

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 24  
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 3/89  
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் கருக்கொப்பம் 6/89

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W துறை

|                                       |           |                  |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|------------------|
| அரசாணை எண்.<br>மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். | 521 W     | அச்சகம்<br>பலவகை |
| நாள் :                                | 17.2.1890 | 198              |

மடிக்கலோ கண்டபடி கையாளலோ கூடாது

1009

ஆணை, எ-1-13,000-13-8-87.

Official Report on the Qualifications of Mr. C. H. J. Moffat  
submitted with the Department on 30<sup>th</sup> August 1890.

Name & Department and Position  
Military Rank & Corps in the  
army of Victoria officers

operating  
the public  
works the  
Department  
of first com-  
mission of a  
R.E. Officer  
of commanding  
provisional rank  
of Civil Engineer

of first

Tests  
passed

Qualification  
as a practical  
collaborator

whether a good  
reader & generally  
quick habits

whether a good  
man of business  
irrespective of his  
personal qualifications

If business put  
in arranging for  
& pushing work

Classes of works in  
which chiefly en-  
gaged

Any special  
qualifications

whether the foregoing  
particulars are based  
on personal knowledge  
of character & reputation or  
only on personal relations

Habits of work  
on which none employed

General Remarks

Mr C. H. J. Moffat  
Executive Engineer, 2<sup>nd</sup>  
Grade.

30<sup>th</sup> August 1890

13<sup>th</sup> February 1890

Passed in Tamil

Can speak Tamil very  
fluently

a fair reader & of active  
habits

a fair man of business

Yes

Information mostly chiefly

from that I know of

From personal knowledge

In charge of Negapatam Division

Testimonial as  
accomplishment  
No 2354 T.D. 29.1.90  
on his long service letter  
No 1/ 29.13-1-90

Civilian Station  
Camp Officer, Station  
Date 29<sup>th</sup> January 1890

Addressed to  
Military Engineer General

Neg up at end  
13<sup>th</sup> January 1890.

No. 4. 57/2  
From C. A. J. Norfolk  
Capt. Regt.  
To the Superintendent Genl.  
6<sup>th</sup> Circle  
Tuchinopol.

I have to thank you for  
addressing me regarding  
my position in the  
P. W. D.  
2. In 1874-75, I had the  
misfortune of being un-  
favorably reported upon  
by the officers under whom  
I was then serving, i.e.  
Capt. J. L. Randall, and  
the Genl. were pleased  
to reduce me several  
steps in my grade.  
This was nearly 15  
years ago, then I was  
very young and  
without experience.  
3. Not one of these  
steps have I re-  
covered, that I am  
aware of, although  
several who, by my  
reduction went over

W. C. H. J. Norfolk, Executive  
Lieutenant - P. W. D.  
Special report on the  
qualifications of

me have either died  
or retired, thus  
leaving still 3 officers  
above me.

\* Majors Brodley, Henderson  
and Longley

4. I have served  
under Mr. Randall  
since my education,  
now in Belgium and  
again in Germany,  
and, on both occa-  
sions, the officers  
stated in a letter  
to me that I had  
worked "steadily  
and well."

5. In the Indian  
Army Detail I served  
under Col. H. M. Vibart  
R.E. in 78-79 at a  
time when there was  
much trouble in the  
Muziri Range & the  
officer wrote me  
to say that I had  
performed a dis-  
agreeable duty "un-  
flinchingly, thoroughly  
and to his complete  
satisfaction."

6. I am aware that  
by now recurring to

the past I shall be  
taking up old matters  
but, when my short-  
comings were thus the  
are most of them  
old date, and, at  
this distance of time  
could scarcely be  
considered to stand  
in my way.

7. I have recently  
been employed on the  
investigation of im-  
portant business  
trans, including  
the Longjumeau  
Project. I have  
capacity of being  
occasionally  
satisfactorily carried  
out. The instructions  
given me by my  
then immediate  
superior, Col. Vibart,  
R.E. and, I am now  
in charge of a Dis-  
trict, classed by  
the Govt. as in  
Class A. 2224 Wds  
21st July 1888, to the  
Govt. of India as  
an Imperial Dis-  
in account of  
the important

\* Not received

my duties with in  
it.

8. Your own opinion,  
based on personal  
inspection, is given  
in the following  
terms in your notes  
to the Chief Engr  
for my attention. That  
is going on well  
in the Negapatam  
Dr. and Mr.  
Nathan takes great  
interest in his work  
and is very pains-  
taking and care-  
ful + - - -

9. When I was at  
Comibetam on  
Restoration of Fort,  
the Hon'ble Mr.  
P. P. Huletins, who  
then held the P. & T.  
Portfolio, used to  
me to say that if  
I gave satisfaction,  
I stood every chance  
of regaining my  
forfeited place, &  
I might mention  
that I have re-

cently obtained his  
consent to make  
this statement.  
10. Similar claims,  
I often, I have reason  
to know, have been  
made and formerly  
considered by Govt.  
I therefore do not  
stand alone in an  
application of  
this nature.  
11. My position in the  
Department, at the  
time of my seduction  
was immediately  
below Mr. Grimes.  
They therefore, un-  
less there is some  
special reason  
for still punishing  
me, that you will  
move the Chief  
Engr. to get me  
restored to my  
former position  
in it, by a Gov-  
ernment Order.

I have

I have the honor to  
Sir,

Your most able & sincere

Efforts

in connection with the

No 235475

Forwarded to the Chief  
Engineer for favorable  
consideration. \*

Our Engineer is working  
very well at Kojapatam  
& is most zealous & pains-  
taking & willing to do  
all I ask him to do.

If it be possible I strongly  
recommend his being  
now re-instated as he is  
as he has suffered sufficiently  
for the errors of his youth  
& inexperience

Al Smith  
Superintendent

Camp Thalavaram Coleroon

29 Jan 90

Jan 30/90

\*  
Enc 1.

\* Special report in prescribed  
form accompanies this  
endorsement A.C.

52/5



From  
C.H.T. Norfor Esq  
Ex: Eng

To  
The Superintendent  
Engg  
6<sup>th</sup> Circle  
In chain of

Dated 13<sup>th</sup> January/90  
Recd: 14

Subject.

Asks to be restored  
to his former position  
in the Department  
for good work ac-  
complished.

On 1<sup>st</sup> Jan'y/71

A. Es. 3<sup>rd</sup>

Mr. J. Grimes

C. H. T. Norfor

On 1<sup>st</sup> Jan'y/72

A. Es. 2<sup>nd</sup>

Mr. J. Grimes

C. H. T. Norfor

Lt. A. W. Smart

" C. C. Rawson

" A. R. F. Dorward

S. Subharayachari

On 30<sup>th</sup> Sept/73

A. Es. 2<sup>nd</sup>

Mr. J. Grimes

C. H. T. Norfor

Lt. A. W. Smart

" C. C. Rawson

" A. R. F. Dorward

S. Subharayachari

Lt. D. V. Roddy

" C. B. Henderson

" J. Langley

On 1<sup>st</sup> Jan'y/74

A. Es. 2<sup>nd</sup>

Mr. J. Grimes

" C. H. J. Norfor

Lt. A. W. Smart

" C. C. Rawson

" A. R. F. Dorrance

S. Subharayachari

Lt. O. V. Boddy

" C. B. Henderson

" Lt. J. Langley

On 1<sup>st</sup> Jan'y/75

A. Es. 2<sup>nd</sup>

Lt. C. C. Rawson

" A. R. F. Dorrance

Mr. J. Grimes

" C. H. J. Norfor

S. Subharayachari

Lt. O. V. Boddy

" C. B. Henderson

" Lt. J. Langley

Mr. W. B. de Winton

" C. Vincent

On 1<sup>st</sup> Jan'y/76

A. Es. 2<sup>nd</sup>

Mr. J. Grimes

S. Subharayachari

Lt. O. V. Boddy

" C. B. Henderson

" Lt. J. Langley

Mr. C. H. J. Norfor

Lt. W. L. C. Boddy

Mr. W. B. de Winton

" C. Vincent

W. C. 996. 6/2  
2/5/2

Mr. Major is making  
on the list of 1st class 2<sup>nd</sup> grade.  
There are 11 officers in that  
grade.

Mr. Major was under the  
impression he placed 6<sup>th</sup> on  
the list, superseding  
Major Budd, Henderson  
& Longley.

There is no knowledge of  
the circumstances which  
led to Mr. Major's reduction  
in 1875. Beyond what is  
stated in A.O. 1294  
of 7-5-75 -

As however it has been  
stated that promotion  
from 2<sup>nd</sup> to 1<sup>st</sup> grade  
isentive, shall be  
by selection, it does not  
follow that if Mr.  
Major was put higher  
on the list of 2<sup>nd</sup> grades,  
he would necessarily  
be promoted to 1<sup>st</sup> grade  
before all the officers  
whom he outranks  
superse. It seems

1 me that when an  
offer has reached  
the grade in the Dept.  
(viz 2<sup>nd</sup> grade line) from  
which further promotion  
depends almost entirely  
entirely on selection;  
it is unless he being  
former claims he  
promotion based on  
facts connected with  
the early career of  
the officer in the Dept.

Wm  
4-2-90

Wm.  
Pruden

Rev. 2-8

Cir 996

7/10

Out

Mr C. H. T. Korfus,  
Ex. Sur 2<sup>nd</sup> grade, appeals  
to be reinstated in  
the position which he  
occupied prior to his  
reduction in 1875.

The circumstances in  
which he was reduced  
will be found detailed  
in Ex. No. 1294 of 7-5-75.

On appointment to  
the Dept., he was graded  
immediately below  
Mr. Grimes, and when  
reduced (about 12 places)  
in 1875, he was placed  
between Lieut. Langley  
& Waddley.

In 1872, both  
Messrs Grimes & Korfus  
stood above Lieut.  
Smart Ransom & Rowland.  
but subsequently these  
officers went above  
Mr. Grimes.

Wm

Mr. Korp for says that  
three of the officers who  
went above him in 1875  
still remain above him -  
He probably refers to  
Lieutenants M. D. Henderson  
& Langley, & he no  
doubt wishes to be  
restored to his place  
above those officers.

His present application  
is strongly supported  
by Lt. Col. A. C. Smith,  
his Super. Engineer.

Mr. Korp appeals  
on <sup>2 other</sup> ~~the~~ subjects in 1887,  
but his letters were  
objectionable in many  
respects, & he withdrew  
them on - of advice  
from Col. Bartles - vide  
L.P. 2239 & 22.8.87  
for orders.

C  
4.2.90

Form  
P. 10 Oct 996

The Suptg. Engineer  
11 Circle

To.

The Chief Engineer  
P. 12 D.

No. 2354 T.J.

Dated 29<sup>th</sup> }  
Recd 30<sup>th</sup> } Jan 4/90  
Recd 1-2-90  
Encl.

M. C. H. J. Warfar.  
Lieutenant Engineer

Forwarded for favorable  
consideration application  
from - to be restored  
to his proper position  
in the department, which  
he should have held but  
for his reduction.

No. 2239 W. 22-4-87

" 1834 W. 8-7-87

" 2634 W. 27-10-84

" 459 " 15-1-85

" 2040 W. 20-8-84

" 2618 W. 27-10-84

" 371-2 C. 27-8-80

" 1294 " 7-5-75

" 2223-4 W. 21-7-88

10.5.21 W. 17<sup>th</sup> February  
1890

H. E. 8-2-90.  
H. E. 6-2-90 } R. 14-3-90

M. D.

Read the following

From the Suptg. Engineer Circle. No. 2354 of 29<sup>th</sup> Jan 1890.

For Orders

571/11

Mr. C. H. J. Warfar,  
Ex. Engineer 2<sup>nd</sup> grade, appeals  
to be reinstated in the  
position which he oc-  
cupied prior to his re-  
duction in 1875.

2. The circumstances  
in which he was reduced  
will be found detailed  
in G.O. No. 1294 of 7<sup>th</sup> May 1875.

3. An appointment to the  
Department he was graded  
immediately below Mr.  
Grimes, and when re-  
duced (about 12 places)  
in 1875, he was placed  
below Lieutts. Boddy,  
Henderson and Langley.

4. Mr. Warfar is now  
9<sup>th</sup> on the list of Ex. Engineers  
2<sup>nd</sup> grade. There are 11 officers  
in that grade.

5. He now asks that  
he may be placed 6<sup>th</sup> on  
the list, superseding

Majors

\* 8 of the officers have  
either died or left the Rod.  
and Mr. Warfar has  
since superseded Mr.  
Subharayachariar, thus  
leaving 3 officers still  
above him.

Chapin Baddy, Henderson  
and Langley.

6. The undersigned has  
no knowledge of the cir-  
cumstances which led  
to Mr. Norfor's promotion  
in 1875 beyond what is  
stated in G.O. No. 1294 of 7<sup>th</sup>  
May 1875.

7. As, however, it has  
been ruled that promo-  
tion from 2<sup>nd</sup> to 1<sup>st</sup> grade  
Executive, shall be by  
selection, it does not  
follow that if Mr. Norfor  
was put higher in the list  
of 2<sup>nd</sup> grades, he would  
necessarily be promoted  
to Ex. Engineer 1<sup>st</sup> before  
all the officers whom he  
wishes to supersede. It  
seems to me that when  
an officer has reached the  
grade in the Department,  
(viz. 2<sup>nd</sup> grade Executive),  
from which further pro-  
motion depends almost  
entirely on selection,  
it is useless to bring  
forward claims to  
special promotion  
based on facts connected  
with the early career of the  
officer in the Department.

W.C.V.  
5.2.90

Prud  
5.2.90

Am. 6.2.90

Am. 6.2.90

W.C.V.  
Am. 5.2.90

For order

I agree in the ~~above~~ but I  
don't know much personally  
of Mr. Norfor, but I must say  
I do not recollect to have ever  
heard of his being well spoken of  
before.

W.C.V.  
7 Feb

Am. 5.2.90

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Letter from C. H. J. Norfor Esq. (H.E. No. 1/1 of 13.1.90)

Endorsement thereon by the S.E.  
The Circle 3 (2354 J.G. of 29.1.90)

Mr. Norfor's claims  
to promotion will receive  
due consideration on  
the occurrence of vacancies,  
but the Government  
observes that when an  
officer has reached the  
grade in the Department  
(viz. 2<sup>nd</sup> grade Executive)  
from which further  
promotion depends  
almost entirely on  
selection

selection, it is usual  
to bring forward  
to official promotion  
based on facts  
connected with the  
career of the officer  
in the Department

W.C.B.  
15.2.90

Ames  
17.2

21.4.17. J. H. J. H. J. H.  
1890

✓  
VI Cante.  
D 18/2

Cu E.C.C. 18.11

P. 18/2