to inform you that I
have received thro. the
Exec Engineer Madura D.
a letter No. 1126 M.D. from
Supy. Engineer H. B. Sale;
a copy of which I now
send you. You will I
think be able to see
that this correspondence

Refers
to

refers to my claim to—
charge allowance of 100Rs
a month while holding
charge of Madara Division
in addition to my duties
as Esce. Engineer of No. I
& II Parties.

(2) The Examiner appears
to have made an office note
No. 1743 of Decr 5th 1889 and
upon that an order has
been passed No. 360/W of
Decr 18th 1889. I think
that there must be some
mistake made by the
Examiner as the Claim
is disallowed because
the Case is not met by
any of the Classes under
Civil Service Regulation
Article 178(b). Now
Article 178(h) has re-
ference only to the Salt
Department. But Article
178(h) has special re-
ference to the Public
Works Department.

(3) Under 178(h) as
I think you will see I
can fairly claim this
allowance

allowance. I was
for the time being a
—ing to that Class

" an Esce. Engineer in
charge of two Divi-
as a special & temp-
rary arrangement.

(4) The order of the G.O.
dated Novr 18th 1889
as follows:—

" Mr. A. Joyce Esce.
III grade, to the charge
of the Madara Dⁿ, with-
out prejudice to his own
as Esce. Engineer No. I
Party, Tank Restoration
Scheme."

(5) As I think there
has been some mistake
made, I shall take
as a great favour if
you will kindly lay
this my case before
Government.

I have the honour
Your most obed^t Servant

A. Joyce
Esce. Engineer
No. I Party
T.R. Scheme

711

188

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 1081

From

The Chief Engr for
Drainage T.R. Scheme

ENCLOS.—

No. 180 T

Dated 1.2.90

SUBJECT.

requesting for reconsideration
in the claim of Mr.
Joyce, Esce. Engr No. 2
is P.R.S. to the charge
allowance of Rs. 100 a month
while holding the charge
of the Madara Division.

DISPOSAL.

621 C Dated 5 July 190

S.C.

For favour of any
further remarks you
may desire to offer.

W.O.R.
4.2.90

Amos

4.2.90

W

12889 of 20220

P.W.C. 110 178

To W.

The article of the Civil Service Regulations referred to by me in my office note No. 1743 dated 5th. December 1889 was 178(h), and it is correctly described as 178 (h) in the copy of G.O. received by me.

2. If the clause is referred to as 178(b) in the copy of G.O. sent to the Executive Engineer Madras division it must apparently be a clerical error. There is nothing in Mr. Joyce's letter to lead me to modify the opinion already expressed.

W.C.

C.

26/1/90

Prop.

(Encs)

Recorded with
reference to G.O. no.
3601 W of 18 Decr. 1889.

2. The article of the
Civil Service Regulations
referred to in the
G.O. of the 18 Decr.
1889 is 178(h) and
not 178(b).

3. a tank party
is not considered
a P.W. division
for the purposes of the above
to all the Engg. for bridge
S. W. C.

Recd
26/1/90

2.90