

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 16
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 3/8/87
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் லா

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P. W துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	<u>545 W</u>	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	<u>20. 2. 1890</u>	<u>198</u>

மடிக்கலோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

P. W

545
1

20TH FEBRUARY 1890, No. 545 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

READ—the following papers :—

Office note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 24th January 1890, No. 384.

With reference to code para. 1676, which requires that I should prepare and forward to you annually a statement showing by divisions the whole of the surveying and mathematical instruments on the stock of the Presidency, I beg to inquire whether you would have any objection, with the approval of Government, to have such statements sent to you circle by circle in six consecutive months, instead of combining the whole in one annual statement.

2. I make this inquiry because, in connection with the proposals for reducing the cost and staff of my office (see my office note No. 183 of 15th January 1890). I wish, if possible, to arrange for the audit of half-yearly tools and plant returns (together with some other returns) by a separate section of my office, so that the work may be done both more efficiently and more economically. The Accountant-General, Public Works Department, has consented to modify his requirements to some extent with a view to this, and if you see no objection to the proposal now made, I propose, with the approval of the Government, to arrange for the submission of the half-yearly tools and plant returns by different divisions at different times of the year, so that the work of auditing them may be evenly distributed throughout the year. This arrangement would, however, be impracticable if I must continue to furnish you with an annual statement showing the balances in all divisions on one uniform date, and I shall therefore be glad if you can see your way to accepting the statements circle by circle instead. It seems not improbable that the proposed arrangement may be even more convenient for you than the present one.

Office note to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 30th January 1890, No. 525-C.

Your office note No 384, dated 24th January 1890.

The object in sending to the Chief Engineer an annual consolidated return of tools and plant is to enable this office to review the excesses and deficiencies in the several divisions, and to order a redistribution if necessary—*vide* code para. 1676.

The rule cannot be complied with in full if the returns are sent in by circles at bi-monthly intervals, as such redistribution can then only be conveniently ordered between the divisions in each circle.

Circle returns can, however, be reviewed and redistributions ordered by the Superintending Engineer concerned just as well as by the Chief Engineer. I am anxious to meet your wishes as expressed in your note, but if the returns be prepared by circles, there will apparently be no necessity to send them to me at all.

Please let me know whether you see any objection to the duty imposed on the Chief Engineer by code para. 1676 being delegated to Superintending Engineers. In such case, the returns need not be prepared in your office apparently, as Superintending Engineers could obtain the necessary information from their divisional officers. The duty of your office would be confined to checking the divisional returns.

Office note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 12th February 1890, No. 716.

I see no reason why, with the approval of Government, the duty at present imposed on you by code para. 1676 should not be delegated to Superintending Engineers, and I shall be glad if you will obtain the approval of the Government to the arrangement. I get detailed lists from Executive Engineers giving the particulars of each instrument, or case of instruments, &c., in their divisions, and I can, after check of these lists, send them on to Superintending Engineer for the purposes of the code rule quoted. This will save preparation of separate lists by Executive Engineers for submission to Superintending Engineers.

2. I shall be glad if you will at the same time obtain the approval of the Government to the principle of the submission of the divisional half-yearly returns at different times from different circles, leaving the details of times for each circle to be settled afterwards by the Code Committee.

ORDER—dated 20th February 1890, No. 545 W., Public Works.

The arrangements mentioned in the Examiner's note, No. 716, dated 12th February 1890, are approved. Paras. 1675 and 1676, Public Works Code, will be modified accordingly.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Chief Engineer, Periyár Project.
To Superintending Engineers.
To the Consulting Architect to Government.
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. A. Aubert.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1890} Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated February, 20th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 20th Feb. 1890, No. 545 W.

FEBRUARY.

Half-yearly Return of Tools
and Plant

The arrangements proposed by the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, for the preparation and review of —, approved.

[C. No. 3.]

GOVT.
OF MADRAS. }

1890
188

P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 24 January 1890

Office Note No. 384.

To

E.W.

With reference to code para 1373 which requires that I should prepare and forward to you annually a statement showing by divisions the whole of the surveying and mathematical instruments on the stock of the Presidency, I beg to inquire whether you would have any objection, with the approval of Government, to have such statements sent to you circle by circle in six consecutive months, instead of combining the whole in one annual statement.

2. I make this inquiry because, in connection with the proposals for reducing the cost and staff of my office, (see my office note No. 183 of 16th January 1890) I wish, if possible, to arrange for the audit of half yearly tools and plant returns (together with some other returns) by a separate section of my office, so that the work may be done both more efficiently and more

KEEP-WITH

Genl. No.

dated

188

economically. The Accountant General
P.W.D. has consented to modify his re-
quirements to some extent with a view
to this, and, if you see no objection to
the proposal now made, I propose with
the approval of the Government, to ar-
range for the submission of the half-
yearly tools and plant returns by dif-
ferent divisions at different times of
the year, so that the work of auditing
them may be evenly distributed through-
out the year. This arrangement would
however be impracticable if I must con-
tinue to furnish you with an annual
statement showing the balances in all
divisions on one uniform date, and I
shall therefore be glad if you can see
your way to accepting the statements
circle by circle instead. It seems not
improbable that the proposed arrange-
ment may be even more convenient for
you than the present one.

W. H. C.

C.

M. 23
R. 24

2580
14-3-90
Cno 785
5454
5/15

The object of sending
to the Chief Clerk an annual
Consolidated return of
tools & plant, is to
enable that officer to
review the excesses &
deficiencies in the
several divisions, &
to order a redistribution
if necessary - vide Cno
para 1676.

The rule cannot
be complied with if
the returns are to be
sent in by circles
at six-monthly in-
tervals.

If it is con-
sidered sufficient to
review the returns
by circles, & to order
a redistribution between
divisions in each circle
only, the proposal of
the Cno may be
accepted, but in
that case the review

4 redistribution can be
made by the Sup. &
just as well as by the
Chief Eng.

If we are not
to have a consolidated
return for the whole
Presidency, there can
be no object in
submitting a return
to the Ch. Eng. at
all.

In order.
C
28.1.90

I would suggest that
the value of the tools
be determined
by delegating
the duty to the
Chief Engineer for
the Presidency.

W
20.1.90

556.
1890

No. 525 C.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 30 Jan. 1890.

OFFICE NOTE.

From

W

To

C

Your office note
No. 384 dated 24.1.90.

The object in sending
to the Chief Engineer an
annual consolidated
return of tools and
plant is to enable
this office to review
the excesses and defi-
ciencies in the several
divisions, and to order
a redistribution if
necessary, vide Code
para 1676.

The rule cannot
be complied with in
full if the returns
are sent in by

circles at bi-monthly intervals, as such redistribution can ^{then} only be conveniently ordered between the divisions in each circle.

Circle returns can however be reviewed & redistributions ordered by the Supply Engineer concerned just as well as by the Chief Engineer. I am anxious to meet your wishes as expressed in your note, but if the returns be prepared by circles, there will apparently be no necessity to send them to me at all.

Please let me know whether you see any objection to the duty imposed on the Chief Engr by Code para 1676 being delegated to Supply Engrs. In such case, the returns need not be prepared in

Yours

No.

C.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras,

18

OFFICE NOTE.

From

To

Your office apparently, as Supply Engrs could obtain the necessary information from their divisional officers. The duty of your office would be confined to checking the divisional returns.

W. M. 19.1.90
R. M. 19.1.90

No. 716 of 12.2.90.

To W.

I see no reason why, with the approval of Government the duty at present imposed on you by code para 1676 should not be delegated to Superintending Engineers, and I shall be glad if

you will obtain the approval of the Government to the arrangement. I get detailed lists from Executive Engineers giving the particulars of each instrument, or case of instruments, etc., in their divisions, & I can, after check of these lists, send them on to Superintending Engineer for the purposes of the code rule quoted. This will save preparation of separate lists by Executive Engineers for submission to Superintending Engineers.

2. I shall be glad if you will at the same time obtain the approval of the Government to the principle of the submission of the divisional half yearly returns at different times from different circles, leaving the details of times for each circle to be settled afterwards by the Code Committee.

No. 730C of 10-2-90
 " 222C " 14-1-90
 " 563C " 5-2-89
 " 4860C " 25-10-89
 " 3923C " 16-10-88
 " 3696C " 2-10-88
 " 3065C " 11-8-88
 " 1527C " 11-4-88

C.
 H. 11/2
 R. 13/2

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Office note from the E.P.W.D. (H.C. No. 384 of 24.1.90)
 do to the do (H.C. No. 525 C of 30.1.90)
 do from the do (H.C. No. 716 of 12.2.90)

The arrangements mentioned in the Examiner's note, No. 716 of 12th February 1890 are approved. Paras 1675 & 1676 P.W. Code will be modified accordingly.

C.E. Periyar Project
 Supt. Engineers
 C.A. to Government.
 E.P.W.D. Secy

H. 15.2.90
 R. 17.2.90

C. 11

H.M. 17-2-90 R20-2-90

1487 m

Govt. of Madras

PUBLIC WORKS.

14-7-13 ✓

18-8-14 ✓

2-3-21 ✓

14-1-25 ✓

22/12

Date

Recd

Recd

20th Dec 1900 No. 545 W.

Half yearly Return
of Tools & Plant.

The arrangements
proposed by the
Board for the preparation
of ^{review} ~~the~~ of —
Approved.

190
12

1901/2. 3/1/01